

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA) Inc.,
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
Petitioner,

v.

Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00110
Patent No. 8,624,550

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. USB Communication Protocol.....	1
A. USB Architecture	2
B. USB Connections	3
C. Power Distribution	3
D. Enumeration To Establish Communication Between Host And Device.....	3
E. Single Ended 1 (“SE1”) Line State.....	5
III. The ’550 Patent Presents An Elegant Solution To A Complex Problem.....	8
A. Need For Enumeration Limits Power Sources Suitable For Charging A USB Device	8
B. Parts Of The ’550 Patent Solution	9
IV. The Prior Art References Differ From The ’550 Inventions.....	11
A. Dougherty Overview	11
1. Dougherty’s Docking Station	11
2. Dougherty’s Alleged Improvement Over Prior Art.....	13
3. Dougherty’s Docking Station Logic.....	15
a) First Stage Of Operational Mode: Enumeration Using Normal USB Protocol	15
b) Second Stage Of Operational Mode: Loading Device Driver Using Information Obtained During Handshaking.....	16

	<u>Page</u>
c) Third Stage Of Operational Mode: Docking Station Initiated Inquiry Of Laptop’s Power Sink Ability Via Power Supply Lines And Supply Of Power	18
d) Dead Battery Or No Battery Scenario: Communication Only Via Power Supply Line.....	18
B. Shiga Overview	19
V. Skill Level Of A POSA	20
VI. Ground 1: Claims 1-3 And 9-12, And 18 Are Not Anticipated By Dougherty	21
A. Petitioners Fail To Present Competent Evidence That Dougherty Discloses An Adapter That Is Both “Configured To Supply Current On The VBUS Line Without Regard To At Least One USB Specification Imposed Limit” And “Without Enumeration” (Claim 12)	21
1. Enumeration Takes Place Before Power Supply By The Docking Station In The Charged Battery Scenario.....	21
2. Petitioners Have Failed To Show That The Docking Station Supplies More Than 500 mA To The Laptop In The Dead Battery Scenario.....	27
B. Petitioners Fail To Present Competent Evidence That Dougherty Discloses “An Adapter Comprising . . . A USB Communication Path”	29
VII. Ground 2: Claims 4-8 And 13-17 Are Not Obvious Over Dougherty In View Of Shiga.....	33
A. The Proposed Combination Suffers From The Same Defect As The Analysis Presented In Ground 1	33

B.	The Petition Fails To Provide Any Competent Factual Basis For Its Assertion Of A Motivation To Combine Dougherty/Shiga	34
1.	Petitioners Fail To Present Competent Evidence That A POSA Would Recognize SE1 As An Appropriate Signal When Normal USB Communication Is Involved	39
2.	A POSA Would Conclude That The Proposed Combination Had No Reasonable Expectation of Success.....	41
a)	A POSA Would Believe That Dougherty’s Laptop Would Not Be Able To Send SE1 Signaling Under Normal USB Protocol.....	42
b)	A POSA Would Conclude That The Petition’s Proposed Combinations Are Still Inoperative If The Laptop Is Programed To Respond To An SE1 Signal.....	44
3.	Petitioners Fail to Provide A Reasoned Explanation As To Why A POSA Would Have Chosen SE1 Over Other Alternatives In The Dougherty System.....	47
4.	A POSA Would Believe That Making The Suggested Modifications Would Disable The Dougherty Docking Station’s Primary Functionality	50
a)	Dougherty’s Docking Station Expands Ports And Requires Normal USB Communication With The Laptop.....	50
b)	Dougherty’s Handshaking Process Is Necessary To Establish Communication.....	51

5.	The Proposed Combinations Would Add Complexity Because A POSA Would Believe The Docking Station And The Laptop Must Use Different Signaling Schemes For The Operational State And The Off State.....	53
6.	Shiga Is Not Analogous Art To The '550 Patent	56
7.	The Second Combination Has Additional Drawbacks	59
8.	The Petition Fails To Provide A Reasoned Explanation Of Motivation For Its Proposed Combinations	61
	a) The Combinations Would Not Reduce Dougherty's System Latency Or Decrease Its Complexity	61
	b) Petitioners Offered No Evidence That SE1 Signaling Is A Logical Choice	63
	c) Dougherty Discourages Modification Of USB Communication Protocol.....	65
VIII.	Conclusion.....	66

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.