# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA) INC.

Petitioner,

v.

Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00111 Patent No. 8,624,550

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO ADD A REAL PARTY IN INTEREST RETROACTIVELY AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO TERMINATE THE PROCEEDING



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

				<b>Page</b>
I.	Intro	duction	n	1
II.	Argu	ment		2
	A.	The l	Board Cannot Suspend the 315(b) Deadline	2
	В.		ioner Has Failed to Demonstrate That Its Requested of Is For Good Cause or In The Interests Of Justice	3
		1.	AIT did not change the legal standard on who is a real party-in-interest ("RPI") in an IPR proceeding	4
		2.	Petitioner's Misapplication of Law Is Not Good Cause For Suspending The Board's Rules On Filing Dates	6
		3.	Back-dating the Petition to Circumvent § 315(b) Is Not In the Interests of Justice Because It Prejudices Patent Owner and Encourages Gamesmanship	9
		4.	Petitioner's Extraordinary Request Finds No Support In The Board's Past Decisions	12
	C.		Board Should End the Proceeding With A Decision	14



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(s)
Cases
Application in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp., 897 F.3d 1336. (Fed. Cir. 2018)passin
Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regular Guards, Inc., IPR2013-00453, Paper 88 (PTAB, Jan. 6, 2015)
Corning Optical Communications RF, LLC v. PPC Broadband, Inc., IPR2014-00440, Paper 68 (PTAB, Aug. 18, 2015)4, 7, 8, 13
Elekta, Inc. v. Varian Med. Sys., Inc., IPR2015-01401, Paper 19 (PTAB, Dec. 31, 2015)passin
Fasteners for Retail, Inc. v. RTC Indus., Inc., IPR2018-00741, Paper 32 (PTAB, Nov. 15, 2018)4, 14
Lumentum Holdings, Inc. v. Capella Photonics, Inc., IPR2015-00739, Paper 38 (PTAB, Mar. 4, 2016)11, 12, 15
Mexichem Amanco Holdings S.A. de C.V., v. Honeywell Int'l, Inc., IPR2015-01309, Paper 25 (PTAB Apr. 7, 2016)
Petroleum Geo-Services Inc., v. WesternGeco LLC, IPR2014-00678, Paper 23 (PTAB Aug. 12, 2014)14
Reflectix, Inc. v. Promethean Insulation Tech. LLC, IPR2015-00039, Paper 18 (PTAB, Apr. 24, 2015)
Sirius XM Radio, Inc. v. Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft zur Forderung der Angewandten Forschung E.V., IPR2018-00689, Paper 11 (PTAB, Sept. 21, 2018)
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)



#### IPR2018-00111 US 8,624,550

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(3)	3, 6
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1, 2, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.72	15
37 C.F.R. § 42.73(a)	15
37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(4)	15
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	1, 2, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.106	1, 2, 12
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756	5



## **EXHIBIT LIST**

Ex. 2001	Declaration of Kenneth Fernald, Ph.D. in Support of Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC's Patent Owner Preliminary Response
Ex. 2002	Declaration of Mr. Steven Rogers in Support of Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC's Patent Owner Preliminary Response
Ex. 2003	Jan Axelson, USB Complete (1999), excerpt
Ex. 2004	U.S. Patent No. 5,884,086 ("Amoni")
Ex. 2005	Deposition Transcript of John Garney (Nov. 20, 2017) in Fundamental Innovation Sys. Int'l LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. (E.D. Tex.), excerpt
Ex. 2006	Jan Axelson, USB Complete (2d ed. 2001), excerpt
Ex. 2007	Sheasby PHV Declaration
Ex. 2008	Fundamental-Samsung Settlement Agreement (Confidential)
Ex. 2009	2018-07-02 Hearing Transcript
Ex. 2010	Deposition Transcript of John Garney (Nov. 20, 2017) in Fundamental Innovation Sys. Int'l LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. (E.D. Tex.), full transcript
Ex. 2011	Declaration of Kenneth Fernald, Ph.D. in Support of Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC's Patent Owner Response
Ex. 2012	Declaration of Mr. Steven Rogers in Support of Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC's Patent Owner Response
Ex. 2013	Deposition Transcript of Mr. James T. Geier in IPR2018-00111 (July 30, 2018)
Ex. 2014	ZTE (TX) Inc.'s corporate disclosure statement in <i>Fractus</i> , <i>S.A. v. ZTE Corp. et al.</i> , Civ. No. 2:17-cv-00561-JRG (Sept. 25, 2017, E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 2015	ZTE (USA) and ZTE (TX) Inc.'s corporate disclosure statement in <i>Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. ZTE</i>



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

