UNITED STATES PA	TENT AND TRADE	EMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PA	ATENT TRIAL AND	O APPEAL BOARD

# ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC, PETITIONER

V.

# COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED, PATENT OWNER

CASE IPR2018-00080 Patent 9,320,716

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY PATENT OWNER RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



# **Table of Contents**

I.	Intro	roduction	
II.	Back	kground	8
	A.	Technical Overview Of The Invention	8
		1. Inflammation Associated With Ulcerative Colitis	8
		2. Treatment Of Ulcerative Colitis	9
		3. Oral Colonic-Delivery Formulations	10
		4. Uceris	14
	B.	Prosecution History	16
	C.	Mylan IPR	16
III.	Claim Construction		18
	A.	"macroscopically homogenous structure" (All Claims)	18
	B.	"to treat intestinal inflammatory disease" (All Claims)	18
IV.	Each	h of Petitioner's Grounds Fails	21
	A.	Grounds 1 And 2: The '584 Patent (Ex. 1008) Does Not Anticipate Nor Render Obvious Any Of The Claims	21
		1. The '584 Patent Does Not Teach "a macroscopically homogenous structure" (All Claims)	24
		2. The '584 Patent Does Not Teach "wherein the macroscopically homogenous structure controls the release of the budesonide" (All Claims)	36
		3. The '584 Patent Does Not Teach How To Make A Formulation With "budesonide in an amount effective to treat intestinal inflammatory disease" (All Claims)	40



	4.	The '584 Patent Does Not Anticipate Or Render Obvious Amphiphilic Claims (Claims 6-8, 12-23, 25-26, 28-29)	42
	5.	The '584 Patent Does Not Provide A Motivation Or A Reasonable Expectation Of Success	46
B.		ands 3 and 4: The '388 Patent (Ex. 1009) Does Not cipate Or Render Obvious Any Of The Claims	47
	1.	The '388 Patent Does Not Teach A Macroscopically Homogenous Structure (All Claims)	48
	2.	The '388 Patent Does Not Teach A Macroscopically Homogenous Structure Comprising "at least one lipophilic compound" (Claims 1-11, 22-24, 26-27, 29)	51
	3.	The '388 Patent Does Not Teach "wherein the macroscopically homogenous structure controls the release of the budesonide" (All Claims)	51
	4.	The '388 Patent Does Not Teach How To Make A Formulation With "budesonide in an amount effective to treat intestinal inflammatory disease" (All Claims)	52
	5.	The '388 Patent Does Not Anticipate Or Render Obvious Amphiphilic Claims (Claims 6-8, 12-23, 25- 26, 28-29)	57
	6.	The '388 Patent Does Not Provide A Motivation Or A Reasonable Expectation Of Success	60
C.	The	and 5: The '388 patent (Ex. 1009) In Combination With '584 Patent (Ex. 1008) Does Not Render Obvious ms 8, 10, 18, and 20.	61
	1.	Lecithin (Claims 8 and 18)	61
	2.	Stearic acid (Claims 10 and 20)	62
		Fails To Overcome The Objective Evidence Of	62



V.

# IPR2018-00080 (Patent No. 9,320,716) Patent Owner Preliminary Response

	A.	Others, Including The Pharma Giant In The GI Field, AstraZeneca, Failed To Meet The Long-Felt Need To	
		Develop An Oral Formulation That Could Deliver Drug To The Distal Colon	63
	B.	Uceris Satisfied The Long-Felt Need	66
VI.	The Petition Should Be Denied Because the Inter Partes Review Process is Unconstitutional		69
VII	Cond	elusion	70



#### I. Introduction

Petitioner Argentum ("Petitioner") filed this petition for *inter partes* review after an institution decision was rendered in *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Cosmo Technologies, Ltd.*, IPR2017-01035 ("Mylan IPR"). Petitioner raises the same grounds that were instituted in the Mylan IPR, but submits a new expert declaration in support. Neither Petitioner nor its new expert declaration, however, addresses the evidentiary deficiencies pointed out by Patent Owner in its prior preliminary response from the Mylan IPR.

In its institution decision in the Mylan IPR (IPR2017-01035, Paper 17), hereinafter "Mylan Institution Decision" or "Decision," the Board did not agree with all of Patent Owner's arguments. With this Preliminary Response, Patent Owner now submits new evidence and arguments why institution should be denied. In particular, Patent Owner submits additional evidence showing that, contrary to Petitioner's position, merely mixing and blending ingredients followed by tablet compression does not result in the claimed "macroscopically homogenous structure."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Patent Owner have since settled their dispute and filed a joint motion for termination. *See* IPR2017-01035, Paper 23.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

