

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Michael J. Rojas  
U.S. Patent No.: 7,535,890 Attorney Docket No.: 19473-0372IP1  
Issue Date: May 19, 2009  
Appl. Serial No.: 10/740,030  
Filing Date: December 18, 2003  
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INSTANT VOIP  
MESSAGING

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 7,535,890 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)</b> .....	1
	<b>A.</b> Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
	<b>B.</b> Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	1
	<b>C.</b> Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	5
	<b>D.</b> Service Information .....	5
<b>II.</b>	<b>PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103</b> .....	5
<b>III.</b>	<b>GROUND FOR STANDING AND CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104</b> .....	6
	<b>A.</b> Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
	<b>B.</b> Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	6
<b>IV.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF THE '890 PATENT</b> .....	7
	<b>A.</b> Brief Description.....	7
	<b>B.</b> Summary of the Prosecution.....	8
	<b>C.</b> Claim Construction .....	9
<b>V.</b>	<b>THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '890 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE</b> .....	10
	<b>A.</b> [GROUND 1] – Claims 1-6, 9-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 23-24, 26, 40-43, 46-47, 49, 51-54, 57-58, and 60 are anticipated by Zydney under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	10
<b>VI.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	58

## EXHIBITS

- GOOGLE1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,535,890 to Rojas (“the ’890 patent”)
- GOOGLE1002 Prosecution History of the ’890 patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- GOOGLE1003 Declaration of Dr. Paul S. Min, Ph.D. with CV attached
- GOOGLE1004 International Publication No. WO2001/011824 (“Zydney”)
- GOOGLE1005 Gralla, HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (6th Ed. 2001)
- GOOGLE1006 Reserved
- GOOGLE1007 Reserved
- GOOGLE1008 Reserved
- GOOGLE1009 THE NETWORK ENCYCLOPEDIA,  
<http://www.thenetworkencyclopedia.com/entry/packet-switching/>
- GOOGLE1010 Nwana, SOFTWARE AGENTS: AN OVERVIEW (1996),  
<http://agents.umbc.edu/introduction/ao/>
- GOOGLE1011 Shuler, HOW DOES THE INTERNET WORK? (2002),  
[http://www.theshulers.com/whitepapers/internet\\_whitepaper/](http://www.theshulers.com/whitepapers/internet_whitepaper/)
- GOOGLE1012 Library of Congress Online Catalog Record re HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (Gralla)
- GOOGLE1013 Public Copyright Catalog Record re HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (Gralla)

- GOOGLE1014 International Standard Book Number Listing re HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (Gralla)
- GOOGLE1015 Que Corporation, Product Record re HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (Gralla), <http://www.quepublishing.com/store/how-the-internet-works-9780789725820>
- GOOGLE1016 Declaration of Michael Cohen re HOW THE INTERNET WORKS (Gralla)

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)**

**A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

Google Inc. is the Petitioner. Google is a real party-in-interest in this proceeding, along with Motorola Mobility LLC, Huawei Device Co., Ltd., Huawei Device USA, Inc., Huawei Investment & Holding Co., Ltd., Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., and Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.

**B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)**

Patent Owner filed a complaint on September 6, 2016 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (Case No. 2:16-cv-992) alleging that Motorola Mobility LLC infringed the '890 patent. The complaint was served on September 13, 2016. Patent Owner also filed a complaint on September 6, 2016 (Case No. 2:16-cv-994) alleging that Huawei Device USA, Inc. and Huawei Technologies USA, Inc. infringed the '890 patent (the complaint was also served on September 13, 2016). On October 6, 2016, Patent Owner filed an amended complaint, which eliminated Huawei Technologies USA, Inc. as a defendant and added Huawei Device Co., LTD. as a defendant.

Patent Owner filed subsequent complaints in 2017 in the Eastern District of Texas (Case Nos. 2:17-cv-465, 2:17-cv-466, 2:17-cv-467, 2:17-cv-231, 2:17-cv-

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.