## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., AND HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,

Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A., Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-02088 U.S. Patent 8,995,433

\_\_\_\_\_

## **DECLARATION OF DR. VAL DIEULIIS**

**DECEMER 20, 2017** 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. QUALIFICATIONS	1.	INTRODUCTION	4
4. INFORMATION CONSIDERED	2.	QUALIFICATIONS	5
5. LEGAL STANDARDS 116. THE '433 PATENT 23 6.1 Claims 217. ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 238. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 248.1 "instant voice messaging application" 258.2 "client platform system" 319. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION WO 01/11824 ("ZYDNEY") 339. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION WO 01/11824 ("ZYDNEY") 339. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION WO 01/11824 ("ZYDNEY DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '433 PATENT 469. 10.1 The Petitioners fail to show that Zydney discloses or renders obvious the limitation "wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the instant voice message" (Claim 9) 479. 10.2 The Petitioners fail to show that Zydney renders obvious the further limitation "wherein the instant voice messaging application invokes a document handler to create a link between the instant voice message and the one or more files" (Claim 14) 500.	3.	COMPENSATION, TESTIMONY, AND PUBLICATIONS	8
6. THE '433 PATENT	4.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED	10
6.1 Claims	5.	LEGAL STANDARDS	11
7. ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6.	THE '433 PATENT	13
8. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		6.1 Claims	21
8.1 "instant voice messaging application"	7.	ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	23
8.2 "client platform system"	8.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	24
8.2 "client platform system"		8.1 "instant voice messaging application"	25
("ZYDNEY")			
CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '433 PATENT	9.		33
renders obvious the limitation "wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the instant voice message" (Claim 9)	10.		46
the further limitation "wherein the instant voice messaging application invokes a document handler to create a link between the instant voice message and the one or more files" (Claim 14)		renders obvious the limitation "wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the	47
		the further limitation "wherein the instant voice messaging application invokes a document handler to create a link between the instant voice message and the	50
Voice Data and the Indication of Recipients (Claim 17)51		10.3 Zydney Does Not Disclose the Separate Receipt of the	

LGE and Huawei v. Uniloc, IPR2017-02088 Uniloc's Exhibit 2001 Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis, Page 2 of 64



	10.4	Zydney Does Not Disclose Delivering the Instant Voice Message to the One or More Intended Recipients who are Determined to be Currently Available (Claim 26)	53
11.	GRE	PROPOSED COMBINATION OF ZYDNEY AND EENLAW DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 11, 15, 16 OF THE '433 PATENT	57
	11.1	Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest "wherein the instant voice messaging application displays one or more controls for audibly playing the instant voice message." (Claim 11)	58
	11.2	Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest "wherein the instant voice messaging application displays the attachment." (Claim 15)	
	11.3	Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest "wherein the instant voice messaging application displays one or more controls for performing at least one of reviewing, re-recording or deleting the instant voice	
		message." (Claim 16)	62

LGE and Huawei v. Uniloc, IPR2017-02088 Uniloc's Exhibit 2001 Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis, Page 3 of 64



I, Dr. Val DiEuliis, hereby declare and state as follows:

## 1. Introduction

- 1. My name is Val DiEuliis, and I have been retained by Uniloc, USA, Inc., and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. ("Uniloc" or the "Patent Owner"). My client Uniloc and its associated counsel, Etheridge Law Group, have asked me to study U.S. Patent No. 8,995,433 ("the '433 patent"), the Petition, the proffered prior art in this case, and other relevant documents. I document my findings in this declaration.
- 2. I have concluded that International Application WO 01/11824 ("Zydney") [EX1103], alone or combined with Greenlaw [EX1110], does not render obvious any challenged claim of the patent at issue, the '433 patent, at least because the Petitioners fail to show that Zydney discloses or renders obvious the limitation "wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the instant voice message" recited in independent claim 9.
- 3. In addition, the Petitioners fail to show that at least the further limitations of dependent claims 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 26 are rendered obvious.

LGE and Huawei v. Uniloc, IPR2017-02088 Uniloc's Exhibit 2001 Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis, Page 4 of 64



4. The limited scope of my opinions and analysis in this declaration do not imply that I may not later express other opinions or report other results from other investigations concerning other issues raised by the Petitioners or their experts in this IPR.

# 2. Qualifications

- 5. I am an electrical engineer with over 45 years of experience developing, programming, and analyzing computer algorithms and software. I am experienced with and able to create, read, and interpret firmware and software in C, C++, Java, assembly language, HTML, and other computer programming languages. I have served as an expert witness in multiple cases for which I analyzed computer source code in various languages and testified at ITC hearings and two jury trials concerning my results.
- 6. During my career, I have developed and managed projects for various applications, including sensors, controls, communications, user interfaces, device firmware, handheld devices, medical devices and systems, and test systems for optical and magnetic disk systems.

LGE and Huawei v. Uniloc, IPR2017-02088 Uniloc's Exhibit 2001 Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis, Page 5 of 64



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

