

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Cisco Systems, Inc.,  
Petitioner,

v.

Finjan, Inc.,  
Patent Owner.

---

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494

Issue Date: March 18, 2014

Title: Malicious Mobile Code Runtime Monitoring System and Methods

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: Unassigned

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,677,494  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.107**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW .....	1
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	4
A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	4
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	4
1. Judicial Matters.....	4
2. Administrative Matters .....	5
3. Related Patents .....	5
C. Lead/Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) .....	6
D. Notice of Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	6
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)) .....	6
V. RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(A)) .....	6
VI. REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF .....	6
A. Summary of the ‘494 Patent.....	7
B. Prosecution History .....	10
C. Claim Construction .....	11
1. The Applicable Claim Construction Standard .....	11
2. “a list of suspicious computer operations” (Claim 10).....	11
D. Priority Date of the Challenged Claims .....	12
E. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	12
F. State of the Art .....	13

<b>VII. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES</b> .....	24
A. Challenged Claims .....	24
B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges .....	24
<b>VIII. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE</b> .....	26
A. Challenge 1: Claims 10, 11, 14, 15 and 16 Are Obvious Over Shear in View of Kerchen .....	26
1. <b>The Shear Reference</b> .....	26
2. <b>The Kerchen Reference</b> .....	33
3. <b>The Motivation to Combine Shear with Kerchen</b> .....	35
4. <b>Detailed Application of Shear and Kerchen to Claims</b> .....	37
B. Challenge 2: Claims 10, 11, 14, 15 and 16 Are Obvious Over Crawford 91 in view of Knowledge of a POSA .....	56
1. <b>The Crawford 91 Reference</b> .....	56
2. <b>Detailed Application of Crawford 91 to the Claims</b> .....	58
<b>IX. CONCLUSION</b> .....	70

### Petitioner's Exhibit List

<i>Exhibit #</i>	<i>Description</i>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 entitled "System and Method of Attaching a Downloadable Security Profile to a Downloadable", issued November 28, 2000 to Touboul, et al. ("the '494 Patent")
<b>1002</b>	Select portions of the prosecution history of the '494 Patent ("File History")
<b>1003</b>	Declaration of Petitioner's Expert Dr. Paul Clark ("Clark")
<b>1004</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 entitled "Systems and Methods Using Cryptography to Protect Secure Computing Environments", issued December 5, 2000 to Shear ("Shear")
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/388,107 entitled "Systems and Methods for Secure Transaction Management and Electronic Rights Protection," filed February 13, 1995 by Ginter ("Ginter")
<b>1006</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1007</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1008</b>	"Network Firewalls," <i>IEEE Communications Magazine</i> , Steven M. Bellovin and William R. Cheswick, September 1994 ("Bellovin")
<b>1009</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1010</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1011</b>	<i>A Testbed for Malicious Code Detection: A Synthesis of Static and Dynamic Analysis Techniques</i> , 14 <sup>th</sup> Department of Energy Computer Security Group Conference Proceedings, R. Crawford <i>et al.</i> , May 1991 ("Crawford '91")
<b>1012</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,623,600 entitled "Virus Detection and Removal Apparatus for Computer Networks," issued April 22, 1997 to Ji <i>et al.</i> ("Ji")
<b>1013</b>	<i>Dynamic Detection and Classification of Computer Viruses Using General Behavior Patterns</i> , Virus Bulletin Conference, Morton Swimmer, September 1995 ("Swimmer")
<b>1014</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1015</b>	"Microsoft and VeriSign Provide First Technology for Secure Downloading of Software Over the Internet," Microsoft PressPass, August 7, 1996 ("MS-96")

<b><i>Exhibit #</i></b>	<b><i>Description</i></b>
<b>1016</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,195,587 entitled “Validity Checking,” issued February 27, 2001 to Hruska (“Hruska”)
<b>1017</b>	<i>Automated Assistance for Detecting Malicious Code</i> , Sixth International Computer Security & Virus Conference & Expo, Crawford <i>et al.</i> , June 18, 1993 (“Automated Tools”)
<b>1018</b>	Listing of Related Patents
<b>1019</b>	<i>Static Analysis Virus Detection Tools for Unix Systems</i> , 13 <sup>th</sup> National Computer Security Conference, Volume 1, Information Systems Security: Standards-the Key to the Future, Kerchen <i>et al.</i> , 1990 (“Kerchen”)
<b>1020</b>	<i>Identifying and Controlling Undesirable Programs Behaviors</i> , 14 <sup>th</sup> National Computer Security Conference, King, October 1991 (“King”)
<b>1021</b>	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/030,639, entitled “System and Method for Protecting a Computer and a Network from Hostile Downloadables,” filed November 8, 1996, by Touboul <i>et al.</i> (“the ’639 Provisional”)
<b>1022</b>	U.S. Application Serial No. 08/964,388 entitled “System and Method for Protecting a Computer and a Network from Hostile Downloadables,” filed November 6, 1997 by Touboul (“the ’388 Application”)
<b>1023</b>	<i>PACL’s An Access Control List Approach to Anti-Virus Security</i> , Wichers <i>et al.</i> , 13 <sup>th</sup> Nat’l Computer Security Conference, Proceedings, October 1-4, 1990 (“Wichers”).
<b>1024</b>	<i>Java Security: From HotJava to Netscape and Beyond</i> , Dean <i>et al.</i> , 1996.
<b>1025</b>	<i>Software Architecture To Support Misuse Intrusion Detection</i> , Spafford <i>et al.</i> , March 1995.
<b>1026</b>	1996 CERT Advisories, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University.
<b>1027</b>	Declaration of Ingrid Hsieh-Yee, dated September 21, 2017
<b>1028</b>	Declaration of Justus L. Getty, Esq., dated September 19, 2017
<b>1029</b>	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
<b>1030</b>	Select pages of <i>13th National Computer Security Conference, Proceedings, Volume 1, Information Security Systems: Standards-The Key to the Future</i> , October 1990.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.