

Trials@uspto.gov
571 272 7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.

Petitioner

v.

FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC

Patent Owner

CASE IPR2018-00043

PATENT 9,454,748

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,454,748
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 16-19 AND 21-22**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Background of the Case	1
III.	Claim Construction	3
IV.	The Challenged Claims	6
V.	Petitioner’s Expert Opinion is Demonstrably Flawed and Should Not Be Relied Upon	11
	a. Petitioner’s expert wrongly assumes that <i>Kari’s</i> Nokia 9000 utilizes HTML 4 when it actually only supported HTML 2	11
	b. The browser in <i>Kari’s</i> Nokia 9000 Communicator could not read GPS information	12
	c. In 2001 <i>Kari’s</i> blank form could not both be comprised of device independent tokens and read location information from an internal or external GPS receiver	13
	d. <i>Kari’s</i> invention if implemented in standard HTML could not operate according to his own stated “advantageous embodiment” in a loosely networked environment.....	15
	e. Dr. Reddy’s statements about ‘hidden questions’ obscure the fact that a standard HTML form / browser combination cannot be used to obtain GPS information from the local system.....	16
VI.	No Reference Or Combination Of References Renders Obvious Any Claim Of The ‘748 Patent When That Claim Is Properly Construed And The Prior Art Is Correctly Interpreted.....	18

a.	Claim 19 is not obvious in view of <i>Kari</i> and/or <i>Kari</i> in combination with any of <i>Darnell, Chan, and/or Todd</i>	18
1.	No single reference or combination of references teaches performing limitation [19.7] in a device independent manner	20
2.	<i>Kari's</i> invention was not implemented in a device independent manner and there was no motivation for a POSITA to take that step in solving the problems of the '748 patent absent hindsight	21
3.	The secondary references cited by Petitioner are not reasonably pertinent to the problem of creating a questionnaire that comprises device independent tokens that can be executed on multiple different devices with change	24
4.	No single reference or combination of references teaches performing limitation [19.8] in a device independent manner.....	25
b.	Claim 16 is not obvious in view of <i>Kari</i> and/or <i>Kari</i> in combination with any of <i>Darnell, Chan, and/or Todd</i>	26
c.	Claim 17 is not obvious in view of <i>Kari</i> and/or <i>Kari</i> in combination with any of <i>Darnell, Chan, and/or Todd</i>	28
d.	Claim 18 is not obvious in view of <i>Kari</i> and/or <i>Kari</i> in combination with any of <i>Darnell, Chan, and/or Todd</i>	29
e.	Claim 21 is not obvious in view of <i>Kari</i> and/or <i>Kari</i> in combination with any of <i>Darnell, Chan, and/or Todd</i>	30
VII.	Conclusions	32
VIII.	Certificate of Word Count.....	34

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	<u>Page</u>
<i>United States v. Telectronics, Inc.</i> , 857 F.2d 778, 8 USPQ2d 1217, 1220 (Fed. Cir. 1988), <i>cert. denied</i> , 490 U.S. 1046 (1989)	¶ 5
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Intern. Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333, 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (citing <i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981, 985 (CCPA 1974))	21

Other Authorities

<i>Updated KSR Examination Guidelines</i> , Federal Register, Vol. 75, No. 169, Wednesday, September 1, 2010, Notices, p. 53646.....	23
--	----

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

June 26, 2018

EX2001	October 16, 2014 email to The Honorable Michelle K. Lee from Kevin Jakel, CEO, Unified Patents, pages 1-3
EX2002	Publication by Unified Patents entitled "The Gloves are Off: Unified Patents Inc. Unveils its "NPE Deterrent" Strategy, posted on September 23, 2013 in Press Releases, pages 1-5
EX2003	Printout from Unified Patents FAQ - entitled Frequently Asked Questions , pages 1-10
EX2004	Publication by Unified Patents entitled "Unified Patents Challenges Clouding IP Patent seeks To Push Patent Trolls out of Cloud Storage", September 17, 2013, pages 1-4
EX2005	The Wall Street Journal article from 02/11/2006 entitled "New Venture Enters Patent Fray", pages 1-4
EX2006	Declaration of Dr. John C. Hale Under 37 C.F.R. §1.68 In Opposition to Decision Granting <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,454,748 (Claims 16-19 and 21-22)
EX2007	Excerpt from HTML The Complete Reference, Thomas A. Powell, 3rd Ed., © 2001, pages 427-428
EX2008	Excerpt from Service Manual : RAE/RAK-1 SERIES CELLULAR PHONE/PERSONAL DIGITAL ASSISTANT Nokia 9000i_Service_Manual.pdf (original 5/97)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.