

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,  
Petitioner

v.

COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,  
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,320,716 to Villa *et al.*

Issue Date: April 26, 2016

Title: Controlled Release and Taste Masking Oral Pharmaceutical Compositions

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: IPR2018-00080

---

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,320,716 Under 35 U.S.C.  
§§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	OVERVIEW .....	1
III.	STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS).....	1
IV.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	2
	A. Each Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	2
	B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
	1. Judicial Matters .....	2
	2. Administrative Matters .....	2
	C. Designation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4), 42.10(a), and 42.10(b)): .....	3
V.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFORE (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)) .....	3
VI.	THE '716 PATENT.....	4
	A. Claim Construction .....	5
VII.	A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	6
VIII.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	7
IX.	INVALIDITY ANALYSIS .....	9
	A. Ground 1: U.S. Patent No. 5,681,584 Anticipates Claims 1-29 .....	9
	1. Disclosure of the '584 Patent.....	10
	2. Analysis of Independent Claims 1, 12 and 22 .....	10
	3. Analysis of Dependent Claims.....	19
	B. Ground 2: Claims 1-29 are Rendered Obvious by U.S. Patent No. 5,681,584 .....	23

1.	The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art and the Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	24
2.	Differences Between the Claims and the Prior Art .....	25
C.	Ground 3: U.S. Patent No. 5,811,388 Anticipates Claims 1-7, 9, 11-17, 19 and 21-29 .....	39
1.	Disclosure of the '388 Patent.....	39
2.	Analysis of Independent Claims 1, 12 and 22 .....	40
3.	Analysis of Dependent Claims 2-7, 9, 11, 13-17, 19, 21, and 23-29.....	50
D.	Ground 4: U.S. Patent No. 5,811,388 Renders Obvious Claims 1- 29.....	53
1.	Differences Between the Claims and the Prior Art .....	53
2.	Reasonable Expectation of Success .....	62
E.	Ground 5: U.S. Patent No. 5,811,388 in View of U.S. Patent No. 5,681,584 Renders Obvious Claims 8, 10, 18, and 20 .....	63
1.	Differences Between the Claims and the Prior Art .....	63
F.	Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness .....	65
1.	No Long-Felt Unmet Need .....	66
2.	No Failure of Others .....	67
3.	No Unexpected Results Over the Closest Prior Art.....	67
4.	No Commercial Success .....	68
X.	CONCLUSION.....	68

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955) .....	34
<i>Amneal Pharms. LLC v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , IPR2016- 01577, Paper 11 (Decision to Institute) (P.T.A.B. Feb. 9 2017) .....	67
<i>Amneal Pharms. LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> , IPR2016-01412, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 14, 2017).....	9, 66
<i>Amneal Pharms. LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> , IPR2016-01413, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 18, 2017) .....	9, 39
<i>Amneal Pharms., LLC v. Supernus Pharms., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00368, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 17, 2013) .....	66
<i>In Re Baxter Travenol Labs.</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....	29, 55
<i>Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Genzyme Therapeutic Products Ltd. Partnership</i> , IPR2013-00534, Paper 81 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 23, 2015).....	34, 35, 36
<i>Boston Sci. Scimed, Inc. v. Cordis Corp.</i> , 554 F.3d 982 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	23
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	65
<i>Chi Mei Innolux Corp. v. Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00028, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 21, 2014).....	8
<i>Coalition For Affordable Drugs II, LLC v. Cosmo Technologies, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00988, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 7, 2015).....	26

<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	6
<i>In re De Blauwe</i> , 736 F.2d 699 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .....	67
<i>In re Depomed</i> , Case No. 2016-1378, Slip Op. at 6-7 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 21, 2017).....	38, 63
<i>In re Fout</i> , 675 F.2d 297 (C.C.P.A. 1982) .....	60
<i>Friskit, Inc. v. Real Networks, Inc.</i> , 306 F. App'x 610 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	66
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	37, 62
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	23, 24, 25
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	66, 67
<i>Kennametal Inc. v. Ingersoll Cutting Tool Co.</i> , 780 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	9, 18
<i>Koios Pharm LLC v. Medac Gesellschaft Fur Klinische Spezialpraparate</i> , IPR2016-01370, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 8, 2017).....	37, 61, 66
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	36
<i>Lupin Ltd. et al. v. Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01099, Paper 69 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 12, 2016).....	59, 65
<i>In re Mayne</i> , 104 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	59, 65
<i>In re Merchant</i> , 575 F.2d 865 (C.C.P.A. 1978).....	67

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.