Paper 1

Filed 2 February 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

DECLARATION - Bd.R. 203(b)¹

Part A. Declaration of interference

An interference is declared (35 U.S.C. § 135(a)) between the above-identified

parties. Details of the application(s), patent (if any), reissue application (if any), count(s)

and claims designated as corresponding or as not corresponding to the count(s) appear

in Parts E and F of this DECLARATION.

DOCKF

RM

¹ "Bd.R. x" may be used as shorthand for "37 C.F.R. § 41.x". 69 Fed. Reg. 49960, 49961 (12 Aug. 2004).

Part B. Judge managing the interference

Administrative Patent Judge Sally Gardner Lane has been designated to manage the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).

Part C. Standing order

A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this

DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference.

Part D. Initial conference call

A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for **2:00 p.m. on 16 March 2010** (the Board will initiate the call).

No later than **four business days** prior to the conference call, each party shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd. R. 120; Bd. R. 204; SO ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.

A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action. A typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

Part E. Identification and order of the parties

Junior Party

Named inventors:

DOCKE.

Paul J. Carter San Francisco, CA

Leonard G. Presta San Francisco, CA

-2-

Involved Patent:	6,407,213, issued 18 June 2002, from application 08/146,206, which was filed 17 November 1993, and was based on international application PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992.
Title:	METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES

Assignee: Genentech, Inc.

Senior Party

Named Inventors:	John Robert Adair
	High Wycombe, United Kingdom

Diljeet Singh Athwal London, United Kingdom

John Spencer Emtage Marlow, United Kingdom

Involved Application: 11/284,261, filed 21 November 2005

Title: HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Assignee: Celltech R & D Limited

DOCKE

RM

Δ

The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd. R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior party is responsible for initiating settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.

-3-

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Part F. Count and claims of the parties

Count 1

A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, 71H, 73H, and 78H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

The claims of the parties are:

Carter: 1-82 Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:

Carter: 30, 31, 60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 70, 73, 77-81

Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1, and therefore are not involved in the interference, are:

Carter: 1-29, 32-59, 61, 64, 65, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74-76, 82

Adair: None

DOCKE.

RM

-4-

The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:

Carter:	PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992; and
	07/715,272, filed 14 June 1991, now abandoned.
Adair:	08/846,658, filed 01 May 1997;
	08/303,569, filed 07 September 1994, issued as 5,859,205
	on 12 January 1999;
	07/743,329, filed on 17 September 1991;
	PCT/GB90/02017, filed 21 December 1990; and
	GB 8928874.0, filed 21 December 1989.

Part G. Heading to be used on papers

DOCKET

Δ

The following heading must be used on all papers filed in this interference, see SO & 106.1.1:

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

٧.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

> > -5-

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.