

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00067
Patent 8,577,813 B2

Before BART A. GERSTENBLITH, SCOTT C. MOORE, and
JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Case IPR2018-00067
Patent 8,577,813 B2

The parties contacted the Board by email of June 14, 2018, requesting a revised schedule. Patent Owner also informed the Board that it intends to file a motion to amend and requested a revised procedure for such a motion. Petitioner agrees with that proposed procedure.

We adopt the parties' proposal regarding a motion to amend. Accordingly, Patent Owner may submit a motion of up to 12 pages, Petitioner may file an opposition of up to 25 pages, Patent Owner may file a reply of up to 25 pages, and Petitioner may file a sur-reply of up to 10 pages. We further direct the parties to the Board's decision in *Western Digital Corp. v. SPEX Technologies, Inc.*, IPR2018-00082, Paper 13 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2018) (Informative), available at <https://go.usa.gov/xQtQy>, for guidance regarding motions to amend. The dates for the relevant filings and other dates revised by the parties are reflected in the following Amended Due Date Appendix, which shall replace the appendix contained in our May 3, 2018, Scheduling Order (Paper 16). All other aspects of the prior Scheduling Order remain the same.

AMENDED DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 1August 10, 2018
Patent owner’s response to the petition
Patent owner’s motion to amend the patent

DUE DATE 2 November 2, 2018
Petitioner’s reply to patent owner’s response to petition
Petitioner’s opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 3 November 27, 2018
Patent owner’s reply to petitioner’s opposition to motion to amend

Motion to Amend Sur-reply.....December 5, 2018
Petitioner’s Sur-reply to patent owner’s reply re: motion to amend

DUE DATE 4December 10, 2018
Observations regarding cross-examination of reply witness
Motion to exclude evidence
Request for oral argument

DUE DATE 5December 17, 2018
Response to observations
Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6December 21, 2018
Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7January 30, 2019
Oral argument (if requested)

Case IPR2018-00067

Patent 8,577,813 B2

PETITIONER:

Jason Mudd

Eric Buresh

ERISE IP, P.A.

jason.mudd@eriseip.com

eric.buresh@eriseip.com

Roshan Mansinghani

Jonathan Stroud

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.

roshan@unifiedpatents.com

jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jim Glass

Tigran Guledjian

Christopher Mathews

Nima Hefazi

Richard Lowry

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

tigranguledjian@quinnemanuel.com

chrismathews@quinnemanuel.com

nimahefazi@quinnemanuel.com