

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DYNACRAFT BSC, INC.,  
*Petitioner*

v.

MATTEL, INC.,  
*Patent Owner.*

---

Case IPR2018-00042  
Patent 7,621,543

Title: BLOW-MOLDED WHEELS HAVING UNDERCUT TREADS,  
METHODS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME, AND CHILDREN'S  
RIDE-ON VEHICLES INCLUDING THE SAME

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS .....	1
III. STANDARDS FOR INSTITUTION .....	4
IV. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE '543 PATENT .....	5
A. Prior Art Children's Ride-On Wheels and Their Limitations .....	5
B. Specification of the '543 Patent .....	15
C. Prosecution History of the '543 Patent .....	22
D. Claim Construction .....	24
V. PETITIONER'S PRIOR ART .....	25
A. There Is No Motivation To Combine Petitioner's References, and DeGraaff Does Not Add One .....	26
1. Damon .....	29
2. DeGraaff .....	30
3. Perego .....	32
4. Plastic Blow Molding Handbook .....	35
5. Felker .....	44
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	47
VII. PETITIONER'S UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS ARE UNLIKELY TO SUCCEED .....	49
A. Ground 1: Damon, Perego, DeGraaff and the Handbook Do Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious .....	49
1. DeGraaff Does Not Provide Adequate Motivation to Combine .....	49
2. Petitioner's Combination of Damon, Perego, the Handbook, and DeGraaff Still Does Not Disclose All of the Claimed Elements .....	52
3. Claims 5-8 and 10 Are Not Obvious Under Ground 1 .....	55
B. Ground 2: Damon, DeGraaff and Felker Do Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious .....	55

1. DeGraaff Again Does Not Provide Adequate Motivation to Combine .....	55
2. Petitioner’s Combination of Damon, DeGraaff and Felker Still Does Not Disclose All of the Claimed Elements.....	57
3. Claims 5-8 and 10 Are Not Obvious Under Ground 2 .....	57
VIII. CONCLUSION .....	58

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

### Cases

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	5
<i>August Tech. Corp. v. Camtek Ltd.</i> , 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	5
<i>Black &amp; Decker, Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc.</i> , 646 Fed. Appx. 1019 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	56
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	5
<i>Purdue Pharma L.P., v. Epic Pharma, LLC</i> , 811 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (Fed. Cir. Dec. 7, 2016).....	24
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00581 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013) .....	5
<i>Tietex Int'l, Ltd. v. Precision Fabrics Group, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01671 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2016).....	5
<i>Vizio, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	5

### Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314.....	4
35 U.S.C. § 316.....	4

### Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.108.....	4

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Exhibit #	Exhibit Description
2001	“A Story About Our Heritage,” available at <a href="http://www.fisher-price.com/en_US/ourstory/how-it-began/index.html">http://www.fisher-price.com/en_US/ourstory/how-it-began/index.html</a>
2002	U.S. Patent No. D636,412 to Misse
2003	“Injection, Blow Molding,” Encyclopedia Britannica, 1997, available at <a href="https://www.slideshare.net/imtiazfiaz/injection-blow-molding">https://www.slideshare.net/imtiazfiaz/injection-blow-molding</a>
2004	“Manufacturing Processes – Blow Molding Plastics,” available at <a href="http://www.engineershandbook.com/MfgMethods/blowmolding.htm">http://www.engineershandbook.com/MfgMethods/blowmolding.htm</a>
2005	U.S. Patent No. 2,619,678 to Crooker
2006	“Types of Molding Processes,” available at <a href="https://sciencing.com/types-molding-processes-7651143.html">https://sciencing.com/types-molding-processes-7651143.html</a>
2007	Declaration of Daria DeLizio

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.