

Filed on behalf of:
Google Inc.

Paper No. 1
Date: September 7, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ALEX IS THE BEST, LLC

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,134,600

IPR2017-02056

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,134,600**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
EXHIBIT LIST.....	iv
I. PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION AND MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8.....	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER § 42.104(A).....	4
III. THE ‘600 PATENT AND THE FIELD OF ART	4
IV. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES UNDER § 42.104(B).....	6
A. Overview Of The Grounds For This Petition.....	7
B. The Earliest Effective Filing Date Of The Claims Subject To This Petition	8
C. Prior Art Status Of The References	11
D. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	12
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	12
VI. GROUND 1 – ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1-5, 8, AND 9 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(b) BY NICHOLAS.....	14
A. ‘Claim 1	15
B. Claim 2.....	22
C. Claim 3.....	23
D. Claim 4.....	23
E. Claim 5.....	25
F. Claim 8.....	25
G. Claim 9.....	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
VII. GROUND 2 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 10, 12 AND 13 UNDER U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF NICHOLAS AND NAIR.	26
A. Claim 10.....	27
B. Claims 12 and 13.....	28
VIII. GROUND 3 -- OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 10, 12 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF NICHOLAS AND KUSAKA.....	30
IX. GROUND 4 -- OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM 10 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF NICHOLAS AND KHEDOURI.....	32
X. GROUND 5 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 12 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF NICHOLAS AND MORRIS.....	33
XI. GROUND 6 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-5, 8-10, 12 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF INOUE AND NAIR.....	33
A. Claim 1.....	34
B. Claim 2.....	44
C. Claim 3.....	45
D. Claim 4.....	46
E. Claim 5.....	47
F. Claim 8.....	48
G. Claim 9.....	48
H. Claim 10.....	48
I. Claims 12 and 13.....	49
XII. GROUND 7 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM 2 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF INOUE, NAIR AND NARAYANASWAMI.....	50

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
XIII. GROUND 8 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-5, 8, AND 9 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) IN VIEW OF UMEDA AND INOUE.....	51
A. Claim 1.....	52
B. Claim 2.....	58
C. Claim 3.....	59
D. Claim 4.....	59
E. Claim 5.....	60
F. Claim 8.....	60
G. Claim 9.....	60
XIV. GROUND 9 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 10, 12 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) BY UMEDA IN VIEW OF INOUE AND KUSAKA.....	61
XV. GROUND 10 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM 10 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) BY UMEDA IN VIEW OF INOUE AND KHEDOURI.....	62
XVI. GROUND 11 – OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 12 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) BY UMEDA IN VIEW OF INOUE AND MORRIS.....	62
XVII. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED	63

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Brief Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,134,600 to Clemente (“the ‘600 Patent”)
1002	Alleged Priority Document: U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/702,470
1003	Alleged Priority Document: Prosecution History of U.S. Application Serial No. 11/484,373, now Pat. No. 7,633,524
1004	Alleged Priority Document: Prosecution History of U.S. Application Serial No. 12/637,277, now Pat. No. 7,907,172
1005	Prosecution History of the ‘600 Patent, U.S. Application Serial No. 13/037,303
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0133668 to Nicholas, III, provisional application filed September 12 2002, utility application filed September 12, 2003, and published July 8, 2004 (“Nicholas”)
1007	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0127208 to Nair, et al., utility application filed August 4, 2003 and published July 1, 2004 (“Nair”)
1008	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0109063 to Kusaka, et al., filed May 27, 2003 and published June 10, 2004 (“Kusaka”)
1009	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0008256 to Khedouri, et al., provisional application filed October 1 2003, utility application filed September 29, 2004, and published Jan. 12, 2006 (“Khedouri”)
1010	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0143684 to Morris, filed December 29, 2004 and published June 29, 2006 (“Morris”)
1011	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0109066 to Inoue, et al., filed December 3, 2003 and published June 10, 2004 (“Inoue”)
1012	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0150228 to Umeda, et al.,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.