UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DYNACRAFT BSC, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

MATTEL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00039
Patent 7,950,978

Title: SYSTEM, APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING CONTROL OF A TOY VEHICLE

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS 1

III. CONTROLLING LEGAL STANDARDS 5

A. STANDARDS FOR IPR INSTITUTION AND OBVIOUSNESS 5

IV. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE '978 PATENT 7

A. '978 Patent Specification 7

B. Prosecution History of the '978 Patent 14

C. Claim Construction 18

1. Ordinary Meaning Support's Mattel's Construction 18

V. PETITIONER'S PRIOR ART 23

A. One of Ordinary Skill Would Not Be Motivated to Combine Petitioner's References 23

B. Bienz 26

C. Klimo 29

D. Ribbe 41

VI. PETITIONER'S ALLEGED GROUNDS FOR OBVIOUSNESS 43

A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 43

B. Motivation to Combine 44

C. Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness 47

D. Petitioner's Specific Grounds of Unpatentability 48

1. Ground 1: Petitioner's Claim That Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 12-14, 21 and 24 Are Obvious Based on the Combination of Bienz and Klimo Is Not Likely to Succeed 48

a. Neither Bienz Nor Klimo Disclose All of the Elements of Claim 1 49

b. Dependent Claim 2 54

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

c. Dependent Claim 3 55
d. Dependent Claims 5 and 8 57
e. Dependent Claims 9-10 57
f. Dependent Claim 12 58
g. Dependent Claim 13 58
h. Independent Claim 14 59
i. Independent Claim 21 63
j. Dependent Claim 24 65
2. Ground 2: Petitioner's Argument That Claim 6 Is Obvious Over Bienz and Klimo in Further View of Ribbe Is Also Not Likely to Succeed 65
VII. CONCLUSION 68

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Black & Decker, Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc.,
646 Fed.Appx. 1019 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....*passim*

Cheese Sys. Inc. v. Tetra Pak Cheese and Powder Sys., Inc.,
725 F.2d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 24

DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.,
567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 47, 48

Free-Flow Packaging Int'l v Automated Packaging Sys.,
IPR2016-00350, Paper 7 at 11-13 (June 27, 2016) 3, 25, 55

Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....6

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....6

Nautilus Hyosung, Inc. v. Diebold, Inc.,
IPR2016-00633, Paper 9 at 21-22 (Aug. 22, 2016).....23

In re Nuvasive, Inc.,
842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 3, 45

SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC,
IPR2013-00581, Paper 15 at 12 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013) 6

Tietex Int'l, Ltd. v. Precision Fabrics Group, Inc.,
IPR2015-01671, Paper 7 at 14 (PTAB February 11, 2016) 24

Vizio, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 5

...

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit #	Exhibit Description
2001	Declaration of Jeff Reynolds
2002	Declaration of Robert Mimlitch
2003	Declaration of Peter vom Scheidt
2004	U.S. Patent No. 6,287,167 to Kondo
2005	U.S. Patent No. 5,056,613 to Porter
2006	U.S. Patent No. 5,349,276 to Mezzatesta
2007	The Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 1998, p. 51
2008	The American Heritage Dictionary, Second College Ed., 1985, pp. 106, 396, 970
2009	"Difference Between Analog and Digital Signals," available at https://techdifferences.com/difference-between-analog-and-digital-signal.html
2010	Declaration of Daria DeLizio

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.