

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Gilead Pharmasset LLC by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)
Emily R. Whelan (Reg. No. 50,391)
Samantak Ghosh (Reg. No. L1032)
E. Ross Cohen (Reg. No. 72,115)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Dorothy P. Whelan (Reg. No. 33,814)
Michael J. Kane (Reg. No. 39,722)
W. Chad Shear (Reg. No. 47,938)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INITIATIVE FOR MEDICINES, ACCESS & KNOWLEDGE (I-MAK), INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

GILEAD PHARMASSET LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00125

Patent 8,633,309

PATENT OWNER'S CORRECTED PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND	3
A. Sofosbuvir Revolutionized the Standard of Care for Hepatitis C Virus Infection	3
B. Nucleosides and Nucleotides.....	5
C. Stereoisomers and Diastereomers	6
D. Sofosbuvir Is a Nucleoside Phosphoramidate Prodrug	8
III. THE CLAIMED INVENTION	10
A. Overview of the '309 Patent.....	10
B. Prosecution History	12
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	15
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	15
VI. PETITIONER'S ASSERTED PRIOR ART REFERENCES	17
A. Sofia '634	17
B. Congiatu.....	18
C. Clark '147	20
VII. GROUND ONE – CLAIMS 1-12 ARE NOT ANTICIPATED BY SOFIA '634	20
A. Petitioner's Anticipation Theory Fails Because It Has Not Shown That Sofia '634 Expressly or Inherently Teaches the Purity Limitations Required by Each of the '309 Patent Claims.....	21
B. Petitioner Cannot Ignore the Purity Limitations	24

VIII. GROUND TWO – CLAIMS 1-12 ARE NOT OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF SOFIA '634 AND CONGIATU.....	28
A. Petitioner's Obviousness Theory Fails Because It Has Not Established That Sofia '634 and Congiatu Teach or Suggest Every Element of the '309 Patent Claims.....	28
B. Petitioner Has Failed to Establish a POSA's Motivation to Combine Sofia '634 with Congiatu, or That a POSA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Doing So	32
C. Petitioner's Prosecution History Arguments Amount to Nothing More Than a Conclusory Disagreement with the Examiner.....	35
IX. GROUND THREE – CLAIMS 1-12 ARE NOT OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF CLARK '147 AND CONGIATU.....	37
A. Neither Clark '147 nor Congiatu Teaches or Suggests the Compounds Recited in the '309 Patent Claims.....	38
B. Neither Clark '147 nor Congiatu Teaches or Suggests the Purity Limitations.....	40
C. Petitioner Has Failed to Establish a POSA's Motivation to Combine Clark '147 with Congiatu, or That a POSA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Doing So	43
D. Petitioner's Prosecution History Arguments Amount to Nothing More Than a Conclusory Disagreement with the Examiner.....	45
X. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER § 325(d)	47
XI. PRESERVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER OIL STATES	51
XII. CONCLUSION	51

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Akzo N.V. v. U.S. International Trade Commission</i> , 808 F.2d 1471 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	30
<i>In re Anthony</i> , 414 F.2d 1383 (C.C.P.A. 1969)	26
<i>Apotex Inc. v. OSI Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2016-01284, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2017)	48
<i>In re Baird</i> , 16 F.3d 380 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	39
<i>Bettcher Industries v. Bunzl USA, Inc.</i> , 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	22, 23
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	16
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp International Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	28
<i>Coalition for Affordable Drugs VII LLC v. Pozen Inc.</i> , No. IPR2015-01344, 2015 Pat. App. LEXIS 12669 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 17, 2015)	23, 25
<i>Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016)	15
<i>Daiichi Sankyo Co. v. Matrix Laboratories, Ltd.</i> , 619 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	39
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Board of Regents of University of Washington</i> , 334 F.3d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	39
<i>Forest Laboratories, Inc. v. Ivax Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 501 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	27

<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2017-00739, 2017 Pat. App. LEXIS 10044 (P.T.A.B. July 27, 2017)	50
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Laboratories</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	33, 44
<i>Insite Vision Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 853 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	32
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	34, 45
<i>InTouch Technologies, Inc. v. VGO Communications, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	33, 44
<i>King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v Eon Labs, Inc.</i> , 616 F.3d 1267 (Fed Cir. 2010)	21, 22
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	32, 33
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	30, 42
<i>Lantech, Inc. v. Keip Machine Co.</i> , 32 F.3d 542 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	27
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	31
<i>In re Miller</i> , 441 F.2d 689 (C.C.P.A. 1971)	25
<i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , No. IPR2015-01860, 2016 Pat. App. LEXIS 1127 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016)	49
<i>Prism Pharma Co. v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.</i> , No. IPR2014-00315, 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 4429 (P.T.A.B. July 8, 2014)	48

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.