| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFI | CE |
|---|----|
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOAR | D |
| | |

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION Petitioner

v.

| SPE | X TECHNOLOGIES, IN | ١C |
|-----|--------------------|----|
| | Patent Owner | |
| | | |
| | | |

Case No. IPR2018-00082
Patent 6,088,802

PETITIONER WESTERN DIGITAL'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO THE PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,088,802 (AS AUTHORIZED BY PAPER 7)

Mail Stop **Patent Board**Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | <u> </u> | <u>age</u> |
|-----|-------------|---|------------|
| I. | STA FILI | NERAL PLASTIC IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE PATENT ATUTE AND THE APA, DOES NOT APPLY TO PETITIONS ED BY A DIFFERENT PARTY, AND DOES NOT WARRANT ISCRETIONARY DENIAL EVEN IF APPLIED | 1 |
| | A. | General Plastic Is Contrary to Statutory Authority and the APA | 1 |
| | В. | General Plastic Should Only Apply to Repeat Petitions by the Same Party; But, Even if Applied Here, Does Not Warrant Denial | 3 |
| II. | CLA | E PETITION PROPERLY STATED HOW THE CHALLENGED AIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. 104(b)(3) | 6 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

| C | a | S | es |
|---|---|---|-----|
| · | a | J | U.S |

| Apple Inc. v. Cal. Inst. of Tech., IPR2017-00210, Paper 18 (June 30, 2017) | 3 |
|--|-----|
| Aqua Prod., Inc. v. Matal, 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017) | 2 |
| Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hosp., 488 U.S. 204 (1988) | 2 |
| Carefusion Corp. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc., IPR2016-01456, Paper 9 (Feb. 6, 2017) | 7 |
| Famy Care Ltd. v. Allergan, Inc., IPR2017-00566, Paper 12 (July 12, 2017) | 5 |
| General Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (Sept. 6, 2017) | 1 |
| I.M.L. SLU v. WAG Acquisition, LLC, IPR2016-01657, Paper 11 (Feb. 27, 2017) | |
| Morton v. Ruiz, 415 U.S. 199 (1974) | 2 |
| <i>NetApp Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC</i> , IPR2017-01354, Paper 16 (Nov. 4, 2017)1 | , 4 |
| Power Integrations, Inc. v. Lee, 797 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 8 |
| SAP Am. Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC, IPR2014-00306, Paper 12 (May 19, 2014) | |
| SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC., 825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016) | |



| Shalala v. Guernsey Memorial Hosp., 514 U.S. 87 (1995) | 2 |
|--|------|
| Toyota Motor Corp. v. Blitzsafe Texas LLC, IPR2016-00422, Paper 12 (July 6, 2016) | 7 |
| Visa Inc. v. Leon Stambler, IPR2014-00694, Paper 1 (Apr. 25, 2014) | 8 |
| Visa Inc. v. Leon Stambler, IPR2014-00694, Paper 10 (Oct. 31, 2014) | 8 |
| Statutes | |
| 5 U.S.C. §§ 552-553 | 2 |
| 5 U.S.C. § 706 | 2 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 301(a)(2) | 8 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) | 1 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 315(d) | 1 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) | 1 |
| Other Authorities | |
| 157 Cong. Rec. S1375 (2011) | 8 |
| Regulations | |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(1) | 6 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) | 5 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) | 6, 7 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.106(a) | 6 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.106(b) | 6 |



Exhibit List

| Exhibit Number | Document |
|----------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 6,088,802 ("the '802 Patent") |
| 1002 | Summons Returned as Executed, <i>SPEX Techs., Inc. v. Western Digital Corp.</i> , Case No. 8:16-cv-01799 (C.D. Cal. filed Oct. 31, 2016) |
| 1003 | File History of the '802 Patent |
| 1004 | U.S. Patent No. 5,887,145 to Harari et al. ("Harari") |
| 1005 | U.S. Patent No. 6,199,163 to Dumas et al. ("Dumas") |
| 1006 | Don Anderson, <i>PCMCIA SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE: 16-BIT PC CARDS</i> (MindShare, Inc., 2nd ed. 1995) ("PCMCIA Architecture") |
| 1007 | U.S. Patent No. 5,822,196 to Hastings et al. ("Hastings") |
| 1008 | U.S. Patent No. 5,922,060 to Goodrum ("Goodrum") |
| 1009 | U.S. Patent No. 5,941,965 to Moroz et al. ("Moroz") |
| 1010 | U.S. Patent No. 5,943,482 to Culley et al. ("Culley") |
| 1011 | U.S. Patent No. 6,009,151 to Staples ("Staples") |
| 1012 | Windows Developers Journal, Vol. 7, No. 8 (Aug. 1996) |
| 1013 | Claim Construction Briefing in SPEX Techs., Inc. v. Western Digital Corp., Case No. 16-cv-01799 (C.D. Cal.) ("SPEX Claim Construction Brief") |
| 1014 | Tentative Order Regarding Claim Construction <i>in SPEX Techs., Inc. v. Western Digital Corp.</i> , Case No. 16-cv-01799 (C.D. Cal.) ("Tentative Construction") |
| 1015 | Declaration of Dr. Martin Kaliski, Ph.D. ("Kaliski Decl.") |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

