

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI INVESTMENT & HOLDING CO.,
LTD., HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., AND HUAWEI DEVICE
(DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owners.

Case IPR2017-02067
Patent 8,995,433

DECLARATION OF DR. VAL DI EULIIS

Google v. Uniloc, IPR2017-2067
Uniloc's Exhibit 2001

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC. AND WHATSAPP, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01428
U.S. Patent 8,995,433

DECLARATION OF DR. VAL DI EULIIS

SEPTEMBER 8, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. QUALIFICATIONS.....	5
3. COMPENSATION, TESTIMONY, AND PUBLICATIONS	8
4. INFORMATION CONSIDERED	9
5. LEGAL STANDARDS.....	10
6. THE '433 PATENT	12
6.1 Claims.....	20
7. ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	21
8. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	23
8.1 “instant voice messaging application”	24
8.2 “client platform system”	30
9. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION WO 01/11824 (“ZYDNEY”)	31
10. ZYDNEY DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '433 PATENT.....	43
10.1 The Petitioners fail to show that Zydney discloses or renders obvious the limitation “wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the instant voice message” (Claim 9)	44
10.2 The Petitioners fail to show that Zydney renders obvious the further limitation “wherein the instant voice messaging application invokes a document handler to create a link between the instant voice message and the one or more files” (Claim 14)	47
10.3 Zydney Does Not Disclose the Separate Receipt of the Voice Data and the Indication of Recipients (Claim 17)	48
10.4 Zydney Does Not Disclose Delivering the Instant Voice Message to the One or More Intended Recipients who are Determined to be Currently Available (Claim 26).....	50

11. THE PROPOSED COMBINATION OF ZYDNEY AND GREENLAW DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 11, 15, OR 16 OF THE '433 PATENT53

11.1 Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays one or more controls for audibly playing the instant voice message.” (Claim 11)54

11.2 Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays the attachment.” (Claim 15)56

11.3 Zydney Combined with Greenlaw Does Not Disclose or Suggest “wherein the instant voice messaging application displays one or more controls for performing at least one of reviewing, re-recording or deleting the instant voice message.” (Claim 16).....57

I, Dr. Val DiEuliis, hereby declare and state as follows:

1. Introduction

1. My name is Val DiEuliis, and I have been retained by Uniloc, USA, Inc., and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc” or the “Patent Owner”). My client Uniloc and its associated counsel, Etheridge Law Group, have asked me to study U.S. Patent No. 8,995,433 (“the ’433 patent”), the Petition, the proffered prior art in this case, and other relevant documents. I document my findings in this declaration.

2. I have concluded that International Application WO 01/11824 (“Zydney”) [EX1103], alone or combined with Greenlaw [EX1110], does not render obvious any challenged claim of the patent at issue, the ’433 patent, at least because the Petitioners fail to show that Zydney discloses or renders obvious the limitation “wherein the instant voice message application attaches one or more files to the instant voice message” recited in independent claim 9.

3. In addition, the Petitioners fail to show that at least the further limitations of dependent claims 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 26 are rendered obvious.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.