```
Page 1
1
2
    UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
3
4
      BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
5
                 RIOT GAMES, INC.
                    Petitioner,
7
                       V.
8
              PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC.
9
                   Patent Owner.
10
                     _____
11
                Case IPR2018-00129
                 Patent 5,822,523
12
13
    TELEPHONIC HEARING HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE
14
                 Judge Easthom
                 Judge Fitzpatric
15
                 Judge Bang
16
17
            March 26, 2018 - 1:02 p.m.
18
19
20
    Reported by:
21
    Tiffany Valentine
22
    Job No. 139829
23
24
25
```



```
Page 2
1
2
    APPEARANCES
3
    FOR PETITIONER:
4
    SIDLEY AUSTIN
5
          1501 K Street, N.W.
6
          Washington, D.C. 20005
7
          SAMUEL DILLON, ESQ.
    BY:
8
          SCOTT BORDER, ESQ.
9
10
    FOR PATENT OWNER:
11
    MUNCK WILSON MANDALA
12
          600 Banner Place Tower
13
          12770 Coit Road
14
          Dallas, Texas 75251
15
    BY: GREG HOWISON, ESQ.
          KEITH HARDEN, ESQ.
16
          BRIAN WALKER, ESQ.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	1490
1	TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE EASTHOM: Patent Owner, I
3	understand Mr. Howison, Mr. Harden and
4	Mr. Walker are all on the line; is that
5	correct?
6	MR. HOWISON: That is correct.
7	JUDGE EASTHOM: And Mr. Howison, are
8	you going to speak?
9	MR. HOWISON: It's Petitioner's
10	call, but I will speak after they speak, I
11	guess.
12	JUDGE EASTHOM: That will be you,
13	though, Mr. Howison?
14	MR. HOWISON: I will speak, yes.
15	JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay, great.
16	And then for Petitioner, we have
17	Mr. Border and Mr. Dillon. And I assume
18	Mr. Dillon, were you going to speak because
19	I heard you speak earlier?
20	MR. DILLON: Yes, your Honor. This
21	is Sam Dillon and I will be speaking on
22	behalf of Petitioner and I have Scott
23	Border here with me.
24	JUDGE EASTHOM: Great. Great.
25	Petitioner, did you pull the court



1	TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS
2	reporter in because it's your call?
3	MR. DILLON: I did. Yes, your
4	Honor.
5	JUDGE EASTHOM: So you will file
6	that as soon as you get that back from the
7	court reporter, obviously?
8	MR. DILLON: Yes, your Honor. We
9	should have a final copy by the end of this
10	week.
11	JUDGE EASTHOM: Great, okay. Thank
12	you everyone.
13	So we're here for IPR 2018-00129,
14	2018-00130, 2018-00131 and 2018-00132.
15	Petitioner sent the Board an e-mail
16	requesting a conference call to discuss
17	whether or not they could file supplemental
18	briefing in response to Patent Owner's
19	preliminarily response with respect to
20	three claim terms. The following three
21	claim terms include "aggregated message,"
22	"aggregated payload" and "payload portion."
23	With that, Petitioner, why don't you
24	explain to us why you think there is good
25	cause under 37 CFR 42.108-C for you to be



TELEPHONIC	PROCEEDINGS
------------	-------------

granted additional briefing.

MR. DILLON: Thank you, your Honor.

And that is correct; those are the three claim terms we identified in our e-mail. We think there is good cause to submit a preliminarily reply.

So these patents, the 686 patent and 523 patent, have been litigated for a long time. The first litigation for the 523 patent was in filed 1999. It had been litigated at least half a dozen times since then.

Throughout that whole process,

Patent Owner has taken a number of

positions regarding the meaning of these

claim terms that we think are inconsistent

with the position that it is taking now.

Specifically, each of the claim terms they

propose in their preliminarily response has

a slightly different construction, but they

each include a limitation related to a

transport layer header or a transport layer

message header.

That limitation is something that we



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

