

WI P3586A  
V. 26 NO. 24 1989  
C. 01-----340: P39630000  
TI: PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF

DECEMBER 1989  
VOLUME 86  
NUMBER 24



# Proceedings OF THE National Academy of Sciences

OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Proceedings  
OF THE  
National Academy  
of Sciences  
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

*Officers  
of the  
Academy*

FRANK PRESS, *President*  
JAMES D. EBERT, *Vice President*  
PETER H. RAVEN, *Home Secretary*  
WILLIAM E. GORDON, *Foreign Secretary*  
ELKAN R. BLOUT, *Treasurer*

*Editorial Board  
of the  
Proceedings*

ROBERT H. ABELES	IGOR B. DAWID, <i>Chairman</i>	DANIEL NATHANS
GORDON A. BAYM	EDWARD E. DAVID, JR.	MAXINE F. SINGER
RONALD BRESLOW	RONALD L. GRAHAM	SOLOMON H. SNYDER
MICHAEL J. CHAMBERLIN	STUART A. KORNFELD	HAROLD VARMUS
MARY-DELL CHILTON	DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	THOMAS A. WALDMANN
	PHILIP W. MAJERUS	

*Managing Editor:* FRANCES R. ZWANZIG  
*Senior Associate Editor:* GARY T. COCKS  
*Associate Editor:* CAY BUTLER  
*Associate Editor:* JOHN M. MALLOY  
*Associate Editor:* MARILYN J. MASON  
*Associate Editor:* JANET L. MORGAN  
*Associate Editor:* T. PEARSON  
*Associate Editor:* DOROTHY P. SMITH  
*Associate Editor:* COLENE RUCH WALDEN  
*Assistant Managing Editor:* JOANNE D'AMICO

*Senior Production Editor:* LYNN A. WASSYNG  
*Production Editors:* BARBARA A. BACON, DEBORAH G. CHILEBOVE, RUTH E. CROSSGROVE,  
EILEEN P. DELANEY, SCOTT C. HERMAN, CARRIE J. KURUTZ,  
KATHLEEN RUBY, DON C. TIPPMAN  
*Proofreader:* MARY E. McLAUGHLIN  
*Administrative Assistants:* DELORES BANKS, BRENDA L. MCCOY  
*Manuscript Coordinator:* JACQUELINE PERRY  
*Circulation:* JULIA LITTLE, CYNDY MATHEWS, VIRGINIA TREADWAY

*Editorial correspondence:* PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 2101 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20418.

*Business correspondence:* Circulation Office of the PROCEEDINGS, National Academy of Sciences, 2101 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20418.

*Information for Contributors:* See p. i (of this issue) and pp. i–viii of issue number 1, January 1989.

*Copyright:* The National Academy of Sciences has copyrighted this journal as a collective work and does not own copyright for individual articles. Requests for permission to reproduce parts of individual articles or for reprints of individual articles should be addressed to the authors. Microforms of complete volumes are available to regular subscribers only and may be obtained from University Microfilms, Xerox Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI 48103. This journal is printed on acid-free paper effective with volume 84, issue 1.

*Subscriptions:* All correspondence concerning subscriptions should be addressed to the Circulation Office of the PROCEEDINGS. Subscriptions are entered on a calendar year basis only. For 1990, subscription rates are as follows—in the United States: student/postdoctoral, \$75; personal, \$210; institutional, \$350; elsewhere by surface mail: student/postdoctoral, \$155; personal, \$290; institutional, \$430; elsewhere by Air Cargo at a surcharge of \$84. Information regarding other air mail postage rates is available from the Circulation Office. Subscribers are requested to notify the Circulation Office of the PROCEEDINGS 6 weeks in advance of any change of address; also the local postmaster. The Academy is not responsible for nonreceipt of issues because of an improper address unless a change of address is on file. The notice of address change should list both the old and new addresses. Claims for replacement copies will not be honored more than 60 days after the issue date for domestic subscribers and not more than 90 days after the issue date for foreign subscribers.

*Back Issues:* Volumes 82–86, January 1985 and thereafter, are available from the Circulation Office of the PROCEEDINGS. The price of a single issue is \$20.00.

*Second class postage paid at Washington, DC, and at additional mailing offices.*

PRINTED IN THE USA  
PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (ISSN-0027-8424) is published semimonthly by THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 2101 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20418.

© 1989 by THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to: PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 2101 Constitution Ave., Washington, DC 20418.

## A humanized antibody that binds to the interleukin 2 receptor

(chimeric antibody/antibody affinity/autoimmune disease)

CARY QUEEN\*, WILLIAM P. SCHNEIDER\*, HAROLD E. SELICK\*†, PHILIP W. PAYNE\*,  
NICHOLAS F. LANDOLFI\*, JAMES F. DUNCAN\*‡, NEVENKA M. AVDALOVIC\*, MICHAEL LEVITT§,  
RICHARD P. JUNGHANS¶, AND THOMAS A. WALDMANN¶

\*Protein Design Labs, 3181 Porter Drive, Palo Alto, CA 94304; †Department of Cell Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; and ‡Metabolism Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892

Contributed by Thomas A. Waldmann, August 30, 1989

**ABSTRACT** The anti-Tac monoclonal antibody is known to bind to the p55 chain of the human interleukin 2 receptor and to inhibit proliferation of T cells by blocking interleukin 2 binding. However, use of anti-Tac as an immunosuppressant drug would be impaired by the human immune response against this murine antibody. We have therefore constructed a "humanized" antibody by combining the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) of the anti-Tac antibody with human framework and constant regions. The human framework regions were chosen to maximize homology with the anti-Tac antibody sequence. In addition, a computer model of murine anti-Tac was used to identify several amino acids which, while outside the CDRs, are likely to interact with the CDRs or antigen. These mouse amino acids were also retained in the humanized antibody. The humanized anti-Tac antibody has an affinity for p55 of  $3 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ , about 1/3 that of murine anti-Tac.

The cellular receptor for the lymphokine interleukin 2 (IL-2) plays an important role in regulation of the immune response (reviewed in ref. 1). The complete IL-2 receptor (IL-2R) consists of at least two IL-2-binding peptide chains: the p55 or Tac peptide (2, 3), and the recently discovered p75 peptide (4, 5). Identification and characterization of the p55 peptide were facilitated by the development of a monoclonal antibody, anti-Tac, which binds to human p55 (2). The p55 peptide was found to be expressed on the surface of T cells activated by an antigen or mitogen but not on resting T cells. Treatment of human T cells with anti-Tac antibody strongly inhibits their proliferative response to antigen or to IL-2 by preventing IL-2 binding (3, 6).

These results suggested that anti-IL-2R antibodies would be immunosuppressive when administered *in vivo*. Indeed, injection of an anti-IL-2R antibody into mice and rats greatly prolonged survival of heart allografts (7, 8). Anti-IL-2R was also effective in rats against experimental graft-versus-host disease (9). In animal models of autoimmune disease, an anti-IL-2R antibody alleviated insulinitis in nonobese diabetic mice and lupus nephritis in NZB  $\times$  NZW mice (10). Anti-Tac itself was highly effective in prolonging survival of kidney allografts in cynomolgus monkeys (11).

In human patients, the specificity of anti-Tac for activated T cells might give it an advantage as an immunosuppressive agent over OKT3 (monoclonal anti-CD3), which is effective in treating kidney transplant rejection (12), but which suppresses the entire peripheral T-cell population. In fact, in phase I clinical trials for kidney transplantation, prophylactic administration of anti-Tac significantly reduced the incidence of early rejection episodes, without associated toxicity (13). Furthermore, treatment with anti-Tac induced temporary

partial or complete remission in three of nine patients with Tac-expressing adult T-cell leukemia (14). However, as a murine monoclonal antibody, anti-Tac elicits a strong human antibody response against itself, as does OKT3 (15). This response would prevent its long-term use in treating autoimmune conditions or suppressing organ transplant rejection.

The immune response against a murine monoclonal antibody may potentially be reduced by transforming it into a chimeric antibody. Such antibodies, produced by methods of genetic engineering, combine the variable (V) region binding domain of a mouse (or rat) antibody with human antibody constant (C) regions (16–18). Hence, a chimeric antibody retains the binding specificity of the original mouse antibody but contains less amino acid sequence foreign to the human immune system. Chimeric antibodies have been produced against a number of tumor-associated antigens (19–21). In some but not all cases, the chimeric antibodies have mediated human complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) or antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) more efficiently than the mouse antibodies (21).

When the murine antibody OKT3 is used in human patients, much of the resulting antibody response is directed against the V region of OKT3 rather than the C region (15). Hence, chimeric antibodies in which the V region is still nonhuman may not have sufficient therapeutic advantages over mouse antibodies. To further reduce the immunogenicity of murine antibodies, Winter and colleagues constructed "humanized" antibodies in which only the minimum necessary parts of the mouse antibody, the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs), were combined with human V region frameworks and human C regions (22–25). We report here the construction of chimeric and humanized anti-Tac antibodies.¶ For the humanized antibody, sequence homology and molecular modeling were used to select a combination of mouse and human sequence elements that would reduce immunogenicity while retaining high binding affinity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Construction of Plasmids.** cDNA cloning was by the method of Gubler and Hoffman (26), and sequencing was by the dideoxy method (27). The plasmid pV $\kappa$ 1 (Fig. 1A) was constructed from the following fragments: an approximately 4550-base-pair (bp) *Bam*HI–*Eco*RI fragment from the plas-

Abbreviations: IL-2R, interleukin 2 receptor; CDR, complementarity-determining region; CDC, complement-dependent cytotoxicity; ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; V, variable; J, joining; C, constant.

†Present address: Biospan, 440 Chesapeake Drive, Redwood City, CA 94063.

‡Present address: Beckman Instruments, 1050 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304.

¶The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession nos. M28250 and M28251).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.




FIG. 1. (A) Schematic diagram of the plasmids pV $\kappa$ 1 and pLTac. Light chain exons are shown as boxes. An arrow indicates the direction of transcription from the  $\kappa$  promoter. E<sub>H</sub>, heavy chain enhancer. Not drawn to scale. (B) Schematic diagram of the method used to excise the V-J region. SD, splice donor sequence; rev. primer, reverse primer.

mid pSV2gpt (28) containing the *amp* and *gpt* genes; an 1800-bp *EcoRI*-*Bgl* II fragment from pKcatH (29) containing the heavy chain enhancer and  $\kappa$  promoter; and a 1500-bp *EcoRI*-*Xba* I fragment containing the human C $\kappa$  region (30). Similarly, pV $\gamma$ 1 was constructed starting from a 4850-bp *Bam*HI-*EcoRI* fragment of the plasmid pSV2hph (a gift of A. Smith, A. Miyajima, and D. Strehlow, Stanford University), which is analogous to pSV2gpt except that the *gpt* gene is replaced by the *hyg* gene (31). This fragment was combined with the *EcoRI*-*Bgl* II fragment from pKcatH and a 2800-bp *Hind*III-*Pvu* II fragment containing the human  $\gamma$ 1 constant region, isolated from a phage kindly provided by L. Hood (32). In each case, the fragments were combined by standard methods (ref. 33, pp. 390-401), with an *Xba* I linker inserted between the  $\kappa$  promoter fragment and the 5' end of the C region fragment.

**Construction of Chimeric Genes.** *EcoRI* fragments containing the anti-Tac light and heavy chain cDNAs were separately inserted into the *EcoRI* site of the phage M13mp11D, a variant of M13mp11 (34) in which the *EcoRI* and *Xba* I sites of the polylinker were filled in and joined. The resulting phage, in which the 5' ends of the cDNAs abutted the *Xba* I site, were respectively denoted M13L and M13H. The V-J (J, joining) segments of the cDNAs, followed by splice donor signals, were precisely excised from these phage, using a double-priming scheme (Fig. 1B). For the light chain, the following primer was synthesized (Applied Biosystems model 380B DNA synthesizer) and purified by gel electrophoresis: 5'-CCAGAATTCTAGAAAAGTGTACTTAC-GTTTCAGCTCCAGCTTGGTCCC-3'. From the 3' end, the first 22 residues of the primer are the same as the last 22 residues of the J-5 segment (noncoding strand). The next 16

mouse genomic DNA and therefore includes a splice donor signal. The final 10 nucleotides of the oligonucleotide include an *Xba* I site.

We hybridized this oligonucleotide to M13L and extended it with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase. The DNA was heat-denatured, hybridized with an excess of the "reverse primer" 5'-AACAGCTATGACCATG-3', again extended with Klenow DNA polymerase, and digested with *Xba* I. The digested DNA was run on a gel, and an approximately 400-bp fragment was excised and inserted into the *Xba* I site of pV $\kappa$ 1. Sequencing showed that the fragment consisted of the V-J region of the light chain cDNA followed by the splice donor "tail," as expected (Fig. 1B), and pLTac, a clone with the appropriate orientation, was chosen. In an analogous fashion, the heavy chain V-J segment, followed by the mouse J<sub>H</sub>2 splice donor sequence, was excised from M13H and inserted into the *Xba* I site of pV $\gamma$ 1 to yield pGTac.

**Computer Analysis.** Sequences were manipulated and homology searches were performed with the MicroGenic Sequence Analysis Software (Beckman). The molecular model of the anti-Tac V region was constructed with the ENCAD program (35) and examined with the MIDAS program (36) on an IRIS 4D-120 graphics workstation (Silicon Graphics).

**Construction of Genes for Humanized Antibody.** Nucleotide sequences were selected that encoded the protein sequences of the humanized light and heavy chain V regions including signal peptides (Results), generally utilizing codons found in the mouse anti-Tac sequence. These nucleotide sequences also included the same splice donor signals used in the chimeric genes and an *Xba* I site at each end. For the heavy chain V region, four overlapping 120- to 130-nucleotide-long oligonucleotides were synthesized that encompassed the entire sequence on alternating strands. The oligonucleotides were phosphorylated with polynucleotide kinase, annealed, extended with T4 DNA polymerase, cut with *Xba* I, and ligated into the *Xba* I site of pUC19 (34), using standard reaction conditions. An insert with the correct sequence was recombined in pV $\gamma$ 1. The humanized light chain V region was constructed similarly.

**Transfections.** For each antibody constructed, the light chain plasmid was first transfected into Sp2/0 mouse myeloma cells (ATTC CRL 1581) by electroporation (Bio-Rad Gene Pulser) and cells were selected for *gpt* expression (28). Clones secreting a maximal amount of light chain, as determined by ELISA, were transfected with the heavy chain plasmid and cells were selected for hygromycin B resistance (31). Clones secreting a maximal amount of complete antibody were detected by ELISA. The clones were used for preparation of chimeric and humanized antibodies.

**Antibody Purification.** Medium from confluent cells was passed over a column of staphylococcal protein A-Sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia), and antibody was eluted with 3 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Antibody was further purified by ion-exchange chromatography on BakerBond ABx (J. T. Baker). Final antibody concentration was determined, assuming that 1 mg/ml has an A<sub>280</sub> of 1.4. Anti-Tac antibody itself was purified as described (2).

**Affinity Measurements.** Affinities were determined by competition binding. HuT-102 human T-lymphoma cells (ATTC TIB 162) were used as source of p55 Tac antigen. Increasing amounts of competitor antibody (anti-Tac, chimeric, or humanized) were added to 1.5 ng of radioiodinated (Pierce Iodo-Beads) tracer anti-Tac antibody (2  $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ g; 1 Ci = 37 GBq) and incubated with 4  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> HuT cells in 0.2 ml of binding buffer (RPMI 1040 medium with 10% fetal calf serum, human IgG at 100  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.1% sodium azide) for 3 hr at room temperature. Cells were washed and pelleted, and their radioactivities were measured, and the concentrations of bound and free tracer antibody were calculated. The affinity



sis, using anti-Tac itself as the competitor. Then the affinities of the chimeric and humanized antibodies were each calculated according to the formula  $[X] - [\text{anti-Tac}] = (1/K_x) - (1/K_a)$ , where  $K_a$  is the affinity of anti-Tac ( $9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ),  $K_x$  is the affinity of the competitor X,  $[ ]$  indicates the concentration of competitor antibody at which bound/free tracer binding is  $R_0/2$ , and  $R_0$  is maximal bound/free tracer binding (37).

**RESULTS**

**Cloning of Light and Heavy Chain cDNA.** A cDNA library in  $\lambda$ gt10 was prepared from anti-Tac hybridoma cells and screened with oligonucleotide probes for the mouse  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma$ 2a constant regions. The cDNA inserts from four  $\kappa$ -positive and four  $\gamma$ 2a-positive phage were subcloned in M13mp19. Partial sequencing showed that two of the  $\kappa$  isolates had one sequence, and the other two had another sequence. In one pair, a  $V_\kappa$  gene segment was joined to the  $J_{\kappa 2}$  segment out of its reading frame. In addition, the conserved cysteine at position 23 was absent from this V segment, and the sequences of the two isolates differed slightly. Presumably, these clones were the result of an aberrant joining event in one  $\kappa$  allele, which continued to undergo somatic mutation after the formation of the hybridoma.

The V-J segments of the other pair of  $\kappa$  clones were sequenced completely and were identical. This light chain uses the  $J_{\kappa 5}$  segment. Partial sequencing of the four  $\gamma$ 2a clones showed they were all from the same gene. The V-J segments of two were sequenced completely and were identical. This heavy chain uses the  $J_{H2}$  segment and is of subgroup II (38). The DNA sequences have been deposited with GenBank; the deduced protein sequences are shown in Fig. 2. As both alleles of the  $\kappa$  light chain were accounted for and only one heavy chain sequence was detected, we tentatively assigned these sequences to the anti-Tac antibody genes.

**Construction of Chimeric Genes.** Plasmid vectors were prepared for the construction and expression of chimeric light and heavy chain genes. The plasmid pV $\kappa$ 1 (Fig. 1A) contains the human genomic  $C_\kappa$  segment, including 336 bp of the preceding intron and the poly(A) signal. It also contains the promoter sequence from the MOPC 41  $\kappa$  gene and the heavy chain enhancer sequence, which synergize to form a very strong transcriptional unit (29). There is a unique *Xba* I site between the promoter and the intron. A similar plasmid, pV $\gamma$ 1, was prepared by using the human  $C_\gamma$ 1 region in place of the  $C_\kappa$  region. In that case, the region inserted between the *Xba* I and *Bam*HI sites extended from about 210 bp 5' of the  $C_{H1}$  exon to beyond the  $C_{H3}$  exon.

Our strategy was to insert the V-J region from the anti-Tac  $\kappa$  cDNA, followed by a splice donor signal, at the *Xba* I site

of pV $\kappa$ 1 to construct the plasmid pLTac. Doing so created a chimeric  $\kappa$  gene with a short synthetic intron between the mouse V-J and human  $C_\kappa$  segments (Fig. 1A). For this purpose, we used a form of double primer-directed mutagenesis (*Materials and Methods*; Fig. 1B). Similarly, the V-J region from the anti-Tac  $\gamma$ 2a heavy chain cDNA, followed by a splice donor signal, was inserted into the *Xba* I site of pV $\gamma$ 1. The resulting plasmid, pGTac, contained a chimeric heavy chain gene, with a synthetic intron between the mouse V-J and human  $C_\gamma$ 1 segments.

**Construction of a Humanized Anti-Tac Antibody.** In selecting a human antibody to provide the variable region framework for the humanized anti-Tac antibody, we reasoned that the more homologous the human antibody was to the original anti-Tac antibody, the less likely would combining the anti-Tac CDRs with the human framework be to introduce distortions into the CDRs. The anti-Tac heavy chain sequence was therefore compared by computer with all the human heavy chain sequences in the National Biomedical Research Foundation Protein Identification Resource (release 15). The heavy chain V region of the Eu antibody (of human heavy chain subgroup I; ref. 38) was 57% identical to the anti-Tac heavy chain V region (Fig. 2B); all other complete  $V_H$  regions in the data bank were 30-52% identical. However, no one human light chain V region was especially homologous to the anti-Tac light chain. We therefore chose to use the Eu light chain (of human light chain subgroup I; ref. 38) together with the Eu heavy chain to supply the framework sequences for the humanized antibody. The CDRs in the humanized antibody were of course chosen to be identical to the anti-Tac CDRs (Fig. 2).

A computer program was used to construct a plausible molecular model of the anti-Tac V domain (Fig. 3), based on homology to other antibody V domains with known crystal structure and on energy minimization. Graphic manipulation shows that a number of amino acid residues outside of the CDRs are in fact close enough to them to either influence their conformation or interact directly with antigen. When these residues differ between the anti-Tac and Eu antibodies, the residue in the humanized antibody was chosen to be the anti-Tac residue rather than the Eu residue. This choice was made for residues 27, 30, 48, 67, 68, 98, and 106 in the humanized heavy chain, and for 47 and 59 in the humanized light chain (Figs. 2 and 3; amino acids shown in blue in Fig. 3), although we now consider the light chain residue 59, which was chosen on the basis of an earlier model, to be doubtful. In this way, we hoped to better preserve the precise structure of the CDRs at the cost of possibly making the humanized antibody slightly less "human."

Different human light or heavy chain V regions exhibit strong amino acid homology outside of the CDRs, within the framework regions. However, a given V region will usually




FIG. 2. Amino acid sequences of the humanized anti-Tac light (A) and heavy (B) chains. The sequences of the Eu antibody light and heavy chains (upper lines) are shown aligned above the mouse anti-Tac light and heavy chain sequences (lower lines), with a | indicating identity of amino acids. The three CDRs in each chain are underlined, and the other mouse amino acids used in the humanized antibody are double underlined. Hence, the humanized sequences are the same as the upper (Eu) sequences, except where the amino acid is under-

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.