

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC., et al,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00642-JRG
v.	§	LEAD CASE
	§	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	§	
APPLE INC.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00638-JRG
BLACKBERRY CORPORATION and	§	
BLACKBERRY LIMITED,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00639-JRG
KAKAO CORPORATION,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00640-JRG
LINE EURO-AMERICAS CORP. and	§	
LINE CORPORATION,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00641-JRG
WHATSAPP, INC.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00645-JRG
AOL, INC.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00722-JRG
BEETALK PRIVATE LTD.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00725-JRG
FACEBOOK, INC.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00728-JRG
GREEN TOMATO LTD.,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00731-JRG
SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC,	§	Case No. 2:16-cv-00732-JRG
Defendants.		

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO P.R. 4-3

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3 and the Court’s Docket Control Order, Plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc. and Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A. (collectively “Uniloc”); and Consolidated Defendants, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Samsung”); Apple, Inc. (“Apple”); Blackberry Corporation and Blackberry Limited (collectively “Blackberry”); Kakao Corporation (“Kakao”); Line Euro-Americas Corp. and Line Corporation (collectively “Line”); WhatsApp, Inc. (“WhatsApp”); AOL, Inc. (“AOL”); Beetalk Private Ltd. (“Beetalk”); Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook”); Green Tomato Ltd. (“Green Tomato”); and Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC (“Sony”) (collectively “Defendants”) hereby submit the parties’ Joint Claim Construction Statement.¹

¹ Defendant Green Tomato has yet to make an appearance in this action.

I. P.R. 4-3(a): AGREED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS

The parties have not agreed to any claim constructions that require definitions.

II. P.R. 4-3(b): DISPUTED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS

The chart attached as **Exhibit A** to this Joint Claim Construction Statement contains the disputed claim terms, phrases and clauses.

The chart attached as **Exhibit B** to this Joint Claim Construction Statement contains Uniloc's proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, together with an identification of all references from the specification or prosecution history that support that construction, and an identification of any extrinsic evidence known to Uniloc on which it intends to rely either to support its proposed construction of the claim or to oppose any other party's proposed construction of the claim, including, but not limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses.

The chart attached as **Exhibit C** to this Joint Claim Construction Statement contains Defendants' proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, together with an identification of all references from the specification or prosecution history that support that construction, and an identification of any extrinsic evidence known to Defendants on which they intend to rely either to support their proposed construction of the claim or to oppose any other party's proposed construction of the claim, including, but not limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses.²

² The Defendants maintain that the parties' P.R. 4-3 Disclosure and all other activity in this litigation, including all future filings, Court hearings, and other activity, should not be construed as a waiver to any defense, objection, or motion related to personal jurisdiction or venue, and each Defendant maintains any and all objections, defenses, and motions relating to jurisdiction

III. P.R. 4-3(c): LENGTH OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING

The parties request four hours for the claim construction hearing, divided as two hours for Uniloc and two hours for Defendants.

IV. P.R. 4-3(d): LIVE WITNESS TESTIMONY

No party will present live witness testimony at the claim construction hearing.

V. P.R. 4-3(e): OTHER ISSUES

Any other outstanding issues will be addressed in the briefing.

Date: March 10, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: Aaron S. Jacobs

Paul J. Hayes

Kevin Gannon

Aaron S. Jacobs

PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP

One International Place, Suite 3700

Boston, MA 02210

Tel: (617) 456-8000

Fax: (617) 456-8100

Email: phayes@princelobel.com

Email: kgannon@princelobel.com

Email: ajacobs@princelobel.com

Craig Tadlock

State Bar No. 00791766

John J. Harvey, Jr.

State Bar No. 09179770

TADLOCK LAW FIRM PLLC8

2701 Dallas Parkway, Suite 360

Plano, TX 75093

Tel: (903) 730-6789

Email: craig@tadlocklawfirm.com

Email: john@tadlocklawfirm.com

and venue that have been previously raised. For example, SIE maintains that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over it and that venue is improper in this case, and instead avers that it has been improperly named in this suit. Apple, Facebook, and WhatsApp have filed motions seeking a change of venue. Defendants also reserve all rights to challenge venue, as appropriate, based on the outcome of *TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, Case No. 16-341 (S. Ct.).

Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Lowell D. Mead

Deron Dacus (Texas Bar No. 00790553)
The Dacus Firm, P.C.
821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430
Tyler, TX 75701
Phone: (903) 705-1117
Fax: (903) 581-2543
ddacus@dacusfirm.com

Heidi L. Keefe (CA Bar 178960)
Mark R. Weinstein (CA Bar 193043)
Lowell D. Mead (CA Bar 223989)
COOLEY LLP
Five Palo Alto Square
3175 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Phone: (650) 843-5000
Fax: (650) 857-0663
hkeefe@cooley.com
mweinstein@cooley.com

Phillip E. Morton (DC Bar No. 1032243)
James P. Hughes (DC Bar No. 974653)
COOLEY LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
Phone: (202) 842-7800
Fax: (202) 842-7899
pmorton@cooley.com
jhughes@cooley.com

*Attorneys For Defendants
Facebook, Inc. and WhatsApp Inc.*

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Patrick McCarthy

Mark G. Davis
Bar No. 412228 (DC)
Ronald J. Pabis
Bar No. 473023 (DC)
Stephen K. Shahida
Bar No. 454970 (DC)
Patrick J. McCarthy
Bar No. 990490 (DC)
Myomi T. Coad
Bar No. 1017904 (DC)
Minsoo Kim
Bar No. 5328026 (NY)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
2101 L. Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: (202) 331-3104
Facsimile: (202) 331-3101
Email: davisma@gtlaw.com
pabisr@gtlaw.com
shahidas@gtlaw.com
mccarthyp@gtlaw.com
coadm@gtlaw.com
kimmin@gtlaw.com

Rene Trevino
Bar No. 24051447 (TX)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 1700
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (713) 374-3500
Facsimile: (713) 374-3505
Email: trevinor@gtlaw.com

Richard Edlin
Bar No. 2051696 (NY)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
MetLife Building
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10002
Telephone: (212) 801-9200
Facsimile: (212) 801-6400
Email: edlinr@gtlaw.com

***Attorneys for Defendant Samsung Electronics
America, Inc.***

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.