

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00067

U.S. Patent No. 8,577,813

**PATENT OWNER'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO AMEND
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.121**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER MAES IN VIEW OF LABROU	1
A. Claims 27-31, 37-41, 50-52: “generate a seed using at least two of an electronic serial number, a discrete code associated with the electronic ID device, a PIN, a time value, and the biometric input to generate the encrypted authentication information, the seed being employed by the processor to generate the non-predictable value.”	1
1. Labrou fails to disclose or teach the claim amendments.	2
2. A person of ordinary skill in the art would not combine Maes with Labrou to achieve the claimed limitation.....	5
B. Claims 42-44, 46-49: “wherein data stored in the electronic ID device is subject to a mathematical operation employing the secret information that acts to modify the data...the electronic ID device uses the secret information to reverse the mathematical operation and render the data legible.” (42[g])	9
1. Petitioner fails to show that a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Maes in view of a PHOSITA’s knowledge and ordinary skill.....	9
2. Petitioner fails to show that a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Maes in view of Labrou.	11
II. PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER MAES IN VIEW OF LABROU FURTHER IN VIEW OF GULLMAN.....	12
A. Gullman Fails to Disclose Claim Limitations 27[e] and 50[d].....	13
B. A Person Of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Be Motivated to Combine Maes/Labrou With Gullman Because It Would Render the Combination Inoperable	15
III. PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER MAES IN VIEW OF LABROU FURTHER IN VIEW OF JAKOBSSON.....	17

IV. PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER MAES IN VIEW OF LABROU FURTHER IN VIEW OF WEISS21

V. PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIM 45 IS NOT OBVIOUS OVER MAES IN VIEW OF LABROU FURTHER IN VIEW OF WEISS AND FURTHER IN VIEW OF GULLMAN OR JAKOBSSON24

VI. THE PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED AT PATENT ELIGIBLE SUBJECT MATTER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101.....25

VII. CONCLUSION.....25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Aqua Prods., Inc. v. Matal</i> , 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	passim
<i>KSR Int’l. Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	10, 23
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	23
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	5
Statutory Authorities	
35 U.S.C. § 101	25
35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	1, 14, 17, 21
Rules and Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	27
37 C.F.R. § 42.121	27

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex. 2001	Unified-USR Stipulated Protective Order
Ex. 2002	Redline Comparison to Default Protective Order
Ex. 2003	U.S Patent App. No. 13/237,184
Ex. 2004	Declaration of Dr. Markus Jakobsson in Support of Patent Owner Response
Ex. 2005	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Markus Jakobsson
Ex. 2006	July 31, 2018 Deposition Transcript of Dr. Eric Cole
Ex. 2007	Petitioner's Website Dated Jan. 1, 2014
Ex. 2008	Petitioner's Website Dated Mar. 2, 2016
Ex. 2009	Petitioner's Website Dated Jun. 11, 2013
Ex. 2010	Brief of Amici Curiae Unified Patents
Ex. 2011	Confidential Document
Ex. 2012	Confidential Document
Ex. 2013	Declaration in Support of Unopposed Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Harold A. Barza
Ex. 2014	Declaration in Support of Unopposed Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Jordan Kaericher
Ex. 2015	Dec. 14, 2018 Deposition Transcript of Dr. Eric Cole

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.