

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

VILOX TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00044

Patent No. 7,302,423

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO
37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)**

Patent Owner, Vilox Technologies, LLC, by and through its attorneys, respectfully requests oral argument, currently scheduled for December 11, 2018. Patent Owner requests a total of 60 minutes to present its arguments, as well as to reserve a portion of its total time for presenting rebuttal arguments after Petitioner's presentation.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R § 42.70, and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. Prior art status of Excel 2000 Bible, Exhibit 1009;
2. Prior art status of Goldberg, USP 6,452,597, Exhibit 1014, if not excluded in this IPR;
3. Proper claim construction for claim limitations raised in Patent Owner Response and Patent Owner's Motion to Amend;
4. Specification support under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for all claims and all proposed claims in this IPR;
5. That claims 1, 2, and 3 are non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maloney, USP 5,701,453, Exhibit 1006 in view of Bertram, USP 7,168,039, Exhibit 1007;
6. That claims 1 and 3 are non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Excel 2000 Bible in view of Bertram;

7. That claim 6 is non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maloney in view of Bertram and further in view of Kanevsky, USP 6,300,947, Exhibit 1008;
8. That claim 6 is non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Excel Bible 2000 in view of Bertram and further in view of Kanevsky;
9. That proposed claims 24 and 25 are non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maloney in view of Bertram;
10. That proposed claims 24 and 25 are non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Excel 2000 Bible in view of Bertram;
11. That proposed claims 26 and 27 are non-obvious under U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maloney in view of Bertram, Kanevsky, and Goldberg;
12. That proposed claims 26 and 27 are non-obvious under U.S.C. § 103(a) over Excel 2000 Bible in view of Bertram, Kanevsky, and Goldberg;
13. Real party-in-interest;
14. Any issue identified in Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument;
15. Any other issues raised in papers yet to be filed, such as Motions to Exclude;
and
16. Any other issues the Board deems necessary to consider for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Patent Owner requests that the Board provide audio-visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a projector to be connected to a laptop and a screen for displaying documents.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 5, 2018

/s/ John K. Harrop

John K. Harrop

Counsel for Patent Owner

Vilox Technologies, LLC

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies service pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e) that PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served on the Petitioner by email as authorized by the Petitioner in the Petition, as follows:

David.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

David.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

Thomas.kelton.ipr@haynesboone.com

Scott.cunning.ipr@haynesboone.com

jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

roshan@unifiedpatents.com

russ.emerson@haynesboone.com

Dated: November 5, 2018

/s/ John K. Harrop

John K. Harrop

Counsel for Patent Owner

Vilox Technologies, LLC