Paper 1

Filed 2 February 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

۷.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

DECLARATION - Bd.R. 203(b)¹

Part A. Declaration of interference

An interference is declared (35 U.S.C. § 135(a)) between the above-identified

parties. Details of the application(s), patent (if any), reissue application (if any), count(s)

and claims designated as corresponding or as not corresponding to the count(s) appear

in Parts E and F of this DECLARATION.

¹ "Bd.R. x" may be used as shorthand for "37 C.F.R. § 41.x". 69 Fed. Reg. 49960, 49961 (12 Aug. 2004).

Part B. Judge managing the interference

Administrative Patent Judge Sally Gardner Lane has been designated to manage the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).

Part C. Standing order

A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference.

Part D. Initial conference call

A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for 2:00 p.m. on 16

March 2010 (the Board will initiate the call).

No later than **four business days** prior to the conference call, each party shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd. R. 120; Bd. R. 204; SO ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.

A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action. A typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

Part E. Identification and order of the parties

Junior Party

Named inventors:

Paul J. Carter San Francisco, CA

Leonard G. Presta San Francisco, CA

-2-

| Involved Patent: | 6,407,213, issued 18 June 2002, from application 08/146,206, which was filed 17 November 1993, and was based on international application PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992. | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Title: | METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES | | |
| Assignee: | Genentech, Inc. | | |
| | | | |
| | Senior Party | | |
| Named Inventors: | John Robert Adair High Wycombe, United Kingdom | | |
| | Diljeet Singh Athwal London, United Kingdom | | |
| | John Spencer Emtage Marlow, United Kingdom | | |
| Involved Application: | 11/284,261, filed 21 November 2005 | | |
| Title: | HUMANISED ANTIBODIES | | |
| Assignee: | Celltech R & D Limited | | |

The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd. R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior party is responsible for initiating settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.

Part F. Count and claims of the parties

Count 1

A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, 71H, 73H, and 78H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

The claims of the parties are:

Carter: 1-82 Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:

Carter: 30, 31, 60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 70, 73, 77-81

Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1, and therefore are not involved in the interference, are:

Carter: 1-29, 32-59, 61, 64, 65, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74-76, 82

Adair: None

-4-

The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:

| Carter: | PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992; and |
|---------|--|
| | 07/715,272, filed 14 June 1991, now abandoned. |
| | |
| Adair: | 08/846,658, filed 01 May 1997; |
| | 08/303,569, filed 07 September 1994, issued as 5,859,205 |
| | on 12 January 1999; |
| | 07/743,329, filed on 17 September 1991; |
| | PCT/GB90/02017, filed 21 December 1990; and |
| | GB 8928874.0, filed 21 December 1989. |

Part G. Heading to be used on papers

The following heading must be used on all papers filed in this interference, see SO & 106.1.1:

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

Part H. Order form for requesting file copies

When requesting copies of files, use of SO Form 4 will greatly expedite

processing of the request. Please attach a copy of Parts E and F of this

DECLARATION with a hand-drawn circle around the patents and applications for which

a copy of a file wrapper is requested.

/Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

Enc:

Copy of STANDING ORDER Form PTO-850 Copy U.S. Patent 6,407,213 Copy of claims of 11/284,261

cc (via overnight delivery):

Attorney for Carter:

Sidley Austin, LLP Attn: DC Patent Docketing 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Attorney for Adair:

Cozen O'Connor, P.C. 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-3508

Paper ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ENTERED: 3 January 2006

STANDING ORDER

This Standing Order is entered in, and governs, contested cases assigned to the Trial

Division of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Parties are expected to be

familiar with it. The rules reproduced in the Standing Order are current as of the issue

date of the Standing Order, but are subject to change. In the event of a change to a

rule, the changed rule will control, the Standing Order notwithstanding.

CONTENTS

| ¶ 1 Applicability of 37 CFR part 1 | 1 |
|---|------------------|
| ¶ 2 Board organization ¶ 2.1 Trial Division ¶ 2.2 Trial Procedures Section ¶ 2.3 Trial Merits Section ¶ 2.4 Board staff | 1 1 2 2 |
| ¶ 3 Petitions ¶ 3.1 Procedural relief sought through miscellaneous motion ¶ 3.2 Petition affecting the merits in a contested case ¶ 3.3 Petitions under 35 U.S.C. 135(c) | 2 2 3 3 |
| ¶ 4 Good cause requirement for untimeliness ¶ 4.1 High standard ¶ 4.2 Settlement | 3 3 3 |
| ¶ 5 Pro hac vice | 4 |
| <pre>¶ 6 Publication of opinions ¶ 6.1 Most opinions are publicly available ¶ 6.2 Notice of confidential information</pre> | 4 4 4 |

| ¶ | 7 Record management | 5 5 5 5 |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| ¶ | 8 Mandatory notices | 6 6 6 6 |
| ¶ | 9 Ownership determined from Office assignment record | . 7 |
| ſ | 10 Communications with the Board ¶ 10.1 Default mode - electronic mail ¶ 10.2 Any United States Postal Service, including EXPRESS MAIL ¶ 10.3 Hand filing ¶ 10.4 Overnight delivery services ¶ 10.5 Telephone calls ¶ 10.6 Facsimile | 7 7 8 8 9 10 |
| ¶ | 11 Ex parte communications ¶ 11.1 Papers ¶ 11.2 Other forms of communications ¶ 11.3 Permissible contacts ¶ 11.4 Refusal to participate | 10 10 11 11 11 |
| ¶ | 12 Citation of authority ¶ 12.1 Copies of authority cited ¶ 12.2 Parallel citation ¶ 12.3 Binding authority ¶ 12.4 Primary authority | 12 12 12 12 13 |
| ¶ | 20 Fees | 13 |
| ¶ | 100 Days and times ¶ 100.1 Business day ¶ 100.2 Time standard | 14 14 14 |
| ¶ | 101 Notice of proceeding ¶ 101.1 Declaration of interference ¶ 101.2 Maintaining proper address | 14 14 14 |

| ¶ | 102 Completion of examination | 15 |
|---|---|--|
| ¶ | 103 Jurisdiction over related files ¶ 103.1 Access to related files ¶ 103.2 Action in related files | 15 15 15 |
| ¶ | 104 Modification of the Standing Order | 15 15 16 |
| ¶ | 105 Electronic filing ¶ 105.1 Filing in paper¶ 105.2 Electronic filing procedures¶ 105.3 Service¶ 105.4 Format¶ 105.5 Signature¶ 105.6 Exhibits | 17 17 18 19 20 20 |
| ¶ | 106 Filing and service generally; in paper ¶ 106.1 General format of papers ¶ 106.2 Combined papers and incorporation not permitted ¶ 106.3 Transmittal sheets ¶ 106.4 Service ¶ 106.5 Filing in paper | 20 20 22 22 22 22 23 |
| ¶ | 108 Lead and backup counsel | 24 |
| ¶ | 109 Request for file copies ¶ 109.1 Filing the request¶ 109.2 Filling record requests¶ 109.3 Notification on non-receipt¶ 109.4 Record of contested case¶ 109.5 Access to paper files at Board | 24 24 25 27 27 27 |
| ¶ | 110 Copies of claims | 28 |
| ¶ | 120 Types of notices of basis for requesting relief | 28 |
| ¶ | 121 Motions ¶ 121.1 Title and numbering of motions ¶ 121.2 Page limits in motions ¶ 121.3 Burden of proof | 29 29 30 30 |

| ¶ 121.4 Format | 31 |
|--|----------|
| ¶ 121.5 Appendices | 31 |
| | 33 |
| ¶ 122 Oppositions and replies | 33 |
| ¶ 122.1 Numbering oppositions and replies | 33 |
| ¶ 122.2 Page limits | 33 |
| ¶ 122.3 Format | 34 |
| ¶ 122.4 Appendices | 35 |
| | 36 |
| ¶ 123 Miscellaneous motions | 37 |
| ¶ 123.1 Mandatory conference | 37 |
| ¶ 123.2 Timeliness | 37 |
| ¶ 123.3 Recording conference calls | 37 |
| | |
| ¶ 124 Oral argument | 37 |
| ¶ 124.1 Request for oral argument | 37 |
| \P 124.2 Attendance; special needs \P 124.3 Security: access | 38 38 |
| ¶ 124.5 Security, access¶ 124.4 Demonstrative exhibits | 30 |
| ¶ 124.5 Transcription of oral argument | 39 |
| | |
| ¶ 125 Rehearing of decisions | 39 |
| ¶ 125.1 Time for filing | 39 |
| ¶ 125.2 Format for request for rehearing | 40 |
| ¶ 125.3 Number of requests \dots \square 125.4 New evidence on rehearing | 40 |
| | 40 |
| ¶ 126 Settlement discussions required | 40 |
| ¶ 126.1 Last-named party initiates | 40 |
| ¶ 126.2 Initial conference | 41 |
| ¶ 126.3 Subsequent conferences | 41 |
| ¶ 126.4 Filing notice of conferences | 42 |
| ¶ 127 Estonnel: rehearing of judgment | 12 |
| ¶ 127 1 Estoppel | 42 |
| ¶ 127.2 Rehearing | 42 |
| | |
| ¶ 128 Sanctions | 43 |
| | - |
| ¶ 150 Discovery | 43 |

| | <pre>¶ 150.1 Automatic discovery ¶ 150.2 Requesting additional discovery</pre> | 43 44 |
|---|--|--|
| ¶ | 151 Challenging admissibility | 44 |
| ſ | 152 Rules of evidence ¶ 152.1 Official notice not automatic ¶ 152.2 Hearsay | 45 45 45 |
| ¶ | 153 Certification of Office records | 46 |
| ¶ | 154 Form of evidence ¶ 154.1 Records of the Office¶ 154.2 Exhibit labels¶ 154.3 Filing of exhibits¶ 154.4 Exhibit list¶ 154.5 Evidence on DVD digital disc | 46 46 47 47 48 48 |
| ¶ | 155 Challenging admissibility ¶ 155.1 Objections ¶ 155.2 Motion to exclude evidence; motion in limine ¶ 155.3 Time to file objections and motions to exclude | 50 50 51 51 |
| ¶ | 156 Compelled testimony and production ¶ 156.1 Form of motion¶ 156.2 Alternative procedures for compelled testimony¶ 156.3 Admissibility of compelled testimony and production | 51 51 52 52 |
| ¶ | 157 Direct testimony; cross examination ¶ 157.1 Direct testimony as affidavit ¶ 157.2 Required paragraph for affidavits ¶ 157.3 Cross examination ¶ 157.4 Order of cross examination ¶ 157.5 Cross examination guidelines ¶ 157.6 Transcript ¶ 157.7 Observations on cross examinations | 52 52 53 54 54 55 55 |
| ¶ | 158 Expert testimony; tests and data | 56 56 56 |
| ¶ | 200 Interference procedure | 57 |

| ¶ 200.1 Patent claim scope | 57 57 |
|---|--|
| ¶ 203 Suggesting another interference; adding an application or a patent ¶ 203.1 Suggesting another interference ¶ 203.2 Adding an application or a patent | 57 57 57 |
| ¶ 204 Motions list | 58 |
| <pre>¶ 205 Settlement agreements</pre> | 58 58 59 |
| ¶ 206 Common interests in the invention | 59 |
| ¶ 207 Applicability of prior art | 59 |
| ¶ 208 Specific substantive motions ¶ 208.1 Obviousness ¶ 208.2 Adding or substituting a count ¶ 208.3 Claim correspondence ¶ 208.4 Benefit motions ¶ 208.5 Responsive motions ¶ 208.6 Priority ¶ 208.7 Inequitable conduct | 60 60 61 62 63 65 66 |
| Appendix of Forms | 68 |
| Form 1. Standard caption for an interference | 68 |
| Form 2. Typical schedule for motions other than interference priority | 69 |
| Form 3. Typical schedule for priority motions in an interference | 70 |
| Form 4. File copy request | 71 |
| Cross Examination Guidelines | 72 |
| Index of Times | 75 |

¶ 1 Applicability of 37 CFR part 1

Part 1 of 37 CFR was drafted principally with patent prosecution in mind, while 37 CFR Part 41 is directed to proceedings before the Board. If Part 41 or the Standing Order expressly requires different procedure than Part 1, then Part 41 or the Standing Order, respectively, will govern. A party that perceives a conflict between Part 1 and either Part 41 or the Standing Order must promptly raise the issue with the Board.

¶ 2 Board organization

¶ 2.1 Trial Division

The Chief Administrative Patent Judge has designated administrative patent judges to constitute the Trial Division, who decide most contested cases, as well as appeals involving patent reexaminations and reissue applications or applications relating to interferences. The Trial Division consists of two sections—

- # the Trial Procedures Section and
- # the Trial Merits Section.

¶ 2.2 Trial Procedures Section

Within the Trial Division, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has designated administrative patent judges to constitute the Trial Procedures Section. The principal function of the Trial Procedure Section is to ready interference cases for a merits decision on substantive motions, including interference priority motions. Accordingly, administrative patent judges will handle all interlocutory matters in contested cases, including but not limited to—

- # declaration of interferences,
- # setting times for taking action, and
- # administering discovery.

¶ 2.3 Trial Merits Section

Within the Trial Division, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has designated administrative patent judges to constitute the Trial Merits Section. The principal function of the Trial Merits Section is to prepare opinions on the merits of substantive motions, including interference priority motions.

¶ 2.4 Board staff

Board staff other than administrative patent judges may administer some tasks during contested cases. For instance, Trial Division paralegal assistants enter orders authorizing access to files under Bd.R. 109 and authorizing the filing of facsimile transmissions in excess of the five pages permitted under SO **¶ 10.6**. Staff actions are controlling unless countermanded by an administrative patent judge or a Board panel.

¶ 3 Petitions

¶ 3.1 Procedural relief sought through miscellaneous motion

A request for a procedural remedy must be made in the form of a miscellaneous motion. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ **123**. A petition is not appropriate. No fee is required.

¶ 3.2 Petition affecting the merits in a contested case

Any relief affecting the merits of a contested case must be sought in the form of a motion. Bd.R. 121. If the relief would otherwise require the granting of a petition, the petition, including any required fee, must be filed with the motion. Bd.R. 121(c)(2). A party filing a petition in violation of this subparagraph may be subject to sanctions. Bd.R. 128.

¶ 3.3 Petitions under 35 U.S.C. 135(c)

Petitions based on 35 U.S.C. 135(c) are not part of a contested case. Bd.R. 3(b) and Bd.R. 205.

¶ 4 Good cause requirement for untimeliness

¶ 4.1 High standard

The standard for showing good cause under Bd.R. 4(a) is high. Times are set to facilitate the rendering of timely decisions. There are few, if any, circumstances where good cause can be based on the press of other business arising after a time is set by a Board order, particularly where a time period is set or maintained after a conference with counsel.

¶ 4.2 Settlement

An attempt to settle will rarely constitute good cause. Settlement is encouraged, and the administrative patent judge designated to handle a contested case is available to assist in settlement efforts where appropriate, but parties should expect either to settle the contested case or, in the absence of settlement, to meet each deadline.

¶ 5 Pro hac vice

Contested cases can be technically, legally, and procedurally complex. Consequently, a motion to appear pro hac vice will rarely be granted unless the counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the contested case.

The Board may authorize a person other than a registered practitioner to appear as counsel in a specific proceeding.

¶ 6 Publication of opinions

¶ 6.1 Most opinions are publicly available

Most opinions in contested cases will be available for publication during the proceeding. Virtually all become available at the end of the proceeding. The recent experience in contested cases is that redactions are almost never requested.

¶ 6.2 Notice of confidential information

Some opinions are selected for publication to promote public understanding of Trial Division practice or to create uniform practices. If a party believes that its application contains information not otherwise publicly available that should be redacted from any opinion, the party must **within two (2) months** of the initiation of the contested case file as a separate paper a notice specifically identifying such information.

If additional information not otherwise publicly available is introduced into a contested case that a party believes should be redacted from any opinion, the party must promptly file a notice specifically identifying the information.

If, after filing such notice, specifically identified information becomes publicly available (for example, through publication of a collateral application), the party must promptly notify the Board of this change in the status of the information.

¶ 7 Record management

¶ 7.1 Letters between counsel not to be filed

No letter between counsel may be filed unless it is filed as an exhibit cited-

- # in a motion, opposition, or reply, or
- # during cross-examination.

¶ 7.2 No duplicate papers

A party may not file (not even as an appendix or exhibit) a copy of a paper previously filed in the same contested case.

¶ 7.3 Reference to earlier filed paper

A party referring to an earlier filed paper should identify the paper by title and paper number or, if the paper number is not known, by the filing date.

¶ 8 Mandatory notices

¶ 8.1 Real party-in-interest

Within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of the Declaration, each party must file as a separate paper a notice of any and all right, title, or interest in any application or patent involved in the contested case.

¶ 8.2 Related proceedings

Within **fourteen (14) days** of the initiation of a contested case, each party must file and serve as a separate paper a notice identifying the application or patent number of every United States application or patent claiming, or which may claim, the benefit of priority of the filing date of the party's involved patent or application. If there are no such applications or patents the notice must state this fact. If, during the course of the proceeding, a party files an application claiming, or which may claim, the benefit of the filing date of an involved application or patent, a notice of the filing, including the application number, must be promptly served and filed. The Board may order a party to serve on an opponent a copy of any application or patent identified under this paragraph.

¶ 8.3 Notice of judicial review

A party seeking judicial review must notify the Board of the complaint or notice of appeal within 20 days. Bd.R. 8(b). After a contested case ends, administrative tasks remain for the United States Patent and Trademark Office [Office] generally and for the Board particularly. Files need to be distributed, applications need to be allowed or abandoned, and notices of patent claim cancellation need to be published. If the Board

does not receive timely, effective notice of judicial review, it proceeds on the assumption that no review has been sought. The Office may deem an application abandoned or may issue a patent to the opponent. At best, this leaves the litigant with a problem to correct. Failure to provide adequate notice may result in sanctions under Bd.R. 128.

¶ 9 Ownership determined from Office assignment record

In the absence of an assignment of record, the inventor is the presumptive owner of an involved patent or application. It is the responsibility of each party to ensure that its assignments are properly recorded at the Office. Failure to record an assignment can lead to adverse judgment in a contested case.

Cases involving a United States government interest may be referred to the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice. 28 C.F.R. § 0.45(f).

¶ 10 Communications with the Board

¶ 10.1 Default mode - electronic mail

Electronic mail is the default mode of filing papers in a contested case. See SO **¶ 105**. Papers authorized to be filed electronically must be electronically mailed as an attachment to: **BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV**. No papers unrelated to the contested case may be filed at this address. Papers relating to the contested case must not be mailed to any other **USPTO.GOV** address. The subject line may contain only the case number and the assigned administrative

patent judge's initials in parentheses, e.g., in Patent Interference 105,000 before

Administrative Patent Judge John Doe the subject line would be "105,000 (JD)".

¶ 10.2 Any United States Postal Service, including EXPRESS MAIL

If mailed correspondence is authorized in a contested case, it must be addressed:

Mail Stop INTERFERENCE Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

Caveat: Any delay resulting from an improper address (including any address provided

in SO ¶¶ 10.3 & 10.4) will be attributed to the party.

¶ 10.3 Hand filing

If hand-filed correspondence is authorized in a contested case, the filer must bear in

mind that the delivery point lies inside the security zone on the first floor of:

Madison East Building 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia

The courier must pass through a magnetometer, and the correspondence must pass

through an X-ray sensor. For authorized hand-filed correspondence other than boxes,

the correspondence may be deposited in a drop-off box inside the security zone at the

first floor lobby of the Madison East Building.

¶ 10.3.1 Boxes

Boxed correspondence cannot be deposited in the drop-off box. Instead, it must be delivered to Madison East, Room 9B55-A. Access to Room 9B55-A is available on business days from 8:30 a.m to 4:45 p.m. (Eastern Time, SO **¶ 100.2**) only.

The courier should approach the security guard station on the first floor of Madison East (near the elevators) and ask the security guard to call the Board at 571-272-9797 to obtain authorization to enter the building for a delivery to Room 9B55-A.

¶ 10.3.2 Correspondence filed after 4:45 p.m.

Authorized hand-filed correspondence filed after 4:45 p.m. (Eastern Time, SO **¶ 100.2**) will receive the next business day's filing date. If the Board receives the paper by 10 a.m. that next business day, it will be deemed to have been timely filed provided the paper was properly served the previous business day.

¶ 10.3.3 Stamped receipt

If a stamped return receipt is required, the courier must personally deliver the correspondence and postcard receipt to Room 9B55-A between 8:30 a.m to 4:45 p.m. (Eastern Time, SO **¶ 100.2**) only, or leave the postcard with the correspondence in the drop-off box. If the postcard is left in the drop-off box, it must include correct postage and the address where the postcard is to be mailed.

¶ 10.4 Overnight delivery services

Papers authorized to be filed using a commercial overnight delivery service (see SO **¶ 10.2** for United States Postal Service) must be addressed:

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Properly addressed papers filed are deemed filed on the date they are delivered to the overnight delivery service.

¶ 10.5 Telephone calls

Telephone calls to the Board regarding a contested case must be directed to 571-272-9797. A telephone call requesting a conference call must be directed to Trial Division support staff. When arranging a conference call, be prepared to discuss with a Trial Division paralegal why the call is needed and what materials should be before the Board participant during the call.

¶ 10.6 Facsimile

The facsimile number for contested cases is 571-273-0042. Do not send papers exceeding five (5) pages in length without prior permission from Trial Division support staff. Do not file a confirmation copy. Such copies tend to cause duplicate entries (in violation of Bd.R. 7(b)) among other problems.

¶ 11 Ex parte communications

The prohibition on ex parte communications is strictly enforced.

¶ 11.1 Papers

A properly served paper should not result in an ex parte communication.

¶ 11.2 Other forms of communications

Improper communications typically arise in telephone calls, electronic mail messages, or public meetings. Telephone calls should be conference calls with each opposing party included. Electronic mail messages, when authorized, should include the opposing party in the "To" line or the "Cc" line. Discussion of specific pending contested cases should not occur at public meetings.

¶ 11.3 Permissible contacts

The following types of contacts are not ex parte communications—

- # contact of a ministerial nature directed to support staff,
- # contact of a general nature directed to Board management, or
- # contact under a rule requiring reference to a different contested case, provided the contact does not involve the merits of the other contested case.

A call to a Trial Division paralegal to arrange a telephone conference is a typical example of a ministerial contact with support staff. SO **¶ 10.5**. A general comment, made apart from a particular contested case, on a Board rule with examples drawn from actual experiences is an example of the second kind of permissible contact. Identification of a related case pursuant to Bd.R. 8(b) and SO **¶ 8.2** is an example of the third kind of contact.

¶ 11.4 Refusal to participate

The Board may permit a hearing or conference call to take place even if a party refuses to participate. In such instances, the Board may require additional safeguards,

such as the recording of the communication and the entry of the recording into the record.

¶ 12 Citation of authority

¶ 12.1 Copies of authority cited

A party must file and serve a copy of any authority on which it relies. A party must not assume that the opponent or the Board has access to the authority.

An authority reported in (1) United States Reports or West Publishing Company's

Supreme Court Reporter, (2) the second or third series of West's Federal Reports, or

(3) the Bureau of National Affairs' United States Patents Quarterly is exempt from the

requirement to file and serve a copy.

¶ 12.2 Parallel citation

Examples of proper parallel citation as required under Bd.R. 12(a)(2)-

- # Aelony v. Arni, 547 F.2d 566, 192 USPQ 486 (CCPA 1977).
- # In re Deckler, 977 F.2d 1449, 24 USPQ2d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

¶ 12.3 Binding authority

The following types of decisions are binding precedent—

- # Decisions of the United States Supreme Court.
- # Decisions of the Federal Circuit, the former Court of Customs and Patent Appeals and the former Court of Claims announced before the close of business on 30 September 1982.
- # Decisions of the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (formerly the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks).

- # Decisions of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences that have been determined to be binding precedent in accordance with established Board procedures.
- # Trial Division decisions that have been designated as precedential.

Decisions of other federal courts and non-precedential decisions of the Board may be cited, but are not binding precedent.

¶ 12.4 Primary authority

Only primary authority should be cited. Secondary authorities summarizing primary authority are never binding and are inherently less persuasive. Primary authority includes: (1) the United States Code, (2) the Code of Federal Regulations, (3) notices published in the Federal Register or the Official Gazette, and (4) binding precedent.

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, a guide for patent examiners prepared by the Office of the Commissioner for Patents, is not itself primary authority except as a statement of patent examining practices.

¶ 20 Fees

No fees are charged for papers filed in the ordinary course of a contested case. Occasionally, a paper filed in a contested case must also comply with another rule. Bd.R. 121(c)(2). Compliance with the other rule may require payment of a fee. If so, then payment must be made at the time the paper is filed.

¶ 100 Days and times

¶ 100.1 Business day

The Board is officially open for business on business days. Occasionally the Board may act or may expressly require a party to act on a day that is not a business day.

¶ 100.2 Time standard

All times stated in the Standing Order and other Board orders (except as expressly provided) are for Eastern Time, the local time for the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences–either Eastern Standard Time or Eastern Daylight Savings Time, as appropriate.

¶ 101 Notice of proceeding

¶ 101.1 Declaration of interference

The notice initiating an interference is called a Declaration. See 35 U.S.C. 135(a) ("an interference may be declared").

¶ 101.2 Maintaining proper address

While the Board is authorized to provide notice by means other than mailing to the correspondence address of record, it is ultimately the responsibility of the application or patent owner to maintain a proper correspondence address. *Ray v. Lehman*, 55 F.3d 606, 610, 34 USPQ2d 1786, 1788 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

¶ 102 Completion of examination

In most cases the public interest is best served by completion of examination before any contested case is initiated. Exceptions may occur on a case-by-case basis, but the Board may then require parties to address such issues. For example, an applicant might be required to cancel and refile claims that do not correspond to an interference count because such claims are not entitled to a patent term adjustment.

¶ 103 Jurisdiction over related files

¶ 103.1 Access to related files

The Board temporarily may restrict public access to related files, such as paper files for accorded benefit applications, to ensure that the parties can obtain timely copies of such files. See SO ¶ **109**.

¶ 103.2 Action in related files

The Board does not ordinarily take action in a file not involved in the proceeding, but occasionally a decision on a motion will require action in a related file.

¶ 104 Modification of the Standing Order

¶ 104.1 Modification of Standing Order

An administrative patent judge may modify the terms of the Standing Order.

¶ 104.2 Times for motions phases

The Board generally initiates a conference call to set times for the motion periods.

For interferences, the date and time for the conference call typically appear in Part D of

the Declaration.

¶ 104.2.1 Motions list

A list of proposed motions (see Bd.R. 204(c) and SO ¶ 204) must typically be filed

no later than four business days prior to the conference call to set dates. This

requirement improves the administration of justice by-

- # helping the Board and counsel arrive at an appropriate schedule for taking action,
- # permitting the Board to determine whether the listed motions are both necessary and sufficient to resolve the issues raised, and
- # revealing the possibility that there may be a dispositive motion, see, e.g., Bd.R. 201 (threshold issue).

With prior Board approval a party may be permitted to file an unlisted motion, but the

set times are not likely to be changed to accommodate the unlisted motion.

Sample orders setting times for the preliminary motion (Form 2) and priority motion

(Form 3) phases of an interference are reproduced in the Appendix of Forms. The

parties should discuss the appropriate order prior to the conference call and try to agree

on dates for taking action. Note that in each order, the parties may usually stipulate

changes to the first six times, but not to the last three times.

¶ 104.2.2 Additional discovery

If additional discovery will be needed to support a proposed motion, the movant should include a miscellaneous motion for such discovery in the list of proposed motions. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ 123.

¶ 105 Electronic filing

¶ 105.1 Filing in paper

Filing in paper is not permitted unless expressly authorized. A party that is unable to comply with the electronic filing requirement must promptly arrange a telephone conference using the procedure for an opposed miscellaneous motion,

Bd.R. 123(b)(1)(ii); SO ¶ 123.

¶ 105.2 Electronic filing procedures

¶ 105.2.1 Time to file

A paper must be filed no later than 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time, SO ¶ 100.2) on the day the paper is due.

¶ 105.2.2 Separate files

Each paper (not each page) must be a separate ADOBE® portable document format [pdf] file.

¶ 105.2.3 Papers as an attachment no greater than 1 megabyte

A paper that is not larger than 1 megabyte in size must be electronically mailed as an attachment to: **BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV**.

No information other than the title of the attachment may appear in the message body, for example, "Jones Motion 1".

The file name of the attachment must concisely identify the document, for example, "Jones Motion 1.pdf".

¶ 105.2.4 Sender

A paper may only be filed from an address at the domain name of the party's counsel of record (or, if no counsel is appointed, the electronic mail address of record for the party).

¶ 105.2.5 Paper larger than 1 megabyte; collections of exhibits

A paper larger than 1 megabyte, or a collection of exhibits, may not be electronically mailed as provided above. Instead, it must be delivered as provided in SO **¶¶ 10.2-10.4** on a compact disc or a 3¼ inch diskette that is compatible with MICROSOFT® WINDOWS XP®. If a hearing has been requested, four copies of the disc or diskette must be filed.

¶ 105.3 Service

A paper must be served via electronic mail.

¶ 105.3.1 Simultaneous filing and service

If, when the paper is electronically filed with the Board, the opposing party is included as an addressee, then no additional certificate of service is required. The electronic mail message will function as the certificate of service.

¶ 105.3.2 Delayed service

If the paper is not served via the electronic mail message in which the paper is filed, then the paper must be served in a separate electronic mail message no later than 6:00 p.m. (Eastern Time SO ¶ **100.2**) the day the paper is due. The paper as filed must include a certificate of service stating the time of service in addition to the date and manner of service. Bd.R. 106(f)(3).

¶ 105.4 Format

¶ 105.4.1 Portable document format required

All papers, excluding exhibits, must be filed in ADOBE® portable document format [pdf]. Papers must be filed in **text-searchable** pdf whenever reasonably possible. Each party is responsible for the accuracy of its pdf files.

¶ 105.4.2 Paper size

Each paper created for the contested case should be formatted for printing on $8\frac{1}{2}$ inch × 11 inch paper.

¶ 105.4.3 Waiver of requirements

The following paper formatting requirements in the Board rules are waived for electronically filed papers—

- # Bd.R. 106(b)(2) two-hole punch; and
- # Bd.R. 106(c) working copy.

¶ 105.5 Signature

¶ 105.5.1 Papers other than exhibits

A paper other than an exhibit must be signed using an S-signature (see 37 C.F.R.

§ 1.4(d)(2)). Board papers will only be signed using an S-signature.

¶ 105.6 Exhibits

An exhibit with a handwritten signature in the exhibit must be scanned to preserve the appearance of the signature even if other portions of the exhibit are converted to a text-searchable format. An affidavit must have an original signature.

¶ 106 Filing and service generally; in paper

A paper or exhibit that is not timely filed and properly served will not ordinarily be considered. One consequence may be that the relief sought is not granted for failure to move or for failure to prove. Cf. SO **¶ 121.3**.

¶ 106.1 General format of papers

¶ 106.1.1 Caption

The heading shown in Part G of the Declaration must be used in all papers other than non-affidavit exhibits. Affidavits other than deposition transcripts must use the heading, but other exhibits do not need to use the heading. Form **1** in the **Appendix of Forms** shows a sample caption for an interference.

¶ 106.1.2 Style

The style of each paper must appear on a single line and must not use the words "et al". Styles for papers other than motions, oppositions, and replies must be simple and descriptive. Examples for papers other than motions, oppositions and replies include—

- # JONES DESIGNATION OF LEAD ATTORNEY
- # SMITH DESIGNATION OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST
- # JONES REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES
- # SMITH PRIORITY STATEMENT
- # JONES SERVICE OF REFERENCES
- # SMITH CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS (with drawing numerals)
- # SMITH CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS (means-plus-function annotation)

¶ 106.1.3 Paper size

The Board's facilities for maintaining paper records are designed to work best with $8\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" paper. Occasionally an exhibit must be reproduced on a larger paper size to preserve detail. In such instances, a larger paper format is permitted, but the paper should be folded to permit entry as a $8\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" paper.

¶ 106.1.4 Line Numbering

For papers other than exhibits, every page (not including the cover sheet, any table of contents, any table of authorities, required appendices, and the certificate of service) must have line numbering to facilitate precise citation between papers. See, e.g., SO

¶ 122.3.

¶ 106.1.5 Footnotes

The use of footnotes is discouraged. Footnotes must be double-spaced.

¶ 106.2 Combined papers and incorporation not permitted

An opposition must respond to only a single motion and a reply must respond to only a single opposition. Incorporation by reference and combined papers are prohibited to reduce the chance risk of overlooking an argument and to improve the efficiency of decision making. Incorporation of arguments by reference amounts to a self-help increase in the length of the brief and a pointless imposition on the Board's time. Each motion, opposition, and reply must make all arguments accessible to readers, rather than ask them to play archeologist with the record. *DeSilva v. DiLeonardi*, 181 F.3d 865, 866-67 (7th Cir 1999).

The ban on incorporation by reference does not mean that relief in a paper cannot be made expressly contingent on some result arising out of another paper. Indeed, noting such contingencies is strongly encouraged.

¶ 106.3 Transmittal sheets

Do not file a transmittal sheet listing papers being filed *except* an exhibit list may be filed when more than one exhibit is being filed.

¶ 106.4 Service

¶ 106.4.1 Certificate of service

Each paper (other than an exhibit) must have a separate certificate of service, incorporated as the last page of the paper, to permit the Board to verify that each paper has been served.

¶ 106.4.2 Alternatives to EXPRESS MAIL

For service in paper or of electronic media, any mode of service that accomplishes same-day or overnight delivery of the paper (e.g., by hand, facsimile, or a commercial overnight delivery service) may be substituted for EXPRESS MAIL service.

¶ 106.4.3 Papers served but not filed

The following papers must be served on an opponent, but should not be filed with the Board at the time of service—

- # An objection to the admissibility of evidence,
- # A notice requesting cross-examination, and
- # Automatic discovery pursuant to Bd.R. 150(b)(1).

Such papers may be filed later as exhibits if a dispute arises with respect to the paper served. For instance, an objection to the admissibility of evidence may be filed as an exhibit for a motion to exclude. Bd.R. 155(c).

¶ 106.5 Filing in paper

Electronic filing is the default filing mode. SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105. The following

provisions apply only in the unusual situation that paper filing is authorized-

- # The first page of each paper must be pink. Bd.R. 106(b)(1)(ii).
- # The paper must have the two holes required in Bd.R. 106(b)(2).
- # A working copy must also be filed and must be marked "APJ COPY" at the top first page. Bd.R. 106(c).

¶ 108 Lead and backup counsel

The notice identifying counsel under Bd.R. 108(b) must identify both a lead counsel and a backup lead counsel, and must provide for each the contact information specified in Bd.R. 108(b)(1)-(b)(5).

If lead counsel or backup counsel are not counsel of record (37 CFR §§ 1.32 and 1.34) in the involved application or patent, then a power of attorney must be filed with the Board for entry in the involved patent or application file within the **fourteen (14) day** period of Bd.R. 108(b).

¶ 109 Request for file copies

¶ 109.1 Filing the request

¶ 109.1.1 Time for filing request

A party seeking copies of an involved or benefit file mentioned in the Declaration must, within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of the Declaration, file with the Board (not another part of the Office) a separate paper styled [Name of party] REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES to which is attached a completed FILE COPY REQUEST. See Form **4** in the **Appendix of Forms**. Failure to request copies of files at the beginning of the contested case will rarely constitute a basis for granting an extension of time (Bd.R. 4(a)). Thus, a party should not expect an extension of time based on non-receipt of a requested file if the party did not timely place an order for copies or timely advise the Board of non-receipt of a file.
¶ 109.1.2 Deposit Account

A party may charge the cost of the file request against its deposit account. If so, the party should keep the following points in mind.

¶ 109.1.2.1 Authorization to charge Deposit Account

The individual requesting the file must be authorized to charge a fee to the identified

deposit account. "Authorized" means authorized by the records of the Office.

"Authorized" to charge a deposit account is not the same thing as being an attorney of

record in the case—

- # the authorized individual does not have to be a registered practitioner, and
- # a registered practitioner is not automatically authorized to charge a deposit account.

If the individual is not authorized, the Office of Public Records [OPR] will not fill an order

even if the Deposit Account has sufficient funds.

¶ 109.1.2.2 Adequate Deposit Account balance

If the balance in a Deposit Account is not sufficient to cover the costs of the

requested copies, the order will not be filled. 37 C.F.R. § 1.25.

¶ 109.2 Filling record requests

There are at least three kinds of records—

- # Image File Wrappers [IFW], which are downloaded to a disk and sent to the individual who requested a file copy.
- # Paper files, which are copied and sent in paper form to the individual who requested a file copy.
- # "Artifacts", which includes such things as colored documents, plant patent drawings, or video tapes.

Paper files are copied in one part of OPR and IFW files are downloaded to disks in another part of OPR. An order is considered "filled" when—

- # An IFW is downloaded, or
- # A paper file is copied.

Consequently, for the same "file", an IFW portion, an artifact portion, and a paper file portion of the same order may be filled at different times. A party receiving a record from the Office should not be surprised if, for instance, the IFW portion arrives before the paper file portion or the artifact portion.

Patent Cooperation Treaty [PCT] applications

If a benefit or potential benefit application is a PCT application that was filed in the Office, there may be a paper file, but no IFW at this time. If there is a paper file and it is transmitted to OPR, they will copy the PCT paper file and fill an order. The Office does not have copies (IFW or paper) of PCT applications filed elsewhere in the world.

Foreign benefit applications

Foreign benefit applications generally appear as a certified copy in an involved or benefit file. The Office generally does not maintain a separate file for the foreign benefit application. Consequently, before notifying the Board that it has not received a foreign benefit application, a party should first confirm that the application has not been delivered as part of an involved or benefit file.

Copies of a United States patent mentioned in an IFW file

The IFW files generally do not include copies of U.S. Patents cited by the examiner or the applicant. A party receiving a copy of an IFW file should not be surprised that copies of any U.S. patent cited in the IFW record are not included. Copies of any U.S. patent may be obtained through the PTO's website:

http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html

¶ 109.3 Notification on non-receipt

Within **twenty-one (21) days** of the date of the Declaration, the Board forwards all timely requests and necessary files to the Office of Public Records [OPR]. The Trial Division enters an order notifying the parties that their respective orders have been transmitted to OPR. OPR makes the copies, which are shipped via overnight commercial courier within fourteen days of receipt of the order. The shipment may be separated into more than one package. See SO **¶ 109.2**. The transmittal order instructs parties to advise the Board promptly if complete copies are not received consistent with this schedule.

¶ 109.4 Record of contested case

The record for the contested case does not include any involved application or patent or any accorded benefit files, all of which are maintained as separate files. Ordering the record for the contested case will not result in receipt of copies of the involved files. There should rarely be any need for a party to order the record for the contested case during the pendency of the contested case before the Board.

¶ 109.5 Access to paper files at Board

During the pendency of a contested case, involved files (other than files that are maintained as Image File Wrapper records) may be inspected only at the Board. Moreover, paper files are unavailable while OPR is making copies.

¶ 110 Copies of claims

A movant seeking to add a claim must comply with the requirements of Bd.R. 110(c) for the proposed claim.

A movant seeking to have a claim designated as corresponding to a count must provide a clean copy, and where applicable an annotated copy, of the claim as an appendix to the motion unless such copies have already been filed for the claim.

Clean copies of claims and of biotechnology sequences are required because claims and sequences are often spread throughout an application file. In patents, there are often certificates of correction. The filing also provides an early opportunity to identify any divergence between what the party believes its claims to be and what the record actually shows. Annotated copies of the involved claims allow all parties and the Board to understand the precise meaning of limitations in the claims. An example follows:

An apparatus comprising a first valve { Fig. 2, item 25 }, means for printing { page 5, line 8 through page 6, line 1; Fig. 3, items 45 and 46 }, and a second valve { Fig. 3, item 98 } * * *.

¶ 120 Types of notices of basis for requesting relief

The principal types of notices under Bd.R. 120 in interferences are priority statements and motions lists. More detail is provided in Bd.R. 204 and SO **¶ 204**. The Trial Procedures Section will be more flexible in accepting changes in the motions list

than in the priority statement; however, the practice under Bd.R. 120 is intended to be more rigorous than it was prior to the adoption of the current rules on 13 September 2004. An accurate motions list is necessary to provide the Board and the opposing parties adequate notice to plan for the proceeding. Facially inadequate motions lists can no longer be cured by filing whatever motion a party may please whether it was listed or not.

¶ 121 Motions

Relief on the merits of a case must be sought through a substantive or responsive motion. Miscellaneous motions are for seeking procedural relief. All motions require prior Board authorization except unopposed miscellaneous motions, SO **¶ 123**.

¶ 121.1 Title and numbering of motions

Each motion of each party must be numbered consecutively, starting with one, regardless of the type of motion. The title of each motion should state the name of the party, the type of motion, and the motion number. The title of a motion may include a second parenthetical line specifying the nature of the motion. For example—

- # JONES MISCELLANEOUS MOTION 1 (for additional discovery)
- # JONES SUBSTANTIVE MOTION 2 (for judgment based on prior art)
- # JONES RESPONSIVE MOTION 3 (to correct inventorship)
- # JONES SUBSTANTIVE MOTION 4 (for judgment based on lack of enablement)

¶ 121.2 Page limits in motions

The following page limits (not including a table of contents, a table of authorities, required appendices, and the certificate of service) apply—

| # | priority | 30 pages |
|---|---------------|----------|
| # | miscellaneous | 10 pages |
| # | other motions | 20 pages |

¶ 121.3 Burden of proof

In addition to complying with any procedural requirements of the rules and the Standing Order, a movant bears a burden to establish its right to any substantive relief requested in the motion. A motion that fails to comply with applicable procedural requirements may be dismissed without reaching the merits, in which case the issue sought to be raised by the motion is deemed not to have been properly presented for decision by the Board. A motion that, while complying with applicable procedural requirements, nevertheless fails to make out a substantive case may be denied on the merits. A motion may be dismissed or denied without considering any opposition, or may be granted without considering a reply. In an interference, the movant should note the presumptions stated in Bd.R. 207.

While the ultimate burden remains with the movant, the burden of production may shift to the opponent after the movant has made out a facially sufficient case. Similarly, the opponent may bare the initial burden with respect to an issue first propounded in the opposition. For example, a movant seeking judgment of anticipation over a reference bears the ultimate burden of establishing anticipation, but the opponent

arguing that the reference is not enabling will have the initial burden of proof on the

issue of enablement.

¶ 121.4 Format

Each motion must set out in the following order the precise relief requested and an argument setting out the reasons why relief should be granted. Two examples of a precise statement of relief—

- # Jones moves to be accorded the benefit for count 1 of the filing date of application X, filed 22 January 1993.
- # Jones moves for judgment against Smith on the ground that all of Smith's involved claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103 over the combined disclosures of U.S. Patent No. Y and French Patent Z.

¶ 121.5 Appendices

¶ 121.5.1 Appendix 1: List of exhibits

Each motion must include a list of the exhibits cited in the motion. The list must appear as "Appendix 1" to the motion. The list must be ordered by exhibit number. Each exhibit must be listed on a separate line. The listing of each exhibit number should state the exhibit number followed by a concise description of the exhibit. For example:

1048 - Second declaration of Prof. John Doe.

In deciding a motion, the Board ordinarily will not consider an exhibit not listed as provided in this paragraph.

¶ 121.5.2 Appendix 2: Statement of material facts

The statement of material facts for each motion, opposition, or reply must be set forth as "Appendix 2" to the motion, opposition, or reply, respectively. Bd.R. 121(d)(2).

Each fact must be set out as a single, short, numbered declaratory sentence that is capable of being admitted or denied. Citation to the evidence must be specific, for example, by—

- # column and line of a patent,
- # page, column, and paragraph of a journal article,
- # page and line of a deposition transcript,
- # page and paragraph of an affidavit (other than a deposition transcript), and
- # title and chapter number of a DVD.

A motion may be denied if the facts alleged in Appendix 2 are insufficient to state a claim for which relief may be granted. Facts set out only in the argument portion of a motion may be overlooked and may result in a motion being denied. Citations to an entire document or numerous pages of a cross-examination deposition transcript do not comply with the requirement for a specific citation to the record. The Board will not take on the role of advocate for a party, trying to make out a case the party has not adequately stated.

¶ 121.6 Claim chart alternative

As an alternative to a claim chart, a party may reproduce the complete claim in an appendix. Following each limitation in the claim, and within braces { }, insert in bold a specific citation to the information to be compared to the limitation (such as where a prior art reference describes the limitation). Braces { } must be used instead of brackets [] because brackets are used to indicate amended portions of claims in reissue applications.

¶ 122 Oppositions and replies

¶ 122.1 Numbering oppositions and replies

Each opposition must use the same number as the motion it opposes (skipping the number of any motion not opposed), but does not need a descriptive second line. For example (with reference to the examples in SO **¶ 121.1**):

SMITH OPPOSITION 1.

Each reply must use the same number as the opposition to which it replies (again, skipping the number of any opposition for which no reply is filed). For example (with reference to the examples in SO \P **121.1**):

JONES REPLY 4.

¶ 122.2 Page limits

As with motions, the page limits for oppositions and replies do not include a table of contents, a table of authorities, required appendices, or a certificate of service.

¶ 122.2.1 Opposition

The page limits for oppositions are the same as those for corresponding motions-

| # | priority | 30 pages |
|---|---------------|----------|
| # | miscellaneous | 10 pages |
| # | other motions | 20 pages |

¶ 122.2.2 Reply

For replies, the pages limits are-

| # | priority 12 pages |
|---|------------------------|
| # | miscellaneous |
| # | other motions 10 pages |

¶ 122.3 Format

¶ 122.3.1 Opposition

An argument stating the reason why relief is opposed must be made in the following manner:

On page x, lines y-z of the motion, it is argued (or stated factually) that _. The response is _.

¶ 122.3.2 Reply

The argument responsive to statements in the opposition must be made in the

following manner:

On page x, lines y- z of the opposition, it is argued (or stated factually) that $_$. The response is $_$.

¶ 122.4 Appendices

¶ 122.4.1 Appendix 1: List of exhibits

Each opposition and reply must include as "Appendix 1" an exhibit list formatted like the list required for motions, SO **¶ 121.5.1**.

¶ 122.4.2 Appendix 2: Statements of material fact

Each opposition and reply must include as "Appendix 2" a statement of material

facts formatted like the statement required for motions, SO ¶ 121.5.2.

As provided in the following paragraphs, the statement of material fact is a

compilation of all the facts stated for the motion. That is-

- # The statement of facts in an opposition will include the statement of facts from the motion, and
- # The statement of facts in a reply will include the statement of facts from the motion and the opposition.

Since papers should ordinarily be submitted in text-readable, electronic format, the

burden associated with creating the compilation should be relatively small. The

statements appear in appendices and thus do not count toward the page limits. The

last statement of material facts submitted should be a complete compilation of all facts

(and admissions, denials, etc.) relating to the motion.

¶ 122.4.2.1 Opposition

Appendix 2 for the opposition must include a statement of material facts in which,

- # Each material fact alleged in the motion is repeated with concise statement admitting, denying, or stating that the opponent is unable to admit or deny the fact.
- # Any additional material fact upon which the opposition relies, with a citation to the evidence. Any additional material fact must be

consecutively numbered beginning with the next number after the last numbered material fact.

¶ 122.4.2.2 Reply

Appendix 2 for the reply must include a statement of material facts in which,

- # All the material facts stated in the motion are repeated with the opponent's concise statement admitting, denying, or otherwise addressing the motion fact.
- # Each material fact alleged in the opposition is repeated with concise statement admitting, denying, or stating that the movant is unable to admit or deny the fact.
- # Any additional material fact upon which the movant relies, with a citation to the evidence. Any additional material fact must be consecutively numbered beginning with the next number after the last numbered material fact.

¶ 122.5 New issues in replies

A reply that raises a new issue or belatedly presents evidence will not be considered

and may be returned. The Board will not attempt to sort proper from improper portions

of the reply. Examples of indications that a new issue has been raised in a reply

include---

- # new evidence that is necessary to make out a *prima facie* case for the relief requested in the motion,
- # new evidence that could have been included with the motion, and
- # a reply that is longer than the corresponding motion or opposition.

¶ 123 Miscellaneous motions

¶ 123.1 Mandatory conference

Before filing a miscellaneous motion, a party must confer with all opponents and, if agreement cannot be reached, arrange a conference call to the Board official administering the contested case.

¶ 123.2 Timeliness

The movant must explain why the motion is timely.

¶ 123.3 Recording conference calls

The parties, at their expense, may retain the services of a court reporter to record any conference call. A written record is often desirable inasmuch as an oral decision may be made with respect to issues raised during the conference call.

¶ 124 Oral argument

¶ 124.1 Request for oral argument

The time for requesting an oral argument on substantive and responsive motions is normally specifically set in an order. Note that the time set in the order controls over the five-day period set in Bd.R. 124(a). See Bd.R. 104(c).

A precise statement of the issues for which oral argument is requested is helpful in determining whether to authorize a hearing at all and in determining the times to allot to the parties. A request may be granted or denied in whole or in part.

¶ 124.2 Attendance; special needs

A party that does not expect to attend must promptly notify the Board. Such notice must be served on opposing party.

A party should advise the Board as soon as possible before an oral hearing of any special need. Examples of such needs include additional space for a wheel chair or for a stenographer, an easel for posters, or an overhead projector. Parties should not make assumptions about the equipment the Board may have on hand. Such requests should be directed in the first instance to a Trial Division paralegal at 571-272-9797. Ultimately, any special equipment needed for oral argument is the responsibility of the party needing the equipment.

¶ 124.3 Security; access

The parties should consult the Board web page for information regarding parking and security processing:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/docs/contacts/visitation_info.htm Attendees must report to the security station in the lobby of the Madison Building East and present the order setting the hearing along with photo identification to security personnel.

¶ 124.4 Demonstrative exhibits

Four copies (one copy for the record and one working copy for each judge) of each demonstrative exhibit must be filed before, or presented at, oral argument. Demonstrative exhibits must be served at least five business days in advance. Bd.R. 124(d).

Elaborate demonstrative exhibits are more likely to impede than help an oral argument. The most effective demonstrative exhibits tend to be a handout or binder containing the demonstrative exhibits. The pages of each demonstrative exhibit should be numbered to facilitate identification of the exhibits during the hearing, particularly if the hearing is recorded.

¶ 124.5 Transcription of oral argument

Transcription of oral argument is strongly encouraged. The party requesting transcription must arrange for the transcription and pay the costs. Parties are encouraged to share the costs.

When an argument is to be transcribed, the party should notify a Trial Division paralegal assistant (571-272-9797) as soon as possible, but at least one business day prior to oral argument, so that arrangements may be made in the hearing room for the reporter.

The transcriber must use a stenography machine, but may also use a tape recording device as a backup. Microphones at individuals' locations are not authorized.

¶ 125 Rehearing of decisions

¶ 125.1 Time for filing

A request for rehearing must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the decision, Bd.R. 125(c)(1), *unless* a judgment accompanies the decision in which case the request must be filed within thirty (30) days of the judgment, Bd.R. 127(d).

¶ 125.2 Format for request for rehearing

A request for rehearing is, in form, a miscellaneous motion, but no prior conference call is required. The argument responsive to the decision must be made with particularity in the following manner:

On page _, lines _-_, the opinion states _. The opinion is believed to have overlooked [or misapprehended] _. This point was set forth in _ Motion [or Opposition or Reply] _ at page _, lines _-_.

The request must include as an appendix an evidence list setting forth a list (in numerical order by exhibit number) of each exhibit that the party believes was overlooked or misapprehended.

¶ 125.3 Number of requests

A party may file no more than one request for rehearing per motion decision.

¶ 125.4 New evidence on rehearing

Evidence not already of record at the time of the decision will not be admitted

absent a showing of excusable neglect for the belated submission. Bd.R. 4(a).

¶ 126 Settlement discussions required

¶ 126.1 Last-named party initiates

The party named last in the caption set in the declaration is responsible for-

- # initiating any settlement discussions,
- # initially drafting any document, and
- # initiating any conference call required by this paragraph.

The parties may agree to permit another party to undertake the obligations placed upon the last-named party.

The Office encourages settlement of contested cases and has designed this process to facilitate settlement. The last named party in the contested case is required to initiate the mandatory settlement discussion to avoid the perception that initiation of settlement talks indicates weakness.

¶ 126.2 Initial conference

Within **three (3) months** of the date of the Declaration, the parties must conduct a settlement conference and must initiate a conference call with the Board official assigned to the case. During the call, the parties should be prepared to report—

- # the outcome of the settlement discussion;
- # whether the parties are actively engaged in settlement negotiations and, if so, what steps have already been taken toward settlement;
- # whether any settlement negotiations are directed toward obviating the need for filing motions;
- # any issues that are not subject to settlement negotiations; and
- # the status of any settlement negotiations, including how much time might be needed to conclude those negotiations.

The Board official assigned to the contested case is available to facilitate settlement

discussions.

¶ 126.3 Subsequent conferences

Unless a different time is set in an order, within two (2) months after a panel

decision on substantive motions, the parties must conduct another settlement

conference and initiate another conference call with the Board on the conference as provided in the preceding paragraph, SO **¶ 126.2**.

¶ 126.4 Filing notice of conferences

Prior to initiating any conference call required by this paragraph, the parties must file (in cases without electronic filing, preferably by facsimile, SO \P **10.6**) a joint statement indicating that a good faith effort has been made to settle the contested case.

¶ 127 Estoppel; rehearing of judgment

¶ 127.1 Estoppel

A substantively or procedurally inadequate motion does not avoid estoppel under Bd.R. 127(a)(1).

¶ 127.2 Rehearing

The rehearing practice under SO ¶¶ **125.2-125.4** applies to rehearing of judgments as well. The judgment implementing a decision does not provide a second opportunity to request a rehearing of the underlying decision, SO ¶ **125.3**.

Concurrent decision and judgment

Often a judgment implementing a decision is entered with or shortly after entry of the decision itself such that the fourteen (14) day period for requesting rehearing of a decision (Bd.R. 125(c)(1)) runs concurrently with the thirty (30) day period for requesting rehearing of the judgment (Bd.R. 127(d)). In this situation, a single request for rehearing should be filed within the thirty (30) day period set in Bd.R. 127(d). See SO ¶ 125.1.

¶ 128 Sanctions

As with other procedural remedies, a party seeks a sanction by filing a

miscellaneous motion. SO ¶ 123.

Examples of conduct likely to lead to sanctions-

- # filing a petition affecting the merits of a pending contested case with a part of the agency other than the Board (SO ¶ 3.2), and
- # failing to file adequate notice of judicial review with the Board (SO ¶ 8.3),
- # exceeding the Board-authorized scope for compelled testimony or production (SO ¶ 156.3),
- # failing to comply with the Cross Examination Guidelines (SO ¶ 157.5),
- # failure to notify the Board promptly of a common interest (SO ¶ 206), and
- # filing a facially insufficient motion alleging inequitable conduct against an opponent (SO ¶ 208.2).

¶ 150 Discovery

¶ 150.1 Automatic discovery

Automatic discovery (1) places the parties on a level playing field and (2) reduces any difficulty authenticating documents when a party would like to rely on a document cited in an opponent's specification. A party should have access to documents cited in its opponent's specification, but it may be difficult for the party to locate those documents. The parties should be prepared to promptly file copies of the materials served under Bd.R. 150(b).

¶ 150.2 Requesting additional discovery

Discovery before the Board is significantly different than discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A request for additional discovery must be in the form of a miscellaneous motion. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ **123**. The standard for granting such requests is high and requires specific bases for expecting that the discovery will be productive. Bd.R. 150(a) & (c)(1). Additional discovery is rarely authorized because in contested cases, the party usually has equal or better access to relevant information compared to any other source. Additional discovery is most commonly authorized in the context of cross examination. Bd.R. 150(c)(2). Other situations in which additional discovery might be required include proving an on-sale or public-use bar and proving inequitable conduct intent, but these situations require a solid basis for believing the discovery will be productive.

¶ 151 Challenging admissibility

As with other procedural remedies, a party may seek to prevent the entry of evidence (motion in limine) or to exclude entered evidence by filing a miscellaneous motion. See Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶¶ **123** & **155**.

¶ 152 Rules of evidence

¶ 152.1 Official notice not automatic

The Board may exercise its discretion to take official notice of the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Bd.R. 152; Fed. R. Evid. 201(c). No party should proceed on the assumption that the Board will take notice of Office records sua sponte. As with other procedural remedies, a party may seek official notice by filing a miscellaneous motion. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ 123.

¶ 152.2 Hearsay

¶ 152.2.1 Specification

A specification of an involved application or patent is admissible as evidence only to prove what the specification or patent describes. If there is data in the specification upon which a party intends to rely to prove the truth of the data, an affidavit by an individual having first-hand knowledge of how the data was generated (i.e., the individual who performed an experiment reported as an example in the specification) must be filed. This individual may be cross examined.

¶ 152.2.2 Laboratory notebooks

Lab notebooks generally do not fall within the business records exception or the catchall exception. *Chen v. Bouchard*, 347 F.3d 1299, 1308 & n.2, 68 USPQ2d 1705, 1711 & n.2 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

¶ 153 Certification of Office records

Records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office are deemed to be selfauthenticating within the meaning of Fed. R. Evid. 902(4), provided the record is available to each party in the proceeding. Parties generally have an opportunity to obtain copies of the involved and benefit files for the contested case.

¶ 154 Form of evidence

¶ 154.1 Records of the Office

¶ 154.1.1 Must be submitted as an exhibit

Records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, including affidavits filed during examination, are not automatically part of the record before the Board. The first party seeking to rely on the record must submit a copy of the record as an exhibit. As with other exhibits, the opponent will have an opportunity to object to the exhibit. In the case of an affidavit filed during examination, the opponent may cross examine the affiant.

¶ 154.1.2 Reliance on a portion of a file

If a motion (or opposition or reply) relies on any document in the file of a patent or application (including a specification), the entire document must be made an exhibit in the contested case. Each document, however, should be a separate exhibit. Do not submit an entire application file as a single exhibit. A document that is not discussed in the motion (or opposition or reply) must not be filed.

¶ 154.2 Exhibit labels

¶ 154.2.1 Unique and consecutive

Each exhibit from a party must be uniquely and consecutively numbered within the range the Board assigns to the party for the proceeding.

Unless otherwise provided in an order, the party named last in the caption set in the declaration is assigned the range 1001-1999, while the first-named party is assigned 2001-2999.

¶ 154.2.2 Material covered on first page

If an exhibit label covers important material on the first page of an exhibit, a copy of the first page of the exhibit must be reproduced and presented as page 1-a of the exhibit.

¶ 154.3 Filing of exhibits

¶ 154.3.1 Electronically

See SO ¶ 105.2.

¶ 154.3.2 In paper

A set of original exhibits must be filed in a box, an accordion folder, or a comparable folder containing the exhibits in numerical order, separated by a divider that conspicuously identifies each exhibit by number.

If any party requests oral argument, three (3) separate additional sets of exhibits must also be filed; otherwise, one (1) additional set of exhibits must be filed.

¶ 154.3.3 Repeated submission of the same exhibit

Multiple copies of the same exhibit with different exhibit numbers from the same party are prohibited. Bd.R. 7(b).

¶ 154.4 Exhibit list

A current list must be served whenever evidence is served.

The exhibit list must be filed with the exhibits.

¶ 154.5 Evidence on DVD digital disc

Use of a DVD digital disc [DVD] may be appropriate to present some evidence, such as video of a deposition or of an experiment. The Board may also require live testimony before the Board.

¶ 154.5.1 Transcript of deposition

A DVD of a deposition may be filed in addition to, but not instead of, a text transcript of the deposition. Ordinarily the proponent of the direct testimony is responsible for filing the transcript regardless of which party is filing the DVD. Bd.R. 157(f).

¶ 154.5.2 Format

¶ 154.5.2.1 MPEG-2 encoded DVD R media

The Board will not consider a DVD that does not operate on Board equipment. The Board has equipment to operate a DVD properly encoded in MPEG-2 format on DVD R media. The DVD must be indexed (e.g., title and chapter numbers) so that reference to and viewing of a particular portion of the video may be made. Any references to a DVD exhibit must specifically refer to a particular portion in the DVD (e.g., title number and chapter number), analogous to citing a specific particular page and line number in a text transcript. Four copies of the DVD must be filed. One copy of the DVD must be served on all opponents.

¶ 154.5.2.2 Deposition DVD

A deposition DVD must show only the head and upper torso of the witness. Except for breaks, the DVD must contain the entire deposition of the witness. For example, the DVD should show whether the witness took a long time to answer a particular question or had to review documents unless review of documents takes place off the record during a break.

¶ 154.5.3 Costs

A party recording an experiment or other event, including a deposition, is responsible for all costs of preparing the DVD. The proponent of the direct testimony remains responsible for the other reasonable costs of the deposition, including any court reporter and required transcripts. Bd.R. 157(f). If a party records a deposition, but subsequently decides not to file the DVD prepared, another party may cause the DVD to be prepared and must assume responsibility for the costs of having the DVD prepared. The parties may agree to divide the costs of preparing a DVD in any proportion.

¶ 154.5.4 Notice of intent to video-record cross examination

A party that intends to prepare a DVD of cross-examination must file and serve a notice of intent to prepare a DVD on each opponent before the date of the cross examination. The timing of the notice depends on which party intends to video-record the cross examination—

- # If the proponent of the direct testimony, notice must be served at least five (5) business days before the cross examination; else
- # If the opponent, notice must be served at least three (3) business days before the cross examination.

¶ 155 Challenging admissibility

¶ 155.1 Objections

¶ 155.1.1 Objecting to served evidence

An objection to the admissibility of evidence must not be filed except as part of a motion to exclude.

¶ 155.1.2 Waiver of untimely objections

If an objection could have been made before the filing of supplemental evidence and an objection was not made, the objection is waived.

¶ 155.1.3 Responding to an objection

No immediate response to an objection is required other than the filing of supplemental evidence in response to the objection if the proponent of the evidence chooses to do so. Any attack on the correctness of an objection must come in response to a motion to exclude based on the objection. Any other response to an objection is neither necessary nor desirable.

¶ 155.1.4 Serving supplemental evidence

Supplemental evidence responding to an objection to the admissibility of evidence must not be filed until it is used as an exhibit.

¶ 155.2 Motion to exclude evidence; motion in limine

¶ 155.2.1 Form of motion

As with other motions seeking a procedural remedy, motions to exclude and in limine are miscellaneous motions. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO **¶ 123**.

¶ 155.2.2 Content

A motion to exclude evidence must-

- # identify where in the record the objection was originally made,
- # identify where in the record the evidence to be excluded was relied upon by an opponent, and
- # address objections to exhibits (in whole or in part) in exhibit numerical order.

When a timely objection has been made (see SO ¶ 155.1), no conference call is necessary to file a motion to exclude.

¶ 155.3 Time to file objections and motions to exclude

Times for filing objections and for filing and serving motions to exclude are set in the order setting times for motions. Generally, the order will set a later date for filing of objections and motions to exclude than the default time for a miscellaneous motion set in the rule because supplemental evidence may cure any defect in the evidence.

¶ 156 Compelled testimony and production

¶ 156.1 Form of motion

A miscellaneous motion under Bd.R. 156(a) must comply with the requirements for a miscellaneous motion, Bd.R. 121(a)(3), SO ¶ **123**, and must be filed sufficiently in

advance that any authorized testimony can be served with the motion, opposition or reply it is intended to support.

¶ 156.2 Alternative procedures for compelled testimony

If a motion to compel testimony is granted, testimony may be-

- # ex parte, subject to subsequent cross examination, or
- *# inter partes.*

In moving for, or opposing, such testimony, the parties should discuss which procedure is appropriate.

¶ 156.3 Admissibility of compelled testimony and production

Compelled testimony and production will only be admitted to the extent the Board has authorized. Significant deviation from the Board-authorized scope may result in sanctions.

¶ 157 Direct testimony; cross examination

¶ 157.1 Direct testimony as affidavit

Direct testimony, other than compelled testimony, must be in the form of an affidavit. Bd.R.157(a). "Affidavit" is defined to include a declaration or ex parte deposition. Bd.R. 2.

¶ 157.2 Required paragraph for affidavits

Affiants have been known to announce belatedly that appearing for cross examination at a reasonable time and place in the United States might not be possible. Consequently, the following paragraph must be included on the signature page of all affidavits to prevent surprise and hardship to the party relying on the testimony of the

witness:

In signing this [affidavit], I understand that the [affidavit] will be filed as evidence in a contested case before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. I acknowledge that I may be subject to cross examination in the case and that cross examination will take place within the United States. If cross examination is required of me, I will appear for cross examination within the United States during the time allotted for cross examination.

¶ 157.3 Cross examination

The party relying on an affiant must make the affiant available for cross

examination; otherwise, the affidavit may be excluded. The parties must confer to

reach agreement on reasonable times, dates, and locations for cross examination of

witnesses.

¶ 157.3.1 Start date

Unless the parties otherwise agree, cross examination of an affiant may begin no

earlier than twenty-one (21) days after service of the affidavit.

¶ 157.3.2 End date

Unless the parties otherwise agree,

- # Cross examination of an affiant relied upon in a motion other than a miscellaneous motion must occur at least ten (10) days before the opposition to the motion is due.
- # Cross examination of an affiant relied upon in an opposition to a motion other than a miscellaneous motion must take place at least ten (10) days before a reply is due.

¶ 157.3.3 Notice of cross examination

Since cross examination builds on testimony that has already been served, the notice requirement is simplified.

The party seeking the cross examination (rather than the proponent of the testimony) prepares the notice requesting cross examination. The notice must be served at least two business days before cross examination, but must not be filed (except as an exhibit to a miscellaneous motion, SO ¶ 123, in the event of a dispute). The notice must identify the time and place of the deposition, but not the other items required under Bd.R. 157(c)(4).

¶ 157.3.4 Live testimony

Cross-examination might be ordered to take place in the presence of an administrative patent judge. Examples of where such testimony has been ordered include contested cases where inventorship, derivation, or inequitable conduct has been an issue; where testimony has been given through an interpreter; or where close supervision is warranted.

¶ 157.4 Order of cross examination

While a party requesting cross examination may choose the order of the witnesses, Bd.R.157(c)(2), the order must be reasonable.

¶ 157.5 Cross examination guidelines

The **Cross Examination Guidelines** appended to the Standing Order apply to all cross examination in this contested case.

¶ 157.6 Transcript

¶ 157.6.1 Proponent responsible

The proponent of the direct testimony is responsible for securing the services of a court reporter and providing a copy of any transcript to every opponent.

¶ 157.6.2 Filing transcript

An uncertified copy of each deposition transcript must be filed as an exhibit. A certified transcript of testimony need not be filed unless required by the Board.

¶ 157.7 Observations on cross examinations

Cross examination may occur after a party has filed its last substantive paper on an issue (e.g., after the reply). Such cross examination may result in testimony that should be called to the Board's attention but does not merit a motion to exclude. The Board may authorize the filing of observations to identify such testimony and responses to observations. In practice, few parties file observations.

The party taking the cross examination files the observations. The opposing party may file a response to an observation. The opposing party may not file observations without express prior authorization.

An observation must be a concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit (including another part of the same testimony). Any response should be equally concise. An observation (or response) is not an opportunity to raise new issues, to re-argue issues, or to pursue objections. Each observation should be in the following form:

In exhibit _, on page _, lines_, the witness testified _. This testimony is relevant to the _ on page _ of _. The testimony is relevant because _.

The entire observation should not exceed one short paragraph. The Board might refuse entry of excessively long or argumentative observations (or responses).

¶ 158 Expert testimony; tests and data

¶ 158.1 Expert testimony

¶ 158.1.1 Basis for testimony must be provided

Each affidavit expressing an opinion of an expert must disclose the underlying facts or data upon which the opinion is based. Bd.R. 152; Fed. R. Evid. 705. Opinions expressed without disclosing the underlying facts or data may be given little, or no, weight.

¶ 158.1.2 Expert testimony on patent law

Affidavits of patent law experts on issues of law generally will not be admitted in evidence.

¶ 158.2 Tests and data

¶ 158.2.1 Explanation

Explanation of tests or data must come in the form of an affidavit, preferably accompanied by citation to relevant pages of standard texts (which should be filed as exhibits). In addition to providing the explanation required in Bd.R. 158(b), the proponent of the test evidence should provide any other information it believes would assist the Board in understanding the significance of the test or the data.

¶ 200 Interference procedure

¶ 200.1 Patent claim scope

Every claim before the Board, including a patent claim, is given the broadest reasonable scope consistent with the disclosure with which the claim appears.

¶ 200.2 Pendency

Requests for a stay or an extension are viewed with strong disfavor. Delay in one part of an interference may result in shortening of another part of an interference. An applicant may be required to disclaim patent term for a period related to the delay.

¶ 203 Suggesting another interference; adding an application or a patent

¶ 203.1 Suggesting another interference

Occasionally the declaration of another interference between the same parties or a subset of the parties may be appropriate, for instance, because it would be more faster, less expensive, or more fair. A suggestion to declare another interference must be in the form of a miscellaneous motion. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ 123. The motion must comply with the requirements of Bd.R. 202(a). The motion must certify that each opponent has been served with a copy of the application file for the applications or patents that would be involved in the new interference.

¶ 203.2 Adding an application or a patent

A suggestion to add an application or patent to an interference must be in the form of a miscellaneous motion. Bd.R. 121(a)(3); SO ¶ **123**. The motion must—

- # Identify the application or patent to be added;
- # Certify that a complete copy of the application file for the application or patent has been served on all opponents;
- # Indicate which claims of the patent or application should be designated as corresponding to the count (and if there is more than one count, which count); and
- # Explain whether there are alternative remedies; if so, why alternative remedies are not adequate; and what attempts, if any, have been made to have the examiner recommend declaration of another interference involving the application or patent sought to be added to the interference.

¶ 204 Motions list

The motions list is a tool for planning the course of the proceeding, eliminating unnecessary costs and delay, and avoiding abusive practices. All substantive and anticipated responsive motions must be listed on the motions list. No substantive motions or responsive motions may be filed without prior Board authorization. If the need for an unlisted motion arises, the movant should initiate a conference call to obtain such authorization.

¶ 205 Settlement agreements

¶ 205.1 Notice under 35 U.S.C. 135(c)

Notice is hereby given of the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 135(c) for filing in the Office a copy of any agreement "in connection with or in contemplation of the termination of the interference."

¶ 205.2 Petitions under 35 U.S.C. 135(c)

The Chief Administrative Patent Judge decides petitions for acceptance of untimely filed agreements and petitions for access to agreements. A petition under § 135(c) must be formatted as a miscellaneous motion, Bd.R. 121(a)(3), SO **¶ 123**, and served on all parties, Bd.R. 106(e), but no prior Board authorization is required.

¶ 206 Common interests in the invention

The Board generally relies on assignment records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (SO \P **9**) and notices of real parties-in-interest to determine whether common interests in involved cases may require judgment under Bd.R. 206. Failure to notify the Board promptly of a common interest may lead to sanctions, including adverse judgment. Bd.R. 128.

¶ 207 Applicability of prior art

Prior art asserted against an opponent's involved claims is presumed to render the movant's involved claims unpatentable as well. Even if the movant does not adequately contest the presumption, however, the Board may exercise its discretion not to hold the movant's claims unpatentable if in deciding the motion the Board determines the presumption is not appropriate.

¶ 208 Specific substantive motions

The following subparagraphs provide procedural guidance on the necessary content of substantive and responsive motions. Motions must, however, also be substantively sufficient. Thus, even perfect compliance with this guidance does not assure that a movant will satisfy its burden of proof.

¶ 208.1 Obviousness

When obviousness (35 U.S.C. 103) is the basis for a motion for judgment, if a reference does not teach or suggest a limitation, that fact must be explicitly identified as a difference in the statement of material facts. The argument portion of the motion must account for the difference.

An explanation must be made in the body of the motion (not an appendix) why the subject matter of the claim, as a whole, would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art notwithstanding any difference.

Proving subject matter is not obvious

Proving that subject matter is not obvious may require a negative proof: that no prior art in combination with the subject matter of the count render the claimed subject matter obvious. A party may be able to satisfy its burden of production with testimony from a knowledgeable witness certifying that there is no known prior art that would have overcome the differences between the subject matter of the count and the subject matter of the claim.

¶ 208.2 Adding or substituting a count

A motion to add or substitute a count must-
- # Propose a new count.
- # Show why the new count is patentably distinct from every other count the movant believes should remain.
- # For each of movant's claims, show why the claim does or does not correspond to the proposed new count. Bd.R. 207(b)(1). If none of movant's claims would correspond, then contingently move to add no more than one claim that would correspond (SO ¶ 208.5.1).
- # For each opponent's claim that movant believes should correspond to the count, show why the claim corresponds to the proposed new count. If no claim of an opponent would correspond, then contingently move to add no more than one claim to the opponent's involved application or patent.

Accorded benefit

The motion must show why the movant should be accorded any benefit for the

proposed count.

The opponent will be presumed to be entitled to its earliest accorded benefit for a

proposed count. The movant may overcome this presumption by showing in the motion

why the opponent should not be accorded benefit of an earlier application with respect

to the proposed count.

¶ 208.3 Claim correspondence

A change in claim correspondence requires comparison of the claim to a count.

See Bd.R. 121(e).

¶ 208.3.1 Designating a claim as corresponding

A motion to have a claim designated as corresponding to a count must show why the subject matter of the count, if treated as prior art, would have anticipated or rendered obvious the subject matter of the claim. Bd.R. 207(b)(2). If no clean or annotated copy of the claim has been filed, then a clean copy, and where applicable an annotated copy, of the claim must be filed as an appendix to the motion. SO \P **110**.

A movant seeking to have its own claim designated as corresponding to a count may stipulate that the claim corresponds to the count. The Board is not bound to accept the stipulation. For instance, a stipulation of correspondence that constitutes the sole basis for maintaining an interference-in-fact might be viewed with some skepticism.

¶ 208.3.2 Designating a claim as not corresponding

A motion to have a claim designated as not corresponding to a count must show why the subject matter of the count, if treated as prior art, would not have anticipated or rendered obvious the subject matter of the claim. Bd.R. 207(b)(2).

A movant may not seek to have all of a party's claims designated as not corresponding to the count. Instead, such relief should be sought by way of a motion for judgment of no interference-in-fact.

¶ 208.4 Benefit motions

¶ 208.4.1 For additional accorded benefit

A motion to be accorded the benefit of an application for a particular count must-

- # Certify that a copy of the application has been served on each opponent. See Bd.R. 154(b) (requiring certified translation of documents not in English).
- # If the application was originally filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, certify that a complete copy of the application file has been served on each opponent.

Show that the application includes at least one constructive reduction to practice of the count. Bd.R. 201 ("Constructive reduction to practice").

¶ 208.4.2 Attacking accorded benefit

A motion to attack the benefit of an application accorded for a count must explain why the application does not provide a constructive reduction to practice for the count. Bd.R. 201 ("Constructive reduction to practice").

¶ 208.5 Responsive motions

¶ 208.5.1 Adding a claim

The claim may only be added to an involved application or patent. Note the requirement under Bd.R. 110(c) to provide clean and annotated copies of the claim. Adding a patent claim generally requires the filing of a reissue application. If the claim is to be added to the movant's application or patent, an amendment (or if necessary a reissue application, see SO ¶ 208.5.4) must be filed with the motion. A motion to add a claim must—

- # Show the written description for the claim in the disclosure of the involved application or patent to which it would be added.
- # Certify that the movant is not aware of any reason why the claim is not patentable.

A certification that is inconsistent with the prosecution history of an involved or benefit file will be accorded no weight unless the inconsistency is explained. Similarly, if a claim is added to overcome a patentability problem raised in a motion, the motion to add the claim must explain why the proposed claim would overcome the problem.

A motion to add a claim must also comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.75 to the extent they are consistent with the Standing Order. No more than one claim may

be added in response to a motion unless the Board expressly authorizes the addition of more claims.

A claim will not be added if it does not correspond to a count. Correspondence may be stipulated for the movant's claim, but must be shown for an opponent's claim. A showing of claim correspondence requires comparison of the claim to a count. See Bd.R. 121(e).

Opposing a motion to add a claim to an opponent's involved application or patent

If the movant is seeking to add a claim to an opponent's involved application or patent, the opponent may oppose on the basis that the claim is not patentable to the opponent. If the motion is granted, the opponent must add the claim. Failure to add the claim will be treated as a concession that the subject matter of the claim is not patentable to the opponent. Cf. *In re Ogiue*, 517 F.2d 1382, 1390, 186 USPQ 227, 235 (CCPA 1975) (refusal to add claim to permit interference treated as concession).

¶ 208.5.2 Amending a claim

To ensure clarity in the record, amendment of claims is not permitted. Instead, a party may cancel the existing claim and add a new claim as provided above. SO ¶ 208.5.1.

¶ 208.5.3 Substituting an application of the movant

Occasionally it may be necessary to substitute an application for an involved application or patent. For example, the application may be necessary to support an added claim or to provide a better basis for seeking an earlier accorded benefit. A motion to substitute an application must—

- # Identify the application.
- # Certify that a complete copy of the application file has been served on each opponent.

¶ 208.5.4 Adding a reissue application

A motion to add the movant's own reissue application must stipulate that every added claim (compared to the original patent) corresponds to a count in the interference. If the reissue application has not been filed in the Office, it must be filed directly with the Board. The Board will see that a filing receipt is promptly issued. Filing directly with the Board avoids processing delays that might prevent consideration of the motion to add the reissue application. The motion must—

- # Certify that a complete copy of the reissue application file has been served on each opponent.
- # Make the showings required for adding a claim (SO **¶ 208.5.1**).

Ordinarily, the filing of a reissue application in itself will not precipitate a change in the accorded benefit. If the movant believes that addition of the reissue application would entitle it to different accorded benefit, it should file a contingent motion to change the accorded benefit (SO **¶ 208.4**).

¶ 208.6 Priority

A party seeking judgment on the basis of priority must file a substantive motion seeking such relief. Bd.R. 121(a)(1)(iii).

When diligence is an issue in priority, the priority motion must include as an appendix a diligence chart. The diligence chart must (1) list all days from the beginning of diligence through the end of diligence, (2) state what happened on each day, and

(3) cite the page and line of the motion on which the listed day is discussed. In a case where the invention is "B", an example would be:

| Monday, April 5, 2004 | Ordered chemical C | p. 17, lines 4-7 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Tuesday, April 6, 2004 | Waited for chemical C | p. 17, lines 4-7 |
| Wednesday, April 7, 2004 | Chemical C arrived | p. 17, lines 4-7 |
| Thursday, April 8, 2004 | Used chemical C to make "A" | p. 17, lines 7-8 |
| Friday, April 9, 2004 | Sicknot at work | p. 17, lines 18-24 |
| Saturday, April 10, 2004 | Weekend | p. 18, lines 2-8 |
| Sunday, April 11, 2004 | Weekend | p. 18, lines 2-8 |
| Monday, April 12, 2004 | Scheduled vacation | p. 18, lines 2-8 |
| Tuesday April 13, 2004 | Scheduled vacation | p. 18, lines 2-8 |
| Wednesday, April 14, 2004 | Used "A" to make "B" | p. 17, lines 9-15 |

Every date gap in the diligence showing must be explained. The fact that there is a gap does not per se establish lack of reasonable diligence. The fact that there is no gap does not per se establish reasonable diligence.

¶ 208.7 Inequitable conduct

A motion alleging inequitable conduct must make out a facially sufficient case of inequitable conduct or fraud. Additional discovery (Bd.R. 150(c)) or a request to take testimony (Bd.R. 156), asserted to be necessary to make out a facially sufficient case, will rarely be authorized. An allegation of inequitable conduct or fraud that fails to make out a facially sufficient case may result in sanctions or a referral to the Office of Enrollment and Discipline.

/Michael R. Fleming/ Chief Administrative Patent Judge

/Marc L. Caroff/ Administrative Patent Judge

/William F. Pate, III/ Administrative Patent Judge Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Trial Division

/John C. Martin/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Fred E. McKelvey/ Senior Administrative Patent Judge

/Richard E. Schafer/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Teddy S. Gron/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Jameson Lee/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Adriene Lepiane Hanlon/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Richard Torczon/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Hubert C. Lorin/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Carol A. Spiegel/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Romulo H. Delmendo/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Sally C. Medley/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Michael P. Tierney/ Administrative Patent Judge

/James T. Moore/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Linda R. Gaudette/ Administrative Patent Judge

/Mark Nagumo/ Administrative Patent Judge APPENDIX OF FORMS

APPENDIX OF FORMS

Form 1. Standard caption for an interference

Filed on behalf of:

By:

[Name of filing party] [Name of lead counsel] [Name of backup counsel] [Street address] [City, State, and ZIP Code] [Telephone number] [Facsimile number] [Electronic mail address] Paper No. [leave blank]

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

[Name of junior party] ([Involved application or patent number]) Junior Party,

٧.

[Name of Senior party] ([Involved application or patent number]) Senior Party.

Patent Interference [interference number] ([APJ initials])

[TITLE OF PAPER]

Form 2. Typical schedule for motions other than interference priority

| Times shown from date of order. | | |
|---|---------|--|
| The parties may typically change these six times by stipulation TIME PERIOD 1 File substantive motions File (but serve one week later) priority statements | Week 6 | |
| TIME PERIOD 2 File responsive motions to motions filed in TIME PERIOD 1 | Week 9 | |
| TIME PERIOD 3 File oppositions to all motions | Week 15 | |
| TIME PERIOD 4 File replies | Week 21 | |
| TIME PERIOD 5 File request for oral argument File motions to exclude File observations | Week 27 | |
| TIME PERIOD 6 File oppositions to motions to exclude File response to observations | Week 30 | |
| The parties cannot change these three times by stipulation TIME PERIOD 7 File replies to oppositions to motions to exclude | Week 32 | |
| TIME PERIOD 8 | Week 33 | |
| TIME PERIOD 9 Present oral argument | Week 35 | |

Form 3. Typical schedule for priority motions in an interference

Times shown from date of order.

| The parties may typically change these six times by stipulation TIME PERIOD 11 | . Week 6 |
|---|----------|
| TIME PERIOD 12 Senior party only file priority brief and serve (but do not file) priority evidence | Week 12 |
| TIME PERIOD 13 File opposition to priority briefs Serve (but do not file) opposition evidence | Week 18 |
| TIME PERIOD 14 File reply Serve (but do not file) reply evidence | Week 24 |
| TIME PERIOD 15 Request hearing File list of issues to be considered File observations File motion to exclude | Week 30 |
| TIME PERIOD 16 File response to observations File opposition to motion to exclude | Week 33 |
| The parties cannot change these three times by stipulation TIME PERIOD 17 File reply to opposition to motion to exclude | Week 35 |
| TIME PERIOD 18 (Last Time) File and serve the exhibits File sets of priority motions | Week 36 |
| TIME PERIOD 19 Present oral argument | Week 38 |

Form 4. File copy request

FILE COPY REQUEST Contested Case No. [Contested Case number]

Attach a copy of sections E and F of the DECLARATION to this REQUEST. On the copy, circle each patent and application that you are requesting.

Include the following information to facilitate processing of this REQUEST:

- 1. Charge fees to USPTO Deposit Account No. _____
- 2. Complete address, including street, city, state, zip code and telephone number (do not list a Post Office box because file copies are sent by commercial overnight courier).

3. Telephone, including area code: _____

APPENDIX: CROSS EXAMINATION GUIDELINES

Introduction

Cross examination can be a useful tool for determining the facts in a case. In contested cases, direct testimony is usually presented by affidavit, Bd.R. 157(a), while cross examination occurs by oral deposition. Bd.R. 157(b).

Cross examination should be a question-and-answer conversation between the examining lawyer and the witness. The defending lawyer must not act as an intermediary, interpreting questions, deciding which questions the witness should answer and helping the witness formulate answers. The witness comes to the cross examination to be questioned. It is the witness, and not the lawyer, who is testifying.

The cross-examination guidelines below are essentially the deposition guidelines set out in *Hall v. Clifton Precision*, 150 F.R.D. 525 (E.D. Pa. 1993) (Gawthrop, J.) The only significant difference, which results from Bd.R. 157(e)(4), is that certain objections must be noted on the record.

Failure to adhere strictly to these guidelines may be a basis for a sanction under Bd.R. 128, which could include a requirement that the witness, on very short notice, may be directed to appear before the Board or elsewhere, as may be appropriate, coupled with any appropriate award of compensatory damages under Bd.R. 128(b)(6). In addition, cross examination undertaken contrary to these guidelines may result in exclusion of an affidavit from evidence or in the assignment of little, if any weight, to the direct testimony of a witness who was cross examined.

Guideline [1]

At the beginning of a cross examination, the party conducting the cross examination must instruct the witness on the record to ask deposing counsel, rather than the witness's own counsel, for clarifications, definitions or explanations of any words, questions or documents presented during the cross examination. The witness must follow these instructions.

Guideline [2]

A party may not direct or request that a witness not answer a question unless:

- (a) a party has objected to the question on the ground that the answer would:
 - (1) reveal privileged material or
 - (2) violate a limitation the Board has imposed and
- (b) counsel immediately places a conference call to the Board official assigned to the contested case asking for a ruling on the objection.

Under these circumstances, (i) the cross examination shall be suspended, (ii) the conference call immediately shall be placed to the Board official assigned to the contested case, and (iii) all counsel must be prepared to explain their respective positions during the call. The court reporter for the cross examination shall be available to record the conference call and to read back questions to which an objection has been made.

If the Board cannot be reached, then the party directing a witness not to answer shall within **two (2) business days** file with the Board (and not to the Office Mail Room or any other part of the Office) a miscellaneous motion seeking relief. Bd.R. 121(a)(3), SO ¶ **123**. Any opposition must be filed within **two (2) business days** of service of the motion. While a reply can be filed, the motion will likely be decided before the reply is filed.

Guideline [3]

Counsel must not make objections or statements that even remotely suggest an answer to a witness. Any objection to evidence during cross examination must be stated concisely and in a non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner and must include the legal basis for the objection. Examining counsel must not address the correctness of an objection, but may instead continue with questions to the witness, the objection having been noted on the record as required under Bd.R. 157(e)(4).¹

Guideline [4]

Counsel and their witness-clients shall not engage in private, off-the-record conferences during cross examinations or during breaks or recesses, except for the purpose of deciding whether to assert a privilege.²

By way of example, the following comments by defending counsel generally are viewed as suggesting an answer to a witness:

(a) Objection, vague.

- (d) Look at the document before you answer.
- (e) Counsel, do you want to show the witness the document?

The fact that there is no judge in the room to prevent private conferences does not mean that such conferences should or may occur. The underlying reason for preventing private conferences is still present: they tend, at the very least, to give the appearance of obstructing the truth.

¹ With respect to this guideline, the following observation by Judge Gawthrop, 150 F.R.D. at 530 n.10, is highly relevant:

I also note that a favorite objection or interjection of lawyers is, "I don't understand the question; therefore the witness doesn't understand the question." This is not a proper objection. If the witness needs clarification, the witness may ask the deposing lawyer for clarification. A lawyer's purported lack of understanding is not a proper reason to interrupt a deposition. In addition, counsel are not permitted to state on the record their interpretations of questions, since those interpretations are irrelevant and often suggestive of a particularly desired answer.

⁽b) Objection to the form of the question.

⁽c) Take your time in answering the question.

² The term "witness-clients" in the context of this guideline includes all witnesses who are employed by, or otherwise under the control of, the real party-in-interest, including retained expert witnesses, as well as the individual or individuals named in the caption of the contested case. With respect to this guideline, the following observation by Judge Gawthrop, 150 F.R.D. at 528, is highly relevant:

CROSS EXAMINATION GUIDELINES

Guideline [5]

Any conferences that occur pursuant to, or in violation of, guideline [4] are a proper subject for inquiry by deposing counsel to ascertain whether there has been any witness-coaching and, if so, the nature of that coaching.

Guideline [6]

Any conferences that occur pursuant to, or in violation of, guideline [4] shall be noted on the record by the counsel who participated in the conference. The purpose and outcome of the conference shall also be noted on the record.

Guideline [7]

Counsel taking cross-examination shall provide to defending counsel a copy of all documents shown to the witness during the cross examination. The copies shall be provided either before the cross examination begins or contemporaneously with the showing of each document to the witness. The witness and defending counsel do not have a right to discuss documents privately before the witness answers questions about the documents.

APPENDIX: INDEX OF TIMES

Times running from initiation/declaration

| Notice of lead and backup counsel (Bd.R. 108(b)) | 14 days |
|--|---------|
| Clean copy of claims (Bd.R. 110(a)) | 14 days |
| Notice of real party-in-interest (SO ¶ 8.1) | 14 days |
| Notice of related proceedings (SO ¶ 8.2) | 14 days |
| Request for file copies (SO ¶ 109.1) | 14 days |
| Annotated copy of claims (Bd.R. 110(b)) | 28 days |
| Notice of confidential information (SO ¶ 6.2) 2 | months |
| Initial settlement conference (SO ¶ 126.2) 3 | months |

Default times before a triggering event

| Filing of motions list (SO ¶ 104.2.1) before conference 4 business days |
|--|
| Service of demonstrative exhibit before oral argument (Bd.R. 124(d)) . 5 business days |
| Notice of transcription before oral argument (SO ¶ 124.5) 1 business day |
| End of cross examination before opposition or reply (SO ¶ 157.3.2) 10 days |
| List of documents and things for cross examination before conference call (Bd.R. 157(c)(3)) 3 business days |
| Notice of deposition before deposition (Bd.R. 157(c)(4)) 2 business days |
| Conference call regarding interpreter before deposition (Bd.R. 157(d)) 5 business days |

INDEX OF TIMES

Default times after a triggering event

| Notice of change in real party-in-interest (Bd.R. 8(a)(1)) 20 days |
|---|
| Notice of change in related proceedings (Bd.R. 8(a)(2)) |
| Notice of missing or incomplete copies (Bd.R. 109(c)) 21 days |
| Notice of change in counsel (SO ¶ 108) 14 days |
| Service of requested automatic discovery materials (Bd.R. 150(b)(1)) 21 days |
| Objection to admissibility of evidence (Bd.R. 155(b)(1)) 5 business days |
| Service of supplemental evidence (Bd.R. 155(b)(2)) 10 business days |
| Start of cross examination of affiant after service of affidavit (SO ¶ 157.3.1) 21 days |
| Opposition to motion (other than miscellaneous motion) (Bd.R. 123(a)(1)) 30 days |
| Reply to opposition (other than miscellaneous motion) (Bd.R. 123(a)(2)) 30 days |
| Responsive motion (Bd.R. 123(a)(3)) |
| Opposition to miscellaneous motion (Bd.R. 123(b)(2)(i)) 5 business days |
| Reply to opposition to miscellaneous motion (Bd.R. 123(b)(2)(ii)) 3 business days |
| Request oral argument (Bd.R. 124(a)) |
| Request for rehearing of decision (Bd.R. 125(c)(1)) 14 days |
| Identification of arbitrator after arbitration agreement (Bd.R. 126(a)(3)(iii)) 30 days |
| Copy of executed arbitration agreement (Bd.R. 126(b)(4)) |
| Arbitration award after date of award (Bd.R. 126(d)(4)) 20 days |
| Settlement conference after substantive motions decision (SO \P 126.3) 2 months |
| Request for rehearing of judgment (Bd.R. 127(d)) |
| Notice of judicial review (Bd.R. 8(b)) 20 days |

Paper No:_____

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL

| T | Pursuant to Bd. R. | 108(b) and SO ¶ 108, the party Adair designates Doreen Yatko |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| 2 | Trujillo, Reg. No. 35,719, a | as its lead counsel and Michael B. Fein, Reg. No. 25,333, as its |
| 3 | backup lead counsel. | |
| 4 | Please direct all fut | sure correspondence and telephone calls in connection with this |
| 5 | interference to the following | as lead counsel and backup lead counsel: |
| 6 | | Lead Counsel: |
| 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 | If the above-designs | Doreen Yatko Trujillo Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com |
| 16 | Michael B. Fein, can be cont | acted at the same address as given above. |
| 17 | | Backup Lead Counsel: |
| | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | | Michael B. Fein Telephone: (215) 665-4622 Facsimile: (215) 701-2246 mfein@cozen.com |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 20 | | Michael B. Fein Telephone: (215) 665-4622 Facsimile: (215) 701-2246 mfein@cozen.com Respectfully submitted, <u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO Registration No. 35,719 Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Date: February 16, 2010 | Michael B. Fein Telephone: (215) 665-4622 Facsimile: (215) 701-2246 mfein@cozen.com Respectfully submitted, <u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO Registration No. 35,719 Lead Counsel for Adair |

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper was served this date on the party

Carter by Federal Express overnight mail directed to:

SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP Attn: DC Patent Docketing 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Date: February 16, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo

Paper No:_____

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT ADAIR, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS

| 1 | Pursuant to Bd. R. 110(a) and SO ¶ 110, a clean copy of all the claims currently pending | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|
| 2 | in U.S. Application Serial No. 11/284, | 261 is enclosed herewith. |
| 3 | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | <u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> |
| 7 | | DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO |
| 8 | | Registration No. 35,719 |
| 9 | | Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Date: February 16, 2010 | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. | |
| 14 | 1900 Market St. | |
| 15 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | |
| 16 | Telephone: (215) 665-5593 | |
| 17 | Facsimile: (215) 01-2005 | |
| 18 | dtrujillo@cozen.com | |

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper and attachment was served this date

on the party Carter by Federal Express overnight mail directed to:

SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP Attn: DC Patent Docketing 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Date: February 16, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo

| 1 | Claim 24: A humanised antibody comprising a heavy chain variable domain comprising non- |
|----|---|
| 2 | human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and a |
| 3 | human framework region wherein said framework region comprises a non-human amino acid |
| 4 | substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and |
| 5 | combinations thereof, as numbered according to Kabat. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |

Paper No:_____

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR NOTICE OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

| 1 | Pursuant to Standing Order ¶ 8.2, the party Adair hereby states that no application claims |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | the benefit of priority of the filing date of the party's involved application. |
| 3 | Pursuant to Bd. R. 41.8, Adair advises that it is involved in two other interferences |
| 4 | involving applications that claim priority to Application Serial No. 08/846,658, to which the |
| 5 | present application also claims priority. They are Interference Nos. 105,688 and 105,705, |
| 6 | involving U.S. Patent Nos. 5,585,089 and 6,180,379, respectively. |
| 7 8 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 9 10 11 12 13 | /Doreen Yatko Trujillo/ DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO Registration No. 35,719 Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 14 15 16 | Date: February 16, 2010 |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com |

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper was served this date on the party Carter by Federal Express overnight mail directed to:

> SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP Attn: DC Patent Docketing 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Date: February 16, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo
Paper No:

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair

Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT ADAIR, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES

| 1 | A completed File Copy Reques | st, and Sections E and F of the Declaration, are attached. |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | <u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> |
| 7 | | DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO |
| 8 | | Registration No. 35,719 |
| 9 | | Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Date: February 16, 2010 | |
| 12 | - | |
| 13 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. | |
| 14 | 1900 Market St. | |
| 15 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | |
| 16 | Telephone: (215) 665-5593 | |
| 17 | Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 | |

18 dtrujillo@cozen.com

•

¢

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper and attachments was served this

date on the party Queen by Federal Express overnight mail directed to:

SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP Attn: DC Patent Docketing 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Date: February 16, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo

Involved Patent:

6,407,213, issued 18 June 2002, from application 08/146,206, which was filed 17 November 1993, and was based on international application PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992.

Title:

Assignee:

METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES

Genentech, Inc.

Senior Party

Named Inventors:

John Robert Adair High Wycombe, United Kingdom

Diljeet Singh Athwal London, United Kingdom

John Spencer Emtage Marlow, United Kingdom

Involved Application:

11/284,261, filed 21 November 2005

Title:

HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Assignee:

Celltech R & D Limited

The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd. R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior party is responsible for initiating settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.

-3-

Part B. Judge managing the interference

Administrative Patent Judge Sally Gardner Lane has been designated to manage the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).

Part C. Standing order

A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference.

Part D. Initial conference call

A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for **2:00 p.m. on 16** March 2010 (the Board will initiate the call).

No later than **four business days** prior to the conference call, each party shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd. R. 120; Bd. R. 204; SO ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.

A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action. A typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

Part E. Identification and order of the parties

Junior Party

Named inventors:

Paul J. Carter San Francisco, CA

Leonard G. Presta San Francisco, CA

-2-

Part F. Count and claims of the parties

Count 1

A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, 71H, 73H, and 78H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

The claims of the parties are:

| Carter: | | 1-82 |
|---------|---|------|
| Adair: | - | 24 |

The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:

Carter: 30, 31, 60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 70, 73, 77-81

Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1, and therefore are not involved in the interference, are:

Carter: 1-29, 32-59, 61, 64, 65, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74-76, 82

Adair:

None

The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:



Part G. Heading to be used on papers

The following heading must be used on all papers filed in this interference, see SO & 106.1.1:

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

V.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

> > -5-

Form 4. File copy request

1.

2.

3.

FILE COPY REQUEST Contested Case No. [Contested Case number]

Attach a copy of sections E and F of the DECLARATION to this REQUEST. On the copy, circle each patent and application that you are requesting.

Include the following information to facilitate processing of this REQUEST:

Charge fees to USPTO Deposit Account No. ____

Complete address, including street, city, state, zip code and telephone number (do not list a Post Office box because file copies are sent by commercial overnight courier).

50311

Truinllo atko _ OCPPN zen onna Ke-¢ V 00 hia φ ٦) Telephone, including area code: 215 - 665 -

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER DESIGNATION OF LEAD AND BACKUP LEAD COUNSEL

1

CARTER DESIGNATION OF LEAD AND BACKUP LEAD COUNSEL

| 2 | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.108(b) and \P 108 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | Carter hereby identifies the following lead and backup lead counsel in the above-identified | | | |
| 4 | interference: | | | |
| 5 6 7 | Lead Counsel: | Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 | | |
| 7 8 9 | Backup Lead Counsel: | Jeffrey P. Kushan Registration No. 43,401 | | |
| 10 | The respective mailing address, telephone number, facsimile number, and internet e-ma | | | |
| 12 | address for Mr. Ashe and Mr. Kushan are as follows: | | | |
| | By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc. | North Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com | | |
| 13 | Carter is concurrently filing | a paper titled "Carter Submission of Power of Attorney," | | |
| 14 | which includes an appointment of a | ttorney for Mr. Ashe. | | |
| 15 | | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | February 19, 2010 | /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com | | | |

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER DESIGNATION OF LEAD AND BACKUP LEAD COUNSEL" was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by email, to:

> The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER DESIGNATION OF LEAD AND BACKUP LEAD COUNSEL" was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

> Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER SUBMISSION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

CARTER SUBMISSION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

| 2 | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.108(a) and ¶ 108 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | attached hereto is a Power of Attorney and Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b), recognizing | | |
| 4 | for representation the attorney associated with Customer Number 000066181 on U.S. Patent | | |
| 5 | Application No. 08/146,206, filed November 17, 1993, now U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213, issued | | |
| 6 | June 18, 2002. | | |
| 7 | Carter is concurrently filing a paper titled "Carter Designation of Lead and Backup Lead | | |
| 8 | Counsel" in which Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. and Jeffrey P. Kushan are identified as Lead Counsel (Mr. | | |
| 9 | Ashe) and Back-up Lead Counsel (Mr. Kushan) for Carter in the above-identified interference. | | |
| 10 | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 11 12 13 14 | February 19, 2010/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com | | |

1

BI Exhibit 1095

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicants | : | Paul J. Carter et al. | Conf. No.: | 3992 |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Appln No. | : | 08/146,206 | Examiner: | Davis, Minh Tam B |
| Filed: | | November 17, 1993 | Art Unit: | 1642 |
| U.S. Patent N | 0.: | 6,407,213 | Issued: June 1 | 8, 2002 |
| For | : | METHOD FOR MAKING HU | UMANIZED AN | TIBODIES |

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(B)

The assignee of the entire right, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213,

Genentech, Inc., hereby appoints the attorney associated with

Customer Number 000066181

as attorney for the above-identified patent to represent the assignee in any and all interference proceedings and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b), the undersigned states that Genentech, Inc. is the assignee of the entire right, title and interest in the above-identified patent application by virtue of the assignment from the inventors to Genentech, Inc., as recorded in U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213 on June 28, 1994, at Reel 007035, Frame 0272.

The undersigned, whose title is supplied below, is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.

> Respectfully submitted, Genentech, Inc.

Fab. 19, 2010 Date

butulys R. Agan

Name: Title:

Atulya R. Agarwal, Ph.D., J.D. Associate General Counsel, Director Genentech, Inc. Authorized Corporate Signatory

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "**CARTER SUBMISSION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY**" attaching a copy of the Power of Attorney and Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, to:

> The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER SUBMISSION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY" attaching a copy of the Power of Attorney and Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

> Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER NOTICE OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

1

CARTER NOTICE OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

| 2 | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § $41.8(a)(1)$ and ¶ 8.1 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 3 | Carter hereby notifies the Board that any and all right, title, or interest in the involved U.S. | | |
| 4 | Patent No. 6,407,213 is assigned to Genentech, Inc. | | |
| 5 | | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 6 7 8 9 | February 19, 2010 | <u>/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./</u> Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 | | |

16 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF **REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**" was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, to:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST" was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER NOTICE OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

1

CARTER NOTICE OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

| 2 | In accordance with \P 8.2 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), Carter hereby notifies the | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 3 | Board that the following applications claim the benefit of priority of the November 17, 1993, | | | |
| 4 | filing date of Carter's involved U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213. | | | |
| 5 | 1. | U.S. Patent Application No. 08/439, | 004, filed May 11, 1995, now abandoned; | |
| 6 | 2. | U.S. Patent Application No. 09/115, | 800, filed July 15, 1998, now abandoned; | |
| 7 | 3. | U.S. Patent Application No. 09/705, | 392, filed November 2, 2000, now U.S. | |
| 8 | | Patent No. 6,719,971, issued April 1 | 3, 2004; | |
| 9 | 4. | U.S. Patent Application No. 09/705, | 398, filed November 2, 2000, now U.S. | |
| 10 | | Patent No. 6,800,738, issued Octobe | r 5, 2004; | |
| 11 | 5. | U.S. Patent Application No. 09/705, | 686, filed November 2, 2000, now U.S. | |
| 12 | | Patent No. 6,639,055, issued Octobe | r 28, 2003; | |
| 13 | 6. | U.S. Patent Application No. 10/835, | 641, filed April 30, 2004, now abandoned; | |
| 14 | 7. | U.S. Patent Application No. 11/444, | 791, filed June 1, 2006, now abandoned; and | |
| 15 | 8. | U.S. Patent Application No. 11/969, | 430, now pending. | |
| 16 | | | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 17 18 19 20 | February 19, | 2010 | /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac N Suite 210 Reston, VA 2 Tel.: (703) 44 Fax: (703) 46 E-mail: oash | Newton Sq. North 20190 67-9001 57-9002 e@ashepc.com | | |

BI Exhibit 1095

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF **RELATED PROCEEDINGS**" was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, to:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS" was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS

1

CARTER CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS

| 2 | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.110(a), Carter herewith provides a clean copy of | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 3 | Carter's involved claim, <i>i.e.</i> , claims 30, 31, 60, 62, | 63, 66, 67, 70, 73, and 77-81 of U.S. Patent | |
| 4 | No. 6,407,213. | | |
| 5 | | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 6 7 8 9 | February 19, 2010 | /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 | | |

16 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com

Carter Clean Copy of Claims Interference No. 105,744 Page A-1

1 Clean Copy of Carter's Involved Claims in U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213

| 2 | 30. An antibody which binds $p185^{HER2}$ and comprises a humanized antibody |
|----|--|
| 3 | variable domain, wherein the humanized antibody variable domain comprises non-human |
| 4 | Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind p185 ^{HER2} |
| 5 | incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises a Framework |
| 6 | Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, |
| 7 | 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, |
| 8 | 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H and 92H, utilizing the numbering system |
| 9 | set forth in Kabat. |
| 10 | 31. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the substituted residue is the residue |
| 11 | found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which the non-human |
| 12 | CDR amino acid residues are obtained. |
| 13 | 60. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 78H has been |
| 14 | substituted. |
| 15 | 62. A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human |
| 16 | Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen |
| 17 | incorporated into a consensus human variable domain, and further comprising an amino |
| 18 | acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, |
| 19 | 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, |
| 20 | 70H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. |
| 21 | 63. A humanized antibody which lacks immunogenicity compared to a non- |
| 22 | human parent antibody upon repeated administration to a human patient in order to treat a |

BI Exhibit 1095

Carter Clean Copy of Claims Interference No. 105,744 Page A-2

| 1 | chronic disease in that patient, wherein the humanized antibody comprises non-human |
|----|--|
| 2 | Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen |
| 3 | incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises an amino acid |
| 4 | substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, |
| 5 | 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, |
| 6 | 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. |
| 7 | 66. A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human |
| 8 | Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen |
| 9 | incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework |
| 10 | Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, |
| 11 | 73H, 76H, 78H, and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. |
| 12 | 67. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the substituted residue |
| 13 | is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which |
| 14 | the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained. |
| 15 | 70. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 24H |
| 16 | has been substituted. |
| 17 | 73. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 78H |
| 18 | has been substituted. |
| 19 | 77. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 which further comprises amino |
| 20 | acid substitutions at sites 71H, 73H and 78H. |
| 21 | 78. An antibody comprising the humanized variable domain of claim 66. |
| 22 | 79. A humanized variant of a non-human parent antibody which binds an |
| 23 | antigen, wherein the humanized variant comprises Complementarity Determining Region |

Carter Clean Copy of Claims Interference No. 105,744 Page A-3

| 1 | (CDR) amino acid residues of the non-human parent antibody incorporated into a human |
|----|---|
| 2 | antibody variable domain, and further comprises Framework Region (FR) substitutions at |
| 3 | heavy chain positions 71H, 73H, 78H and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in |
| 4 | Kabat. |
| 5 | 80. A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human |
| 6 | Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen |
| 7 | incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework |
| 8 | Region (FR) amino acid substitution where the substituted FR residue: |
| 9 | (a) noncovalently binds antigen directly; |
| 10 | (b) interacts with a CDR; or |
| 11 | (c) participates in the V_L - V_H interface by affecting the proximity or orientation of |
| 12 | the V_L and V_H regions with respect to one another, and wherein the substituted FR residue |
| 13 | is at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, |
| 14 | 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, |
| 15 | 76H, 78H, 92H and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. |
| 16 | 81. The humanized variable domain of claim 80 wherein the substituted residue |
| 17 | is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which |
| 18 | the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained. |

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled **"CARTER CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS"** attaching a clean set of Carter's involved claims was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, to:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER CLEAN COPY OF CLAIMS" attaching a clean set of Carter's involved claims was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: February 19, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES

1

CARTER REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES

| 2 | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.109(a) and ¶ 109.1.1 of the Standing Order (Paper No. | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| 3 | 2), Carter hereby requests copies of the following files: | | |
| 4 | Adair Files: | | |
| 5 | (i) | U.S. Patent Application No. 11/284,261, filed November 21, 2005; | |
| 6 | (ii) | U.S. Patent Application No. 08/846,658, filed May 1, 1997; | |
| 7 | (iii) | U.S. Patent Application No. 08/303,569, filed September 7, 1994, now U.S. | |
| 8 | | Patent No. 5,859,205, issued January 12, 1999; | |
| 9 | (iv) | U.S. Patent Application No. 07/743,329, filed September 17, 1991, now | |
| 10 | | abandoned; | |
| 11 | (v) | PCT Application No. PCT/GB90/02017, filed December 21, 1990; and | |
| 12 | (vi) | UK Application No. GB 8928874.0, filed December 21, 1989. | |
| 13 | Carter | Files: | |
| 14 | (i) | U.S. Patent Application No. 08/146,206, filed November 17, 1993, now U.S. | |
| 15 | | Patent No. 6,407,213, issued June 18, 2002; | |
| 16 | (ii) | PCT Application No. PCT/US92/05126, filed June 15, 1992; and | |
| 17 | (iii) | U.S. Patent Application No. 07/715,272, filed June 14, 1991, now abandoned. | |
| 18 | Please | e ship the files to: | |
| 19 20 21 | | Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North | |
| 22 | | Suite 210 | |
| 23 | | Reston, VA 20190 | |
| 24 | | Tel.: (703) 467-9001 | |
| 25 | | Fax: (703) 467-9002 | |

Carter Request for File Copies Interference No. 105,744 Page 2 of 2

- 1 In accordance with Part H of the Notice Declaring Interference (Paper No. 1) and ¶ 109 2 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), a copy of Parts E and F of the Notice Declaring Interference 3 with a hand-drawn circle around the patents and applications for which a copy of a file wrapper 4 is requested and a copy of completed File Copy Request (SO Form 4) are attached hereto. 5 Respectfully submitted, 6 February 19, 2010 /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. 7 8 Registration No. 40,491 9 Counsel for Party Carter 10 ASHE, P.C. 11 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North 12 Suite 210 13 Reston, VA 20190 14 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 15
- 16 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com

Part B. Judge managing the interference

Administrative Patent Judge Sally Gardner Lane has been designated to manage the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).

Part C. Standing order

A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference.

Part D. Initial conference call

A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for 2:00 p.m. on 16 March 2010 (the Board will initiate the call).

No later than four business days prior to the conference call, each party shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd. R. 120; Bd. R. 204; SO ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.

A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action. A typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

Part E. Identification and order of the parties

Junior Party

Named inventors:

Paul J. Carter San Francisco, CA

Leonard G. Presta San Francisco, CA

-2-

| issued 18 June 2002, from application 6, which was filed 17 November 1993, and was nternational application PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 |
|--|
| FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES |
| ı, Inc. |
| Senior Party |
| ert Adair ombe, United Kingdom |
| gh Athwal nited Kingdom |
| ncer Emtage nited Kingdom |
| 1, filed 21 November 2005 |
| ED ANTIBODIES |
| & D Limited |
| |

.

The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd. R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior party is responsible for initiating settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.

Part F. Count and claims of the parties

Count 1

A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, 71H, 73H, and 78H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

The claims of the parties are:

Carter: 1-82

Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:

Carter: 30, 31, 60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 70, 73, 77-81

Adair: 24

The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1, and therefore are not involved in the interference, are:

Carter: 1-29, 32-59, 61, 64, 65, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74-76, 82

Adair: None

-4-

The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:

| Carter: | PCT/US92/05126, filed 15 June 1992; and 07/715,272, filed 14 June 1991, now abandoned. |
|---------|---|
| Adair: | 08/846,658, filed 01 May 1997; 08/303,569, filed 07 September 1994, issued as 5,859,205 on 12 January 1999; |
| | 07/743,329, filed on 17 September 1991; |
| | PCT/GB90/02017, filed 21 December 1990; and |
| | GB 8928874.0, filed 21 December 1989. |

Part G. Heading to be used on papers

The following heading must be used on all papers filed in this interference, see SO & 106.1.1:

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT ADAIR, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

> > -5-

Form 4. File Copy request

FILE COPY REQUEST Contested Case No.: Interference No. 105,744

Attach a copy of sections E and F of the DECLARATION to this REQUEST. On the copy, circle each patent and application that you are requesting.

Include the following information to facilitate processing of this REQUEST:

- 1. Charge fees to USPTO Deposit Account No. _____50-4028_____
- 2. Complete address, including street, city, state, zip code and telephone number (do not list a Post Office box because file copies are sent by commercial overnight courier).

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

ASHE, P.C.

11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North, Suite 210

_____Reston, VA 20190

3. Telephone, including area code: <u>(703) 467-9001 ext. 201</u>
CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled **"CARTER REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES"** attaching Parts E and F of the Notice Declaring Interference and completed SO Form 4 was filed this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, to:

> The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "**CARTER REQUEST FOR FILE COPIES**" attaching Parts E and F of the Notice Declaring Interference and completed SO Form 4 was served this 19th day of February, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

> Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

February 19, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

| 1 | Filed by: Trial SectionPaper 14 |
|--------|---|
| 2 | Mail Stop Interferences Entered: 23 February 2010 |
| 3 1 | Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences |
| 4 5 | U.S. Patent & Trademark Office D.O. Poy 1450 |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Alexandria} \text{VA} 22313-1450 \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Telephone: 571-272-4683 |
| 8 | BoxInterferences@USPTO.gov |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
| 12 | BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) |
| 16 | Technology Center 1600 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | PAUL J. CARTER and LEONARD G. PRESTA |
| 20 | Junior Party, |
| 21 | Patent 6,407.213, |
| 22 | |
| 23 | V. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | JOHN ROBERT ADAIR. DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL |
| 26 | and JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE |
| 27 | Senior Party |
| 28 | Application 11/284 261 |
| 20 | rippileuton 11/201,201. |
| 30 | |
| 31 | Order $-$ Bd B $109(h)$ $-$ Authorizing conject of Office records |
| 51 | Order – Du.K. 107(b) – Authorizing copies of Office records |
| 32 | (Transmitting file copy requests to the Office of Public Records) |
| 33 | |
| 21 | The requests of the parties for file copies, along with those requested |
| 54 | The requests of the parties for the copies, along with those requested |
| 25 | files at the Reard have been forwarded to the Office of Dublic Records of |
| 33 | mes at the Board, have been forwarded to the Office of Public Records of |
| 26 | the United States Detent and Trademark Office. It is the responsibility of |
| 30 | the United States Patent and Trademark Office. It is the responsibility of |
| 27 | and north to inform the Doord if a complete even is not reasing 1 |
| 31 | each party to inform the Board II a complete copy is not received within 21 |

| 1 | days of this order. Bd.R. 109(c). If a party does not promptly notify the |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Board of missing or incomplete files, the party may not request an extension |
| 3 | of time, Bd.R. 4(a), due to non-receipt of files. |
| 4 | Note that files come in many different formats, see SO \P 109.2, and |
| 5 | thus different files may arrive at different times. |
| 6 | Upon consideration of the receipt of requests for files (see Part H of |
| 7 | the DECLARATION), it is |
| 8 | ORDERED that the notice to the Board that a file copy has not |
| 9 | been received or is incomplete must be transmitted via electronic mail |
| 10 | addressed to BoxInterferences@uspto.gov, with "Attention Paralegal" in the |
| 11 | subject line of the electronic mail message. |
| 12 | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | /Yolunda R. Townes/ Paralegal Specialist Trial Division |
| 19 20 | cc: Office of Public Records, USPTO Revised: 23 January 2006 |

| 1 | cc (via elec | tronic filing): |
|----|--------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Attorney fo | r Carter: |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Oliver R. A | she, Jr., Esq. |
| 6 | ASHE, P.C | |
| 7 | 11440 Isaac | c Newton Square North |
| 8 | Suite 210 | |
| 9 | Reston, VA | 20190 |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Tel: | 703-467-9001 |
| 12 | Email: | oashe@ashepc.com |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Jeffrey P. K | Kushan, Esq. |
| 15 | SIDLEY A | USTIN LLP |
| 16 | 1501 K Stre | eet, N.W. |
| 17 | Washington | n, D.C. 20005 |
| 18 | _ | |
| 19 | Tel: | 202-736-8914 |
| 20 | Email: | jkushan@sidley.com |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Attorney fo | or Adair: |
| 24 | - | |
| 25 | Doreen Yat | ko Trujillo, Esq. |
| 26 | Michael B. | Fein, Esq. |
| 27 | COZEN O' | CONNOR P.C. |
| 28 | 1900 Marke | et Street |
| 29 | Philadelphi | a, PA 19103 |
| 30 | ×. | |
| 31 | Tel: | 215-665-5593 |
| 32 | Email: | <u>dtrujillo@cozen.com</u> |
| | | |

Paper 15 Filed: 24 February 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTIA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILGEET SINGH ATHWAL, and JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ORDER – Miscellaneous – 104(a)

A conference call was held on 24 February 2010 at approximately 10:30 am.

Paritcipating in the call were:

(1) Oliver Ashe and Jeffrey P. Kushan for Junior Party Carter,

(2) Doreen Trujillo and Michael B. Fein for Senior Party Adair, and

(3) Sally Gardner Lane, Administrative Patent Judge.

There was a delay in receipt of the declaration of the interference and other relevant papers by Carter. Moreover, the parties expressed that other delays have been caused by the major snowstorm that occurred in the Washington, D.C. area the week of February 8th.

The parties moved for a delay of the initial conference call. The motion is GRANTED.

lt is

ORDERED that the conference call is rescheduled for 15 April 2010 at 10:00 am, and

FURTHER ORDERED that the call will be initiated by the Board.

/Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

cc (via overnight delivery):

Attorney for Carter:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190

 Tel:
 703-467-9001

 Email:
 oashe@ashepc.com

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

| Tel: | 202-736-8914 |
|--------|--------------------|
| Email: | jkushan@sidley.com |

Attorney for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Michael B. Fein, Esq COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel:215-665-5593Email:dtrujillo@cozen.com

Paper 16

Filed 3 March 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTIA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILGEET SINGH ATHWAL, and JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ORDER – Miscellaneous – 104(a)

A conference call was held on 3 March 2010 at approximately 2:30 pm.

Participating in the call were:

- (1) Oliver Ashe for Carter,
- (2) Doreen Trujillo for Adair, and
- (3) Sally Gardner Lane, Administrative Patent Judge.

-1-

The parties asked for the call to determine if they are required to file an annotated copy of the claims, and if so, for additional time to file the annotated copy.

Parties are required to file "[f]or each involved claim having a limitation that is illustrated in a drawing or biotechnology material sequence, file an annotated copy of the claim indicating in bold face between braces ({}) where each limitation is shown in the drawing or sequence." Bd. R. 110(b)(1).

The parties indicated that, and a review of the claims indicates, that the claims do not recite any particular sequence. (Clean claim copies, Adair at Paper 5 and Carter at Paper 12). Under these particular circumstances, the parties need not file annotated copies of the claims.

It is

ORDERED that the parties need not file annotated copies of the claims. Bd. R. 110(b)(1).

> /Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

-2-

cc (electronic filing):

Attorney for Carter:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190

Tel: 703-467-9001 Email: <u>oashe@ashepc.com</u>

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: 202-736-8914 Email: jkushan@sidley.com

Attorney for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Michael B. Fein, Esq. COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: 215-665-5593 Email: <u>dtrujillo@cozen.com</u> Email: <u>mfein@cozen.com</u> Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: March 16, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE FILE COPIES

1

20

21

CARTER NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE FILE COPIES

2 In accordance with the instructions in the Order dated February 23, 2010 (Paper No. 14,

3 Order - Bd.R. 109(b) - Authorizing copies of Office records), Carter hereby notifies the Board

- 4 that Carter has received a copy of all requested file histories.
- 5 The following papers are either missing from the files, incomplete (*e.g.*, page(s) missing),
- 6 or illegible:

| File | Paper requested | Reason for request |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| GB 8928874.0 to Adair et al. | GB Application No. 8928874.0, filed December 21, 1989. | Illegible (faint text) |
| USSN 08/303,569 to Adair et al.Office Action mailed May 16, 1995 (Paper No. 37).Page 1 (front page) | | Page 1 (front page) is missing |
| | Protest Under 37 CFR § 1.291, filed April 1, 1997 (Paper No. 45). | Missing |
| | Communication mailed June 23, 1997 (Paper No. 46). | Missing |

7 In view of the substantive nature of the above-identified documents, Carter respectfully 8 requests the Board to promptly forward copies of these documents to the undersigned. In the 9 event the Board does not have immediate access to these documents, immediate production 10 should be required from Adair. See Bd.R. 150. The documents should be directed to: 11 Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. 12 ASHE. P.C. 13 11440 Isaac Newton Square North 14 Suite 210 15 Reston, VA 20190 16 Please charge any associated costs to Deposit Account No. 50-4028. 17 Respectfully submitted, 18 March 16, 2010 /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. 19

Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE FILE COPIES" was filed this 16th day of March, 2010, by e-mail, to:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

March 16, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE FILE COPIES" was served this 16th day of March, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

March 16, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com Paper No:_____ Date Filed: March 16, 2010

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT ADAIR, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE FILE COPIES

| 1 | In accordance with the instructions in the Order dated February 23, 2010 (Paper No. 14, |
|----|---|
| 2 | Order - Bd.R. 109(b) - Authorizing copies of Office records), Adair hereby notifies the Board |
| 3 | that Adair has received a copy of all requested file histories. However, as indicated in the |
| 4 | attached documents, Adair has not received most of the references cited by or to the Patent |
| 5 | Office in the file history of U.S. Application Serial No. 07/715,272. References Adair received |
| 6 | are crossed-out. Also, Adair was only able to open the first 2230 of 6819 pages of the file |
| 7 | wrapper for Application Serial No. 08/146,206. For pages beyond 2230, there is a message of an |
| 8 | I/O error. Both file histories were supplied on diskette. |
| 9 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 10 | |
| 11 | /Doreen Yatko Truiillo/ |
| 12 | DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO |
| 14 | Registration No. 35,719 |
| 15 | Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Date: March 16, 2010 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. |
| 20 | 1900 Market St. |
| 21 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 22 | Lelephone: (215) 665-5593 |
| 23 | racsimile: (213) /01-2003 |
| ∠4 | |

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper and attachments was served this

date on the party Carter by electronic mail directed to :

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703)467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com

Date: March 16, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo

| ę | |
|---|--|
| 10 N COISE C | 100 |
| 10 m ke | PATENT DOCKET 709 |
| IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AN | ND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
| In re Application of | Group Art Unit: RECEIVED |
| S MAY | MAY (1 8 1992 |
| Paul J. Carter et al. |) Examiner: MAT O O W/L |
| Serial No. 07/715272 | GROUP 180 |
| Filed: June 14, 1991 | |
| For: Immunoglobulin Variants | , 9 460 Point San Bruno Boulevard 9 South San Francisco, CA 94080 9 (415) 266-2614 9 |
| INFORMATION DISCLOSUE | IE STATEMENTE by certify that this correspondence is being |
| Honorable Commissioner of Patents | caposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Com- |
| and Trademarks | missioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, |
| Washington, D.C. 20231 | (Date of Deposit) |
| Sir: | LOUISE STRASBAUGH |
| The following items are supplied to the United Sta | ates Patent and Trademark Office to advance |
| the prosecution of the subject application. | Signature of Depositing Party |
| Chothia et al., J. Mol. Biol. 186:651-663 (1985) | April 30, 1992 |
| Novotny and Haber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:4592 | 2-4596 (1985) Date of Signature |
| Cabilly et al., U.S. patent No. 4,816,567 | |
| Morrison, S. L. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:68 | 51-8855 (1984) - |
| Boulianne, G. L. <i>et al., Nature</i> 312 :643-646 (1984) | |
| Neuberger, M. S. <i>et al., Nature</i> 31 4:268-270 (1985) | |
| Brüggemann, M. <i>et al.</i> , J. Exp. Med. 166:1351-1361 (1 | 987) |
| Riechmann, L. <i>et al., Nature</i> 332: 323-327 (1988) | |
| Love et al., Methods in Enzymology 178:515-527 (1989 | |
| Bindon et al., J. Exp. Med. 168:127-142 (1988) | |
| Jones, P. T. et al., Nature 321:522-525 (1986) | |
| Verhoeyen, M. <i>et al., Science</i> 239 :1534-1536 (1988) | |
| Hale, G. et al., Lancet i:1394-1399 (1988) | |
| Queen, C. et al., Proc. Natl: Acad. Sci. USA-86:10029- | 10033 (1989)[,] |
| Co et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2869-2873 (19 | 91) |
| Gorman et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:4181-418 | 5 (1991) |
| Daugherty et al., Nucleic Acids Research 19(9):2471-24 | 76 (1991) |
| | |

.

161 of 1849

۰.

.....

07/715272

÷

Brown et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2663-2667 (1991)

Junghans et al., Cancer Research 50:1495-1502 (1990)

Davies, D. R. et al., Ann. Rev. Biochem. 59:439-473 (1990)

Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol. Biol. 196:901-917 (1987)

Chothia, C. et al., Nature 342:877-883 (1989)

Tramontano, A. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990)

Margolies et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72:2180-2184 (1975)

Pluckthun, Biotechnology 9:545-51 (1991)

Spiegelberg et al., Biochemistry 9:4217-4223 (1970)

Wallick et al., J. Exp. Med. 168:1099-1109 (1988)

Sox et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 66:975-982 (1970)

Margni et al., Ann. Rev. Immunol.6:535-554 (1988)

Fendly, B. M. et al., Cancer Res. 50:1550-1558 (1990)

Neuberger et al., Nature 312:604-608 (1984)-

Takeda et al., Nature 314:452-454 (1985) --

Snow and Amzel, *Protein: Structure, Function, and Genetics* 1:267-279, Alan R. Liss, Inc. pubs. (1986)

Cheetham, J., Protein Engineering, 2(3): 170-172 (1988)

WO 91/09967, pub. 07/11/91, Adair et al.

One copy of each item cited above is supplied, along with a completed Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to make the citations of record.

This submission is understood to complement the results of the Examiner's own independent search. The submission of this Disclosure Statement should not be construed as a representation that a search was made, or that the cited itms are inclusive of all the relevant and amterial citations that may be available publicly.

The citation of any item is not an admission that the item is prior art. The right is reserved to antedate any item in adherence with standard procedures.

Respectfully submitted, GENENTECH, INC.

Carolyn R. Adler Reg. No. 32,324

Dated: April 30, 1992

| | | | | | 1 | | | | |
|--|-----|--------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|
| FORM PTO-1449 | | | L | U.S. Dept. of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office | | Atty Docket No. 709 | | Serial No. 07/715,272 | |
| LIST OF DISCLOSURES CITED BY APPLICANT | | | | Applicant Paul J. Carter et al. | | | :14 | | |
| (Use several sheets if necessary) | | | sary) (S | | Filing June 14 | Date , 1991 | | Group 1806 | |
| | | ····· | k | U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | . | | | | |
| *Examiner Initials | | Document Number | Date | Name | | Class | Subclass | Filin | g Date |
| | AA | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | ······ | | |
| | AB | | | | | | | | |
| | AC | | | | | | | | |
| | AD | | | | | | | | |
| | AE | | | | | | | | |
| | AF | | | | | | | | |
| | AG | | | | | | | | |
| | AH | | | | | | | | |
| | AI | | | | | | | | |
| | AJ | | | | | | | | |
| | AK | | | | | | | | |
| | r | | 1 | FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS | | | , | | |
| | | Document Number | Date | Country | | Class | Subclas | Trans s Yes | lation No |
| OF | AL | WO 90/07861 | 7/26/90 | PCT | | · | | | |
| | AM | | | | | | | | |
| | AN | | | | | | | | |
| | AO | | | | | | | | |
| | AP | | | | | | | | |
| | | отн | ER DISCLO | SURES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent | Pages, Et | :c.) | | | |
| QP | AR | Carter <u>et al., Pr</u> | oc. Natl. | <u>Acad. Sci.,</u> 89: 4285-4289 (1992) | | | | | |
| | AS | | | | | | , | | <u></u> |
| | AT | | | | | <u> </u> | | | ····· |
| | AU | | | | | | | | |
| | AV/ | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | ······ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | AW | | | | | | | ····· | |
| | AX | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner | L | | \swarrow | Date Consider | ed ,//. | na | 102 | | |
| | | | F | | 4/1 | ×1/ | \sim | | |

*Examiner: Initial if reference-considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

TO SEPARATE, D TOP AND BOTTOM EDGES, SNAP-APART AN SCARD CARBON GROUP ARY UNIT ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NUMBER FORM PTO-892 (REV. 2-92) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ERIAL N H Ĉ 15270 NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED ą £ U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS SUB-FILING DATE IF CLASS DOCUMENT NO. DATE NAME ٠ A B ¢ D E F . - · G H ۰. L FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS ERTINENT SUB-CLASS COUNTRY NAME CLASS • DATE SHTS. PP. DWG SPEC. DOCUMENT NO. M N ο Q OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) ഷ്ട്ര an ith this office action. on 707.05 (a).) man of the ۸ 12

1.1

BI Exhibit 1095

Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com Paper No:_____ March 18, 2010

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR NOTICE OF PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

| 1 | Adair is today forwarding, by overnight mail, copies of the following documents that |
|----------|---|
| 2 | Carter identified as missing from the file history of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/303,569 in |
| 3 | "Carter Notice of Incomplete File Copies" filed March 16, 2010: |
| 4 | 1. Office Action mailed May 16, 1995(Paper No. 37), Page 1 (front page) and Office |
| 5 | Action; |
| 6 | 2. Protest Under 37 CFR § 1.291, filed April 1, 1997 ("Protest", Paper No. 45); and |
| 7 | 3. Communication mailed June 23, 1997 (Paper No. 46). |
| 8 | As discussed and agreed to by Mr. Ashe, all attachments to the Protest, with the exception of the |
| 9 | two U.S. patents, were also forwarded. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Respectfully submitted, |
| ⊥∠ 13 | |
| 14 | /Doreen Yatko Trujillo/ |
| 15 | DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO |
| 16 | Registration No. 35,719 |
| 17 | Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 18 | Deter Eshmann 16, 2010 |
| 20 TA | Date: February 16, 2010 |
| 21 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. |
| 22 | 1900 Market St. |
| 23 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 24 | Telephone: (215) 665-5593 |
| 25 | Facsimile: (215) 01-2005 |
| 26 | dtrujillo@cozen.com |



Certificate of Service

This will certify that a true copy of this paper and the documents referenced

herein were served this date on the party Carter by Federal Express overnight mail directed to:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703)467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com

Date: March 18, 2010

<u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo Filed on behalf of: By: Adair Doreen Yatko Trujillo Michael B. Fein Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 dtrujillo@cozen.com Paper No:_____ Filed: April 9, 2010

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ADAIR MOTIONS LIST

| 1 | Pursuant to the Declaration of Interference, Bd. R. 120, Bd. R. 204(B), and SO ¶¶ |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 2 | 104.2.1., 120, and 204, Adair submits the following list of proposed motions. |
| 3 | 1. Motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) and SO ¶ 208.2 to add the following count: |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 | A humanized antibody light chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at residue 58L, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. |
| 11 | 2. Contingent upon the granting of Motion 1, a motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) |
| 12 | and SO \P 208.2 to add the following claim to Adair's application: |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Claim 26 (new). A humanised antibody comprising a light chain variable domain comprising non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises a non-human amino acid substitution at residue 58 as numbered according to Kabat. |
| 19 | 3. Contingent upon the granting of Motion 1, a motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) |
| 20 | and SO \P 208.2 to designate the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213 ("the '213 |
| 21 | patent") as corresponding to the new count: 1-3, 9, 38, and 39. |
| 22 | 4. Motion under Bd. Rule $121(a)(1)(iii)$ and SO ¶ 208.6 for judgment on priority. |
| 23 | 5. Motion under Bd. Rule $208(a)(2)$ and SO \P 208.3.1 to designate claims 65 (as |
| 24 | corrected by the Certificate of Correction to depend from claim 79), 68, 71, 75, 76, and |
| 25 | 82 of the '213 patent as corresponding to Count 1. |
| 26 | 6. Motion under Bd. Rule $121(a)(1)(iii)$ for judgment that the claims of the '213 |
| 27 | patent designated as corresponding to the count are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112, |
| 28 | first paragraph, for failure to comply with the written description requirement. There is |
| 29 | no written descriptive support in the specification of the '213 patent for the subgenus of |
| 30 | residues recited in the involved claims. In particular, there is no written descriptive |

| 1 | support for the subgenus of residues recited in claim 30 regarding an antibody which |
|----|---|
| 2 | binds p185 ^{HER2} . |
| 3 | 7. Motion under Bd. R. 121(a)(1)(iii) for judgment that all involved claims of the |
| 4 | '213 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by, or, under 35 |
| 5 | U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over, at least one or more of the following references, or |
| 6 | combinations thereof: |
| 7 | • Slide presentation by Dr. Riechmann at the "Advances in the Application |
| 8 | of Monoclonal Antibodies in Clinical Oncology" held at the Wolfson Institute in |
| 9 | London, England May 6-8, 1987 (as evidenced by Declaration of Dr. Riechmann |
| 10 | submitted by Genentech, Inc. in the Opposition of European Patent No. |
| 11 | 451,216B1, and present in the file history of the '213 patent); |
| 12 | • Chothia et al., J. Mol. Biol., 186:651-663 (1985); |
| 13 | • Sheriff et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 84:8075-8079 (1987); |
| 14 | • WO 88/09344 (published December 1, 1988); |
| 15 | • Foote et al., <i>Nova acta Leopoldina 61</i> , 269:103-110 (1989); |
| 16 | • Queen et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 86:10029-10033 (1989); |
| 17 | • WO 90/07861 (published July 26, 1990); |
| 18 | • Co et al., <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> ,88:2869-2873, April, 1991; |
| 19 | Adair's position is that the '213 patent is not entitled to priority earlier than its filing date |
| 20 | of June 15, 1992. If the '213 patent is entitled to priority, then WO 90/07861 and Co et |
| 21 | al. become references under 35 U.S.C. §102(a). |
| 22 | 8. Motion under Bd. R. 121(a)(1)(iii) for judgment that claims of the '213 patent |
| 23 | are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by, or, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) |
| | |

170 of 1849

| 1 | as obvious over, at least one or more of the following references, or combinations thereof |
|----|--|
| 2 | with each other or in combination with one or more of the references listed in paragraph |
| 3 | 7: |
| 4 | • U.S. Patent No. 5,132,405 (priority to May 21, 1987); |
| 5 | • U.S. Patent No. 6,548,640 (priority to May 3, 1988); |
| 6 | • U.S. Patent No. 6,632,927 (priority to December 21, 1990); and |
| 7 | • U.S. Patent No. 5,859,205 (priority to December 21, 1990). |
| 8 | 9. Motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) and SO 208.2 to add an additional count, |
| 9 | wherein the additional count is directed to the subject matter of claim 1 of U.S. Patent |
| 10 | No. 6,639,055 ("the '055 patent") or claim 24 of U.S. Application Serial No. 11/284,260. |
| 11 | The '055 patent claims priority to the '213 patent. Adair is currently pursuing an |
| 12 | interference with the '055 patent in their Application Serial No. 11/284,260. |
| 13 | 10. Contingent upon the granting of Motion 9, a motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) |
| 14 | and 203(d) and SO ¶¶ 123 and 203.2 to add the '055 patent. |

| 1 | 11. Contingent u | pon the granting of Motion 9, a motion under Bd. R. 208(a)(2) |
|--------|---------------------------|---|
| 2 | and 203(d) and SO ¶¶ 12 | 23 and 203.2 to add Adair Application Serial No. 11/284,260. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 5 | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | /Doreen Yatko Trujillo/ |
| 8 | | DOREEN YATKO TRUJILLO |
| 9 | | Registration No. 35,719 |
| 10 | | Lead Counsel for Adair |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Date: April 9, 2010 | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Cozen O'Connor P.C. | |
| 15 | 1900 Market St. | |
| 16 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | |
| 17 | Telephone: (215) 665-5593 | |
| 18 | Facsimile: (215) 701-2005 | |
| 19 | dtrujillo@cozen.com | |

| 1 | Certificate of Service | |
|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| 3 | This w | rill certify that a true copy of this paper was served this date on the party |
| 4 | Carter by electronic n | nail directed to: |
| 5 | | Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. |
| 6 | | ASHE, P.C. |
| 7 | | 11440 Isaac Newton Square North |
| 8 | | Suite 210 |
| 9 | | Reston, VA 20190 |
| 10 | | Tel.: (703)467-9001 |
| 11 | | Fax: (703) 467-9002 |
| 12 | | E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com |
| 13 | | L |
| 14 | | |
| 15 16 17 18 | Date: April 9, 2010 | <u>/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/</u> Doreen Yatko Trujillo |
| 19 | | |

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: April 9, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER MOTIONS LIST

1

CARTER MOTIONS LIST

| 2 | Pursuant to Part D of the Declaration of Interference (Paper No. 1), 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.120 |
|----|--|
| 3 | and 204, and ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120, and 204 of the Standing Order (Paper No. 2), Carter herein |
| 4 | provides a list of motions that Carter presently intends to file. |
| 5 | 1. A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(1)(iii) seeking judgment that Adair's claim |
| 6 | 24 is not patentable to Adair under 35 U.S.C. § 135(b)(1). The claims presented by Adair prior |
| 7 | to the critical date were not patentable to Adair and/or were not the same as or for the same or |
| 8 | substantially the same subject matter as any of the involved claims of Carter's U.S. Patent No. |
| 9 | 6,407,213 ("the '213 patent"). Adair's involved claim 24 was presented over three years after |
| 10 | the issuance of the involved Carter '213 patent and is not entitled to the benefit of any pre- |
| 11 | critical date claim. For at least these reasons, Adair claim 24 is barred under 35 U.S.C. |
| 12 | § 135(b)(1). This motion should be treated as raising a threshold issue. 37 C.F.R. § 41.201. |
| 13 | 2. A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(1)(iii) seeking judgment that Adair's |
| 14 | involved claim 24 is not patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failure to satisfy |
| 15 | the written description requirement. Adair's involved specification does not describe a |
| 16 | humanized antibody wherein a "framework region comprises a non-human amino acid |
| 17 | substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and |
| 18 | combinations thereof" as recited in Adair's involved claim 24. This motion should be treated as |
| 19 | raising a threshold issue. 37 C.F.R. § 41.201. |
| 20 | 3. A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(1)(iii) seeking judgment that Adair's |
| 21 | involved claim 24 is not patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, because it is |
| 22 | indefinite in its recitation of a humanized antibody wherein a "framework region comprises a |
| | |

BI Exhibit 1095

Carter Motions List Interference No. 105,744 Page 2 of 3

1 non-human amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49,

2 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof."

4. A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(1)(iii) seeking judgment that, applying a
two-way test, an interference-in-fact does not exist between Adair's involved claim 24 and any
of Carter's involved patent claims. This motion should be treated as raising a threshold issue.
37 C.F.R. § 41.201.

A motion under 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.121(a)(1)(i) and 41.208(a)(2) to designate
Carter's involved '213 patent claims 30, 31, 60, 62, 63, 67, 80 and 81 as not corresponding to
Count 1. Count 1, if treated as prior art, would not have anticipated or rendered obvious the
subject matter of these claims.

A motion under 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.121(a)(1)(ii) and 41.208(a)(3) to deny Adair
priority benefit of Adair U.K. Application No. 8928874.0 and/or PCT/GB90/02017 because the
applications do not provide a described and/or enabled anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102(g)(1) of
the subject matter of Count 1.

7. 15 A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(1)(iii) seeking judgment that Adair's 16 involved claim 24 is not patentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. The primary references 17 to be addressed in the motion are Chothia et al., Nature, 342:877-883 (1989) and Tramontano et 18 al., J. Mol. Biol., 215:175-182 (1990) and, possibly, other related evidence under 35 U.S.C. 19 102(g). This motion may, in part, address whether Adair claim 24 is entitled to the benefit of 20 one or more of its earlier-filed applications under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119/120. In the event Carter 21 determines that additional primary references will be addressed in this motion, Carter will 22 promptly give notice to Adair and the Board and serve copies of such references on Adair.

Carter Motions List Interference No. 105,744 Page 3 of 3

8. A motion under 37 C.F.R. § 41.208(a)(4) seeking judgment based on derivation
 and/or priority.

| 3 | 9. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.127(a)(1), the Board should be aware that Adair ha | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4 | requested an interference between Adair's U.S. Patent Application 11/284,260 ("the '260 | | |
| 5 | application") and Carter's U.S. Patent 6,639,055 ("the '055 patent"). In an Office Action date | | |
| 6 | December 28, 2009, concerning the Adair '260 application, the Examiner correctly noted that | | |
| 7 | examination of the Adair application has not been completed as required under 37 C.F.R. | | |
| 8 | § 41.102(a) and that consideration of a potential interference is premature, citing MPEP 2303. | | |
| 9 | Moreover, in the event such an interference is declared, Carter would maintain that Adair lacks | | |
| 10 | standing to provoke or maintain an interference with the Carter '055 patent and that Adair's | | |
| 11 | claim is not patentable to Adair. | | |
| 12 | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 | April 9, 2010/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 | | |
| 23 | E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com | | |

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER MOTIONS LIST" was filed this 9th day of April, 2010, by e-mail, to:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

April 9, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER **MOTIONS LIST**" was served this 9th day of April, 2010, by e-mail, on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

April 9, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: April 26, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER'S TEST DOCUMENT PURSUANT TO WEB PORTAL INSTRUCTIONS

Paper 23 Filed 27 April 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTIA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILGEET SINGH ATHWAL, and JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

Order - Motion Times - Bd.R. 104(c)

A. Conference call

A conference call was held on 22 April 2010 at approximately 2:00 p.m.

Participating in the call were:

- (1) Oliver Ashe and Jeffrey Kushan for Carter,
- (2) Doreen Trujillo for Adair, and
- (3) Sally Gardner Lane, Administrative Patent Judge.
B. Relevant discussion during conference call

The principal purpose of the conference call was to set times for taking action on motions (other than miscellaneous motions) in the interference. Each party has filed a list of motions that it wishes to file.

Modified schedule

At the beginning of the call the Ms. Trujillo, counsel for Adair informed the Board that she soon will be undergoing hip replacement surgery and does not expect to return to work until the beginning part of June. Mr. Ashe, counsel for Carter, expressed a willingness to cooperate and adjust the schedule to accommodate Ms. Trujillo's unavailability.

Given the particular circumstances, the schedule has been adjusted so that Carter will file its threshold motions first and, if necessary, remaining motions will be filed at a time to allow Ms. Trujillo adequate time to prepare the authorized motions after returning to work. However, as discussed during the call, if, in light of either of the Carter threshold motions, an Adair opposition or responsive motion is authorized, it would be expected that the opposition or responsive motion would be filed as soon as possible (with a precise time to be set if, and when, necessary).

Adair request for a second interference

Adair has proposed an interference with Carter patent 6,639,055 in its application 11/284,260 ('260). Adair confirmed that *ex parte* prosecution in the '260 application is not complete. Declaring an interference involving the '260 claims would be premature at this time. However, Adair should inform the Board if its claims become allowable and

at that time Adair can seek authorization to file a miscellaneous motion suggesting an interference. (Bd. R. 102 and Standing Order at ¶ 203.1).

Authorized Motions

Only the motions specifically authorized in this Order may be filed. If a party finds that a responsive motion is necessary, then a conference call should be arranged well prior to the time such motion would be due.

Each party is authorized to file a motion for priority.¹ Priority motions are deferred. In addition either party may move to defer its opposition to any motion for unpatentability over prior art based upon the party's priority statement.

<u>Carter</u>

Expedited schedule:

Carter is authorized to file the following two threshold motions on or before **01 June 2010**:

A motion seeking judgment that the single Adair claim is barred under 35
 U.S.C. § 135(b) (1) (item #1 on the Carter list), and

2. A motion seeking judgment that the single Adair claim is unpatentable under the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶1 (item 2 of the Carter list).

No Adair opposition or responsive motion to either of the Carter threshold motions is authorized at this time. If an opposition to either motion is necessary, a conference call will be scheduled to decide upon an appropriate time table. At that time Adair should be prepared to discuss any responsive motion it might wish to file.

¹ In addition derivation briefing, if any, will be deferred. If a party wishes to allege derivation it must do so in its priority statement.

Regular schedule:

1. Carter is authorized to file the motions at items 3 (§ 112, \P 2), 4 (no interference in fact), 6 (benefit) and 7 (unpatentability over prior art) on its list in accordance with the schedule set out in the appendix.

<u>Adair</u>

Expedited schedule:

Adair has not asked to file any motion as a threshold motion.

Regular schedule:

1. Adair is authorized to file the motion at item 5 (Carter claim designations).

2. Adair is authorized to file a single motion that all the Carter claims are unpatentable over the prior art cited at items 7 and 8 of the Adair list. As discussed during the call, Adair has filed an unacceptably long list of prior art. Adair agreed that, at a reasonable time prior to the time it files its motion, Adair will inform Carter what references it intends to rely upon and, as to the § 103 issue, which will be relied upon as primary and which will be relied upon as secondary references. If the number of references relied upon is unreasonable the motion may be returned to Adair.

Adair requested to file other motions however Adair is not authorized to file these motions for the following reasons.

1. The motions at items 1, 9 and 10 were said to relate to the '260 application. As discussed above, because that *ex parte* prosecution has not been completed a miscellaneous motion suggesting a second interference is not authorized. (Bd. R. 102 and Standing Order at ¶ 203.1).

2. The motion at item 2 seeks to present a claim said to be directed to subject matter at claims 1-3, 9, 38 and 39 of the involved Carter patent. Adair indicated that it could claim this subject matter in a pending application. In such case, the claim(s) could be examined by a patent examiner to determine there is allowable subject matter to Adair. Since the claims can be presented elsewhere Adair is not authorized to file the motion at item 2.

4. Adair conceded that if its motion at item 6 were to be granted, the subject matter of the Count would not change. An interference is principally about the priority contest. If the granting of the motion would not change that contest, then its filing usually will not be authorized. Bd. R. 208.

Notwithstanding the Standing Order (e.g., 10 at ¶ 121.2 and ¶122.2), the page limit on motions and oppositions is 25 pages and the page limit for replies is 10 pages. The page limit does <u>not</u> include a table of contents, a table of authorities, a certificate of service, or required appendices **except for the page limit does include the statement of material facts (SO ¶ 121.5.2).**² In other words, the statement of material facts counts toward the 25 page limit.

C. Time periods associated with motions

In accordance with discussion during the telephone conference call, the TIME PERIODS described below are set out in an Appendix to this ORDER. Action specified for each TIME PERIOD must be completed by the date specified for the TIME PERIOD.

² The page limit does not include a party's response to its opponent's statements of material facts.

The parties are authorized to stipulate different times (earlier or later, but not later than TIME PERIOD 7) for TIME PERIODS 1 through 6.³ A notice of the stipulation must be promptly filed. The notice must be in the form of a photocopy of the Appendix attached to this ORDER with old dates crossed out and new dates inserted by hand. The parties may not stipulate an extension of TIME PERIODS 7-9.

1. TIME PERIOD 1

- a. File and serve all authorized motions and
- b. Serve <u>but do not file</u> evidence in support of these motions.

If no party files a motion, the SENIOR PARTY must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board so that appropriate adjustments to the schedule may be made.

2. TIME PERIOD 2

- a. File and serve responsive motions (Bd.R. 121(a)(2)) in response to an opponent's motion filed during TIME
 PERIOD 1 and
- Serve <u>but do not file</u> evidence in support of these responsive motions.

3. TIME PERIOD 3

a. File and serve oppositions to all motions, including responsive motions and

³ In stipulating different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times (1) to object to evidence (5 business days, Bd.R. 155(b)(1)), (2) to supplement evidence (10 business days, Bd.R. 155(b)(2)), (3) to begin cross examination (no earlier than 21 days after service, SO & 157.3.1) and (4) to conclude cross examination (at least 10 days before the opposition or reply is due, SO & 157.3.2).

b. Serve <u>but do not file</u> evidence in support of these oppositions.

4. TIME PERIOD 4

- a. File and serve replies to all oppositions and
- b. Serve <u>but do not file</u> evidence in support of these replies.

5. TIME PERIOD 5

- a. File and serve any request for oral argument on motions,
- b. File and serve motions to exclude evidence (Bd.R. 155(c); SO & 155.2), and
- c. File and serve observations on cross examination (SO & 157.7) of reply testimony.

6. TIME PERIOD 6

- a. File and serve oppositions to an opponent's motion to exclude evidence and
- b. File and serve any response to observations.

7. TIME PERIOD 7

File and serve replies to oppositions to motions to exclude evidence.

D. Deposition transcripts

Transcripts of cross examinations and depositions taken under 35 U.S.C. 24

must be served, but not filed until the exhibits are filed.

E. Serving exhibits relied upon in motions

An exhibit, including an affidavit, cited in connection with a motion, opposition, reply, or affidavit, must be served, <u>but not filed</u>,⁴ with the motion, opposition, reply or affidavit in which the exhibit is first mentioned.

F. TIME PERIOD 8: Filing the record for decision on motions

- File an original set of your exhibits and one working copy of your exhibits;
- 2. For each of your motions, file one folder (or three folders if an oral argument is set each) containing:
 - a. The motion,
 - b. Any corresponding opposition,
 - c. Any corresponding reply,
 - d. Any corresponding observations, and
 - e. Any corresponding response to the observations.
- 3. File CD-ROM a party elects to file.

G. TIME PERIOD 9: Default oral argument date

If a request for oral argument (Bd.R. 124(a); TIME PERIOD 5) is granted, the

default date for such argument is TIME PERIOD 9. No oral argument will occur if either

no argument is requested or granted.

H. Priority statements

1. At TIME PERIOD 1:

⁴ Except when the Board sets an expedited schedule for a particular motion, in which case, all exhibits mentioned in that motion or the corresponding opposition or reply must be filed with the motion, opposition, reply, or affidavit in which the exhibit is first mentioned.

- a. File <u>but do not serve</u> a priority statement (Bd.R. 120;
 Bd.R. 204(a)).
- File and serve a notice advising each opponent of the filing of the priority statement.
- A junior party who does not file a priority statement shall not have access to the priority statement of any other party.
- 3. Within one (1) week after TIME PERIOD 1, serve a copy of the priority statement upon each opponent (except for a junior party barred under ¶ H.2 above).

/Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

Revised 3 January 2006

cc (via electronic filing):

Attorney for CARTER:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square, North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel: 703-467-9001 Email: oashe@ashepc.com Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street,N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: 202-736-8914 Email: jkushan@sidley.com

Attorney for ADAIR:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Michael B. Fein, Esq. COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 215-665-5593 Email: dtrujillo@cozen.com

Appendix--ORDER - RULE 123(a) (Times for substantive motions; priority deferred)

Interference 105,744

| CARTER THRESHOLD MOTIONS | 1 June 2010 |
|---|---------------------|
| ADAIR OPPOSITION, RESPONSIVE MOTIONS | to be set if needed |
| TIME PERIOD 1 (all other authorized motions) File motions File (but serve one week later) priority statements | 9 August 2010 |
| TIME PERIOD 2 File responsive motions (none authorized at this time) filed in TIME PERIOD 1 | 20 September 2010 |
| TIME PERIOD 3 File oppositions to all motions | 2 November 2010 |
| TIME PERIOD 4 File all replies | 14 December 2010 |
| TIME PERIOD 5 File request for oral argument File motions to exclude File observations | 4 January 2011 |
| TIME PERIOD 6 File oppositions to motions to exclude File response to observations | 18 January 2011 |
| TIME PERIOD 7 File replies to oppositions to motions to exclude | 1 February 2011 |
| TIME PERIOD 8 File exhibits File sets of motions File any CD-ROMs | 8 February 2011 |
| TIME PERIOD 9 Default oral argument date (if ordered) | to be set |

Filed on behalf of: Party Carter

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. **ASHE, P.C.** 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com Paper No. _____ Filed: April 29, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Tel.: (202) 736-8914 Fax: (202) 736-8711 E-mail: jkushan@sidley.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. CARTER AND LEONARD G. PRESTA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL, AND JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference 105,744 (SGL) Technology Center 1600

CARTER'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF PAPER NO. 23

CARTER'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF PAPER NO. 23

1

| The Order - Motion Times - B | d. R. 104(c) dated April 27, 2010 (Paper No. 23) does not | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| expressly address the proposed motio | n identified in item 5 of Carter Motions List (to designate | | | | |
| Carter's involved claims 30, 31, 60, 62-63, 67, and 80-81 as not corresponding to Count 1). | | | | | |
| Carter respectfully requests the Board | to clarify whether Carter is authorized to file such a | | | | |
| motion. | | | | | |
| | Respectfully submitted, | | | | |
| April 29, 2010 | /Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr. Registration No. 40,491 Counsel for Party Carter | | | | |
| ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com | | | | | |
| | The Order - Motion Times - B expressly address the proposed motio Carter's involved claims 30, 31, 60, 6 Carter respectfully requests the Board motion. April 29, 2010 Ashre, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel.: (703) 467-9001 Fax: (703) 467-9002 E-mail: oashe@ashepc.com | | | | |

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF PAPER NO. 23" was filed this 29th day of April, 2010, via Interference Web Portal (https://acts.uspto.gov/ifiling/), with:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Madison Building East, 9th Floor 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042 E-mail: BoxInterferences@USPTO.GOV

April 29, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the paper entitled "CARTER'S **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF PAPER NO. 23**" was served this 29th day of April, 2010, via Interference Web Portal (https://acts.uspto.gov/ifiling/), on the Attorney of Record for Adair:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Cozen O'Connor P.C. 1900 Market Street, 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: 215-665-6593 Fax: 215-701-2005 E-mail: dtrujillo@cozen.com

April 29, 2010

/Oliver R. Ashe, Jr./ Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.

Paper 25

Filed 3 May 2010

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL J. **CARTER** AND LEONARD G. PRESTIA Junior Party (Patent 6,407,213),

v.

JOHN ROBERT **ADAIR**, DILGEET SINGH ATHWAL, and JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE Senior Party (Application No. 11/284,261),

> Patent Interference No. 105,744 (Technology Center 1600)

ORDER – Miscellaneous – 104(a)

Carter requests clarification (Paper 24) of the Order setting motions times.

(Paper 23). As noted by Carter, the Order does not discuss whether Carter is

authorized to file the proposed motion to have certain Carter claims designated as not

corresponding to the Count (item 5 on the Carter list).

lt is

ORDERED that Carter is authorized to file a motion to designate Carter

claims 30, 31, 60, 62-63, 67, and 80-81 as not corresponding to the Count; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion shall be filed on the regular (non-

expedited) schedule.

/Sally Gardner Lane/ Administrative Patent Judge

cc (via electronic filing):

Attorney for CARTER:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq. ASHE, P.C. 11440 Isaac Newton Square, North Suite 210 Reston, VA 20190 Tel: 703-467-9001 Email: oashe@ashepc.com

Attorney for ADAIR:

Doreen Yatko Trujillo, Esq. Michael B. Fein, Esq. COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 215-665-5593 Email: dtrujillo@cozen.com Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street,N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: 202-736-8914 Email: jkushan@sidley.com

(12) United States Patent

US 6,407,213 B1 (10) Patent No.:

(45) Date of Patent:

Carter et al.

(54) METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES

- (75) Inventors: Paul J. Carter; Leonard G. Presta, both of San Francisco, CA (US)
- (73) Assignce: Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 08/146,206
- (22) PCT Filed: Jun. 15, 1992
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/US92/05126

§ 371 (c)(1), (2), (4) Date: Nov. 17, 1993

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 07/715,272, filed on Jun. 14, 1991, now abandoned.
- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ C07K 16/00
- (52) U.S. Cl. 530/387.3; 435/69.6; 435/69.7; 435/70.21; 435/91; 536/23.53; 424/133.1
- Field of Search 435/69.6, 69.7, (58) 435/70.21, 91, 172.2, 240.1, 240.27, 252.3, 320.1, 328; 536/23.53; 424/133.1; 530/387.3

References Cited

(56)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| 4,816,567 | Α | 3/1989 | Cabilly et al. |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------------|
| 4,845,198 | А | 7/1989 | Urdal et al 530/388.22 |
| 5,132,405 | А | 7/1992 | Huston et al 530/387.3 |
| 5,225,539 | Α | 7/1993 | Winter 530/389.3 |
| 5,530,101 | Α | 6/1996 | Queen et al 530/387.3 |
| 5,558,864 | Α | 9/1996 | Bendig et al 424/133.1 |
| 5,585,089 | A | 12/1996 | Queen et al 424/133.1 |
| 5,677,171 | A | 10/1997 | Hudziak et al 435/7.23 |
| 5,693,762 | A | * 12/1997 | Queen et al 530/387.2 |
| 5,714,350 | Α | 2/1998 | Co et al 435/69.6 |
| 5,772,997 | Α | 6/1998 | Hudziak et al 424/130.1 |
| 5,821,337 | Α | 10/1998 | Carter et al 530/387.3 |
| 5,834,598 | Α | 11/1998 | Lowman et al 530/399 |
| 5 859 205 | A | 1/1999 | Adair et al 530/387.3 |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| AU | 85058/91 | | 3/1992 | C07K/15/12 |
|----|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|
| EP | 120694 | | 10/1984 | |
| EP | 125023 | A1 | 11/1984 | |
| EP | 0 239 400 | | * 9/1987 | C12N/15/00 |
| EP | 323806 | A1 | 7/1989 | |
| EP | 328404 | Al | 8/1989 | A61K/39/395 |
| EP | 338745 | A1 | 10/1989 | |
| EP | 365209 | A2 | 4/1990 | |
| EP | 365997 | Λ2 | 5/1990 | |
| EP | 368684 | | 5/1990 | |
| EP | 403156 | A1 | 12/1990 | |
| EP | 438310 | A2 | 7/1991 | |
| EP | 438312 | A2 | 7/1991 | |
| EP | 440351 | A2 | 8/1991 | |
| EP | 0 460 167 | B 1 | 12/1991 | |
| EP | 0 519 596 | A1 | 12/1992 | |

| EP | 0 592 106 | A1 | 4/1994 | |
|----|-------------|----|----------|------------|
| ЕР | 0 620 276 | | 10/1994 | |
| EP | 682040 | A1 | 11/1995 | |
| EP | 451216 | B1 | 1/1996 | C12P/21/08 |
| EP | 432249 | B1 | 9/1996 | |
| GB | 2 188941 | | 10/1987 | |
| WO | WO 87/02671 | | 5/1987 | |
| WO | WO 88/09344 | | 12/1988 | |
| WO | WO 89/01783 | | 3/1989 | |
| WO | WO 89/06692 | | 7/1989 | |
| WO | WO 89/09622 | | 10/1989 | |
| WO | WO 90/07861 | | 7/1990 | |
| WO | 90/07861 | | * 7/1990 | C12P/21/00 |
| WO | WO 91/07492 | | 5/1991 | |
| WO | WO 91/07500 | | 5/1991 | |
| WO | WO 91/09966 | | 7/1991 | C12P/21/08 |
| WO | WO 91/09968 | | 7/1991 | C12P/21/08 |
| WO | WO 91/09967 | | 11/1991 | |
| WO | WO 92/01047 | | 1/1992 | |
| WO | WO 92/04380 | | 3/1992 | |
| wo | WO 92/04381 | | 3/1992 | |
| WO | WO 92/05274 | | 4/1992 | |
| wo | WO 92/11383 | | 7/1992 | |
| wo | WO 92/11018 | | 9/1992 | A61K/35/14 |
| wo | WO 92/15683 | | 9/1992 | |
| WO | WO 92/16562 | | 10/1992 | |
| wo | WO 92/22653 | | 12/1992 | |
| wo | WO 93/02191 | | 2/1993 | |
| WO | 94/11509 | | 5/1994 | |
| WO | WO 94/12214 | | 6/1994 | |

Jun. 18, 2002

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Riechmann et al. [Nature 332:323-327 (1988)].*

Queen et al. [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 86:10029-10033 $(1989)].^{*}$

Roitt [Immunology, published 1985, by Gower Medical Publishing Ltd. (London, England) p. 5.5].*

Tramontano et al. [J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990)].*

"Biosym Technologies" in New Products, Chemical Design Automation 3 (Dec. 1988).

"Polygen Corporation" in New Products, Chemical Design Automation 3 (Nov. 1988).

Adair et al., "Humanization of the murine anti-human CD3 monoclonal antibody OKT3" Hum. Antibod. Hybridomas 5:41-47 (1994).

Chothia et al., "Principles of protein-protein recognition" Nature 256:705-708 (1975).

Chothia et al., "Transmission of conformational change in insulin" Nature 302:500-505 (1983).

Corti et al., "Idiotope Determining Regions of a Mouse Monoclonal Antibody and Its Humanized Versions" J. Mol. Biol. 235:53-60 (1994).

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner-Anthony C. Caputa Assistant Examiner-Minh-Tam Davis

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Wendy M. Lee

ABSTRACT (57)

Variant immunoglobulins, particularly humanized antibody polypeptides are provided, along with methods for their preparation and use. Consensus immunoglobulin sequences and structural models are also provided.

82 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets

Carter Exhibit 2001 Carter v. Adair BÎ Ēxĥíbit 1095

196 of 1849

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Couto et al., "Anti–BA46 Monoclonal Antibody Mc3 Humanization Using a Novel Positional Consensus and in Vivo and in Vitro Characterization" *Cancer Research Supplement* 55:1717–1722 (1995).

Couto et al., "Humanization of KC4G3, an Anti-Human Carcinoma Antibody" *Hybridoma* 13:215–219 (1994).

Ellis et al., "Engineered Anti–CD38 Monoclonal Antibodies for Immunotherapy of Multiple Myeloma" *The Journal of Immunology* pp. 925–937 (1995).

Hieter et al., "Evolution of Human Immunoglobulin K J Region Genes" *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 257:1516–1522 (1982).

Lesk, Arthur M., "How Different Amino Acid Sequences Determine Similar Protein Structures: The Structure and Evolutionary Dynamics of the Globins" *J. Mol. Biol.* 135:225–270 (1980).

Matsumura et al., "Hydrophobic stabilization in T4 lysozyme determined directly by multiple substitutions of Ile 3" *Nature* 334:406–410 (1988).

Morrison, S. L., "Transfectomas Provide Novel Chimeric Antibodies" *Science* 229:1202–1207 (Sep. 20, 1985).

Nakatani et al., "Humanization of mouse anti-human IL-2 receptor antibody B-B10" *Protein Engineering* 7:435-443 (1994).

Ohtomo et al., "Humanization of Mouse ONS-M21 Antibody with the Aid of Hybrid Variable Regions" *Molecular Immunology* 32:407-416 (1995).

Rodrigues et al., "Engineering a humanized bispecific $F(ab')_2$ fragment for improved binding to T cells" *Int. J. Cancer* (Suppl.) 7:45–50 (1992).

Sha et al., "A Heavy–Chain Grafted Antibody that Recognizes the Tumor–Associated TAG72 Antigen" *Cancer Biotherapy* 9:341–349 (1994).

Tempest et al., "Identification of framework residues required to restore antigen binding during reshaping of a monoclonal antibody against the glycoprotein gB of human cytomegalovirus" *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 17:37–42 (1995). Tramontano, "Structural Determinants of the Conformations of Medium–Sized Loops in Proteins" *Proteins* 6:382–394 (1989).

Uchiyama et al., "A Monoclonal Antibody (Anti–Tac) Reactive with Activated and Functionally Mature Human T Cells" *Journal of Immunology* 126:1393–1397 (1981).

Vincenti et al., "Interleukin–2–Receptor Blockade with Daclizumab to Prevent Acute Rejection in Renal Transplantation" *New Engl. J. Med.* 338:161–165 (1998).

Vitetta et al., "Redesigning Nature's Poisons to Create Anti-Tumor Reagents" *Science* 238:1098–1104 (1987).

Waldmann et al., "Interleukin 2 Receptor (Tac Antigen) Expression in HTLV-1-associated Adult T-Cell Leukemia" *Cancer Research* 45:4559s–4562s (1985).

Waldmann, Thomas A., "The Structure, Function, and Expression of Interleukin–2 Receptors on Normal and Malignant Lymphocytes" *Science* 232:727–732 (1986).

Wu et al., "An Analysis of the Sequences of the Variable Regions of Bence Jones Proteins and Myeloma Light Chains and Their Implications for Antibody Complementarity" *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 132:211–250 (1970).

Rhodes, P., "Recombinant antibodies from CHO cells" *Abstr Pap Am Chem Soc* (Abstract No. 60 from the 199th American Chemical Society National Meeting held in Boston, MA Apr. 22–27, 1990) 199(1–2):BIOT 60 (Apr. 1990).

Amzel and Poljak, "Three-dimensional structure of immunoglobulins" Ann. Rev. Biochem. 48:961–967 (1979).

Bindon et al., "Human monoclonal IgG isotypes differ in complement activating function at the level of C4 as well as C1q" *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 168(1):127–142 (Jul. 1988).

Boulianne, G. L. et al., "Production of functional chimaeric mouse/human antibody" *Nature* 312(5995):643–646 (Dec. 1984).

Brown et al., "Anti–Tac–H, a humanized antibody to the interleukin 2 receptor, prolongs primate cardiac allograft survival" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:2663–2667 (1991). Bruccoleri, "Structure of antibody hypervariable loops reproduced by a conformational search algorithm" *Nature* (erratum to article in Nature 335(6190):564–568 and) 336:266 (1988).

Bruggemann, M. et al., "Comparison of the effector functions of human immunoglobulins using a matched set of chimeric antibodies" *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 166:1351–1361 (1987).

Burgess et al., "Possible Dissociation of the Heparin–binding and Mitogenic Activities of Heparin–binding (Acidic Fibroblast) Growth Factor–1 from Its Receptor–binding Activities by Site–directed Mutagenesis of a Single Lysine Residue" *Journal of Cell Biology* 111:2129–2138 (1990).

Carter et al., "Humanization of an anti-p185^{HER2} antibody for human cancer therapy" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 89:4285-4289 (1992).

Cheetham, J., "Reshaping the antibody combining site by CDR replacement-tailoting or tinkering to fit?" *Protein Engineering* 2(3):170–172 (1988).

Chothia and Lesk, "Canonical Structures for the Hypervariable Regions" J. Mol. Biol. 196:901–917 (1987).

Chothia et al., "The predicted structure of immunoglobulin D1.3 and its comparison with the crystal structure" *Science* 233:755–758 (Aug. 15, 1986).

Chothia, C. et al., "Conformations of immunoglobulin hypervariable regions" *Nature* 342(6252):877–883 (1989). Chothia, Cyrus, "Domain association in immunoglobulin molecules: The packing of variable domains" *J. Mol. Biol.* 186:651–663 (1985).

Clark et al., "The improved lytic function and in vivo efficacy of monovalent monoclonal CD3 antibodies" *European Journal of Immunology* 19:381–388 (1989).

Co et al., "Humanized antibodies for antiviral therapy" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:2869–2873 (1991).

Coussens et al., "Tyrosine Kinase Receptor with Extensive Homology to EGF Receptor Shares Chromosomal Location with neu Oncogene" *Science* 230:1132–1139 (1985).

Daugherty, BL et al., "Polymerase chain reaction facilitates the cloning, CDR–grafting, and rapid expression of a murine monoclonal antibody directed against the CD18 component of leukocyte integrins" *Nucleic Acids Research* 19(9):2471–2476 (May 11, 1991).

Davies, D. R. et al., "Antibody–Antigen Complexes" Ann. Rev. Biochem. 59:439–473 (1990).

Epp et al., "The molecular structure of a dimer composed of the variable portions of the Bence–Jones protein REI refined at 2.0–A resolution" *Biochemistry* 14(22):4943–4952 (1975).

Fendly et al., "Characterization of murine monoclonal antibodies reactive to either the human epidermal growth factor receptor or HER2/neu gene product" *Cancer Research* 50:1550–1558 (1990). Furey et al., "Structure of a novel Bence-Jones protein (Rhe) fragment at 1.6 A resolution" J. Mol. Biol. 167(3):661-692 (Jul. 5, 1983).

Gorman, SD et al., "Reshaping a therapeutic CD4 antibody" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88(10):4181–4185 (May 15, 1991).

Gregory et al., "The solution conformations of the subclasses of human IgG deduced from sedimentation and small angle X-ray scattering studies" *Molecular Immunology* 24(8):821–829 (Aug. 1987).

Hale et al., "Remission induction in non-hodgkin lymphoma with reshaped human monoclonal antibody campath-1H" *Lancet* 1:1394–1399 (1988).

Harris and Emery, "Therapeutic antibodies—the coming of age" *Tibtech* 11:42–44 (Feb. 1993).

Huber et al., "Crystallographic structure studies of an IgG molecule and an Fc fragment" *Nature* 264:415–420 (Dec. 2, 1976).

Hudziak et al., "p185^{HER2} Monoclonal Antibody Has Antiproliferative Effects In Vitro and Sensitizes Human Breast Tumor Cells to Tumor Necrosis Factor" *Molecular & Cellular Biology* 9(3):1165–1172 (1989).

Jaffers, G. J. et al., "Monoclonal antibody therapy. Anti-idiotypic and non-anti-idiotypic antibodies to OKT3 arising despite intense immunosuppression" *Transplantation* 41(5):572–578 (May 1986).

Jones, P. T. et al., "Replacing the complementarity-determining regions in a human antibody with those from a mouse" *Nature* 321(6069):522–525 (1986).

Junghans et al., "Anti–Tac–H, a humanized antibody to the interleukin 2 receptor with new features for immunotherapy in malignant and immune disorders" *Cancer Research* 50(5):1495–1502 (Mar. 1, 1990).

Kabat et al. Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, Bethesda, MD:National Institutes of Health pp. iii–xxvii, 41–176 (1987).

King et al., "Amplification of a Novel v–erbB–Related Gene in a Human Mammary Carcinoma" *Science* 229:974–976 (1985).

Lazar et al., "Transforming Growth Factor α : Mutation of Aspartic Acid 47 and Leucine 48 Results in Different Biological Activities" *Molecular & Cellular Biology* 8(3):1247–1252 (1988).

Love et al, "Recombinant antibodies possessing novel effector functions" *Methods in Enzymology* 178:515–527 (1989). Lupu et al., "Direct interaction of a ligand for the erbB2 oncogene product with the EGF receptor and p185^{erbB2"} *Science* 249:1552–1555 (1990).

Margni RA and Binaghi RA, "Nonprecipitating asymmetric antibodies" Ann. Rev. Immunol. 6:535–554 (1988).

Margolies et al., "Diversity of light chain variable region sequences among rabbit antibodies elicited by the same antigens." *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 72:2180–84 (Jun. 1975).

Marquart et al., "Crystallographic refinement and atomic models of the intact immunoglobulin molecule Kol and its antigen–binding fragment at 3.0 A and 1.0 A resolution" *J. Mol. Biol.* 141(4):369–391 (Aug. 25, 1980).

Mian, IS et al., "Structure, function and properties of antibody binding sites" *J. Mol. Biol.* 217(1):133–151 (Jan. 5, 1991).

Miller, R. et al., "Monoclonal antibody therapeutic trials in seven patients with T-cell lymphoma" *Blood* 62:988–995 (1983).

Morrison, S. L. et al., "Chimeric human antibody molecules: mouse antigen-binding domains with human constant region domains" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81(21):6851–6855 (Nov. 1984).

Neuberger et al., "Recombinant antibodies possessing novel effector functions" *Nature* 312(5995):604–608 (Dec. 1984). Neuberger, M. S. et al., "A hapten–specific chimaeric IgE antibody with human physiological effector function" *Nature* 314(6008):268–270 (Mar. 1985).

Novotny and Haber, "Structural invariants of antigen binding: comparison of immunoglobulin $V_L - V_H$ and $V_L - V_L$ domain dimers" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82(14):4592–4596 (Jul. 1985).

Pluckthun, Andreas, "Antibody engineering: advances from the use of *Escherichia coli* expression systems" *Biotechnol*ogy 9:545–51 (1991).

Queen, M. et al., "A humanized antibody that binds to the interleukin 2 receptor" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:10029–10033 (1989).

Riechmann, L. et al., "Reshaping human antibodies for therapy" *Nature* 332:323–327 (1988).

Roitt et al. *Immunology* (Gower Medical Publishing Ltd., London, England) pp. 5.5 (1985).

Saul et al., "Preliminary refinement and structural analysis of the Fab fragment from human immunoglobulin new at 2.0 A resolution" *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 253(2):585–597 (Jan. 25, 1978).

Schroff, R. et al., "Human anti-murine immunoglobulin responses in patients receiving monoclonal antibody therapy" *Cancer Research* 45:879–885 (1985).

Segal et al., "The three-dimensional structure of a phosphorylcholine-binding mouse immunoglobulin Fab and the nature of the antigen binding site" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 71(11):4298–4302 (Nov. 1974).

Shalaby et al., "Development of humanized bispecific antibodies reactive with cytotoxic lymphocytes and tumor cells overexpressing the HER2 protooncogene" *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 175(1):217–225 (Jan. 1, 1992).

Shepard and Lewis, "Resistance of tumor cells to tumor necrosis factor" J. Clin. Immunol. 8(5):333–395 (1988).

Sheriff et al., "Three–dimensional structure of an antibody– antigen complex" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84(22):8075–8079 (Nov. 1987).

Sherman et al., "Haloperidol binding to monoclonal antibodies" *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 263:4064–4074 (1988).

Silverton et al., "Three-dimensional structure of an intact human immunoglobulin" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74:5140–5144 (1977).

Slamon et al., "Human Breast Cancer: Correlation of Relapse and Survival with Amplification of the HER–2/neu Oncogene" *Science* 235:177–182 (1987).

Slamon et al., "Studies of the HER–2/neu proto–oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer" *Science* 244:707–712 (1989).

Snow and Amzel, "Calculating three–dimensional changes in protein structure due to amino–acid substitutions: the variable region of immunoglobulins" *Protein: Structure, Function, and Genetics,* Alan R. Liss, Inc. vol. 1:267–279 (1986).

Sox et al., "Attachment of carbohydrate to the variable region of myeloma immunoglubulin light chains" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 66:975–82 (Jul. 1970).

Spiegelberg et al., "Localization of the carbohydrate within the variable region of light and heavy chains of human γG myeloma proteins" *Biochemistry* 9:4217–23 (Oct. 1970).

Takeda et al., "Construction of chimaeric processed immunoglobulin genes containing mouse variable and human constant region sequences" *Nature* 314(6010):452–454 (Apr. 1985).

Tao et al., "Role of Carbohydrate in the Structure and Effector Functions Mediated by the H uman IgG Constant Region" *J. Immunol.* 143(8):2595–2601 (1989).

Tramontano et al., "Framework residue 71 is a major determinant of the position and conformation of the second hypervariable region in the VH domains of immunoglobulins" *J–Mol–Biol* 215(1):175–182 (Sep. 5, 1990).

Verhoeyen, M. et al., "Reshaping human antibodies: grafting an antilysozyme activity" *Science* 239(4847):1534–1536 (Mar. 25, 1988).

Waldmann, T., "Monoclonal antibodies in diagnosis and therapy" *Science* 252:1657–1662 (1991).

Wallick et al., "Glycosylation of a VH residue of a monoclonal antibody against alpha (1—6) dextran increases its affinity for antigen" *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 168(3):1099–1109 (Sep. 1988).

Winter and Milstein, "Man-made antibodies" Nature 349(6307):293-299 (Jan. 24, 1991).

Yamamoto et al., "Similarity of protein encoded by the human c-erb-B-2 gene to epidermal growth factor receptor" *Nature* 319:230–34 (1986).

Carter et al., "High level *escherichia coli* expression and production of a bivalent humanized antibody fragment" *Bio/Technology* 10:163–167 (1992).

Foote et al., "Antibody Framework Residues Affecting the Conformation of the Hypervariable Loops" J. Mol. Biol. 224:487–499 (1992).

Foote, J., "Humanized Antibodies" Nova acta Leopoldina 61(269):103–110 (1989).

Kabat et al., "Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest", Bethesda, MD:National Institute of Health pp. 14–32 (1983).

Kettleborough et al., "Humanization of a Mouse Monoclonal Antibody by CDR–grafting: the Importance of Framework Residues on Loop Conformation" *Protein Engineering* 4(7):773–783 (1991).

Maeda et al., "Construction of Reshaped Human Antibodies with HIV-neutralizing Activity" *Hum. Antibod. Hybridomas* 2:124–134 (Jul. 1991).

Riechmann et al, "Expression of an Antibody Fv Fragment in Myeloma Cells" *J. Mol. Biol.* 203:825–828 (1988).

Routledge et al., "A Humanized Monovalent CD3 Antibody which Can Activate Homologous Complement" *European Journal of Immunology* 21:2717–2725 (1991).

Shearman et al., "Construction, Expression and Characterization of Humanized Antibodies Directed Against the Human α/β T Cell Receptor" *J. Immunol.* 147(12):4366–4373 (Dec. 15, 1991).

Tempest et al., "Reshaping a Human Monoclonal Antibody to Inhibit Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection In Vivo" *Bio/Technology* 9:266–271 (Mar. 1991).

Brown, Jr. et al., "Anti-Tac-H, a humanized antibody to the interleukin 2 receptor, prolongs primate cardiac allograft survival" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:2663–2667 (1991). Casale et al., "Use of an anti-IgE humanized monoclonal antibody in ragweed-induced allergic rhinitis" *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 100:110–121 (1997).

Fahy et al., "The Effect of an Anti–IgE Monoclonal Antibody on the Early– and Late–Phase Responses to Allergen Inhalation in Asthmatic Subjects" *Am J. Respir. Crit. Care Med* 155:1828–1834 (1997).

Mathieson et al., "Monoclonal–Antibody Therapy in Systemic Vasculitis" *New England J. of Medicine* pp. 250–254 (Jul. 1990).

Presta et al., "Humanization of an anti–vascular endothelial growth factor monoclonal antibody for the therapy of solid tumors and other disorders" *Cancer Research* 57(20):4593–4599 (Oct. 15, 1997).

Amit et al., "Three–Dimensional Structure of an Antigen–Antibody Complex at 2.8 A Resolution" *Science* 233:747–753 (Aug. 1986).

Amzel et al., "The Three Dimensional Structure of a Combining Region–Ligand Complex of Immunglobulin New at 3.5–A Resolution" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 71(4):1427–1430 (Apr. 1974).

Baselga et al., "Phase II Study of Weekly Intravenous Recombinant Humanized Anti-p185/HER2 Monoclonal Antibody in Patients With HER2/neu-Overexpressing Metastatic Breast Cancer" J. Clin. Oncol. 14(3):737-744 (1996).

Beverley & Callard, "Distinctive functional charcteristics of human "T" lymphocytes defined by E rosetting or a monoclonal anti–T cell antibody" *European Journal of Immunology* 11:329–334 (1981).

Bird et al., "Single-chain antigen-binding proteins" *Science* 242:423–426 (Oct. 1988).

Brennan et al., "Preparation of bispecific antibodies by chemical recombination of monoclonal immunoglobulin G_1 fragments" *Science* 229:81–83 (Jul. 1985).

Bruccoleri et al., "Structure of antibody hypervariable loops reproduced by a conformational search algorithm" *Nature* 335:564–568 (Oct. 1988).

Caron et al., "Biological and Immunological Features of Humanized M195 (Anti–CD33) Monoclonal Antibodies" *Cancer Research* 52:6761–6767 (Dec. 1992).

Chothia & Lesk, "The relation between the divergence of sequence and structure in proteins" *EMBO Journal* 5(4):823–826 (1986).

Co & Queen, "Humanized antibodies for therapy" *Nature* 351:501–502 (Jun. 1991).

Co et al., "Chimeric and Humanized Antibodies with Specificity for the CD33 Antigen" *J. of Immunology* 148(4):1149–1154 (Feb. 1992).

Co et al., "Humanized Anti–Lewis Y Antibodies: In Vitro Properties and Pharmacokinetics in Rhesus Monkeys" *Cancer Research* 56:1118–1125 (Mar. 1996).

Colman et al., "Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Dimer of Variable Domains of the Bence–Jones Protein ROY" J. Mol. Biol. 116:73–79 (1977).

Colman et al., "Three–dimensional structure of a complex of antibody with influenza virus neuraminidase" *Nature* 326:358–363 (Mar. 1987).

Cook et al., "A map of the human immunoglbulin V_H locus completed by analysis of the telometric region of chromosome 14q" *Nature Genetics* 7:162–168 (Jun. 1994).

Darsley & Rees, "Nucleotide sequences of five anti-lysozyme monoclonal antibodies" *EMBO Journal* 4(2):393–398 (1985).

Davies & Metzger, "Structural Basis of Antibody Function" Ann. Rev. Immunol. 1:87–117 (1983).

Davies et al., "Antibody–Antigen Complexes" Journal of Biological Chemistry 263(22):10541–10544 (Aug. 1988).

Eigenbrot et al., "X-Ray Structures of Fragments From Binding and Nonbinding Versions of a Humanized Anti-CD18 Antibody: Structural Indications of the Key Role of V_H Residues 59 to 65" *Proteins* 18:49–62 (1994). Eigenbrot et al., "X-ray structures of the antigen-binding domains from three variants of humanized anti-p185HER2 antibody 4D5 and comparison with molecular modeling" J. Mol. Biol. 229:969–995 (1993).

Ellison et al., "The nucleotide sequence of a human immunoglobulin $C_{\gamma 1}$ gene" *Nucleic Acids Research* 10(13):4071–4079 (1982).

Emery & Adair, "Humanised monoclonal antibodies for therapeutic applications" *Exp. Opin. Invest. Drugs* 3(3):241–251 (1994).

Epp et al., "Crystal and Molecular Structure of a Dimer Composed of the Variable Portions of the Bence–Jones Protein REI" *European Journal of Biochemistry* 45:513–524 (1974).

Fanger et al., "Bispecific antibodies and targeted cellular cytotoxicity" *Immunology Today* 12(2):51–54 (1991).

Fanger et al., "Cytotoxicity mediated by human Fc receptors for IgG" *Immunology Today* 10(3):92–99 (1989).

Feldmann et al., "A Hypothetical Space–Filling Model of the V–Regions of the Galactan–Binding Myeloma Immunoglobulin J539" *Molecular Immunology* 18(8):683–698 (1981).

Fendley et al., "The Extracellular Domain of HER2/neu Is a Potential Immunogen for Active Specific Immunotherapy of Breast Cancer" J. Biol. Resp. Mod. 9:449–455 (1990).

Glennie et al., "Preparation and Performance of Bispecific $F(ab'\gamma)_2$ Antibody Containing Thioether–Linked Fab' γ Fragments" *J. Immunol.* 139(7):2367–2375 (Oct. 1, 1987).

Gonzalez et al., "Humanization of Murine 6G425:An Anti–IL8 Monoclonal Antibody Which Blocks Binding of IL8 to Human Neutrophils" *1996 Keystone Symposia on Exploring and Exploiting Antibody and Ig Superfamily Combining Sites* (Poster) pp. 1–21 (Feb. 1996).

Gussow & Seemann, "Humanization of Monoclonal Antibodies" *Meth. Enzymology*, Academic Press, Inc. vol. 203:99–121 (1991).

Hieter et al., "Cloned human and mouse kappa immunoglobulin constant and J region genes conserve homology in functional segments" *Cell* 22 (Part 1):197–207 (1980).

Houghton, A., "Building a better monoclonal antibody" *Immunology Today* 9(9):265-267 (1988).

Huston et al., "Protein engineering of antibody binding sites: Recovery of specific activity in an anti-digoxin single-chain Fv analogue produced in *Escherichia coli*" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:5879–5883 (Aug. 1988).

Isaacs et al., "Humanised Monoclonal Antibody Therapy for Rheumatoid Arthritis" *Lancet* 340:748–752 (Sep. 26, 1992). Johnson et al., "Biological and Molecular Modeling Studies Comparing Murine Monoclonal Antibodies with Their Engineered Chimeric and Humanized Counterparts" *J. Cell. Biochem. Suppl 0 (13 Part A)* (18th Ann. UCLA Symp on Mol. & Cell. Biol., Park City, UT Jan. 17–22, 1989) pp. 87 (1989).

Kabat E., "Origins of Antibody Complementarity and Specificity—Hypervariable Regions and the Minigenen Hypothesis" *J. of Immunology* 125(3):961–969 (Sep. 1980).

Kabat et al. Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, NIH, 5th edition vol. 1:103–108, 324–331 (1991).

Kindt & Capra *The Antibody Enigma*, New York:Plenum Press pp. 79–86 (1984).

Lesk & Chothia, "Evolution of Proteins Formed by β -Sheets" *J. Mol. Biol.* 160:325–342 (1982).

Lesk & Chothia, "The response of protein structures to amino–acid sequence changes" *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A 317:345–356 (1986).

Mariuzza et al., "The Structure Basis of Antigen–Antibody Recognition" Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 16:139–159 (1987).

Nadler et al., "Immunogenicity of Humanized and Human Monoclonal Antibodies" *Clin. Pharmacology & Therapeutics* pp. 180 (Feb. 1994).

Nelson, H., "Targeted Cellular Immunotherapy with Bifunctional Antibodies" *Cancer Cells* 3:163–172 (1991).

Neuberger et al., "Antibody Engineering" Proceedings 8th Intl. Biotech. Symp., Paris II:792–799 (1988).

Newmark, P., "Making Chimeric Antibodies Even More Human" *Bio/Technology* 6:468 (May 1988).

Nishimura et al., "Human c–erbB–2 Proto–Oncogene Product as a Target for Bispecific–Antibody–Directed Adoptive Tumor Immunotherapy" *Int. J. Cancer* 50:800–804 (1992).

Nitta et al., "Preliminary trial of specific targeting therapy against malignant glioma" *Lancet* 335(8686):368–371 (Feb. 17, 1990).

Nitta, T. et al., "Bispecific $F(ab')_2$ monomer prepared with anti–CD3 and anti–tumor monoclonal antibodies is most potent in induction of cytolysis of human T cells" *European Journal of Immunology* 19:1437–1441 (1989).

Nolan et al., "Bifunctional antibodies: concept, production and applications" *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1040:1–11 (1990).

O'Connor et al., "Calcium Dependence of an Anti–Protein C Humanized Antibody Involves Framework Residues" (manuscript).

Orlandi et al., "Cloning Immunoglobulin Variable Domains for Expression by the Polymerase Chain Reaction" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:3833–3837 (May 1989).

Orlandi et al., "Cloning of cDNA Corresponding to Heavy and Light Chain Immunoglobulin Variable Domains" *Protein and Pharmaceutical Engineering* pp. 90 (1989).

Ostberg & Queen, "Human and humanized monoclonal antibodies: preclinical studies and clinical experience" *Biochem. Soc. Transactions* pp. 1038–1043 (1995).

Pedlan et al., "Model-building Studies of Antigen-binding Sites:The Hapten-binding Site of MOPC-315" Cold Springs Harbor Symposia On Quantitative Biology XLI:627-637 (1977).

Padlan, E., "Anatomy of the Antibody Molecule" *Molecular Immunology* 31(3):169–217 (1994).

Padlan, E., "Evaluation of the Structural Variation Among Light Chain Variable Domains" *Molecular Immunology* 16:287–296 (1979).

Palm & Hilschmann, "Primary structure of a crystalline monoclonal immunoglobulin K-type L-chain, subgroup I (Bence-Jones preotin Rei); isolation & characterization of the tryptic peptides: . . . " *Hoppes-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem.* 356:167–191 (Feb. 1975).

Palm & Hilschmann, "The primary structure of a crystalline, monoclonal immunoglobulin–L–chain of the x–type, subgroup I (Bence–Jones Protein Rei): a contribution to the elucidation of the three–dimensional structure of the immunoglobulins" *Hoppe–Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem.* 354:1651–1654 (Dec. 1973).

Panka et al., "Variable region framework differences result in decreased or increased affinity of variant anti-digoxin antibodies" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:3080–3084 (May 1988).

Presta et al., "Humanization of an Antibody Directed Against IgE" J. Immunol. 151(5):2623–2632 (Sep. 1, 1993). Preval & Fougereau, "Specific Interaction between V_H and V_L Regions of Human Monoclonal Immunoglobulins" J. Mol. Biol. 102:657–678 (1976).

Queen et al., "Construction of Humanized Antibodies and Testing in Primates" *J. Cell. Biochem. Suppl. 15 (Part E)* (20th Ann. Mtg. Keystone Symp. Denver, CO Mar. 10–16, 1991) pp. 137 (1991).

Queen et al., "Humanised antibodies to the IL-2 receptor" *Protein Eng. Antibody Mol. Prophyl. Ther. Appl. Man*, Clark, M., Nottingham, UK:Academic Titles pp. 159–170 (1993).

Rhodes & Birch, "Large–Scale Production of Proteins from Mammalian Cells" *Bio/Technology* 6:518, 521, 523 (May 1988).

Riechmann, "Humanizing of Recombinant Antibodies" (Intl. Symp. on Clin. Appl. of Monoclonal Antibodies, Guildford, England) pp. 33–34 (Sep. 1987).

Riechmann & Winter, "Recombinant Antibodies" (U. of London Royal Postgraduate Medical School, Wolfson Institute, Abstract) (May 1987).

Riechmann et al. Alignment of VL Sequences (1988).

Roberts & Rees, "Generation of an antibody with enhanced affinity and specificity for its antigen by protein engineering" *Nature* 328:731–734 (Aug. 1987).

Rostapshov et al., "Effective method for obtaining long nucleotide chains on partially complementary templates" *FEBS Letters* 249(2):379–382 (Jun. 1989).

Schneider et al., "The Anti-Idiotypic Response by Cynomolgus Mondkeys to Humanized Anti-Tac Is Primarily Directed to Complementarity-Determining Regions H1, H2, and L3" J. of Immunology 150:3086–3090 (Apr. 1993).

Sedlacek et al., "Monoclonal Antibodies in Tumor Therapy", Karger pp. 119–126, 133–179 (1988).

Shields et al., "Inhibition of Allergic Reactions with Antibodies to IgE" *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology* 107(1–3):308–312 (May 1995).

Sims et al., "A Humanized CD18 Antibody Can Block Function Without Cell Destruction" *The Journal of Immunology* 151(4):2296–2308 (Aug. 1993).

Smith–Gill et al., "A Three–dimensional Model of an Anti–lysozyme Antibody" *Mol. Biol.* 194:713–724 (1987). Songsivilai et al., "Bispecific antibody: a tool for diagnosis and treatment of disease" *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 79:315–321 (1990).

Stanford, "A Predictive Method for Determining Possible Three–dimensional Foldings of Immunoglobulin Backbones Around Antibody Combining Sites" *Theor. Biol.* 88:421–439 (1981).

Stickney et al., "Bifunctional Antibody: ZCE/CHA^{III}Indium BLEDTA-IV Clinical Imaging in Colorectal Carcinoma" *Antibody, Immuno Radiopharm* 2:1–13 (1989).

Tighe et al., "Delayed Allograft Rejection in Primates Treated with Anti–IL–2 Receptor Monoclonal antibody Campath–6" *Transplantation* 45(1):226–228 (Jan. 1988).

Verhoeyen & Riechmann, "Engineering of Antibodies" *BioEssays* 8(2):74–78 (Feb./Mar. 1988).

Verhoeyen et al., "Grafting Hypervariable Regions in Antibodies" *Protein Structure, Folding, and Design 2* (Proc. DuPont–UCLA Symp. Streamboat Springs, CO, Apr. 4–11, 1987), Dale L. Oxender, New York:Alan R. Liss, Inc. pp. 501–502 (1987).

Verhoeyen et al., "Humanising Mouse Antibodies: A Protein Engineering Approach" *Soc. for Analytical Cytology* (XIIth Intl. Mtg. for the Soc. for Analytical Cytology, Cambridge, UK) pp. 22 and slide presented at mtg.

Verhoeyen et al., "Re-shaped human anti-PLAP antibodies" *Monoclonal Antibodies Applications in clinical oncology*, Epenetos, 1st edition, Chapman & Hall Medical pp. 37–43 (1991).

Ward et al., "Expression and Secretion of Repertoires of VH Domains in *Escherichia coli:* Isolation of Antigen Binding Activites" *Progress in Immunology* (7th Intl. Congress Immunol. Berlin, W. Germany), F. Melchers vol. VII:1144–1151 (1989).

Ward, E.S. et al., "Binding activities of a repertoire of single immunoglobulin variable domains secreted from *Escherichia coli*" *Nature* 341:544–546 (1989).

Werther et al., "Humanization of an Anti–Lymphocyte Function–Associated Antigen (LFA)–1 Monoclonal Antibody and Reengineering of the Humanized Antibody for Binding to Rhesus LFA–1"*J. of Immunology* pp. 4986–4995 (1996). Whittle et al., "Construction and Expression of A CDR–Grafted Anti–TNF Antibody" *J. Cell Biochem. Suppl.* θ (Symp. on Protein and Pharm. Eng. Mol. and Cell. Biol. Park City, Utah)13 Part A:96 (1989).

Winter & Neuberger, "Restructuring Enzymes and Antibodies" *Investigation and Exploitation of Antibody Combining Sites*, Eric Reid, Plenum Press pp. 139–140 (1985).

Winter et al., "Protein Engineering by Site Directed Mutagenesis" *Chemical Synthesis in Molecular Biology*, H. Blocker et al., VCH pp. 189–197 (1987).

Winter G., "Antibody Engineering" Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 324:99–109 (1989).

Woodle et al., "Humanized OKT3 Antibodies: Successful Transfer of Immune Modulating Properties and Idiotype Expression" *J. of Immunology* 148(9):2756–2763 (May 1992).

* cited by examiner

J.S. Patent







Board Ass gned Page #7

FIG. 1B

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 A |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 4D5 | EVQLQQSGPEI | LVKPGASLKLS | CTASGFNIKDTYI | HWVKQRPEQ | GLEWIGRIYPTN |
| HU4D5 | EVQLVESGĠĠI | LVQPGGSLRLSO | CAASGFNIKDTYI | HWVRQÅPGKO | SLEWVARIYPTN |
| HUV _H III | EVQLVESGGGI | VQPGGSLRLSC | CAASGFTFSDYAM | ISWVRQAPGKO | LEWVAVISENG |
| | | | | - | |
| | | | v_{H} -CDR1 | | $V_{\rm H}$ -CDR2 |
| 4D5 HU4D5 HUV _H III | 60 GYTRYDPKFQI GYTRYADSVKG SDTYYADSVKG | 70 DKATITADTSSI GRFTISADTSKI GRFTISRDDSKN - | 80 ABC NTAYLQVSRLTSI NTAYLQMNSLRAI ITLYLQMNSLRAE | 90 EDTAVYYCSRI EDTAVYYCSRI DTAVYYCSRI | 100ABC WGGDGFYAMDYW WGGDGFYAMDVW DRGGAVSYFDVW |
| | 110 | | | | V _H -CDR3 |

| | 110 |
|-------|------------|
| 4D5 | GQGASVTVSS |
| | |
| HU4D5 | GQGTLVTVSS |
| | |

HUV_HIII GQGTLVTVSS

U.S. Patent

Jun. 18, 2002

Sheet 2 of 9

US 6,407,213 B1





FIG. 3



FIG. 4

VL10203040muxCD3DIQMTQTTSSLSASLGDRVTISCRASQDIRNYLNWYQQKPhuxCD3v1DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCRASQDIRNYLNWYQQKPhuκIDIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCRASQSISNYLAWYQQKP6ĈDR-L1

60 70 80 50 DGTVKLLİŸYİSRİHSGVPSKFSGSGSGTDYSLTISNLEQ muxCD3 * ** **** YTSRLESGVPSRFSGSGSGTDYTLTISSLQP huxCD3v1 GKAPKLLIY . SSLESGVPSRFSGSGSGTDFTLTISSLQP GKAPKLLIY huĸI ĈÔR-L2

| | 90 100 | |
|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| muxCD3 | EDĮATYFCQQĠŇŤĹÞŴTFĄĢGTI | KLEIK |
| huxCD3v1 | EDFATYŶCQQGNTLPWTFGQGT | KVEIK |
| huĸI | EDFATYYC <u>QQYNSLPWT</u> FGQGTI | KVEIK |
| | CDR-L3 | |

VH10203040muxCD3EVQLQQSGPELVKPGASMKISCKASGYSFTGYTMNWVKQShuxCD3v1EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGYSFTGYTMNWVRQAhuIIIEVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSSYAMSWVRQACDR-H1

| | 50 | 60 | 70 | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| muxCD3 | HGKNLEŴMGĽÍŇ * * * | IPYKGVŠTYNOKF * **** | KDKATLTVDK | SSSTAY |
| huxCD3v1 | PGKGLEWVALIN ## # | IPYKGVTTYADSV ####### | KGRFTISVDK | SKNTAY |
| HuIII | PGKGLEWVŠ <u>VIŠ</u> | <u>ĞĎĞGŠTŸYADSV</u> | <u>KG</u> RFTISRDÑ: | SKNTLY |
| | | ĈDR-H2 | | |

| | 80 | abc | 90 | 100 | abcde | 110 |
|----------|------------|--------|---------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| muxCD3 | MEL *** | LSLTSE | DSAVYYCA * | ŖŠĠŸŸĠĎ | ŚDWYFDV | WGAGTTVTVSS |
| huxCD3v1 | LQM | NSLRAE | DTAVYYCA | RSGYYGD | SDWYFDV | WGQGTLVTVSS |
| huIII | LQM | NSLRAE | DTAVYYCA | RGRVGYS | LSGLYDY | WGQGTLVTVSS |
| | | | | DET | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | ^^^^ĉô | R-H3 | |

FIG. 5

| H52H4-160 | FIG. 6A-1 Q | VQLQQSGPELVKPGASVK | ISCKTSGYTFTE |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| рН52-8.0 | MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSE 10 20 | VQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLR 30 | LSCATSGYTFTE 40 |
| H52H4-160 pH52-8.0 | 40 50 YTMHWMKQSHGKSLEWIGGF *********************************** | 60 7 NPKNGGSSHNQRFMDKAT ************************************ | 0 80 LAVDKSTSTAYM ********* ISVDKSTSTAYM 90 100 |
| H52H4-160 pH52-8.0 | 90 100 ELRSLTSEDSGIYYCARWRG ** .********** QMNSLRAEDTAVYYCARWRG 110 120 | 110 120 LNYGFDVRYFDVWGAGTT ********************************** | 0 130 VTVSSASTKGPS ********** VTVSSASTKGPS 40 150 |
| H52H4-160 pH52-8.0 | 140 150 VFPLAPSSKSTSGGTAALGC ***** *.*** .***** VFPLAPCSRSTSESTAALGC 160 170 | 160 17 LVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSGA ************************************ | 0 180 LTSGVHTFPAVL *********************** LTSGVHTFPAVL 90 200 |
| H52H4-160 pH52-8.0 | 190 200 QSSGLYSLSSVVTVPSSSLG *********************** QSSGLYSLSSVVTVTSSNFG 210 220 | 210 22 TQTYICNVNHKPSNTKVD **** ***.******** TQTYTCNVDHKPSNTKVD 230 2 | 0 230 KKVEPKSCDKTH * ** * * KTVERKCCV 40 |
| H52H4-160 pH52-8.0 | 240 250 TCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFP ************** ECPPCPAPP-VAGPSVFLFP 250 | 260 27 PKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCV *********************************** | 0 280 VVDVSHEDPEVK ********** VVDVSHEDPEVQ |

U.S. Patent

Board Ass gned Page #13

BI Exhibit 1095

FIG. 6A-2

| | 290 | 300 | 310 | 320 | 330 |
|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| H52H4-160 | FNWYVDGVEV | HNAKTKPREI | EQYNSTYRVV | SVLTVLHODW | LNGKEYKCKVS |
| | ****** | ***** | **.***.*** | ********* | ****** |
| pH52-8.0 | FNWYVDGMEV | HNAKTKPREI | EQFNSTFRVV | SVLTVVHQDW | LNGKEYKCKVS |
| | 300 | 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 |
| | | | | | |
| | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 |
| H52H4-160 | NKALPAPIEK | TISKAKGQPI | REPQVYTLPP | SREEMTKNQV | SLTCLVKGFYP |
| | ** ******* | **** ***** | ****** | ******** | ******* |
| pH52-8.0 | NKGLPAPIEK | TISKTKGQPI | REPOVYTLPP | SREEMTKNQV | SLTCLVKGFYP |
| L | 350 | 360 ~ | 370 | 380 | 390 |
| | | | | | |
| | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 |
| H52H4-160 | SDIAVEWESN | GOPENNYKT | TPPVLDSDGS | FFLYSKLTVD | KSRWOOGNVFS |
| | ****** | **** | *** ****** | ***** | **** |
| pH52-8.0 | SDIAVEWESN | GOPENNYKT | TPPMLDSDGS | FFLYSKLTVD | KSRWQQGNVFS |
| 1 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 |
| | | | | | |
| | 440 | 450 | | | |
| H52H4-160 | CSVMHEALHN | HYTOKSLSLS | SPGK | | |
| | ****** | ****** | * * * * | | |
| pH52-8.0 | CSVMHEALHN | HYTOKSLSLS | SPGK | | |
| ~ | 450 | 460 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Board Ass gned Page #14

FIG. 6B

| | | | 10 | 20 | 30 | |
|------------|--|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|--|
| H52L6-158 | | DVQM | TQTTSSLSAS | LGDRVTINCR | ASQDINN | |
| | | * * | ***。 ***** | ****** ** | ****** | |
| pH52-9.0 | MGWSCIILFLVA | FATGVHSDIQM | TQSPSSLSAS | VGDRVTITCR | ASQDINN | |
| 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | |
| H52L6-158 | YLNWYOOKPNGT | VKLLTYYTSTL | HSGVPSRFSG | SGSGTDYSLT | ISNLDOE | |
| | ******* | ***** | ***** | ****** | ** * * | |
| pH52-9.0 | YLNWYOOKPGKA | PKLLTYYTSTL | HSGVPSRFSG | SGSGTDYTLT | TSSLOPE | |
| philo sto | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | |
| | | | | 20 | 100 | |
| | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | |
| H52L6-158 | DTATYFCOOGNT | LPPTFGGGTKV | EIKETVAAPS | VETEPPSDEO | LKSGTAS | |
| 100000 100 | * *** ***** | ***** | **** | ***** | ****** | |
| pH52-9.0 | DFATYYCOOGNT | LPPTFGOGTKV | EIKRTVAAPS | VETEPPSDEO | LKSGTAS | |
| Puide 210 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | |
| | 110 | 100 | 100 | | 100 | |
| | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | |
| H52L6-158 | VVCLINNEVPRE | AKVOWKVDNAL | OSGNSOESVT | EODSKDSTYS | LSSTLTL | |
| 100000 100 | **** | **** | ****** | ***** | ******* | |
| pH52-9.0 | VVCLUNNEVPRE | AKVOWKVDNAT | OSGNSOESVT | EODSKDSTVS | LSSTLTI. | |
| philod 510 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | |
| | 100 | 1,0 | 100 | 100 | 200 | |
| | 190 | 200 | 210 | | | |
| H52L6-158 | SKADVEKHKVVA | CEVTHOGLSS | PVTKSENRGEG | n | | |
| 100000 100 | **** | | | | | |
| pH52-9.0 | 0H52-9.0 SKADVEKHKVYACEVTHOGLSSPVTKSENRGEC | | | | | |
| LICE 210 | 210 | 220 | 230 | - | | |
| | 210 | 220 | 200 | | | |

U.S. Patent Jun. 18, 2002

Board Ass gned Page #15

210 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

15

30

35

METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED **ANTIBODIES**

CROSS REFERENCES

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 07/715,272 filed Jun. 14, 1991 (abandoned) which application is incorporated herein by reference and to which application priority is claimed under 35 USC §120.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to methods for the preparation and use of variant antibodies and finds application particularly in the fields of immunology and cancer diagnosis and therapy.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Naturally occurring antibodies (immunoglobulins) comprise two heavy chains linked together by disulfide bonds and two light chains, one light chain being linked to each of the heavy chains by disulfide bonds. Each heavy chain has at one end a variable domain (V_H) followed by a number of constant domains. Each light chain has a variable domain (V_L) at one end and a constant domain at its other end, the constant domain of the light chain is aligned with the first constant domain of the heavy chain, and the light chain variable domain is aligned with the variable domain of the heavy chain. Particular amino acid residues are believed to form an interface between the light and heavy chain variable domains, see e.g. Chothia et al., J. Mol. Biol. 186:651-663 (1985); Novotny and Haber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:4592-4596 (1985).

The constant domains are not involved directly in binding the antibody to an antigen, but are involved in various effector functions, such as participation of the antibody in antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity. The variable domains of each pair of light and heavy chains are involved directly in binding the antibody to the antigen. The domains of natural light and heavy chains have the same general structure, and each domain comprises four framework (FR) regions, whose sequences are somewhat conserved, connected by three hyper-variable or complementarity determining regions (CDRs) (see Kabat, E. A. et a., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., (1987)). The four framework regions largely adopt a β-sheet conformation and the CORs form loops connecting, and in some cases forming part of, the β-sheet structure. The CDRs in each chain are held in close proximity by the framework regions and, with the CDRs antigen binding site.

Widespread use has been made of monoclonal antibodies, particularly those derived from rodents including mice, however they are frequently antigenic in human clinical use. monoclonal antibodies is an anti-globulin response during therapy (Miller, R. A. et al., Blood 62:988-995 (1983); Schroff, R. W. et al., Cancer Res. 45:879-885 (1985)).

The art has attempted to overcome this problem by constructing "chimeric" antibodies in which an animal 60 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:10029-10033 (1989)) frameantigen-binding variable domain is coupled to a human constant domain (Cabilly et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,816,567; Morrison, S. L. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:6851-6855 (1984); Boulianne, G. L. et al., Nature 312:643-646 (1984); Neuberger, M. S. et al., Nature 65 314:268-270 (1985)). The term "chimeric" antibody is used herein to describe a polypeptide comprising at least the

antigen binding portion of an antibody molecule linked to at least part of another protein (typically an immunoglobulin constant domain).

The isotype of the human constant domain may be selected to tailor the chimeric antibody for participation in antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (see e.g. Brüggemann, M. et al., J. Exp. Med. 166:1351-1361 (1987); Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988); Love et al., Methods 10 in Enzymology 178:515-527 (1989); Bindon et al., J. Exp. Med. 168:127-142 (1988).

In the typical embodiment, such chimeric antibodies contain about one third rodent (or other non-human species) sequence and thus are capable of eliciting a significant anti-globulin response in humans. For example, in the case of the murine anti-CD3 antibody, OKT3, much of the resulting anti-globulin response is directed against the variable region rather than the constant region (Jaffers, G. J. et a., Transplantation 41:572-578 (1986)).

20 In a further effort to resolve the antigen binding functions of antibodies and to minimize the use of heterologous sequences in human antibodies, Winter and colleagues (Jones, P. T. et al., Nature 321:522-525 (1986); Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988); Verhoeyen, M. et al., Science 239:1534-1536 (1988)) have substituted rodent CDRs or CDR sequences for the corresponding segments of a human antibody. As used herein, the term "humanized" antibody is an embodiment of chimeric antibodies wherein substantially less than an intact human variable domain has been substituted by the corresponding sequence from a non-human species. In practice, humanized antibodies are typically human antibodies in which some CDR residues and possibly some FR residues are substituted by residues from analogous sites in rodent antibodies.

The therapeutic promise of this approach is supported by the clinical efficacy of a humanized antibody specific for the CAMPATH-1 antigen with two non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients, one of whom had previously developed an anti-40 globulin response to the parental rat antibody (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988); Hale, G. et al., Lancet i:1394–1399 (1988)). A murine antibody to the interleukin 2 receptor has also recently been humanized (Queen, C. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:10029–10033 (1989)) as a 45 potential immunosuppressive reagent. Additional references related to humanization of antibodies include Co et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2869-2873 (1991); Gorman et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:4181-4185 (1991); Daugherty et al., Nucleic Acids Research 19(9):2471-2476 (1991); from the other chain, contribute to the formation of the 50 Brown et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2663-2667 (1991); Junghans et al., Cancer Research 50:1495-1502 (1990).

In some cases, substituting CDRs from rodent antibodies for the human CDRs in human frameworks is sufficient to For example, a major limitation in the clinical use of rodent 55 transfer high antigen binding affinity (Jones, P. T. et al., Nature 321:522-525 (1986); Verhoeyen, M. et al., Science 239:1534–1536 (1988)), whereas in other cases it has been necessary to additionally replace one (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988)) or several (Queen, C. et al., work region (FR) residues. See also Co et al., supra.

> For a given antibody a small number of FR residues are anticipated to be important for antigen binding. Firstly for example, certain antibodies have been shown to contain a few FR residues which directly contact antigen in crystal structures of antibody-antigen complexes (e.g., reviewed in Davies, D. R. et al., Ann. Rev. Biochem. 59:439-473 (1990)).

Secondly, a number of FR residues have been proposed by Chothia, Lesk and colleagues (Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., *J. Mol. Biol.* 196:901–917 (1987); Chothia, C. et al., *Nature* 342:877–883 (1989); Tramontano, A. et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:175–182 (1990)) as critically affecting the conformation 5 of particular CDRs and thus their contribution to antigen binding. See also Margolies et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 72:2180–2184 (1975).

It is also known that, in a few instances, an antibody variable domain (either V_H or V_L) may contain glycosylation sites, and that this glycosylation may improve or abolish antigen binding, Pluckthun, *Biotechnology* 9:545–51 (1991); Spiegelberg et al., *Biochemistry* 9:4217–4223 (1970); Wallic et al., *J. Exp. Med.* 168:1099–1109 (1988); Sox et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 66:975–982 (1970); Margni et al., *Ann. Rev. Immunol* 6:535–554 (1988). Ordinarily, however, glycosylation has no influence on the antigen-binding properties of an antibody, Pluckthun, supra, (1991).

The three-dimensional structure of immunoglobulin 20 chains has been studied, and crystal structures for intact immunoglobulins, for a variety of immunoglobulin fragments, and for antibody-antigen complexes have been published (see e.g., Saul et al., Journal of Biological Chemistry 25:585–97 (1978); Sheriff et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:8075-79 (1987); Segal et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:4298-4302 (1974); Epp et al., Biochemistry 14(22) :4943-4952 (1975); Marquart et al., J. Mol. Biol. 141:369-391 (1980); Furey et al., J. Mol. Biol. 167:661-692 (1983); Snow and Amzel, Protein: Structure, Function, and 30 Genetics 1:267-279, Alan R. Liss, Inc. pubs. (1986); Chothia and Lesk, J. Mol. Bol. 196:901-917 (1987); Chothia et al., Nature 342:877-883 (1989); Chothia et al., Science 233:755-58 (1986); Huber et al., Nature 264:415-420 (1976); Bruccoleri et al., Nature 335:564-568 (1988) and 35 Nature 336:266 (1988); Sherman et al., Journal of Biological Chemistry 263:4064-4074 (1988); Amzel and Poljak, Ann. Rev. Biochem. 48:961-67 (1979); Silverton et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5140-5144 (1977); and Gregory et al., Molecular Immunology 24:821–829 (1987). It is known that the function of an antibody is dependent on its three dimensional structure, and that amino acid substitutions can change the three-dimensional structure of an antibody, Snow and Amzel, supra. It has previously been shown that the antigen binding affinity of a humanized antibody can be 45 increased by mutagenesis based upon molecular modelling (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988); Queen, C. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:10029-10033 (1989)).

Humanizing an antibody with retention of high affinity for 50 antigen and other desired biological activities is at present difficult to achieve using currently available procedures. Methods are needed for rationalizing the selection of sites for substitution in preparing such antibodies and thereby increasing the efficiency of antibody humanization. 55

The proto-oncogene HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2) encodes a protein tyrosine kinase $(p185^{HER2})$ that is related to and somewhat homologous to the human epidermal growth factor receptor (see Coussens, L. et al., *Science* 230:1132–1139 (1985); Yamamoto, T. et 60 al., *Nature* 319:230–234 (1986); King, C. R. et al., *Science* 229:974–976 (1985)). HER2 is also known in the field as c-erbB-2, and sometimes by the name of the rat homolog, neu. Amplification and/or overexpression of HER2 is associated with multiple human malignancies and appears to be 65 integrally involved in progression of 25–30% of human breast and ovarian cancers (Slamon, D. J. et al., *Science*

235:177–182 (1987), Slamon, D. J. et al., *Science* 244:707–712 (1989)). Furthermore, the extent of amplification is inversely correlated with the observed median patient survival time (Slamon, supra, Science 1989).

The murine monoclonal antibody known as muMAb4D5 (Fendly, B. M. et al., Cancer Res. 50:1550-1558 (1990)), directed against the extracellular domain (ECD) of p185^{HER2}, specifically inhibits the growth of tumor cell lines overexpressing p185^{HER2} in monolayer culture or in soft 10 agar (Hudziak, R. M. et al., Molec. Cell. Biol 9:1165-1172 (1989); Lupu, R. et al., Science 249:1552-1555 (1990)). MuMAb4D5 also has the potential of enhancing tumor cell sensitivity to tumor necrosis factor, an important effector molecule in macrophage-mediated tumor cell cytotoxicity 15 (Hudziak, supra, 1989; Shepard, H. M. and Lewis, G. D. J. Clinical Immunology 8:333-395 (1988)). Thus muMAb4D5 has potential for clinical intervention in and imaging of carcinomas in which p185HER2 is overexpressed. The muMAb4D5 and its uses are described in PCT application WO 89/06692 published Jul. 27, 1989. This murine antibody was deposited with the ATCC and designated ATCC CRL 10463. However, this antibody may be immunogenic in humans

It is therefore an object of this invention to provide methods for the preparation of antibodies which are less antigenic in humans than non-human antibodies but have desired antigen binding and other characteristics and activities.

It is a further object of this invention to provide methods for the efficient humanization is of antibodies, i.e. selecting non-human amino acid residues for importation into a human antibody background sequence in such a fashion as to retain or improve the affinity of the non-human donor antibody for a given antigen.

It is another object of this invention to provide humanized antibodies capable of binding $p185^{HER2}$.

Other objects, features, and characteristics of the present invention will become apparent upon consideration of the 40 following description and the appended claims.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The objects of this invention are accomplished by a method for making a humanized antibody comprising amino acid sequence of an import, non-human antibody and a human antibody, comprising the steps of:

- a. obtaining the amino acid sequences of at least a portion of an import antibody variable domain and of a consensus variable domain;
- b. identifying Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid sequences in the import and the human variable domain sequences;
- c. substituting an import CDR amino acid sequence for the corresponding human CDR amino acid sequence;
- d. aligning the amino acid sequences of a Framework Region (FR) of the import antibody and the corresponding FR of the consensus antibody;
- e. identifying import antibody FR residues in the aligned FR sequences that are non-homologous to the corresponding consensus antibody residues;
- f. determining if the non-homologous import amino acid residue is reasonably expected to have at least one of the following effects:
 - 1. non-covalently binds antigen directly,
 - 2. interacts with a CDR; or
 - 3. participates in the $V_L V_H$ interface; and

5

g. for any non-homologous import antibody amino acid residue which is reasonably expected to have at least one of these effects, substituting that residue for the corresponding amino acid residue in the consensus antibody FR sequence.

Optionally, the method of this invention comprises the additional steps of determining if any non-homologous residues identified in step (e) are exposed on the surface of the domain or buried within it, and if the residue is exposed but has none of the effects identified in step (f), retaining the consensus residue.

Additionally, in certain embodiments the method of this invention comprises the feature wherein the corresponding consensus antibody residues identified in step (e) above are selected from the group consisting of 4L, 35L, 36L, 38L, 15 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 63L, 64L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 70L, 71 L, 73L, 85L, 87L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 49H, 58H, 60H, 67H, 68H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H, 91H, 92H, 93H, and 103H (utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat, E. A. et (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)).

In certain embodiments, the method of this invention comprises the additional steps of searching either or both of the import, non-human and the consensus variable domain sequences for glycosylation sites, determining if the glyco- 25 homology with the following sequences. sylation is reasonably expected to be important for the desired antigen binding and biological activity of the antibody (i.e., determining if the glycosylation site binds to antigen or changes a side chain of an amino acid residue that binds to antigen, or if the glycosylation enhances or weakens 30 antigen binding, or is important for maintaining antibody affinity). If the import sequence bears the glycosylation site, it is preferred to substitute that site for the corresponding residues in the consensus human if the glycosylation site is reasonably expected to be important. If only the consensus 35 sequence, and not the import, bears the glycosylation site, it is preferred to eliminate that glycosylation site or substitute therefor the corresponding amino acid residues from the import sequence.

Another embodiment of this invention comprises aligning 40 import antibody and the consensus antibody FR sequences, identifying import antibody FR residues which are nonhomologous with the aligned consensus FR sequence, and for each such non-homologous import antibody FR residue, determining if the corresponding consensus antibody resi-45 due represents a residue which is highly conserved across all species at that site, and if it is so conserved, preparing a humanized antibody which comprises the consensus antibody amino acid residue at that site.

Certain alternate embodiments of the methods of this 50 invention comprise obtaining the amino acid sequence of at least a portion of an import, non-human antibody variable domain having a CDR and a FR, obtaining the amino acid sequence of at least a portion of a consensus antibody variable domain having a CDR and a FR, substituting the 55 non-human CDR for the human CDR in the consensus antibody variable domain, and then substituting an amino acid residue for the consensus amino acid residue at at least one of the following sites:

- 35L, 36L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 46L, 62L, 63L, 64L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 70L, 71L, 73L, 85L, 87L, 98L. or
- b. (in the FR of the variable domain of the heavy chain) 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 49H, 58H, 65 60H, 67H, 68H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 75H, 78H, 91H, 92H, 93H, and 103H.

In preferred embodiments, the non-CDR residue substituted at the consensus FR site is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody.

Optionally, this just-recited embodiment comprises the additional steps of following the method steps appearing at the beginning of this summary and determining whether a particular amino acid residue can reasonably be expected to have undesirable effects.

This invention also relates to a humanized antibody 10 comprising the CDR sequence of an import, non-human antibody and the FR sequence of a human antibody, wherein an amino acid residue within the human FR sequence located at any one of the sites 4L, 35L, 36L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 63L, 64L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 70L, 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 49H, 58H, 60H, 67H, 68H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H, 91H, 92H, 93H, and 103H has been substituted by another residue. In preferred embodiments, the residue substituted at the human FR site is the residue found at the corresponding location of al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest 20 the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR was obtained. In other embodiments, no human FR residue other than those set forth in this group has been substituted.

> This invention also encompasses specific humanized antibody variable domains, and isolated polypeptides having

- 1. SEQ. ID NO. 1, which is the light chain variable domain of a humanized version of muMAb4D5: DIOMTOSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCRASOD-VNTAVAWYQQKPGKAPKLLIYSASFLES-GVPSRFSGSRSGTDFTLTISSLQPEDFA-TYYCQQHYTTPPTFGQGTKVEIKRT
- 2. SEQ. ID NO. 2, which is the heavy chain variable domain of a humanized version of muMAb4D5): EVQLVESGGGLVOPGGSLRLSCAASGFNIK DTYIHWVROAPGKGLEWVARIYPTNGYTRY ADSVKGRFTISADTSKNTAYLOMNSLRAED TAVYYCSRWGGDGFYAMDVWGQGTLVTVSS

In another aspect, this invention provides a consensus antibody variable domain amino acid sequence for use in the preparation of humanized antibodies, methods for obtaining, using, and storing a computer representation of such a consensus sequence, and computers comprising the sequence data of such a sequence. In one embodiment, the following consensus antibody variable domain amino acid sequences are provided:

- SEQ. ID NO. 3 (light chain): DDIOMTQSPSSLSAS-VGDRVTITCRASQDVSSYLAWYQQKPGKAPKLL IYAASSLESGVPSRFSGSGSGTDFTLTISSLQP EDFATYYCOOYNSLPYTFGOGTKVEIKRT, and
- SEQ. ID NO. 4 (heavy chain): EVQLVESGGGLVQPG GSLRLSCAASGFTFSDYAMSWVRQAPGKGL EWVAVISENGGYTRYADSVKGRFTISADTSKNT AYLQMNSLRAEDTAWYCSRWGGDGFYAMD VWGQGTLVTVSS

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A shows the comparison of the V_L domain amino acid residues of muMAb4D5, huMAb4D5, and a consensus sequence (FIG. 1A, SEQ.ID NO. 5, SEQ. ID NO. 1 and a. (in the FR of the variable domain of the light chain) 4L, 60 SEQ. ID NO. 3, respectively). FIG. 1B shows the comparison between the V_H domain amino acid residues of the muMAb4D5, huMAb4D5, and a consensus sequence (FIG. 1B, SEQ. ID NO. 6, SEQ. ID NO. 2 and SEQ. ID NO. 4, respectively). Both FIGS. 1A and 1B use the generally accepted numbering scheme from Kabat, E. A., et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md. (1987)). In both FIG. 1A

15

and FIG. 1B, the CDR residues determined according to a standard sequence definition (as in Kabat, E. A. et al., *Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest* (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) are indicated by the first underlining beneath the sequences, and the CDR residues determined according to a structural definition (as in Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol. Biol. 196:901–917 (1987)) are indicated by the second, lower underlines. The mismatches between genes are shown by the vertical lines.

FIG. 2 shows a scheme for humanization of muMAb4D5 V_L and V_H by gene conversion mutagenesis.

FIG. 3 shows the inhibition of SK-BR-3 proliferation by MAb4D5 variants. Relative cell proliferation was determined as described (Hudziak, R. M. et al., *Molec. Cell. Biol.* 9:1165–1172 (1989)) and data (average of triplicate determinations) are presented as a percentage of results with untreated cultures for muMAb4D5 (\bullet), huMAb4D5-8 (\bigcirc) and huMAb4D5-1 (\Box).

FIG. 4 shows a stereo view of α -carbon tracing for a model of huMAb4D5-8 V_L and V_H. The CDR residues (Kabat, E. A. et al., *Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest* (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., ²⁰ 1987)) are shown in bold and side chains of V_H residues A71, T73, A78, S93, Y102 and V_L residues Y55 plus R66 (see Table 3) are shown.

FIG. 5 shows an amino acid sequence comparison of V_L (top panel) and V_H (lower panel) domains of the murine anti-CD3 monoclonal Ab UCHT1 (muxCD3, Shalaby et al., 25 J. Exp. Med. 175, 217-225 (1992) with a humanized variant of this antibody (huxCD3v1). Also shown are consensus sequences (most commonly occurring residue or pair of residues) of the most abundant human subgroups, namely $V_L \kappa 1$ and V_H III upon which the humanized sequences are based (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of immu-nological Interest, 5th edition, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., USA (1991)). The light chain sequencesmuxCD3, huxCD3v1 and huKI-correspond to SEQ.ID. NOs 16, 17, and 18, respectively. The heavy chain 35 sequences-muxCD3, huxCD3v1 and huxI-correspond to SEQ.ID.NOs 19, 26, and 21, respectively. Residues which differ between muxCD3 and huxCD3v1 are identified by an asterisk (*), whereas those which differ between humanized and consensus sequences are identified by a sharp sign (#). A bullet (•) denotes that a residue at this position has been 40 found to contact antigen in one or more crystallographic structures of antibody/antigen complexes (Kabat et al., 1991; Mian, I. S. et al., J. Mol. Biol 217, 133-151 (1991)). The location of CDR residues according to a sequence definition (Kabat et al., 1991) and a structural definition 45 (Chothia and Lesk, supra 1987) are shown by a line and carats (^) beneath the sequences, respectively.

FIG. 6A compares murine and humanized amino acid sequences for the heavy chain of an anti-CD18 antibody. H52H4-160 (SEQ. ID. NO. 22) is the murine sequence, and pH52–8.0 (SEQ. ID. NO. 23) is the humanized heavy chain sequence. pH52–8.0 residue 143S is the final amino acid in the variable heavy chain domain V_{H} , and residue 144A is the first amino acid in the constant heavy chain domain C_{H1} .

FIG. **6**B compares murine and humanized amino acid sequences for the light chain of an anti-CD18 antibody. ⁵⁵ H52L6-158 (SEQ. ID. NO. 24) is the murine sequence, and pH52–9.0 (SEQ. ID. NO. 25) is the humanized light chain sequence. pH52–9.0 residue 128T is the final amino acid in the light chain variable domain V_L , and residue 129V is the first amino acid in the light chain constant domain C_L . ⁶⁰

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Definitions

In general, the following words or phrases have the ⁶⁵ indicated definitions when used in the description, examples, and claims:

The murine monoclonal antibody known as muMAb4D5 (Fendly, B. M. et al., *Cancer Res.* 50:1550–1558 (1990)) is directed against the extracellular domain (ECD) of p185^{HER2}. The muMAb4D5 and its uses are described in
PCT application WO 89/06692 published Jul. 27, 1989. This murine antibody was deposited with the ATCC and designated ATCC CRL 10463. In this description and claims, the terms muMAb4D5, chMAb4D5 and huMAb4D5 represent murine, chimerized and humanized versions of the mono-10 clonal antibody 4D5, respectively.

A humanized antibody for the purposes herein is an immunoglobulin amino acid sequence variant or fragment thereof which is capable of binding to a predetermined antigen and which comprises a FR region having substantially the amino acid sequence of a human immunoglobulin and a CDR having substantially the amino acid sequence of a non-human immunoglobulin.

Generally, a humanized antibody has one or more amino acid residues introduced into it from a source which is non-human. These non-human amino acid residues are referred to herein as "import" residues, which are typically taken from an "import" antibody domain, particularly a variable domain. An import residue, sequence, or antibody has a desired affinity and/or specificity, or other desirable antibody biological activity as discussed herein.

In general, the humanized antibody will comprise substantially all of at least one, and typically two, variable domains (Fab, Fab', F(ab')₂, Fabc, Fv) in which all or substantially all of the CDR regions correspond to those of a non-human immunoglobulin and all or substantially all of the FR regions are those of a human immunoglobulin consensus sequence. The humanized antibody optimally also will comprise at least a portion of an immunoglobulin constant region (Fc), typically that of a human immunoglobulin. Ordinarily, the antibody will contain both the light chain as well as at least the variable domain of a heavy chain. The antibody also may include the CH1, hinge, CH2, CH3, and CH4 regions of the heavy chain.

The humanized antibody will be selected from any class of immunoglobulins, including IgM, IgG, IgD, IgA and IgE, and any isotype, including IgG1, IgG2, IgG3 and IgG4. Usually the constant domain is a complement fixing constant domain where it is desired that the humanized antibody exhibit cytotoxic activity, and the class is typically IgG_1 . Where such cytotoxic activity is not desirable, the constant domain may be of the IgG_2 class. The humanized antibody may comprise sequences from more than one class or isotype, and selecting particular constant domains to optimize desired effector functions is within the ordinary skill in the art.

The FR and CDR regions of the humanized antibody need not correspond precisely to the parental sequences, e.g., the import CDR or the consensus FR may be mutagenized by 55 substitution, insertion or deletion of at least one residue so that the CDR or FR residue at that site does not correspond to either the consensus or the import antibody. Such mutations, however, will not be extensive. Usually, at least 75% of the humanized antibody residues will correspond to those of the parental FR and CDR sequences, more often 90%, and most preferably greater than 95%.

In general, humanized antibodies prepared by the method of this invention are produced by a process of analysis of the parental sequences and various conceptual humanized products using three dimensional models of the parental and humanized sequences. Three dimensional immunoglobulin models are commonly available and are familiar to those

50

skilled in the art. Computer programs are available which illustrate and display probable three dimensional conformational structures of selected candidate immunoglobulin sequences. Inspection of these displays permits analysis of the likely role of the residues in the functioning of the candidate immunoglobulin sequence, i.e., the analysis of residues that influence the ability of the candidate immunoglobulin to bind its antigen.

Residues that influence antigen binding are defined to be residues that are substantially responsible for the antigen affinity or antigen specificity of a candidate immunoglobulin, in a positive or a negative sense. The invention is directed to the selection and combination of FR residues from the consensus and import sequence so that the desired immunoglobulin characteristic is achieved. Such desired characteristics include increases in affinity and greater specificity for the target antigen, although it is conceivable that in some circumstances the opposite effects might be desired. In general, the CDR residues are directly and most substantially involved in influencing antigen bind-20 ing (although not all CDR residues are so involved and therefore need not be substituted into the consensus sequence). However, FR residues also have a significant effect and can exert their influence in at least three ways: They may noncovalently directly bind to antigen, they may 25 interact with CDR residues and they may affect the interface between the heavy and light chains.

A residue that noncovalently directly binds to antigen is one that, by three dimensional analysis, is reasonably expected to noncovalently directly bind to antigen. 30 Typically, it is necessary to impute the position of antigen from the spatial location of neighboring CDRs and the dimensions and structure of the target antigen. In general, only those humanized antibody residues that are capable of forming salt bridges, hydrogen bonds, or hydrophobic inter- 35 actions are likely to be involved in non-covalent antigen binding, however residues which have atoms which are separated from antigen spatially by 3.2 Angstroms or less may also non-covalently interact with antigen. Such residues typically are the relatively larger amino acids having the side 40 chains with the greatest bulk, such as tyrosine, arginine, and lysine. Antigen-binding FR residues also typically will have side chains that are oriented into an envelope surrounding the solvent oriented face of a CDR which extends about 7 Angstroms into the solvent from the CDR domain and about 45 7 Angstroms on either side of the CDR domain, again as visualized by three dimensional modeling.

A residue that interacts with a CDR generally is a residue that either affects the conformation of the CDR polypeptide backbone or forms a noncovalent bond with a CDR residue 50 side chain. Conformation-affecting residues ordinarily are those that change the spatial position of any CDR backbone atom (N, Ca, C, O, C β) by more than about 0.2 Angstroms. Backbone atoms of CDR sequences are displaced for example by residues that interrupt or modify organized 55 structures such as beta sheets, helices or loops. Residues that can exert a profound affect on the conformation of neighboring sequences include proline and glycine, both of which are capable of introducing bends into the backbone. Other residues that can displace backbone atoms are those that are 60 included in the Kabat compendium, one follows the followcapable of participating in salt bridges and hydrogen bonds.

A residue that interacts with a CDR side chain is one that is reasonably expected to form a noncovalent bond with a CDR side chain, generally either a salt bridge or hydrogen bond. Such residues are identified by three dimensional 65 positioning of their side chains. A salt or ion bridge could be expected to form between two side chains positioned within

about 2.5-3.2 Angstroms of one another that bear opposite charges, for example a lysinyl and a glutamyl pairing. A hydrogen bond could be expected to form between the side chains of residue pairs such as servl or threonyl with aspartyl or glutamyl (or other hydrogen accepting residues). Such pairings are well known in the protein chemistry art and will be apparent to the artisan upon three dimensional modeling of the candidate immunoglobulin.

Immunoglobulin residues that affect the interface between 10 heavy and light chain variable regions ("the $\mathrm{V}_L\mathrm{-}\mathrm{V}_H$ interface") are those that affect the proximity or orientation of the two chains with respect to one another. Certain residues involved in interchain interactions are already known and include V₁ residues 34, 36, 38, 44, 46, 87, 89, 91, 15 96, and 98 and V_H residues 35, 37, 39, 45, 47, 91, 93, 95, 100, and 103 (utilizing the nomenclature setforth in Kabat et al., Sequences of Proteins of immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)). Additional residues are newly identified by the inventors herein, and include 43L, 85L, 43H and 60H. While these residues are indicated for IgG only, they are applicable across species. In the practice of this invention, import antibody residues that are reasonably expected to be involved in interchain interactions are selected for substitution into the consensus sequence. It is believed that heretofore no humanized antibody has been prepared with an intrachain-affecting residue selected from an import antibody sequence.

Since it is not entirely possible to predict in advance what the exact impact of a given substitution will be it may be necessary to make the substitution and assay the candidate antibody for the desired characteristic. These steps, however, are per se routine and well within the ordinary skill of the art.

CDR and FR residues are determined according to a standard sequence definition (Kabat et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda Md. (1987), and a structural definition (as in Chothia and Lesk, J. Mol. Biol. 196:901-917 (1987). Where these two methods result in slightly different identifications of a CDR, the structural definition is preferred, but the residues identified by the sequence definition method are considered important FR residues for determination of which framework residues to import into a consensus sequence.

Throughout this description, reference is made to the numbering scheme from Kabat, E. A., et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md. (1987) and (1991). In these compendiums, Kabat lists many amino acid sequences for antibodies for each subclass, and lists the most commonly occurring amino acid for each residue position in that subclass. Kabat uses a method for assigning a residue number to each amino acid in a listed sequence, and this method for assigning residue numbers has become standard in the field. The Kabat numbering scheme is followed in this description.

For purposes of this invention, to assign residue numbers to a candidate antibody amino acid sequence which is not ing steps. Generally, the candidate sequence is aligned with any immunoglobulin sequence or any consensus sequence in Kabat. Alignment may be done by hand, or by computer using commonly accepted computer programs; an example of such a program is the Align 2 program discussed in this description. Alignment may be facilitated by using some amino acid residues which are common to most Fab

25

30

35

40

sequences. For example, the light and heavy chains each typically have two cysteines which have the same residue numbers; in V_L domain the two cysteines are typically at residue numbers 23 and 88, and in the V_H domain the two cysteine residues are typically numbered 22 and 92. Frame- 5 work residues generally, but not always, have approximately the same number of residues, however the CDRs will vary in size. For example, in the case of a CDR from a candidate sequence which is longer than the CDR in the sequence in the residue number to indicate the insertion of additional residues (see, e.g. residues 100abcde in FIG. 5). For candidate sequences which, for example, align with a Kabat sequence for residues 34 and 36 but have no residue between them to align with residue 35, the number 35 is simply not 15 domains. assigned to a residue.

Thus, in humanization of an import variable sequence, where one cuts out an entire human or consensus CDR and replaces it with an import CDR sequence, (a) the exact number of residues may be swapped, leaving the numbering the same, (b) fewer import amino acid residues may be introduced than are cut, in which case there will be a gap in the residue numbers, or (c) a larger number of amino acid residues may be introduced then were cut, in which case the numbering will involve the use of suffixes such as 100abcde.

The terms "consensus sequence" and "consensus antibody" as used herein refers to an amino acid sequence which comprises the most frequently occurring amino acid residues at each location in all immunoglobulins of any particular subclass or subunit structure. The consensus sequence may be based on immunoglobulins of a particular species or of many species. A "consensus" sequence, structure, or antibody is understood to encompass a consensus human sequence as described in certain embodiments of this invention, and to refer to an amino acid sequence which comprises the most frequently occurring amino acid residues at each location in all human immunoglobulins of any particular subclass or subunit structure. This invention provides consensus human structures and consensus structures which consider other species in addition to human.

The subunit structures of the live immunoglobulin classes in humans are as follows:

| Class | Heavy Chain | Subclasses | Light Chain | Molecular Formula |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| IgG IgA IgM IgD IgE | γ μ δ ε | γ1, γ2, γ3, γ4 α1, α2 none none none | κοιλ κοιλ κοιλ κοιλ κοιλ | $\begin{array}{l} (\gamma_{2}\kappa_{2}), (\gamma_{2}\lambda_{2}) \\ (\alpha_{2}\kappa_{2})_{n}^{\ 8}, (\alpha_{2}\lambda_{2})_{n}^{\ 8} \\ (\mu_{2}\kappa_{2})_{5}, (\mu_{2}\lambda_{2})_{5} \\ (\delta_{2}\kappa_{2}), (\delta_{2}\lambda_{2}) \\ (\varepsilon_{2}\kappa_{2}), (\varepsilon_{2}\lambda_{2}) \end{array}$ |

 $\binom{8}{n}$ may equal 1, 2, or 3)

In preferred embodiments of an IgGyl human consensus 55 sequence, the consensus variable domain sequences are derived from the most abundant subclasses in the sequence compilation of Kabat et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda Md. (1987), namely $V_L \kappa$ subgroup I and V_H group III. In ₆₀ such preferred embodiments, the V_L consensus domain has the amino acid sequence:

DIOMTOSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCRASOD-VSSYLAWYQQKPGKAPKLLIYAASSLES-GVPSRFSGSGSGTDFTLTISSLQPEDFA-65 TYYCQQYNSLPYTFGQGTKVEIKRT (SEQ. ID NO. 3);

the V_H consensus domain has the amino acid sequence: EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSDYAMSW

VRQAPGKGLEWVAVISENGGYTRYADSVKGRFT ISADTSKNTAYLQMNSLRAEDTAVYYCSRWGGD GFYAMDVWGQGTLVTVSS (SEQ. ID NO. 4).

These sequences include consensus CDRs as well as consensus FR residues (see for example in FIG. 1).

While not wishing to be limited to any particular theories, it may be that these preferred embodiments are less likely to Kabat to which it is aligned, typically suffixes are added to 10 be immunogenic in an individual than less abundant subclasses. However, in other embodiments, the consensus sequence is derived from other subclasses of human immunoglobulin variable domains. In yet other embodiments, the consensus sequence is derived from human constant

> Identity or homology with respect to a specified amino acid sequence of this invention is defined herein as the percentage of amino acid residues in a candidate sequence that are identical with the specified residues, after aligning the sequences and introducing gaps, if necessary, to achieve the maximum percent homology, and not considering any conservative substitutions as part of the sequence identity. None of N-terminal, C-terminal or internal extensions, deletions, or insertions into the specified sequence shall be construed as affecting homology. All sequence alignments called for in this invention are such maximal homology alignments. While such alignments may be done by hand using conventional methods, a suitable computer program is the "Align 2" program for which protection is being sought from the U.S. Register of Copyrights (Align 2, by Genentech, Inc., application filed Dec. 9, 1991).

> "Non-homologous" import antibody residues are those residues which are not identical to the amino acid residue at the analogous or corresponding location in a consensus sequence, after the import and consensus sequences are aligned.

> The term "computer representation" refers to information which is in a form that can be manipulated by a computer. The act of storing a computer representation refers to the act of placing the information in a form suitable for manipulation by a computer.

This invention is also directed to novel polypeptides, and in certain aspects, isolated novel humanized anti-p185^{HER2} antibodies are provided. These novel anti-p185^{ÅER2} antibodies are sometimes collectively referred to herein as 45 huMAb4D5, and also sometimes as the light or heavy chain variable domains of huMAb4D5, and are defined herein to be any polypeptide sequence which possesses a biological property of a polypeptide comprising the following polypep-50 tide sequence:

DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCRASODVNTAVAWY **OOKPGKAPKLLIYSASFLESGVPSRFSGSRSGT** DFTLTISSLQPEDFATYYCQQHYTTPPTFGQGTK VEIKRT (SEQ. ID NO. 1, which is the light chain variable domain of huMAb4D5); or

EVQLVESGGGLVOPGGSLRLSCAASGFNIKDTYIHW VRQAPGKGLEWVARIYPTNGYTRYADSVKGRFT ISADTSKNTAYLOMNSLRAEDTAVYYCSRWGGD GFYAMDVWGQGTLVTVSS (SEQ. ID NO. 2, which is the heavy chain variable domain of huMAb4D5).

"Biological property", as relates for example to antip185^{HER2}, for the purposes herein means an in vivo effector or antigen-binding function or activity that is directly or indirectly performed by huMAb4D5 (whether in its native or denatured conformation). Effector functions include p185^{HER2} binding, any hormonal or hormonal antagonist activity, any mitogenic or agonist or antagonist activity, any
cytotoxic activity. An antigenic function means possession of an epitope or antigenic site that is capable of crossreacting with antibodies raised against the polypeptide sequence of huMAb4D5.

Biologically active huMAb4D5 is defined herein as a polypeptide that shares an effector function of huMAb4D5. A principal known effector function of huMAb4D5 is its ability to bind to p185HER2

Thus, the biologically active and antigenically active huMAb4D5 polypeptides that are the subject of certain 10 embodiments of this invention include the sequence of the entire translated nucleotide sequence of huMAb4D5; mature huMAb4D5; fragments thereof having a consecutive sequence of at least 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 or 40 amino acid residues comprising sequences from muMAb4D5 plus resi-15 dues from the human FR of huMAb4D5; amino acid sequence variants of huMAb4D5 wherein an amino acid residue has been inserted N- or C-terminal to, or within, huMAb4D5 or its fragment as defined above; amino acid sequence variants of huMAb4D5 or its fragment as defined above wherein an amino acid residue of huMAb4D5 or its 20 sodium pyrophosphate, 5×Denhardt's solution, sonicated fragment as defined above has been substituted by another residue, including predetermined mutations by, e.g., sitedirected or PCR mutagenesis; derivatives of huMAb4D5 or its fragments as defined above wherein huMAb4D5 or its fragments have been covalent modified, by substitution, 25 chemical, enzymatic, or other appropriate means, with a moiety other than a naturally occurring amino acid; and glycosylation variants of huMAb4D5 (insertion of a glycosylation site or deletion of any glycosylation site by deletion, insertion or substitution of suitable residues). Such frag- 30 ments and variants exclude any polypeptide heretofore identified, including muMAb4D5 or any known polypeptide fragment, which are anticipatory order 35 U.S.C. 102 as well as polypeptides obvious thereover under 35 U.S.C. 103.

An "isolated" polypeptide means polypeptide which has 35 been identified and separated and/or recovered from a component of its natural environment. Contaminant components of its natural environment are materials which would interfere with diagnostic or therapeutic uses for the polypeptide, and may include enzymes, hormones, and other proteina- 40 ceous or nonproteinaceous solutes. In preferred embodiments, for example, a polypeptide product comprising huMAb4D5 will be purified from a cell culture or other synthetic environment (1) to greater than 95% by weight of protein as determined by the Lowry method, and most 45 preferably more than 99% by weight, (2) to a degree sufficient to obtain at least 15 residues of N-terminal or internal amino acid sequence by use of a gas- or liquid-phase sequenator (such as a commercially available Applied Biosystems sequenator Model 470, 477, or 473), or (3) to 50 homogeneity by SDS-PAGE under reducing or nonreducing conditions using Coomassie blue or, preferably, silver stain. Isolated huMAb4D5 includes huMAb4D5 in situ within recombinant cells since at least one component of the huMAb4D5 natural environment will not be present. 55 and "transformed cells" include the primary subject cell and Ordinarily, however, isolated huMAb4D5 will be prepared by at least one purification step.

In accordance with this invention, huMAb4D5 nucleic acid is RNA or DNA containing greater than ten bases that encodes a biologically or antigenically active huMAb4D5, is 60 function or biological activity as screened for in the origicomplementary to nucleic acid sequence encoding such huMAb4D5, or hybridizes to nucleic acid sequence encoding such huMAb4D5 and remains stably bound to it under stringent conditions, and comprises nucleic acid from a muMAb4D5 CDR and a human FR region.

Preferably, the huMAb4D5 nucleic acid encodes a polypeptide sharing at least 75% sequence identity, more preferably at least 80%, still more preferably at least 85%, even more preferably at 90%, and most preferably 95%, with the huMAb4D5 amino acid sequence. Preferably, a nucleic acid molecule that hybridizes to the huMAb4D5 nucleic acid contains at least 20, more preferably 40, and most preferably 90 bases. Such hybridizing or complementary nucleic acid, however, is further defined as being novel under 35 U.S.C. 102 and unobvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 over any prior art nucleic acid.

Stringent conditions are those that (1) employ low ionic strength and high temperature for washing, for example, 0.015 M NaCl/0.0015 M sodium citrate/0/1% NaDodSO₄ at 50° C.; (2) employ during hybridization a denaturing agent such as formamide, for example, 50% (vol/vol) formamide with 0.1% bovine serumalbumin/0/1% Ficoll/0/1% polyvinylpyrrolidone/50 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 with 750 mM NaCl, 75 mM sodium citrate at 42° C.; or (3) employ 50% formamide, 5×SSC (0.75 M NaCl, 0.075 M sodium citrate), 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 0.1% salmon sperm DNA (50 g/ml), 0.1% SDS, and 10% dextran sulfate at 42 C., with washes at 42 C. in 0.2×SSC and 0.1% SDS.

The term "control sequences" refers to DNA sequences necessary for the expression of an operably linked coding sequence in a particular host organism. The control sequences that are suitable for prokaryotes, for example, include a promoter, optionally an operator sequence, a ribosome binding site, and possibly, other as yet poorly understood sequences. Eukaryotic cells are known to utilize promoters, polyadenylation signals, and enhancers.

Nucleic acid is "operably linked" when it is placed into a functional relationship with another nucleic acid sequence. For example, DNA for a presequence or secretory leader is operably linked to DNA for a polypeptide if it is expressed as a preprotein that participates in the secretion of the polypeptide; a promoter or enhancer is operably linked to a coding sequence if it affects the transcription of the sequence; or a ribosome binding site is operably linked to a coding sequence if it is positioned so as to facilitate translation. Generally, "operably linked" means that the DNA sequences being linked are contiguous and, in the case of a secretory leader, contiguous and in reading phase. However enhancers do not have to be contiguous. Linking is accomplished by ligation at convenient restriction sites. If such sites do not exist, then synthetic oligonucleotide adaptors or linkers are used in accord with conventional practice.

An "exogenous" element is defined herein to mean nucleic acid sequence that is foreign to the cell, or homologous to the cell but in a position within the host cell nucleic acid in which the element is ordinarily not found.

As used herein, the expressions "cell," "cell line," and "cell culture" are used interchangeably and all such designations include progeny. Thus, the words "transformants' cultures derived therefrom without regard for the number of transfers. It is also understood that all progeny may not be precisely identical in DNA content, due to deliberate or inadvertent mutations. Mutant progeny that have the same nally transformed cell are included. Where distinct designations are intended, it will be clear from the context.

"Oligonucleotides" are short-length, single- or doublestranded polydeoxynucleotides that are chemically synthe-65 sized by known methods (such as phosphotriester, phosphite, or phosphoramidite chemistry, using solid phase techniques such as described in EP 266,032 published May

4, 1988, or via deoxynucleoside H-phosphonate intermediates as described by Froehler et al., Nucl. Acids Res., 14: 5399-5407 [1986]). They are then purified on polyacrylamide gels.

The technique of "polymerase chain reaction," or "PCR," as used herein generally refers to a procedure wherein minute amounts of a specific piece of nucleic acid, RNA and/or DNA, are amplified as described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,683,195 issued Jul. 28, 1987. Generally, sequence information from the ends of the region of interest or beyond needs to be available, such that oligonucleotide primers can 10 be designed; these primers will be identical or similar in sequence to opposite strands of the template to be amplified. The 5' terminal nucleotides of the two primers may coincide with the ends of the amplified material. PCR can be used to amplify specific RNA sequences, specific DNA sequences 15 from total genomic DNA, and cDNA transcribed from total cellular RNA, bacteriophage or plasmid sequences, etc. See generally Mullis et al., Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol., 51: 263 (1987); Erlich, ed., PCR Technology, (Stockton Press, N.Y., 1989). As used herein, PCR is considered to be one, but not the only, example of a nucleic acid $\ ^{20}$ polymerase reaction method for amplifying a nucleic acid test sample, comprising the use of a known nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) as a primer and utilizes a nucleic acid polymerase to amplify or generate a specific piece of nucleic acid or to amplify or generate a specific piece of nucleic acid 25 which is complementary to a particular nucleic acid.

Suitable Methods for Practicing the Invention

Some aspects of this invention include obtaining an import, non-human antibody variable domain, producing a $_{30}$ desired humanized antibody sequence and for humanizing an antibody gene sequence are described below. A particularly preferred method of changing a gene sequence, such as gene conversion from a non-human or consensus sequence into a humanized nucleic acid sequence, is the cassette mutagenesis procedure described in Example 1. Additionally, methods are given for obtaining and producing antibodies generally, which apply equally to native nonhuman antibodies as well as to humanized antibodies.

Generally, the antibodies and antibody variable domains of this invention are conventionally prepared in recombinant cell culture, as described in more detail below. Recombinant synthesis is preferred for reasons of safety and economy, but it is known to prepare peptides by chemical synthesis and to purify them from natural sources; such preparations are included within the definition of antibodies herein.

Molecular Modeling

An integral step in our approach to antibody humanization is construction of computer graphics models of the import and humanized antibodies. These models are used to determine if the six complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) can be successfully transplanted from the import framework to a human one and to determine which framework residues from the import antibody, if any, need to be incorporated into the humanized antibody in order to maintain CDR conformation. In addition, analysis of the sequences of the import and humanized antibodies and reference to the models can help to discern which framework residues are unusual and thereby might be involved in antigen binding or maintenance of proper antibody structure.

All of the humanized antibody models of this invention are based on a single three-dimensional computer graphics structure hereafter referred to as the consensus structure. This consensus structure is a key distinction from the approach of previous workers in the field, who typically begin by selecting a human antibody structure which has an amino acid sequence which is similar to the sequence of their import antibody.

The consensus structure of one embodiment of this invention was built in five steps as described below.

Step 1

35

Seven Fab X-ray crystal structures from the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank were used (entries 2FB4, 2RHE, 3FAB, and 1 REI which are human structures, and 2MCP, 1 FBJ, and 2HFL which are murine structures). For each structure, protein mainchain geometry and hydrogen bonding patterns were used to assign each residue to one of three secondary structure types: alpha-helix, beta-strand or other (i.e. nonhelix and non-strand). The immunoglobulin residues used in superpositioning and those included in the consensus structure are shown in Table 1.

TABLE I

| | Immunoglobulin Residues Used in Superpositioning and Those Included in the Consensus Structure | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|--------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ig ^a | 2FB4 | 2RHE | 2MCP | 3FAB | 1FBJ | 2HFL | 1REI | Consensus ^b | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | $V_{\rm L}\kappa$ dom | ain | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 2-11 | | | | | | |
| | 18-24 | 18-24 | 19-25 | 18-24 | 19-25 | 19-25 | 19-25 | 16-27 | | | | | | |
| | 32-37 | 34-39 | 39-44 | 32-37 | 32-37 | 32-37 | 33-38 | 33-39 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 41-49 | | | | | | |
| | 60-66 | 62-68 | 67-72 | 53-66 | 60-65 | 60-65 | 61-66 | 59-77 | | | | | | |
| | 69–74 | 71-76 | 76-81 | 69–74 | 69-74 | 69-74 | 70-75 | | | | | | | |
| | 84-88 | 86-90 | 91-95 | 84-88 | 84-88 | 84-88 | 85-89 | 82-91 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 101-105 | | | | | | |
| RMS^{c} | | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.50 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | $V_{\rm H}$ doma | in | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 3–8 | | | | | | |
| | 18-25 | | 18-25 | 18-25 | 18-25 | 18-25 | | 17-23 | | | | | | |
| | 34-39 | | 34-39 | 34-39 | 34-39 | 34-39 | | 33-41 | | | | | | |
| | 46-52 | | 46-52 | 46-52 | 46-52 | 46-52 | | 45-51 | | | | | | |
| | 57-61 | | 59-63 | 56-60 | 57-61 | 57-61 | | 57-61 | | | | | | |
| | 68-71 | | 70-73 | 67-70 | 68-71 | 68-71 | | 66-71 | | | | | | |
| | 78-84 | | 80-86 | 77-83 | 78-84 | 78-84 | | 75-82 | | | | | | |
| | 92-99 | | 94-101 | 91–98 | 92–99 | 92–99 | | 88–94 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 102-108 | | | | | | |

TABLE I-continued

| | Immunog | Immunoglobulin Residues Used in Superpositioning and Those Included in the Consensus Structure | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ig ^a | 2FB4 | 2RHE | 2MCP | 3FAB | 1FBJ | 2HFL | 1REI | Consensus | | | | | | | | |
| RMS ^c RMS ^d | 0.91 | | 0.43 0.73 | 0.85 0.77 | 0.62 0.92 | 0.91 | | | | | | | | | | |

^aFour-letter code for Protein Data Bank file.

^bResidue numbers for the crystal structures are taken from the Protein Data Bank files. Residue

numbers for the consensus structure are according to Kabat et al. $^{\circ}$ Root-mean-square deviation in Å for (N, C α , C) atoms superimposed on 2FB4.

^dRoot-mean-square deviation in Å for (N, Ca, C) atoms superimposed on 2HFL.

Step 2

Having identified the alpha-helices and beta-strands in each of the seven structures, the structures were superimposed on one another using the INSIGHT computer program (Biosym Technologies, San Diego, Calif.) as follows: The 2FB4 structure was arbitrarily chosen as the template (or reference) structure. The 2FB4 was held fixed in space and the other six structures rotated and translated in space so that their common secondary structural elements (i.e. alphahelices and beta-strands) were oriented such that these common elements were as close in position to one another 25 as possible. (This superpositioning was performed using accepted mathematical formulae rather than actually physically moving the structures by hand.)

Step 3

With the seven structures thus superimposed, for each 30 residue in the template (2FB4) Fab one calculates the distance from the template alpha-carbon atom (C α) to the analogous $C\alpha$ atom in each of the other six superimposed structures. This results in a table of C α -C α distances for each residue position in the sequence. Such a table is 35 necessary in order to determine which residue positions will be included in the consensus model. Generally, is if all C α -C α distances for a given residue position were ≤ 1.0 Å, that position was included in the consensus structure. If for a given position only one Fab crystal structure was >1.0 Å, 40 Values in parentheses are standard deviations. Note that the position was included but the outlying crystal structure was not included in the next step (for this position only). In general, the seven β -strands were included in the consensus structure while some of the loops connecting the β -strands, e.g. complementarity-determining regions (CDRs), were not 45 included in view of Ca divergence.

Step 4

For each residue which was included in the consensus structure after step 3, the average of the coordinates for individual mainchain N, C α , C, O and C β atoms were 50 calculated. Due to the averaging procedure, as well as variation in bond length, bond angle and dihedral angle among the crystal structures, this "average" structure contained some bond lengths and angles which deviated from standard geometry. For purposes of this invention, "standard 55 geometry" is understood to include geometries commonly accepted as typical, such as the compilation of bond lengths and angles from small molecule structures in Weiner, S. J. et. al., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 106: 765-784 (1984).

Step 5

In order to correct these deviations, the final step was to subject the "average" structure to 50 cycles of energy minimization (DISCOVER program, Biosym Technologies) using the AMBER (Weiner, S. J. et. al., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 106: 765-784 (1984)) parameter set with only the Ca 65 coordinates fixed (i.e. all other atoms are allowed to move) (energy minimization is described below). This allowed any

deviant bond lengths and angles to assume a standard (chemically acceptable) geometry. See Table II.

TABLE II

| Average Bond Lengths and Angles for "Ave | erage" (Before) and |
|--|---------------------|
| Energy-Minimized Consensus (After 50 C | Cycles) Structures |

| | $\begin{array}{c} V_L \kappa \\ \text{before} \\ (\text{\AA}) \end{array}$ | $V_L \kappa$ after (Å) | V _H before (Å) | V _H after (Å) | Stan- dard Geo- metry (Å) |
|---------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| N—Ca | 1.459(0.012) | 1.451(0.004) | 1.451(0.023) | 1.452(0.004) | 1.449 |
| Ca-C | 1.515(0.012) | 1.523(0.005) | 1.507(0.033) | 1.542(0.005) | 1.522 |
| O=C | 1.208(0.062) | 1.229(0.003) | 1.160(0.177) | 1.231(0.003) | 1.229 |
| C—N | 1.288(0.049) | 1.337(0.002) | 1.282(0.065) | 1.335(0.004) | 1.335 |
| Cα-Cβ | 1.508(0.026) | 1.530(0.002) | 1.499(0.039) | 1.530(0.002) | 1.526 |
| | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| C—N—C | Cα 123.5(4. | 2) 123.8(1.1) | 125.3(4.6) | 124.0(1.1) | 121.9 |
| Ν | 110.0(4. | 0) 109.5(1.9) | 110.3(2.8) | 109.5(1.6) | 110.1 |
| Ca-C-N | I 116.6(4. | 0) 116.6(1.2) | 117.6(5.2) | 116.6(0.8) | 116.6 |
| O=C-N | N 123.1(4. | 1) 123.4(0.6) | 122.2(4.9) | 123.3(0.4) | 122.9 |
| N—Cα-C | β 110.3(2. | 1) 109.8(0.7) | 110.6(2.5) | 109.8(0.6) | 109.5 |
| Cβ-Cα-C | 111.4(2. | 4) 111.1(0.7) | 111.2(2.2) | 111.1(0.6) | 111.1 |

while some bond length and angle averages did not change appreciably after energy-minimization, the corresponding standard deviations are reduced due to deviant geometries assuming standard values after energy-minimization. Standard geometry values are from the AMBER forcefield as implemented in DISCOVER (Biosym Technologies).

The consensus structure might conceivably be dependent upon which crystal structure was chosen as the template on which the others were superimposed. As a test, the entire procedure was repeated using the crystal structure with the worst superposition versus 2FB4, i.e. the 2HFL Fab structure, as the new template (reference). The two consensus structures compare favorably (root-mean-squared deviation of 0.11 Å for all N, C α and C atoms).

Note that the consensus structure only includes mainchain (N, C α , C, O, C β atoms) coordinates for only those residues which are part of a conformation common to all seven X-ray crystal structures. For the Fab structures, these include the common β -strands (which comprise two β -sheets) and a few 60 non-CDR loops which connect these β-strands. The consensus structure does not include CDRs or sidechains, both of which vary in their conformation among the seven structures. Also, note that the consensus structure includes only the V_L and V_H domains.

This consensus structure is used as the archetype. It is not particular to any species, and has only the basic shape without side chains. Starting with this consensus structure

18

the model of any import, human, or humanized Fab can be constructed as follows. Using the amino acid sequence of the particular antibody V_L and V_H domains of interest, a computer graphics program (such as INSIGHT, Biosym Technologies) is used to add sidechains and CDRs to the consensus structure. When a sidechain is added, its conformation is chosen on the basis of known Fab crystal structures (see the Background section for publications of such crystal structures) and rotamer libraries (Ponder, J. W. & Richards, F. M., J. Mol. Biol. 193: 775-791 (1987)). The 10 model also is constructed so that the atoms of the sidechain are positioned so as to not collide with other atoms in the Fab.

CDRs are added to the model (now having the backbone plus side chains) as follows. The size (i.e. number of amino 15 acids) of each import CDR is compared to canonical CDR structures tabulated by Chothia et al., Nature, 342:877-883 (1989)) and which were derived from Fab crystals. Each CDR sequence is also reviewed for the presence or absence of certain specific amino acid residues which are identified 20 by Chothia as structurally important: e.g. light chain residues 29 (CDR1) and 95 (CDR3), and heavy chain residues 26, 27, 29 (CDR1) and 55 (CDR2). For light chain CDR2, and heavy chain CDR3, only the size of the CDR is compared to the Chothia canonical structure. If the size and 25 sequence (i.e. inclusion of the specific, structurally important residues as denoted by Chothia et al.) of the import CDR agrees in size and has the same structurally important residues as those of a canonical CDR, then the mainchain conformation of the import CDR in the model is taken to be 30 the same as that of the canonical CDR. This means that the import sequence is assigned the structural configuration of the canonical CDR, which is then incorporated in the evolving model.

However, if no matching canonical CDR can be assigned 35 for the import CDR, then one of two options can be exercised. First, using a program such as INSIGHT (Biosym Technologies), the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank can be searched for loops with a similar size to that of the import CDR and these loops can be evaluated as possible confor- $\ensuremath{\left. 40 \right.}$ mations for the import CDR in the model. Minimally, such loops must exhibit a conformation in which no loop atom overlaps with other protein atoms. Second, one can use available programs which calculate possible loop conformations, assuming a given loop size, using methods 45 such as described by Bruccoleri et al., Nature 335: 564-568 (1988).

When all CDRs and sidechains have been added to the consensus structure to give the final model (import, human or humanized), the model is preferably subjected to energy 50 minimization using programs which are available commercially (e.g. DISCOVER, Biosym Technologies). This technique uses complex mathematical formulae to refine the model by performing such tasks as checking that all atoms are within appropriate distances from one another and 55 checking that bond lengths and angles are within chemically acceptable limits.

Models of a humanized, import or human antibody sequence are used in the practice of this invention to understand the impact of selected amino acid residues of the 60 activity of the sequence being modeled. For example, such a model can show residues which may be important in antigen binding, or for maintaining the conformation of the antibody, as discussed in more detail below. Modeling can also be used to explore the potential impact of changing any 65 amino acid residue in the antibody sequence.

Methods for Obtaining a Humanized Antibody Sequence

In the practice of this invention, the first step in humanizing an import antibody is deriving a consensus amino acid sequence into which to incorporate the import sequences. Next a model is generated for these sequences using the methods described above. In certain embodiments of this invention, the consensus human sequences are derived from the most abundant subclasses in the sequence compilation of Kabat et al. (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)), namely $V_L \kappa$ subgroup I and V_H group III, and have the sequences indicated in the definitions above.

While these steps may be taken in different order, typically a structure for the candidate humanized antibody is created by transferring the at least one CDR from the non-human, import sequence into the consensus human structure, after the entire corresponding human CDR has been removed. The humanized antibody may contain human replacements of the non-human import residues at positions within CDRs as defined by sequence variability (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) or as defined by structural variability (Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol. Biol. 196:901-917 (1987)). For example, huMAb4D5 contains human replacements of the muMAb4D5 residues at three positions within CDRs as defined by sequence variability (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) but not as defined by structural variability (Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol Bol. 196:901-917 (1987)): V_L-CDR1 K24R, V_{T} -CDR2 R54L and V_{T} -CDR2 T56S.

Differences between the non-human import and the human consensus framework residues are individually investigated to determine their possible influence on CDR conformation and/or binding to antigen. Investigation of such possible influences is desirably performed through modeling, by examination of the characteristics of the amino acids at particular locations, or determined experimentally through evaluating the effects of substitution or mutagenesis of particular amino acids.

In certain preferred embodiments of this invention, a humanized antibody is made comprising amino acid sequence of an import, non-human antibody and a human antibody, utilizing the steps of:

- a. obtaining the amino acid sequences of at least a portion of an import antibody variable domain and of a consensus human variable domain;
- b. identifying Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid sequences in the import and the human variable domain sequences;
- c. substituting an import CDR amino acid sequence for the corresponding human CDR amino acid sequence;
- d. aligning the amino acid sequences of a Framework Region (FR) of the import antibody and the corresponding FR of the consensus antibody;
- e. identifying import antibody FR residues in the aligned FR sequences that are non-homologous to the corresponding consensus antibody residues;
- f. determining if the non-homologous import amino acid residue is reasonably expected to have at least one of the following effects:
 - 1. non-covalently binds antigen directly,
 - 2. interacts with a CDR; or
 - 3. participates in the $V_L V_H$ interface; and
- g. for any non-homologous import antibody amino acid residue which is reasonably expected to have at least

one of these effects, substituting that residue for the corresponding amino acid residue in the consensus antibody FR sequence.

Optionally, one determines if any non-homologous residues identified in step (e) are exposed on the surface of the domain or buried within it, and if the residue is exposed but has none of the effects identified in step (f), one may retain the consensus residue.

Additionally, in certain embodiments the corresponding consensus antibody residues identified in step (e) above are 10selected from the group consisting of 4L, 35L, 36L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 63L, 64L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 70L, 71 L, 4H, 24H, 36H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 49H, 58H, 60H, 67H, 68H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H, 91H, 92H, 93H, and 103H (utilizing the numbering system 15 set forth in Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)).

In preferred embodiments, the method of this invention comprises the additional steps of searching either or both of 20 the import, non-human and the consensus variable domain sequences for glycosylation sites, determining if the glycosylation is reasonably expected to be important for the desired antigen binding and biological activity of the antibody (i.e., determining if the glycosylation site binds to 25 antigen or changes a side chain of an amino acid residue that binds to antigen, or if the glycosylation enhances or weakens antigen binding, or is important for maintaining antibody affinity). if the import sequence bears the glycosylation site, it is preferred to substitute that site for the corresponding 30 residues in the consensus human sequence if the glycosylation site is reasonably expected to be important. If only the consensus sequence, and not the import, bears the glycosylation site, it is preferred to eliminate that glycosylation site or substitute therefor the corresponding amino acid residues 35 from the import sequence.

Another preferred embodiment of the methods of this invention comprises aligning import antibody and the consensus antibody FR sequences, identifying import antibody FR residues which are non-homologous with the aligned consensus FR sequence, and for each such non-homologous import antibody FR residue, determining if the corresponding consensus antibody residue represents a residue which is highly conserved across all species at that site, and if it is so conserved, preparing a humanized antibody which com-45 prises the consensus antibody amino acid residue at that site.

In certain alternate embodiments, one need not utilize the modeling and evaluation steps described above, and may instead proceed with the steps of obtaining the amino acid sequence of at least a portion of an import, non-human 50 antibody variable domain having a CDR and a FR, obtaining the amino acid sequence of at least a portion of a consensus human antibody variable domain having a CDR and a FR, substituting the non-human CDR for the human CDR in the consensus human antibody variable domain, and then sub- 55 residues), N-hydroxysuccinimide (through lysine residues), stituting an amino acid residue for the consensus amino acid residue at at least one of the following sites:

- a. (in the FR of the variable domain of the light chain) 4L, 35L, 36L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 46L, 62L, 63L, 64L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 70L, 71L, 73L, 85L, 87L, 60 98L, or
- b. fin the FR of the variable domain of the heavy chain) 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 49H, 58H, 60H, 67H, 68H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H, 91H, 92H, 93H, and 103H.

Preferably, the non-CDR residue substituted at the consensus FR site is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody. If desired, one may utilize the other method steps described above for determining whether a particular amino acid residue can reasonably be expected to have undesirable effects, and remedying those effects.

If after making a humanized antibody according to the steps above and testing its activity one is not satisfied with the humanized antibody, one preferably reexamines the potential effects of the amino acids at the specific locations recited above. Additionally, it is desirable to reinvestigate any buried residues which are reasonably expected to affect the $V_L - V_H$ interface but may not directly affect CDR conformation. It is also desirable to reevaluate the humanized antibody utilizing the steps of the methods claimed herein.

In certain embodiments of this invention, amino acid residues in the consensus human sequence are substituted for by other amino acid residues. In preferred embodiments, residues from a particular non-human import sequence are substituted, however there are circumstances where it is desired to evaluate the effects of other amino acids. For example, if after making a humanized antibody according to the steps above and testing its activity one is not satisfied with the humanized antibody, one may compare the sequences of other classes or subgroups of human antibodies, or classes or subgroups of antibodies from the particular non-human species, and determine which other amino acid side chains and amino acid residues are found at particular locations and substituting such other residues. Antibodies

Certain aspects of this invention are directed to natural antibodies and to monoclonal antibodies, as illustrated in the Examples below and by antibody hybridomas deposited with the ATCC (as described below). Thus, the references throughout this description to the use of monoclonal antibodies are intended to include the use of natural or native antibodies as well as humanized and chimeric antibodies. As used herein, the term "antibody" includes the antibody variable domain and other separable antibody domains unless specifically excluded.

In accordance with certain aspects of this invention, antibodies to be humanized (import antibodies) are isolated from continuous hybrid cell lines formed by the fusion of antigen-primed immune lymphocytes with myeloma cells. in certain embodiments, the antibodies of this invention are obtained by routine screening. Polyclonal antibodies to an antigen generally are raised in animals by multiple subcutaneous (sc) or intraperitoneal (ip) injections of the antigen and an adjuvant. It may be useful to conjugate the antigen or a fragment containing the target amino acid sequence to a protein that is immunogenic in the species to be immunized, e.g., keyhole limpet hemocyanin, serum albumin, bovine thyroglobulin, or soybean trypsin inhibitor using a bifunctional or derivatizing agent, for example, maleimidobenzoyl sulfosuccinimide ester (conjugation through cysteine glutaraldehyde, succinic is anhydride, SOCl₂, or $R^1N = C = NR$, where R and R^1 are different alkyl groups.

The route and schedule of the host animal or cultured antibody-producing cells therefrom are generally in keeping with established and conventional techniques for antibody stimulation and production. While mice are frequently employed as the test model, it is contemplated that any mammalian subject including human subjects or antibodyproducing cells obtained therefrom can be manipulated according to the processes of this invention to serve as the basis for production of mammalian, including human, hybrid cell lines.

65

Animals are typically immunized against the immunogenic conjugates or derivatives by combining 1 mg or 1 μ g of conjugate (for rabbits or mice, respectively) with 3 volumes of Freund's complete adjuvant and injecting the solution intradermally at multiple sites. One month later the animals are boosted with 1/5 to 1/10 the original amount of conjugate in Freund's complete adjuvant (or other suitable adjuvant) by subcutaneous injection at multiple sites. 7 to 14 days later animals are bled and the serum is assayed for antigen titer. Animals are boosted until the titer plateaus. Preferably, the animal is boosted with the conjugate of the same antigen, but conjugated to a different protein and/or through a different cross-linking agent. Conjugates also can be made in recombinant cell culture as protein fusions. Also, aggregating agents such as alum are used to enhance the immune response.

After immunization, monoclonal antibodiesare prepared by recovering immune lymphoid cells-typically spleen cells or lymphocytes from lymph node tissue-from immunized animals and immortalizing the cells in conventional fashion, e.g. by fusion with myeloma cells or by Epstein- 20 Barr (EB)-virus transformation and screening for clones expressing the desired antibody. The hybridoma technique described originally by Kohler and Milstein, Eur. J. Immunol. 6:511 (1976) has been widely applied to produce hybrid cell lines that secrete high levels of monoclonal antibodies 25 against many specific antigens.

It is possible to fuse cells of one species with another. However, it is preferable that the source of the immunized antibody producing cells and the myeloma be from the same species.

The hybrid cell lines can be maintained in culture in vitro in cell culture media. The cell lines of this invention can be selected and/or maintained in a composition comprising the continuous cell line in hypoxanthine-aminopterin thymidine (HAT) medium. In fact, once the hybridoma cell line is 35 or altering the intra-cellular location of the target polypepestablished, it can be maintained on a variety of nutritionally adequate media. Moreover, the hybrid cell lines can be stored. and preserved in any number of conventional ways, including freezing and storage under liquid nitrogen. Frozen cell lines can be revived and cultured indefinitely with 40 resumed synthesis and secretion of monoclonal antibody. The secreted antibody is recovered from tissue culture supernatant by conventional methods such as precipitation, Ion exchange chromatography, affinity chromatography, or the like. The antibodies described herein are also recovered 45 from hybridoma cell cultures by conventional methods for purification of IgG or IgM as the case may be that heretofore have been used to purify these immunoglobulins from pooled plasma, e.g. ethanol or polyethylene glycol precipitation procedures. The purified antibodies are sterile filtered, 50 and optionally are conjugated to a detectable marker such as an enzyme or spin label for use in diagnostic assays of the antigen in test samples.

While routinely rodent monoclonal antibodies are used as the source of the import antibody, the invention is not 55 limited to any species. Additionally, techniques developed for the production of chimeric antibodies (Morrison et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 81:6851 (1984); Neuberger et al., Nature 312:604 (1984); Takeda et al., Nature 314:452 (1985)) by splicing the genes from a mouse antibody mol- 60 domains demonstrating functional sensitivity to the substiecule of appropriate antigen specificity together with genes from a human antibody molecule of appropriate biological activity (such as ability to activate human complement and mediate ADCC) can be used; such antibodies are within the scope of this invention. 65

Techniques for creating recombinant DNA versions of the antigen-binding regions of antibody molecules (known as

Fab fragments) which bypass the generation of monoclonal antibodies are encompassed within the practice of this invention. One extracts antibody-specific messenger ANA molecules from immune system cells taken from an immunized animal, transcribes these into complementary DNA (cDNA), and clones the CDNA into a bacterial expressions system. One example of such a technique suitable for the practice of this invention was developed by researchers at Scripps/Stratagene, and incorporates a proprietary bacte-10 riophage lambda vector system which contains a leader sequence that causes the expressed Fab protein to migrate to the periplasmic space (between the bacterial cell membrane and the cell wall) or to be secreted. One can rapidly generate and screen great numbers of functional FAb fragments for 15 those which bind the antigen. Such FAb fragments with specificity for the antigen are specifically encompassed within the term "antibody" as it is defined, discussed, and claimed herein.

Amino Acid Sequence Variants

Amino acid sequence variants of the antibodies and polypeptides of this invention (referred to in herein as the target polypeptide) are prepared by introducing appropriate nucleotide changes into the DNA encoding the target polypeptide, or by in vitro synthesis of the desired target polypeptide. Such variants include, for example, humanized variants of non-human antibodies, as well as deletions from, or insertions or substitutions of, residues within particular amino acid sequences. Any combination of deletion, insertion, and substitution can be made to arrive at the final construct, provided that the final construct possesses the desired characteristics. The amino acid changes also may alter post-translational processes of the target polypeptide, such as changing the number or position of glycosylation sites, altering any membrane anchoring characteristics, and/ tide by inserting, deleting, or otherwise affecting any leader sequence of the native target polypeptide.

In designing amino acid sequence variants of target polypeptides, the location of the mutation site and the nature of the mutation will depend on the target polypeptide characteristics) to be modified. The sites for mutation can be modified individually or in series, e.g., by (1) substituting first with conservative amino acid choices and then with more radical selections depending upon the results achieved, (2) deleting the target residue, or (3) inserting residues of the same or a different class adjacent to the located site, or combinations of options 1-3. In certain embodiments, these choices are guided by the methods for creating humanized sequences set forth above.

A useful method for identification of certain residues or regions of the target polypeptide that are preferred locations for mutagenesis is called "alanine scanning mutagenesism" as described by Cunningham and Wells (Science, 244: 1081–1085 [1989). Here, a residue or group of target residues are identified (e.g., charged residues such as arg, asp, his, lys, and glu) and replaced by a neutral or negatively charged amino acid (most preferably alanine or polyalanine) to affect the interaction of the amino acids with the surrounding aqueous environment in or outside the cell. Those tutions then are refined by introducing further or other variants at or for the sites of substitution. Thus, while the site for introducing an amino acid sequence variation is predetermined, the nature of the mutation per se need not be predetermined. For example, to optimize the performance of a mutation at a given site, ala scanning or random mutagenesis may be conducted at the target codon or region and the

expressed target polypeptide variants are screened for the optimal combination of desired activity.

There are two principal variables in the construction of amino acid sequence variants: the location of the mutation site and the nature of the mutation. In general, the location 5 and nature of the mutation chosen will depend upon the target polypeptide characteristic to be modified.

Amino acid sequence deletions of antibodies are generally not preferred, as maintaining the generally configuration of an antibody is believed to be necessary for its activity. Any 10 deletions will be selected so as to preserve the structure of the target antibody.

Amino acid sequence insertions include amino- and/or carboxyl-terminal fusions ranging in length from one residue to polypeptides containing a hundred or more residues, 15 as well as intrasequence insertions of single or multiple amino acid residues. Intrasequence insertions (i.e., insertions within the target polypeptide sequence) may range generally from about 1 to 10 residues, more preferably 1 to 5, most preferably 1 to 3. Examples of terminal insertions 20 substituted, generally with serine, to improve the oxidative include the target polypeptide with an N-terminal methionyl residue, an artifact of the direct expression of target polypeptide in bacterial recombinant cell culture, and fusion of a heterologous N-terminal signal sequence to the N-terminus of the target polypeptide molecule to facilitate the secretion 25 of the mature target polypeptide from recombinant host cells. Such signal sequences generally will be obtained from, and thus homologous to, the intended host cell species. Suitable sequences include STII or Ipp for E. coli, alpha factor for yeast, and viral signals such as herpes gD for 30 mammalian cells.

Other insertional variants of the target polypeptide include the fusion to the N- or C-terminus of the target polypeptide of immunogenic polypeptides, e.g., bacterial polypeptides such as beta-lactamase or an enzyme encoded 35 by the E. coli trp locus, or yeast protein, and C-terminal fusions with proteins having a long half-life such as immunoglobulin constant regions (or other immunoglobulin regions), albumin, or ferritin, as described in WO 89/02922 published Apr. 6, 1989.

Another group of variants are amino acid substitution variants. These variants have at least one amino acid residue in the target polypeptide molecule removed and a different residue inserted in its place. The sites of greatest interest for active site(s) of the target polypeptide, and sites where the amino acids found in the target polypeptide from various species are substantially different in terms of side-chain bulk, charge, and/or hydrophobicity. Other sites for substitution are described infra, considering the effect of the 50 length are used. An optimal oligonucleotide will have 12 to substitution of the antigen binding, affinity and other characteristics of a particular target antibody.

Other sites of interest are those in which particular residues of the target polypeptides obtained from various species are identical. These positions may be important for 55 the biological activity of the target polypeptide. These sites, especially those falling within a sequence of at least three other identically conserved sites, are substituted in a relatively conservative manner. If such substitutions result in a change in biological activity, then other changes are intro- 60 standard techniques. duced and the products screened until the desired effect is obtained.

Substantial modifications in function or immunological identity of the target polypeptide are accomplished by selecting substitutions that differ significantly in their effect 65 on maintaining (a) the structure of the polypeptide backbone in the area of the substitution, for example, as a sheet or

helical conformation, lb) the charge or hydrophobicity of the molecule at the target site, or (c) the bulk of the side chain. Naturally occurring residues are divided into groups based on common side chain properties:

(1) hydrophobic: norleucine, met, ala, val, leu, ile;

(2) neutral hydrophilic: cys, ser, thr;

(3) acidic: asp, glu;

(4) basic: asn, gin, his, lys, arg;

(5) residues that influence chain orientation: gly, pro; and (6) aromatic: trp, tyr, phe.

Non-conservative substitutions will entail exchanging a member of one of these classes for another. Such substituted residues may be introduced into regions of the target polypeptide that are homologous with other antibodies of the same class or subclass, or, more preferably, into the nonhomologous regions of the molecule.

Any cysteine residues not involved in maintaining the proper conformation of target polypeptide also may be stability of the molecule and prevent aberrant crosslinking.

DNA encoding amino acid sequence variants of the target polypeptide is prepared by a variety of methods known in the art. These methods include, but are not limited to, isolation from a natural source (in the case of naturally occurring amino acid sequence variants) or preparation by oligonucleotide-mediated (or site-directed) mutagenesis, PCR mutagenesis, and cassette mutagenesis of an earlier prepared variant or a non-variant version of the target polypeptide. A particularly preferred method of gene conversion mutagenesis is described below in Example 1. These techniques may utilized target polypeptide nucleic acid (DNA or RNA), or nucleic acid complementary to the target polypeptide nucleic acid.

Oligonucleotide-mediated mutagenesis is a preferred method for preparing substitution, deletion, and insertion variants of target polypeptide DNA. This technique is well known in the art as described by Adelman et al., DNA, 2: 183 (1983). Briefly, the target polypeptide DNA is altered by 40 hybridizing an oligonucleotide encoding the desired mutation to a DNA template, where the template is the singlestranded form of a plasmid or bacteriophage containing the unaltered or native DNA sequence of the target polypeptide. After hybridization, a DNA polymerase is used to synthesize substitutional mutagenesis include sites identified as the 45 an entire second complementary strand of the template that will thus incorporate the oligonucleotide primer, and will code for the selected alteration in the target polypeptide DNA.

> Generally, oligonucleotides of at least 25 nucleotides in 15 nucleotides that are completely complementary to the template on either side of the nucleotide(s) coding for the mutation. This ensures that the oligonucleotide will hybridize properly to the single-stranded DNA template molecule. The oligonucleotides are readily synthesized using techniques known in the art such as that described by Crea et al. (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 75: 5765 [1978]).

> Single-stranded DNA template may also be generated by denaturing double-stranded plasmid (or other) DNA using

> For alteration of the native DNA sequence (to generate amino acid sequence variants, for example), the oligonucleotide is hybridized to the single-stranded template under suitable hybridization conditions. A DNA polymerizing enzyme, usually the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase 1, is then added to synthesize the complementary strand of the template using the oligonucleotide as a primer for

synthesis. A heteroduplex molecule is thus formed such that one strand of DNA encodes the mutated form of the target polypeptide, and the other strand (the original template) encodes the native, unaltered sequence of the target polypeptide. This heteroduplex molecule is then transformed into a suitable host cell, usually a prokaryote such as E. coli JM101. After the cells are grown, they are plated onto agarose plates and screened using the oligonucleotide primer radiolabeled with 32-phosphate to identify the bacterial colonies that contain the mutated DNA. The mutated region 10 is then removed and placed in an appropriate vector for protein production, generally an expression vector of the type typically employed for transformation of an appropriate host.

The method described immediately above may be modi- 15 fied such that a homoduplex molecule is created wherein both strands of the plasmid contain the mutation(s). The modifications are as follows: The single-stranded oligonucleotide is annealed to the single-stranded template as described above. A mixture of three deoxyribonucleotides, 20 deoxyriboadenosine (dATP), deoxyriboguanosine (dGTP), and deoxyribothymidine (dTTP), is combined with a modified thio-deoxyribocytosine called dCTP-(aS) (which can be obtained from Amersham Corporation). This mixture is added to the template-oligonucleotide complex. Upon addi- 25 tion of DNA polymerase to this mixture, a strand of DNA identical to the template except for the mutated bases is generated. In addition, this new strand of DNA will contain dCTP-(aS) instead of dCTP, which serves to protect it from restriction endonuclease digestion.

After the template strand of the double-stranded heteroduplex is nicked with an appropriate restriction enzyme, the template strand can be digested with ExoIII nuclease or another appropriate nuclease past the region that contains the site(s) to be mutagenized. The reaction is then stopped to 35 leave a molecule that is only partially single-stranded. A complete double-stranded DNA homoduplex is then formed using DNA polymerase in the presence of all four deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates, ATP, and DNA ligase. This homoduplex molecule can then be transformed into a suit- 40 resulting PCR fragments simultaneously to the vector fragable host cell such as E. coli JM101, as described above.

DNA encoding target polypeptide variants with more than one amino acid to be substituted may be generated in one of several ways. If the amino acids are located close together in the polypeptide chain, they may be mutated simulta- 45 neously using one oligonucleotide that codes for all of the desired amino acid substitutions. If, however, the amino acids are located some distance from each other (separated by more than about ten amino acids), it is more difficult to generate a single oligonucleotide that encodes all of the 50 desired changes. Instead, one of two alternative methods may be employed.

In the first method, a separate oligonucleotide is generated for each amino acid to be substituted. The oligonucleotides are then annealed to the single-stranded template DNA 55 simultaneously, and the second strand of DNA that is synthesized from the template will encode all of the desired amino acid substitutions.

The alternative method involves two or more rounds of mutagenesis to produce the desired mutant. The first round 60 of the following: 30 sec. at 94° C., 30 sec. at 55° C., and 30 is as described for the single mutants: wild-type DNA is used for the template, an oligonucleotide encoding the first desired amino acid substitution(s) is annealed to this template, and the heteroduplex DNA molecule is then generated. The second round of mutagenesis utilizes the 65 mutated DNA produced in the first round of mutagenesis as the template. Thus, this template already contains one or

more mutations. The oligonucleotide encoding the additional desired amino acid substitution(s) is then annealed to this template, and the resulting strand of DNA now encodes mutations from both the first and second rounds of mutagenesis. This resultant DNA can be used as a template in a third round of mutagenesis, and so on.

PCR mutagenesis is also suitable for making amino acid variants of target polypeptide. While the following discussion refers to DNA, it is understood that the technique also finds application with RNA. The PCR technique generally refers to the following procedure (see Erlich, supra, the chapter by R. Higuchi, p. 61-70): When small amounts of template DNA are used as starting material in a PCR, primers that differ slightly in sequence from the corresponding region in a template DNA can be used to generate relatively large quantities of a specific DNA fragment that differs from the template sequence only at the positions where the primers differ from the template. For introduction of a mutation into a plasmid DNA, one of the primers is designed to overlap the position of the mutation and to contain the mutation; the sequence of the other primer must be identical to a stretch of sequence of the opposite strand of the plasmid, but this sequence can be located anywhere along the plasmid DNA. It is preferred, however, that the sequence of the second primer is located within 200 nucleotides from that of the first, such that in the end the entire amplified region of DNA bounded by the primers can be easily sequenced. PCR amplification using a primer pair like the one just described results in a population of DNA fragments that differ at the position of the mutation specified 30 by the primer, and possibly at other positions, as template copying is somewhat error-prone.

If the ratio of template to product material is extremely low, the vast majority of product DNA fragments incorporate the desired mutation(s). This product material is used to replace the corresponding region in the plasmid that served as PCR template using standard DNA technology. Mutations at separate positions can be introduced simultaneously by either using a mutant second primer, or performing a second PCR with different mutant primers and ligating the two ment in a three (or more)-part ligation.

In a specific example of PCR mutagenesis, template plasmid DNA (1 μ g) is linearized by digestion with a restriction endonuclease that has a unique recognition site in the plasmid DNA outside of the region to be amplified. Of this material, 100 ng is added to a PCR mixture containing PCR buffer, which contains the four deoxynucleotide triphosphates and is included in the GeneAmp® kits (obtained from Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Conn. and Emeryville, Calif.), and 25 pmole of each oligonucleotide primer, to a final volume of 50μ l. The reaction mixture is overlayed with 35 μ l mineral oil. The reaction is denatured for 5 minutes at 100° C., placed briefly on ice, and then 1 µl Thermus aquaticus (Taq) DNA polymerase (5 units/ μ l, purchased from Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Conn. and Emeryville, Calif.) is added below the mineral oil layer. The reaction mixture is then inserted into a DNA Thermal Cycler (purchased from Perkin-Elmer Cetus) programmed as follows: 2 min. at 55° C., then 30 sec. at 72° C., then 19 cycles sec. at 72° C.

At the end of the program, the reaction vial is removed from the thermal cycler and the aqueous phase transferred to a new vial, extracted with phenol/chloroform (50:50:vol), and ethanol precipitated, and the DNA is recovered by standard procedures. This material is subsequently subjected to the appropriate treatments for insertion into a vector.

30

35

Another method for preparing variants, cassette mutagenesis, is based on the technique described by Wells et al. (Gene, 34: 315 [1985]). The starting material is the plasmid (or other vector) comprising the target polypeptide DNA to be mutated. The codon(s) in the target polypeptide DNA to be mutated are identified. There must be a unique restriction endonuclease site on each side of the identified mutation site(s). If no such restriction sites exist, they may be generated using the above-described oligonucleotidepriate locations in the target polypeptide DNA. After the restriction sites have been introduced into the plasmid, the plasmid is cut at these sites to linearize it. A double-stranded oligonucleotide encoding the sequence of the DNA between the restriction sites but containing the desired mutation(s) is 15 synthesized using standard procedures. The two strands are synthesized separately and then hybridized together using standard techniques. This double-stranded oligonucleotide is referred to as the cassette. This cassette is designed to have 3' and 5' ends that are compatible with the ends of the 20 linearized plasmid, such that it can be directly ligated to the plasmid. This plasmid now contains the mutated target polypeptide DNA sequence.

Insertion of DNA into a Cloning Vehicle

The cDNA or genomic DNA encoding the target polypeptide is inserted into a replicable vector for further cloning (amplification of the DNA) or for expression. Many vectors are available, and selection of the appropriate vector will depend on 1) whether it is to be used for DNA amplification or for DNA expression, 2) the size of the DNA to be inserted into the vector, and 3) the host cell to be transformed with the vector. Each vector contains various components depending on its function (amplification of DNA or expression of DNA) and the host cell for which it is compatible. The vector components generally include, but are not limited to, one or more of the following: a signal sequence, an origin of replication, one or more marker genes, an enhancer element, a promoter, and a transcription termination sequence.

(a) Signal Sequence Component

In general, the signal sequence may be a component of the vector, or it may be a part of the target polypeptide DNA that is inserted into the vector.

The target polypeptides of this invention may be 45 expressed not only directly, but also as a fusion with a heterologous polypeptide, preferably a signal sequence or other polypeptide having a specific cleavage site at the N-terminus of the mature protein or polypeptide. In general, the signal sequence may be a component of the vector, or it 50 transformed with a heterologous gene express a protein may be a part of the target polypeptide DNA that is inserted into the vector. Included within the scope of this invention are target polypeptides with any native signal sequence deleted and replaced with a heterologous signal sequence. The heterologous signal sequence selected should be one 55 [1980]) or hygromycin (Sugden et al., Mol. Cell. Biol., 5: that is recognized and processed (i.e. cleaved by a signal peptidase) by the host cell. For prokaryotic host cells that do not recognize and process the native target polypeptide signal sequence, the signal sequence is substituted by a prokaryotic signal sequence selected, for example, from the 60 group of the alkaline phosphatase, penicillinase, lpp, or heat-stable enterotoxin 11 leaders. For yeast secretion the native target polypeptide signal sequence may be substituted by the yeast invertase, alpha factor, or acid phosphatase leaders. In mammalian cell expression the native signal 65 sequence is satisfactory, although other mammalian signal sequences may be suitable.

(b) Origin of Replication Component

Both expression and cloning vectors contain a nucleic acid sequence that enables the vector to replicate in one or more selected host cells. Generally, in cloning vectors this sequence is one that enables the vector to replicate independently of the host chromosomal DNA, and includes origins of replication or autonomously replicating sequences. Such sequences are well known for a variety of bacteria, yeast, and viruses. The origin of replication from the plasmid mediated mutagenesis method to introduce them at appro- 10 pBR322 is suitable for most Gram-negative bacteria, the 2μ plasmid origin is suitable for yeast, and various viral origins (SV40, polyoma, adenovirus, VSV or BPV) are useful for cloning vectors in mammalian cells. Generally, the origin of replication component is not needed for mammalian expression vectors (the SV40 origin may typically be used only because it contains the early promoter).

> Most expression vectors are "shuttle" vectors, i.e. they are capable of replication in at least one class of organisms but can be transfected into another organism for expression. For example, a vector is cloned in E. coli and then the same vector is transfected into yeast or mammalian cells for expression even though it is not capable of replicating independently of the host cell chromosome.

DNA may also be amplified by insertion into the host genome. This is readily accomplished using Bacillus species 25 as hosts, for example, by including in the vector a DNA sequence that is complementary to a sequence found in Bacillus genomic DNA. Transfection of Bacillus with this vector results in homologous recombination with the genome and insertion of the target polypeptide DNA. However, the recovery of genomic DNA encoding the target polypeptide is more complex than that of an exogenously replicated vector because restriction enzyme digestion is required to excise the target polypeptide DNA.

(c) Selection Gene Component

Expression and cloning vectors should contain a selection gene, also termed a selectable marker. This gene encodes a protein necessary for the survival or growth of transformed host cells grown in a selective culture medium. Host cells 40 not transformed with the vector containing the selection gene will not survive in the culture medium. Typical selection genes encode proteins that (a) confer resistance to antibiotics or other toxins, e.g. ampicillin, neomycin, methotrexate, or tetracycline, (b) complement auxotrophic deficiencies, or (c) supply critical nutrients not available from complex media, e.g. the gene encoding D-alanine racemase for Bacilli.

One example of a selection scheme utilizes a drug to arrest growth of a host cell. Those cells that are successfully conferring drug resistance and thus survive the selection regimen. Examples of such dominant selection use the drugs neomycin (Southern et al., J. Molec. Appl. Genet., 1: 327 [1982]), mycophenolic acid (Mulligan et al., Science=: 1422 410-413 [1985]). The three examples given above employ bacterial genes under eukaryotic control to convey resistance to the appropriate drug G418 or neomycin (geneticin), xgpt (mycophenolic acid), or hygromycin, respectively.

Another example of suitable selectable markers for mammalian cells are those that enable the identification of cells competent to take up the target polypeptide nucleic acid, such as dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) or thymidine kinase. The mammalian cell transformants are placed under selection pressure which only the transformants are uniquely adapted to survive by virtue of having taken up the marker. Selection pressure is imposed by culturing the transformants under conditions in which the concentration of selection agent in the medium is successively changed, thereby leading to amplification of both the selection gene and the DNA that encodes the target polypeptide. Amplification is the process by which genes in greater demand for the production -5 of a protein critical for growth are reiterated in tandem within the chromosomes of successive generations of recombinant cells. Increased quantities of the target polypeptide are synthesized from the amplified DNA.

For example, cells transformed with the DHFR selection 10 gene are first identified by culturing all of the transformants in a culture medium that contains methotrexate (Mtx), a competitive antagonist of DHFR. An appropriate host cell when wild-type DHFR is employed is the Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line deficient in DHFR activity, prepared 15 and propagated as described by Urlaub and Chasin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 77: 4216 [1980]. The transformed cells are then exposed to increased levels of methotrexate. This leads to the synthesis of multiple copies of the DHFR gene, and, concomitantly, multiple copies of other DNA 20 comprising the expression vectors, such as the DNA encoding the target polypeptide. This amplification technique can be used with any otherwise suitable host, e.g., ATCC No. CCL61 CHO-K1, notwithstanding the presence of endogenous DHFR if, for example, a mutant DHFR gene that is 25 highly resistant to Mtx is employed (EP 117,060). Alternatively, host cells (particularly wild-type hosts that contain endogenous DHFR) transformed or co-transformed with DNA sequences encoding the target polypeptide, wildtype DHFR protein, and another selectable marker such as 30 aminoglycoside 3' phosphotransferase (APH) can be selected by cell growth in medium containing a selection agent for the selectable marker such as an aminoglycosidic antibiotic, e.g., kanamycin, neomycin, or G418. See U.S. Pat. No. 4,965,199.

A suitable selection gene for use in yeast is the trp1 gene present in the yeast plasmid YRp7 (Stinchcomb et al., Nature, 22: 39 [1979]; Kingsman et al., Gene, 7: 141 [1979]; or Tschemper et al., Gene, 10: 157 [1980]). The trp1 gene provides a selection marker for a mutant strain of yeast 40 lacking the ability to grow in tryptophan, for example, ATCC No. 44076 or PEP4-1 (Jones, Genetics, 5: 12 [1977]). The presence of the trp1 lesion in the yeast host cell genome then provides an effective environment for detecting transformation by growth in the absence of tryptophan. Similarly, 45 Leu2-deficient yeast strains (ATCC 20,622 or 38,626) are complemented by known plasmids bearing the Leu2 gene.

(d) Promoter Component

Expression and cloning vectors usually contain a promoter that is recognized by the host organism and is oper-50 ably linked to the target polypeptide nucleic acid. Promoters are untranslated sequences located upstream (5') to the start codon of a structural gene (generally within about 100 to 1000 bp) that control the transcription and translation of a particular nucleic acid sequence, such as that encoding the 55 lian host cells is controlled by promoters obtained from the target polypeptide, to which they are operably linked. Such promoters typically fall into two classes, inducible and constitutive. Inducible promoters are promoters that initiate increased levels of transcription from DNA under their control in response to some change in culture conditions, 60 preferably Simian Virus 40 (SV40), from heterologous e.g. the presence or absence of a nutrient or a change in temperature. At this time a large number of promoters recognized by a variety of potential host cells are well known. These promoters are operably linked to DNA encoding the target polypeptide by removing the promoter from 65 the source DNA by restriction enzyme digestion and inserting the isolated promoter sequence into the vector. Both the

native target polypeptide promoter sequence and many heterologous promoters may be used to direct amplification and/or expression of the target polypeptide DNA. However, heterologous promoters are preferred, as they generally permit greater transcription and higher yields of expressed target polypeptide as compared to the native target polypeptide promoter.

Promoters suitable for use with prokaryotic hosts include the β -lactamase and lactose promoter systems (Chang et al., Nature, 275: 615 [1978]; and Goeddel et al., Nature, 281: 544 [1979]), alkaline phosphatase, a tryptophan (trp) promoter system (Goeddel, Nucleic Acids Res., 8: 4057 [1980] and EP 36,776) and hybrid promoters such as the tao promoter (deBoer et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, USA, 80: 21–25 [1983]). However, other known bacterial promoters are suitable. Their nucleotide sequences have been published, thereby enabling a skilled worker operably to ligate them to DNA encoding the target polypeptide (Siebenlist et al., Cell, 20: 269 [1980]) using linkers or adaptors to supply any required restriction sites. Promoters for use in bacterial systems also generally will contain a Shine-Dalgarno (S.D.) sequence operably linked to the DNA encoding the target polypeptide.

Suitable promoting sequences for use with yeast hosts include the promoters for 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (Hitzeman et al., J. Biol. Chem., 255: 2073 [1980]) or other glycolytic enzymes (Hess et al., J. Adv. Enzyme Reg., 2: 149 [1968]; and Holland, Biochemistry, 17: 4900 [1978]), such asenolase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, hexokinase, pyruvate decarboxylase, phosphofructokinase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, 3-phosphoglycerate mutase, pyruvate kinase, triosephosphate isomerase, phosphoglucose isomerase, and glucokinase.

Other yeast promoters, which are inducible promoters having the additional advantage of transcription controlled 35 by growth conditions, are the promoter regions for alcohol dehydrogenase 2, isocytochrome C, acid phosphatase, degradative enzymes associated with nitrogen metabolism, metallothionein, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and enzymes responsible for maltose and galactose utilization. Suitable vectors and promoters for use in yeast expression are further described in Hitzeman et al., EP 73,657A. Yeast enhancers also ate advantageously used with yeast promoters.

Promoter sequences are known for eukaryotes. Virtually all eukaryotic genes have an AT-rich region located approximately 25 to 30 bases upstream from the site where transcription is initiated. Another sequence found 70 to 80 bases upstream from the start of transcription of many genes is a CXCAAT region where X may be any nucleotide. At the 3' end of most eukaryotic genes is an AATAAA sequence that may be the signal for addition of the poly A tail to the 3' end of the coding sequence. All of these sequences are suitably inserted into mammalian expression vectors.

Target polypeptide transcription from vectors in mammagenomes of viruses such as polyoma virus, fowlpox virus (UK 2,211,504 published Jul. 5, 1989), adenovirus (such as Adenovirus 2), bovine papilloma virus, avian sarcoma virus, cytomegalovirus, a retrovirus, hepatitis-B virus and most mammalian promoters, e.g. the actin promoter or an immunoglobulin promoter, from heat-shock promoters, and from the promoter normally associated with the target polypeptide sequence, provided such promoters are compatible with the host cell systems.

The early and late promoters of the SV40 virus are conveniently obtained as an SV40 restriction fragment that also contains the SV40 viral origin of replication. Fiers et al., Nature, 273:113 (1978); Mulligan and Berg, Science, 209: 1422-1427 (1980); Pavlakis et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 78: 7398-7402 (1981). The immediate early promoter of the human cytomegalovirus is conveniently obtained as a 5 HindIII E restriction fragment. Greenaway et al., Gene, 18: 355-360 (1982). A system for expressing DNA in mammalian hosts using the bovine papilloma virus as a vector is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,419,446. A modification of this system is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,601,978. See also 10 Gray et al., Nature, 29: 503-508 (1982) on expressing cDNA encoding immune interferon in monkey cells; , Reyes et al., Nature, 297: 598-601 (1982) on expression of human β -interferon cDNA in mouse cells under the control of a thymidine kinase promoter from herpes simplex virus, 15 Canaani and Berg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 79: 5166–5170 (1982) on expression of the human interferon $\beta 1$ gene in cultured mouse and rabbit cells, and Gorman et al., Proc. Natl. Aced. Sci. USA, 79: 6777-6781 (1982) on expression of bacterial CAT sequences in CV-1 monkey 20 kidney cells, chicken embryo fibroblasts, Chinese hamster ovary cells, HeLa cells, and mouse NIH-3T3 cells using the Rous sarcoma virus long terminal repeat as a promoter.

(e) Enhancer Element Component

Transcription of DNA encoding the target polypeptide of 25 this invention by higher eukaryotes is often increased by inserting an enhancer sequence into the vector. Enhancers are cis-acting elements of DNA, usually about from 10-300 bp, that act on a promoter to increase its transcription. Enhancers are relatively orientation and position indepen- 30 dent having been found 5' (Laimins et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 78: 993 [1981]) and 3' (Lusky et al., Mol. Cell Bio. 3: 1108 [1983]) to the transcription unit, within an intron (Banerji et al., Cell, 33: 729 [1983]) as well as within the coding sequence itself (Osborne et al., Mol. Cell Bio., 4: 35 example, E. coli, Bacilli such as B. subtilis, Pseudomonas 1293 [1984]). Many enhancer sequences are now known from mammalian genes (globin, elastase, albumin, α -fetoprotein and insulin). Typically, however, one will use an enhancer from a eukaryotic cell virus. Examples include the SV40 enhancer on the late side of the replication origin 40 (bp 100–270), the cytomegalovirus early promoter enhancer, the polyoma enhancer on the late side of the replication origin, and adenovirus enhancers. See also Yaniv, Nature, 297: 17-18 (1982) on enhancing elements for activation of eukaryotic promoters. The enhancer may be spliced into the 45 vector at a position 5' or 3' to the target polypeptide DNA, but is preferably located at a site 5' from the promoter.

(f) Transcription Termination Component

Expression vectors used in eukaryotic host cells (yeast, fungi, insect, plant, animal, human, or nucleated cells from 50 other multicellular organisms) will also contain sequences necessary for the termination of transcription and for stabilizing the mRNA. Such sequences are commonly available from the 5' and, occasionally 3' untranslated regions of eukaryotic or viral DNAs or cDNAs. These regions contain 55 Bacteriol., 737 (1983)], K. fragilis, K. bulgaricus, K. nucleotide segments transcribed as polyadenylated fragments in the untranslated portion of the mRNA encoding the target polypeptide. The 3' untranslated regions also include transcription termination sites.

the above listed components the desired coding and control sequences employs standard ligation techniques. Isolated plasmids or DNA fragments are cleaved, tailored, and religated in the form desired to generate the plasmids required.

For analysis to confirm correct sequences in plasmids 65 constructed, the ligation mixtures are used to transform E. coli K12 strain 294 (ATCC 31,446) and successful transfor-

mants selected by ampicillin or tetracycline resistance where appropriate. Plasmids from the transformants are prepared, analyzed by restriction endonuclease digestion, and/or sequenced is by the method of Messing et al., Nucleic Acids Res., 9: 309 (1981) or by the method of Maxam et al., Methods in Enzymology 65: 499 (1980).

Particularly useful in the practice of this invention are expression vectors that provide for the transient expression in mammalian cells of DNA encoding the target polypeptide. In general, transient expression involves the use of an expression vector that is able to replicate efficiently in a host cell, such that the host cell accumulates many copies of the expression vector and, in turn, synthesizes high levels of a desired polypeptide encoded by the expression vector. Transient expression systems, comprising a suitable expression vector and a host cell, allow for the convenient positive identification of polypeptides encoded by cloned DNAs, as well as for the rapid screening of such polypeptides for desired biological or physiological properties. Thus, transient expression systems are particularly useful in the invention for purposes of identifying analogs and variants of the target polypeptide that have target polypeptide-like activity.

Other methods, vectors, and host cells suitable for adaptation to the synthesis of the target polypeptide in recombinant vertebrate cell culture are described in Gething et al., Nature, 293: 620-625 [1981]; Mantei et al., Nature, 281: 40-46 [1979]; Levinson et al.,; EP 117,060; and EP 117,058. A particularly useful plasmid for mammalian cell culture expression of the target polypeptide is pRK5 (EP pub. no. 307,247) or pSVI6B.

Selection and Transformation of Host Cells

Suitable host cells for cloning or expressing the vectors herein are the prokaryote, yeast, or higher eukaryote cells described above. Suitable prokaryotes include eubacteria, such as Gram-negative or Gram-positive organisms, for species such as P. aeruginosa, Salmonella typhimurium, or Serratia marcescans. One preferred E. coli cloning host is E. coli 294 (ATCC 31,446), although other strains such as E. coli B, E. coli X1776 (ATCC 31,537), and E. coli W3110 (ATCC 27,325) are suitable. These examples are illustrative rather than limiting. Preferably the host cell should secrete minimal amounts of proteolytic enzymes. Alternatively, in vitro methods of cloning, e.g. PCR or other nucleic acid polymerase reactions, are suitable.

In addition to prokaryotes, eukaryotic microbes such as filamentous fungi or yeast are suitable hosts for target polypeptide-encoding vectors. Saccharomyces cerevisiae, or common baker's yeast, is the most commonly used among lower eukaryotic host microorganisms. However, a number of other genera, species, and strains are commonly available and useful herein, such as Schizosaccharomyces pombe [Beach and Nurse, Nature, 290: 140 (1981); EP 139,383 published May 2, 1985], Kluyveromyces hosts (U.S. Pat. No. 4,943,529) such as, e.g., K. lactis [Louvencourt et al., J. thermotolerans, and K. marxianus, yarrowia [EP 402,226], Pichia pastoris [EP 183,070; Sreekrishna et al., J. Basic Microbiol., 28: 265-278 (1988)], Candida, Trichoderma reesia [EP 244,2341], Neurospora crassa [Case et al., Proc. Construction of suitable vectors containing one or more of 60 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 76: 5259–5263 (1979)], and filamentous fungi such as, e.g, Neurospora, Penicillium, Tolypocladium [WO 91/00357 published Jan. 10, 1991], and Aspergillus hosts such as A. nidulans [Ballance et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 112: 284-289 (1983); Tilburn et al., Gene, 26: 205-221 (1983); Yelton et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 81: 1470–1474 (1984)] and A. niger [Kelly and Hynes, EMBO J., 4: 475-479 (1985)].

Suitable host cells for the expression of glycosylated target polypeptide are derived from multicellular organisms. Such host cells are capable of complex processing and glycosylation activities. In principle, any higher eukaryotic cell culture is workable, whether from vertebrate or invertebrate culture. Examples of invertebrate cells include plant and insect cells. Numerous baculoviral strains and variants and corresponding permissive insect host cells from hosts such as Spodoptera frugiperda (caterpillar), Aedes aegypti (mosquito), Aedes albopictus (mosquito), Drosophila melanogaster (fruitfly), and Bombyx mori host cells have been identified. See, e.g., Luckow et al., Bio/Technologvy 6: 47-55 (1988); Miller et al., in Genetic Engineering Setlow, J. K. et a., eds., Vol. 8 (Plenum Publishing, 1986), pp. 277-279; and Maeda et al., Nature, 315: 592-594 (1985). A variety of such viral strains are publicly available, e.g., the L-1 variant of Autographa californica NPV and the Bm-5 strain of Bombyx mori NPV, and such viruses may be used as the virus herein according to the present invention, particularly for transfection of Spodoptera frugiperda cells. Plant cell cutures of cotton, corn, potato, soybean, petunia, 20 tomato, and tobacco can be utilized as hosts. Typically, plant cells are transfected by incubation with certain strains of the bacterium Agrobacterium tumefaciens, which has been previously manipulated to contain the target polypeptide DNA. During incubation of the plant cell culture with A. 25 tumefaciens, the DNA encoding target polypeptide is transferred to the plant cell host such that it is transfected, and will, under appropriate conditions, express the target polypeptide DNA. In addition, regulatory and signal sequences compatible with plant cells are available, such as 30 Culturina the Host Cells the nopaline synthase promoter and polyadenylation signal sequences. Depicker et al., J. Mol. Appl. Gen., 1: 561 (1982). In addition, DNA segments isolated from the upstream region of the T-DNA 780 gene are capable of activating or recombinant DNA-containing plant tissue. See EP 321,196 published Jun. 21, 1989.

However, interest has been greatest in vertebrate cells, and propagation of vertebrate cells in culture (tissue culture) has become a routine procedure in recent years [Tissue 40] Culture, Academic Press, Kruse and Patterson, editors (1973)]. Examples of useful mammalian host cell lines are monkey kidney CV1 line transformed by SV40 (COS-7, ATCC CRL 1651); human embryonic kidney line (293 or 293 cells subcloned for growth in suspension culture, Gra- 45 ham et al., J. Gen Virol., 36: 59 [1977]); baby hamster kidney cells (BHK, ATCC CCL 10); Chinese hamster ovary cells/-DHFR (CHO, Urlaub and Chasin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 77: 4216 [1980]); mouse sertoli cells (TM4, Mather, Biol. Reprod., 23: 243–251 [1980]); monkey kidney 50 cells (CV1 ATCC CCL 70); African green monkey kidney cells (VERO-76, ATCC CRL-1587); human cervical carcinoma cells (HELA, ATCC CCL 2); canine kidney cells (MDCK, ATCC CCL 34); buffalo rat liver cells (BRL 3A, ATCC CRL 1442); human lung cells (W138, ATCC CCL 55 75); human liver cells (Hep G2, HS 8065); mouse mammary tumor (MMT 060562, ATCC CCL51); TRI cells (Mather et al., Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci., 383: 44-68 [1982]); MRC 5 cells; FS4 cells; and a human hepatoma cell line (Hep G2). Preferred host cells are human embryonic kidney 293 and 60 Chinese hamster ovary cells.

Host cells are transfected and preferably transformed with the above-described expression or cloning vectors of this invention and cultured in conventional nutrient media modified as appropriate for inducing promoters, selecting 65 transformants, or amplifying the genes encoding the desired sequences.

Transfection refers to the taking up of an expression vector by a host cell whether or not any coding sequences are in fact expressed. Numerous methods of transfection are known to the ordinarily skilled artisan, for example, CaPO₄ and electroporation. Successful transfection is generally recognized when any indication of the operation of this vector occurs within the host cell.

Transformation means introducing DNA into an organism so that the DNA is replicable, either as an extrachromosomal 10 element or by chromosomal integrant. Depending on the host cell used, transformation is done using standard techniques appropriate to such cells. The calcium treatment employing calcium chloride, as described in section 1.82 of Sambrook et al., supra, is generally used for prokaryotes or 15 other cells that contain substantial cell-wall barriers. Infection with Agrobacterium tumefaciens is used for transformation of certain plant cells, as described by Shaw et al., Gene, 23: 315 (1983) and WO 89/05859 published Jun. 29, 1989. For mammalian cells without such cell walls, the calcium phosphate precipitation method described in sections 16.30-16.37 of Sambrook et al., supra, is preferred. General aspects of mammalian cell host system transformations have been described by Axel in U.S. Pat. No. 4,399,216 issued Aug. 16, 1983. Transformations into yeast are typically carried out according to the method of Van Solingen et al., J. Bact., 130: 946 (1977) and Hsiao et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA), 76: 3829 (1979). However, other methods for introducing DNA into cells such as by nuclear injection, electroporation, or protoplast fusion may also be used.

Prokaryotic cells used to produce the target polypeptide of this invention are cultured in suitable media as described generally in Sambrook et al., supra.

The mammalian host cells used to produce the target increasing transcription levels of plant-expressible genes in 35 polypeptide of this invention may be cultured in a variety of media. Commercially available media such as Ham's F10 (Sigma), Minimal Essential Medium ([MEM], Sigma), RPMI-1640 (Sigma), and Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium ([DMEM], Sigma) are suitable for culturing the host cells. In addition, any of the media described in Ham and Wallace, Meth. Enz., 58: 44 (1979), Barnes and Sato, Anal. Biochem. 102: 255 (1980), U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,767,704; 4,657,866; 4,927,762; or 4,560,655; WO 90/03430; WO 87/00195; U.S. Pat. No. Re. 30,985, may be used as culture media for the host cells. Any of these media may be supplemented as necessary with hormones and/or other growth factors (such as insulin, transferrin, or epidermal growth factor), salts (such as sodium chloride, calcium, magnesium, and phosphate), buffers (such as HEPES), nucleosides (such as adenosine and thymidine), antibiotics (such as Gentamycin[™] drug), trace elements (defined as inorganic compounds usually present at final concentrations in the micromolar range), and glucose or an equivalent energy source. Any other necessary supplements may also be included at appropriate concentrations that would be known to those skilled in the art. The culture conditions, such as temperature, pH, and the like, are those previously used with the host cell selected for expression, and will be apparent to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

> The host cells referred to in this disclosure encompass cells in in vitro culture as well as cells that are within a host animal.

> It is further envisioned that the target polypeptides of this invention may be produced by homologous recombination, or with recombinant production methods utilizing control elements introduced into cells already containing DNA encoding the target polypeptide currently in use in the field.

For example, a powerful promoter/enhancer element, a suppressor, or an exogenous transcription modulatory element is inserted in the genome of the intended host cell in proximity and orientation sufficient to influence the transcription of DNA encoding the desired target polypeptide. 5 The control element does not encode the target polypeptide of this invention, but the DNA is present in the host cell genome. One next screens for cells making the target polypeptide of this invention, or increased or decreased levels of expression, as desired.

Detecting Gene Amplification/Expression

Gene amplification and/or expression may be measured in a sample directly, for example, by conventional Southern blotting, northern blotting to quantitate the transcription of mRNA (Thomas, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 77: 5201-5205 15 of a target polypeptide fusion with another protein or [1980]), dot blotting (DNA analysis), or in situ hybridization, using an appropriately labeled probe, based on the sequences provided herein. Various labels may be employed, most commonly radioisotopes, particularly ³²P. However, other techniques may also be employed, such as 20 using biotin-modified nucleotides for introduction into a polynucleotide. The biotin then serves as the site for binding to avidin or antibodies, which may be labeled with a wide variety of labels, such as radionuclides, fluorescers, enzymes, or the like. Alternatively, antibodies may be 25 employed that can recognize specific duplexes, including DNA duplexes, RNA duplexes, and DNA-RNA hybrid duplexes or DNA-protein duplexes. The antibodies in turn may be labeled and the assay may be carried out where the duplex is bound to a surface, so that upon the formation of 30 character of the target polypeptide or its variants upon duplex on the surface, the presence of antibody bound to the duplex can be detected.

Gene expression, alternatively, may be measured by immunological methods, such as immunohistochemical staining of tissue sections and assay of cell culture or body 35 fluids, to quantitate directly the expression of gene product. With immunohistochemical staining techniques, a cell sample is prepared, typically by dehydration and fixation, followed by reaction with labeled antibodies specific for the 40 gene product coupled, where the labels are usually visually detectable, such as enzymatic labels, fluorescent labels, luminescent labels, and the like. A particularly sensitive staining technique suitable for use in the present invention is described by Hsu et al., Am. J. Clin. Path., 75: 734-738 (1980).

Antibodies useful for immunohistochemical staining and/ or assay of sample fluids may be either monoclonal or polyclonal, and may be prepared in any mammal. Conveniently, the antibodies may be prepared against a native target polypeptide or against a synthetic peptide based 50 carboxyamidomethyl derivatives. Cysteinyl residues also on the DNA sequences provided herein as described further in Section 4 below.

Purification of the Target Polypeptide

The target polypeptide preferably is recovered from the culture medium as a secreted polypeptide, although it also 55 may be recovered from host cell lysates when directly expressed without a secretory signal.

When the target polypeptide is expressed in a recombinant cell other than one of human origin, the target polypeptide is completely free of proteins or polypeptides of human 60 bromophenacyl bromide also is useful; the reaction is origin. However, it is necessary to purify the target polypeptide from recombinant cell proteins or polypeptides to obtain preparations that are substantially homogeneous as to the target polypeptide. As a first step, the culture medium or lysate is centrifuged to remove particulate cell debris. The 65 membrane and soluble protein fractions are then separated. The target polypeptide may then be purified from the soluble

protein fraction and from the membrane fraction of the culture lysate, depending on whether the target polypeptide is membrane bound. The following procedures are exemplary of suitable purification procedures: fractionation on immunoaffinity or ion-exchange columns; ethanol precipitation; reverse phase HPLC; chromatography on silica or on a cation exchange resin such as DEAE; chromatofocusing; SDS-PAGE; ammonium sulfate precipitation; gel filtration using, for example, Sephadex G-75; and protein A 10 Sepharose columns to remove contaminants such as IgG.

Target polypeptide variants in which residues have been deleted, inserted or substituted are recovered in the same fashion, taking account of any substantial changes in properties occasioned by the variation. For example, preparation polypeptide, e.g. a bacterial or viral antigen, facilitates purification; an immunoaffinity column containing antibody to the antigen (or containing antigen, where the target polypeptide is an antibody) can be used to adsorb the fusion. Immunoaffinity columns such as a rabbit polyclonal antitarget polypeptide column can be employed to absorb the target polypeptide variant by binding it to at least one remaining immune epitope. A protease inhibitor such as phenyl methyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) also may be useful to inhibit proteolytic degradation during purification, and antibiotics may be included to prevent the growth of adventitious contaminants. One skilled in the art will appreciate that purification methods suitable for native target polypeptide may require modification to account for changes in the expression in recombinant cell culture.

Covalent Modifications of Target Polypeptides

Covalent modifications of target polypeptides are included within the scope of this invention. One type of covalent modification included within the scope of this invention is a target polypeptide fragment. Target polypeptide fragments having up to about 40 amino acid residues may be conveniently prepared by chemical synthesis, or by enzymatic or chemical cleavage of the full-length target polypeptide or variant target polypeptide. Other types of covalent modifications of the target polypeptide or fragments thereof are introduced into the molecule by reacting specific amino acid residues of the target polypeptide or fragments thereof with an organic derivatizing agent that is capable of reacting with selected side chains or the N- or C-terminal residues.

Cysteinyl residues most commonly are reacted with α -haloacetates (and corresponding amines), such as chloroacetic acid or chloroacetamide, to give carboxymethyl or are derivatized by reaction with bromotrifluoroacetone, α -bromo- β -(5-imidozoyl)propionic acid, chloroacetyl phosphate, N-alkylmaleimides, 3-nitro-2-pyridyl disulfide, methyl2-pyridyldisulfide, p-chloromercuribenzoate, 2-chloromercuri-4-nitrophenol, or chloro-7-nitrobenzo-2oxa-1.3-diazole.

Histidyl residues are derivatized by reaction with diethvlpvrocarbonate at pH 5.5-7.0 because this agent is relatively specific for the histidyl side chain. Parapreferably performed in 0.1M sodium cacodylate at pH 6.0.

Lysinyl and amino terminal residues are reacted with succinic or other carboxylic acid anhydrides. Derivatization with these agents has the effect of reversing the charge of the lysinyl residues. Other suitable reagents for derivatizing α -amino-containing residues include imidoesters such as methyl picolinimidate; pyridoxal phosphate; pyridoxal;

45

chloroborohydride; trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid; O-methylisourea; 2,4-pentanedione; and transaminasecatalyzed reaction with glyoxylate.

Arginyl residues are modified by reaction with one or several conventional reagents, among them phenylglyoxal, 2,3-butanedione, 1,2-cyclohexanedione, and ninhydrin. Derivatization of arginine residues requires that the reaction be performed in alkaline conditions because of the high pK_a of the guanidine functional group. Furthermore, these reagents may react with the groups of lysine as well as the 10 glycosylation refers to the attachment of one of the sugars arginine epsilon-amino group.

The specific modification of tyrosyl residues may be made, with particular interest in so introducing spectral labels into tyrosyl residues by reaction with aromatic diazonium compounds or tetranitromethane. Most commonly, 15 N-acetylimidizole and tetranitromethane are used to form O-acetyl tyrosyl species and 3-nitro derivatives, respectively. Tyrosyl residues are iodinated using ¹²⁵I or ¹³¹I to prepare labeled proteins for use in radioimmunoassay, the chloramine T method described above being suitable.

Carboxyl side groups (aspartyl or glutamyl) are selectively modified by reaction with carbodiimides (R'-N=C=N-R'), where R and R' are different alkyl groups, such as 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinyl-4-ethyl) carbodiimide or 1-ethyl-3-(4-azonia-4,4-dimethylpentyl) carbodiim- 25 ide. Furthermore, aspartyl and glutamyl residues are converted to asparaginyl and glutaminyl residues by reaction with ammonium ions.

Derivatization with bifunctional agents is useful for crosslinking target polypeptide to a water-insoluble support 30 matrix or surface for use in the method for purifying anti-target polypeptide antibodies, and vice versa. Commonly used crosslinking agents include, e.g., 1,1-bis (diazoacetyl)-2-phenylethane, glutaraldehyde, N-hydroxysuccinimide esters, for example, esters with 35 4-azidosalicylic acid, homobifunctional imidoesters, including disuccinimidyl esters such as 3,3'-dithiobis (succinimidylpropionate), and bifunctional maleimides such as bis-N-maleimido-1,8-octane. Derivatizing agents such as methyl-3-[(p-azidophenyl)dithio]propioimidate yield photo- 40 activatable intermediates that are capable of forming crosslinks in the presence of light. Alternatively, reactive water-insoluble matrices such as cyanogen bromideactivated carbohydrates and the reactive substrates described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,969,287; 3,691,016; 4,195, 45 128; 4,247,642; 4,229,537; and 4,330,440 are employed for protein immobilization.

Glutaminyl and asparaginyl residues are frequently deamidated to the corresponding glutamyl and aspartyl residues, respectively. Alternatively, these residues are dea- 50 the cleavage of most or all sugars except the linking sugar midated under mildly acidic conditions. Either form of these residues falls within the scope of this invention.

Other modification include hydroxylation of proline and lysine, phophorylation of hydroxyl groups of seryl or threonyl resides, methylation of the α -amino groups of lysine, 55 arginine, and histidine side chains, (T. E. Creighton, Protein: Structure and Molecular Properties, W. H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco, pp. 79-86 [1983]), acetylation of the N-terminal amine, and amidaatioon of any C-terminal carboxyl group.

Another type of covalent modification of the target polypeptide included within the scope of this invention comprises altering the native glucosylatuion pattern of the polypeptide. By altering is meant deleting one or more carbohydrate moieties found in the native target 65 polypeptide, and/or adding one or more glycosylation sites that are not present in the native target polypeptide.

Gylcosylation of polypeptides is typically either N-linked or O-linked refers to the attachment of the carbonhydrate moiety to the side chain of an asparagine reisdue. The tri-peptide sequences asparagine-X-resine and asparagine-X-threonine, where X is any aminoe acid except proline, are the recognition sequences for enzymatic attachment of the carbohydrate moiety to the asparagine side chain. Thus, the presence of either of these tri-peptide sequences in a polypeptide creates a potential glycosylation site. O-linked N-acetylgactosamine, galactose, or xylose, to a hydroxyamino acid, most commonly serine or threonine, although 5-hydroxyproline or 5-hydroxylysine may also be used.

Addition of glycosylation sites to the target polypeptide is conveniently accomplished by altering the amino acid sequence such that it contains one or more of hte abovedescribed tri-peptide sequences (for N-linked glycosylation sites). The alteration may also be made by the addition of, 20 or substitution by, one or more serine or theonine resides to the native target polypeptide sequence (for O-linked glycosylation sites). For ease, the target polypeptide amino acid sequences is preferably altered through changes at the DNA level, particularly by mutating the DNA encoding the target polypeptide at preselected bases such that condons are generated that will translate into the desired amino acids. The DNA mutation(s) may be made using methods described above under the heading of "Amino Acid Sequence Variants of Target Polypeptide".

Another means of increasing the number of carbohydrate moieties on the target polypeptide is by chemical or enzymatic coupling glycosides to the polypeptides. These procedures are advantageous in that they do not require production of the polypeptide in a host cell that has glycosylation capabilities for N- or O-linked glycosylation. Depending on the couple mode used, the sugar(s) may be attached to (a) arginine and histidine, (b) free carboxyl groups, (c) free sulfhydryl groups such as those of cysteine, (d) free hydroxyl groups such as those of serine, threonine, or hydroxyproline, (e) aromatic residues such as those of phenylalanine, tyrosine, or tryptophan, or (f) the amide group of glutamine. These methods are described in WO 87/05330 published Sep. 11, 1987, and in Aplin and Wriston (CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem., pp. 259-306 [1981]).

Removal of carbohydrate moieties present on the native target polypeptide may be accomplished chemically or enzymatically. Chemical deglycosylation requires exposure of the polypeptide to the compound trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, or an equivalent compound. This treatment results in (N-acetylglucosamine or N-acetylgalactosamine), while leaving the polypeptide intact. Chemical deglycosylation is described by Hakimuddin et al. (Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 259:52 [1987]) and by Edge et al. (Anal. Biochem., 118:131 [1981]). Enzymatic cleavage of carbohydrate moieties on polypeptides can be achieved by the use of a variety of endoand exo-glycosidases as described by Thotakura et al. (Meth. Enzymol. 138:350 [1987]).

Glycosylation at potential glycosylation sites may be 60 prevented by the use of the compound tunicamycin as described by Duskin et al. (J. Biol. Chem., 257:3105 [1982]). Tunicamycin blocks the formation of protein-Nglycoside linkages.

Another type of covalent modification of the target polypeptide comprises linking the target polypeptide to various nonproteinaceous polymers, e.g. polyethylene glycol, polypropylene glycol or polyoxyalkylenes, in the manner set forth in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,640,835; 4,496,689; 4,301,144; 4,670,417; 4,791,192 or 4,179,337.

The target polypeptide also may be entrapped in microcapsules prepared, for example, by coacervation techniques or by interfacial polymerization (for example, hydroxym- 5 ethylcellulose or gelatin-microcapsules and poly-[methylmethacylate]microcapsules, respectively), in colloidal drug deliverysystems (for example, liposomes, albumin microspheres, microemulsions, nano-particles and nanocapsules), or in macroemulsions. Such techniques are 10 and bacterial luciferase (U.S. Pat. No. 4,737,456), luciferin, disclosed in Reminaton's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 16th edition, Osol, A., Ed., (1980).

Target polypeptide preparations are also useful in generating antibodies, for screening for binding partners, as labeling the target polypeptide for use as a standard in a radioimmunoassay, enzyme-linked immunoassay, or radioreceptor assay), in affinity purification techniques, and in competitive-type receptor binding assays when labeled with radioiodine, enzymes, fluorophores, spin labels, and the 20 like like

Since it is often difficult to predict in advance the characteristics of a variant target polypeptide, it will be appreciated that some screening of the recovered variant will be needed to select the optimal variant. For example, a change 25 in the immunological character of the target polypeptide molecule, such as affinity for a given antigen or antibody, is measured by a competitive-type immunoassay. The variant is assayed for changes in the suppression or enhancement of its activity by comparison to the activity observed for the 30 13: 1014-1021 (1974); Pain et al., J. Immunol. Methods, 40: target polypeptide in the same assay. Other potential modifications of protein or polypeptide properties such as redox or thermal stability, hydrophobicity, susceptibility to proteolytic degradation, stability in recombinant cell culture or in plasma, or the tendency to aggregate with carriers or into 35 the antibody is a standard manipulative procedure for one of multimers are assayed by methods well known in the art. Diagnostic and Related Uses of the Antibodies

The antibodies of this invention are useful in diagnostic assays for antigen expression in specific cells or tissues. The antibodies are detectably labeled and/or are immobilized on 40 an insoluble matrix.

The antibodies of this invention find further use for the affinity purification of the antigen from recombinant cell culture or natural sources. Suitable diagnostic assays for the antigen and its antibodies depend on the particular antigen or 45 antibody. Generally, such assays include competitive and sandwich assays, and steric inhibition assays. Competitive and sandwich methods employ a phase-separation step as an integral part of the method while steric inhibition assays are conducted in a single reaction mixture. Fundamentally, the 50 same procedures are used for the assay of the antigen and for substances that bind the antigen, although certain methods will be favored depending upon the molecular weight of the substance being assayed. Therefore, the substance to be tested is referred to herein as an analyte, irrespective of its 55 mercial diagnostics industry. status otherwise as an antigen or antibody, and proteins that bind to the analyte are denominated binding partners, whether they be antibodies, cell surface receptors, or antigens.

one or more of the following reagents: labeled analyte analogue, immobilized analyte analogue, labeled binding partner, immobilized binding partner and steric conjugates. The labeled reagents also are known as "tracers."

The label used (and this is also useful to label antigen 65 nucleic acid for use as a probe) is any detectable functionality that does not interfere with the binding of analyte and

its binding partner. Numerous labels are known for use in immunoassay, examples including moieties that may be detected directly, such as fluorochrome, chemiluminescent, and radioactive labels, as well as moieties, such as enzymes, that must be reacted or derivatized to be detected. Examples of such labels include the radioisotopes ³²P, ¹⁴C, ¹²⁵I, ³H, and ¹³¹I, fluorophores such as rare earth chelates or fluorescein and its derivatives, rhodamine and its derivatives, dansyl, umbelliferone, luceriferases, e.g., firefly luciferase 2,3-dihydrophthalazinediones, horseradish peroxidase (HRP), alkaline phosphatase, β -galactosidase, glucoamylase, lysozyme, saccharide oxidases, e.g., glucose oxidase, galactose oxidase, and glucose-6-phosphate standards in assays for the target polypeptide (e.g. by 15 dehydrogenase, heterocyclic oxidases such as uricase and xanthine oxidase, coupled with an enzyme that employs hydrogen peroxide to oxidize a dye precursor such as HRP, lactoperoxidase, or microperoxidase, biotintavidin, spin labels, bacteriophage labels, stable free radicals, and the

> Conventional methods are available to bind these labels covalenily to proteins or polypeptides. For instance, coupling agents such as dialdehydes, carbodiimides, dimaleimides, bis-imidates, bis-diazotized benzidine, and the like may be used to tag the antibodies with the abovedescribed fluorescent, chemiluminescent, and enzyme labels. See, for example, U.S. Pat. No. 3,940,475 (fluorimetry) and U.S. Pat. No. 3,645,090 (enzymes); Hunter et al., Nature, 144: 945 (1962); David et al., Biochemistry, 219-230 (1981); and Nygren, J. Histochem. and Cytochem., 30: 407-412 (1982). Preferred labels herein are enzymes such as horseradish peroxidase and alkaline phosphatase.

> The conjugation of such label, including the enzymes, to ordinary skill in immunoassay techniques. See, for example, O'Sullivan et al., "Methods for the Preparation of Enzymeantibody Conjugates for Use in Enzyme Immunoassay," in Methods in in Enzymology, ed. J. J. Langone and H. Van Vunakis, Vol. 73 (Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1981), pp. 147-166. Such bonding methods are suitable for use with the antibodies and polypeptides of this invention.

> Immobilization of reagents is required for certain assay methods. Immobilization entails separating the binding partner from any analyte that remains free in solution. This conventionally is accomplished by either insolubilizing the binding partner or analyte analogue before the assay procedure, as by adsorption to a water-insoluble matrix or surface (Bennich et al., U.S. Pat. No. 3,720,760), by covalent coupling (for example, using glutaraldehyde crosslinking), or by insolubilizing the partner or analogue afterward, e.g., by immunoprecipitation.

> Other assay methods, known as competitive or sandwich assays, are well established and widely used in the com-

Competitive assays rely on the ability of a tracer analogue to compete with the test sample analyte for a limited number of binding sites on a common binding partner. The binding partner generally is insolubilized before or after the com-Analytical methods for the antigen or its antibodies all use 60 petition and then the tracer and analyte bound to the binding partner are separated from the unbound tracer and analyte. This separation is accomplished by decanting (where the binding partner was preinsolubilized) or by centrifuging (where the binding partner was precipitated after the competitive reaction). The amount of test sample analyte is inversely proportional to the amount of bound tracer as measured by the amount of marker substance. Dose-

response curves with known amounts of analyte are prepared and compared with the test results to quantitatively determine the amount of analyte present in the test sample. These assays are called ELISA systems when enzymes are used as the detectable markers.

Another species of competitive assay, called a "homogeneous" assay, does not require a phase separation. Here, a conjugate of an enzyme with the analyte is prepared and used such that when anti-analyte binds to the analyte the this case, the antigen or its immunologically active fragments are conjugated with a bifunctional organic bridge to an enzyme such as peroxidase. Conjugates are selected for use with antibody so that binding of the antibody inhibits or se is widely practiced under the name of EMIT.

Steric conjugates are used in steric hindrance methods for homogeneous assay. These conjugates are synthesized by covalently linking a low-molecular-weight hapten to a small analyte so that antibody to hapten substantially is unable to 20 bind the conjugate at the same time as anti-analyte. Under this assay procedure the analyte present in the test sample will bind anti-analyte, thereby allowing anti-hapten to bind the conjugate, resulting in a change in the character of the conjugate hapten, e.g., a change in fluorescence when the 25 advantageously the ricin A chain is deglycosylated and hapten is a fluorophore.

Sandwich assays particularly are useful for the determination of antigen or antibodies. In sequential sandwich assays an immobilized binding partner is used to adsorb test sample analyte, the test sample is removed as by washing, 30 diagnostic purposes, the conjugates will typically be added the bound analyte is used to adsorb labeled binding partner, and bound material is then separated from residual tracer. The amount of bound tracer is directly proportional to test sample analyte. In "simultaneous" sandwich assays the test sample is not separated before adding the labeled binding 35 mally be used. Cytotoxicity may be read by conventional partner. A sequential sandwich assay using an anti-antigen monoclonal antibody as one antibody and a polyclonal anti-antigen antibody as the other is useful in testing samples for particular antigen activity.

The foregoing are merely exemplary diagnostic assays for 40 the import and humanized antibodies of this invention. Other methods now or hereafter developed for the determination of these analytes are included within the scope hereof, including the bioassays described above.

Immunotoxins

This invention is also directed to immunochemical derivatives of the antibodies of this invention such as immunotoxins (conjugates of the antibody and a cytotoxic moiety). Antibodies which carry the appropriate effector functions, such as with their constant domains, are also used to induce 50 size these fragments can better penetrate tissue to reach lysis through the natural complement process, and to interact with antibody dependent cytotoxic cells normally present.

For example, purified, sterile filtered antibodies are optionally conjugated to a cytotoxin such as ricin for use in AIDS therapy. U.S. patent application Ser. No. 07/350,895 55 Antibody Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity illustrates methods for making and using immunotoxins for the treatment of HIV infection. The methods of this invention, for example, are suitable for obtaining humanized antibodies for use as immunotoxins for use in AIDS therapy.

cytotoxic drug or an enzymatically active toxin of bacterial, fungal, plant or animal origin, or an enzymatically active fragment of such a toxin. Enzymatically active toxins and fragments thereof used are diphtheria A chain, nonbinding active fragments of diphtheria toxin, exotoxin A chain (from 65 Pseudomonas aeruginosa), ricin A chain, abrin A chain, modeccin A chain, alpha-sarcin, Aleurites fordii proteins,

dianthin proteins, Phytolaca americana proteins (PAPI, PAPII, and PAP-S), momordica charantia inhibitor, curcin, crotin, sapaonaria officinalis inhibitor, gelonin, mitogellin, restrictocin, phenomycin, enomycin and the tricothecenes. In another embodiment, the antibodies are conjugated to small molecule anticancer drugs such as cis-platin or 5FU. Conjugates of the monoclonal antibody and such cytotoxic moieties are made using a variety of bifunctional protein coupling agents. Examples of such reagents are SPDP, IT, presence of the anti-analyte modifies the enzyme activity. In 10 bifunctional derivatives of imidoesters such as dimethyl adipimidate HCI, active esters such as disuccinimidyl suberate, aldehydes such as glutaraldehyde, bis-azido compounds such as bis (p-azidobenzoyl) hexanediamine, bisdiazonium derivatives such as bis-(p-diazoniumbenzoyl)potentiates the enzyme activity of the label. This method per 15 ethylenediamine, diisocyanates such as tolylene 2,6diisocyanate and bis-active fluorine compounds such as 1,5-difluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene. The lysing portion of a toxin may be joined to the Fab fragment of the antibodies.

Immunotoxins can be made in a variety of ways, as discussed herein. Commonly known crosslinking reagents can be used to yield stable conjugates.

Advantageously, monoclonal antibodies specifically binding the domain of the antigen which is exposed on the infected cell surface, are conjugated to ricin A chain. Most produced through recombinant means. An advantageous method of making the ricin immunotoxin is described in Vitetta et al., Science 238:1098 (1987).

When used to kill infected human cells in vitro for to the cell culture medium at a concentration of at least about 10 nM. The formulation and mode of administration for in vitro use are not critical. Aqueous formulations that are compatible with the culture or perfusion medium will nortechniques.

Cytotoxic radiopharmaceuticals for treating infected cells may be made by conjugating radioactive isotopes (e.g. I, Y, Pr) to the antibodies. Advantageously alpha particleemitting isotopes are used. The term "cytotoxic moiety" as used herein is intended to include such isotopes.

In a preferred embodiment, ricin A chain is deglycosylated or produced without oligosaccharides, to decrease its clearance by irrelevant clearance mechanisms (e.g., the liver). In another embodiment, whole ricin (A chain plus B 45 chain) is conjugated to antibody if the galactose binding property of B-chain can be blocked ("blocked ricin").

In a further embodiment toxin-conjugates are made with Fab or $F(ab')_2$ fragments. Because of their relatively small infected cells.

In another embodiment, fusogenic liposomes are filled with a cytotoxic drug and the liposomes are coated with antibodies specifically binding the particular antigen.

Certain aspects of this invention involve antibodies which are (a) directed against a particular antigen and (b) belong to a subclass or isotype that is capable of mediating the lysis of cells to which the antibody molecule binds. More The cytotoxic moiety of the immunotoxin may be a 60 specifically, these antibodies should belong to a subclass or isotype that, upon complexing with cell surface proteins, activates serum complement and/or mediates antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) by activating effector cells such as natural killer cells or macrophages.

> Biological activity of antibodies is known to be determined, to a large extent, by the constant domains or Fc region of the antibody molecule (Uananue and Benacerraf,

Textbook of Immunology, 2nd Edition, Williams & Wilkins, p. 218 (1984)). This includes their ability to activate complement and to mediate antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) as effected by leukocytes. Antibodies of different classes and subclasses differ in this respect, as do 5 antibodies from the same subclass but different species; according to the present invention, antibodies of those classes having the desired biological activity are prepared. Preparation of these antibodies involves the selection of humanized antibody by known technique. For example, mouse immunoglobulins of the IgG3 and IgG2a class are capable of activating serum complement upon binding to the target cells which express the cognate antigen, and therefore effector functions are desirable for certain therapeutic applications.

In general, mouse antibodies of the IgG2a and IgG3 subclass and occasionally IgG1 can mediate ADCC, and antibodies of the IgG3, IgG2a, and IgM subclasses bind and 20 activate serum complement. Complement activation generally requires the binding of at least two IgG molecules in close proximity on the target cell. However, the binding of only one IgM molecule activates serum complement.

The ability of any particular antibody to mediate lysis of 25 the target cell by complement activation and/or AOCC can be assayed. The cells of interest are grown and labeled in vitro; the antibody is added to the cell culture in combination with either serum complement or immune cells which may be activated by the antigen antibody complexes. Cytolysis of 30 medical practice taking into account the disorder to be the target cells is detected by the release of label from the lysed cells. In fact, antibodies can be screened using the patient's own serum as a source of complement and/or immune cells. The antibody that is capable of activating complement or mediating ADCC in the in vitro test can then 35 the description of preparation of polypeptides for be used therapeutically in that particular patient.

This invention specifically encompasses consensus Fc antibody domains prepared and used according to the teachings of this invention.

Therapeutic and Other Uses of the Antibodies

When used in vivo for therapy, the antibodies of the subject invention are administered to the patient in therapeutically effective amounts (i.e. amounts that have desired therapeutic effect). They will normally be administered parenterally. The dose and dosage regimen will depend upon 45 the degree of the infection, the characteristics of the particular antibody or immunotoxin used, e.g., its therapeutic index, the patient, and the patient's history. Advantageously the antibody or immunotoxin is administered continuously over a period of 1-2 weeks, intravenously to treat cells in the 50 eny of the cultures to the public upon issuance of the vasculature and subcutaneously and intraperitoneally to treat regional lymph nodes. Optionally, the administration is made during the course of adjunct therapy such as combined cycles of radiation, chemotherapeutic treatment, or administration of tumor necrosis factor, interferon or other cyto- 55 to be entitled thereto according to 35 USC \$122 and the protective or immunomodulatory agent.

For parenteral administration the antibodies will be formulated in a unit dosage injectable form (solution, suspension, emulsion) in association with a pharmaceutically acceptable parenteral vehicle. Such vehicles are inher- 60 ently nontoxic, and non-therapeutic. Examples of such vehicles are water, saline, Ringer's solution, dextrose solution, and 5% human serum albumin. Nonaqueous vehicles such as fixed oils and ethyl oleate can also be used. Liposomes may be used as carriers. The vehicle may contain 65 minor amounts of additives such as substances that enhance isotonicity and chemical stability, e.g., buffers and preser-

vatives. The antibodies will typically be formulated in such vehicles at concentrations of about 1 mg/ml to 10 mg/ml.

Use of IgM antibodies may be preferred for certain applications, however IgG molecules by being smaller may be more able than IgM molecules to localize to certain types of infected cells.

There is evidence that complement activation in vivoleads to a variety of biological effects, including the induction of an inflammatory response and the activation of antibody constant domains are their incorporation in the 10 macrophages (Uananue and Benecerraf, Textbook of Immunology, 2nd Edition, Williams & Wilkins, p. 218 (1984)). The increased vasodilation accompanying inflammation may increase the ability of various agents to localize in infected cells. Therefore, antigen-antibody combinations humanized antibodies which incorporate IgG3 and IgG2a 15 of the type specified by this invention can be used therapeutically in many ways. Additionally, purified antigens (Hakomori, Ann. Rev. Immunol. 2:103 (1984)) or antiidiotypic antibodies (Nepom et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 81:2864 (1985); Koprowski et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 81:216 (1984)) relating to such antigens could be used to induce an active immune response in human patients. Such a response includes the formation of antibodies capable of activating human complement and mediating ADCC and by such mechanisms cause infected cell destruction.

> Optionally, the antibodies of this invention are useful in passively immunizing patients, as exemplified by the administration of humanized anti-HIV antibodies.

> The antibody compositions used in therapy are formulated and dosages established in a fashion consistent with good treated, the condition of the individual patient, the site of delivery of the composition, the method of administration and other factors known to practitioners. The antibody compositions are prepared for administration according to administration, infra.

Deposit of Materials

As described above, cultures of the muMAb4D5 have been deposited with the American Type Culture Collection, 40 10801 University Blvd., Mauassas, Va., USA (ATCC).

This deposit was made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty on the international Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purpose of Patent Procedure and the Regulations thereunder (Budapest Treaty). This assures maintenance of viable cultures for 30 years from the date of the deposit. The organisms will be made available by ATCC under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, and subject to an agreement between Genentech, Inc. and ATCC, which assures permanent and unrestricted availability of the progpertinent U.S. patent or upon laying open to the public of any U.S. or foreign patent application, whichever comes first, and assures' availability of the progeny to one determined by the U.S. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Commissioner's rules pursuant thereto (including 37 CFR \$1.12 with particular reference to 886 OG 638).

In respect of those designations in which a European patent is sought, a sample of the deposited microorganism will be made available until the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent or until the date on which the application has been refused or withdrawn or is deemed to be withdrawn, only by the issue of such a sample to an expert nominated by the person requesting the sample. (Rule 28(4) EPC)

The assignee of the present application has agreed that if the cultures on deposit should die or be lost or destroyed

-5

when cultivated under suitable conditions, they will be promptly replaced on notification with a viable specimen of the same culture. Availability of the deposited strain is not to be construed as a license to practice the invention in contravention of the rights granted under the authority of any government in accordance with its patent laws.

The foregoing written specification is considered to be sufficient to enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention. The present invention is not to be limited in scope by the constructs deposited, since the deposited embodi-10 ments are intended to illustrate only certain aspects of the invention and any constructs that are functionally equivalent are within the scope of this invention. The deposit of material herein does not constitute an admission that the written description herein contained is inadequate to enable 15 the practice of any aspect of the invention, including the best mode thereof, nor is it to be construed as limiting the scope of the claims to the specific illustrations that they represent. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those shown and described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description and fall within the scope of the appended claims.

It is understood that the application of the teachings of the present invention to a specific problem or situation will be within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art 25 in light of the teachings contained herein. Examples of the products of the present invention and representative processes for their isolation, use, and manufacture appear below, but should not be construed to limit the invention.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

Humanization of muMAb4D5

Here we report the chimerization of muMAb4D5 (chMAb4D5) and the rapid and simultaneous humanization of heavy (V_H) and light (V_L) chain variable region genes using a novel "gene conversion mutagenesis" strategy. Eight humanized variants (huMAb4D5) were constructed to probe the importance of several FR residues identified by our molecular modeling or previously proposed to be critical to the conformation of particular CDRs (see Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol. Biol. 196:901-917 (1987); Chothia, C. et al., Nature 342:877-883 (1989); Tramontano, A. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990)). Efficient transient expression of humanized variants in non-myeloma cells allowed us to rapidly investigate the relationship between binding affinity for p185HER2 ECD and anti-proliferative activity against p_{185}^{HER2} overexpressing carcinoma cells.

Materials and Methods

Cloning of Variable Region Genes. The muMAb4D5 V_H and V_L genes were isolated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of mRNA from the corresponding 55 hybridoma (Fendly, B. M. et al., Cancer Res. 50:1550-1558 (1990)) as described by Orlandi et al. (Orlandi, R. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3833-3837 (1989)). Amino terminal sequencing of muMAb4D5 V_L and V_H was used to design the sense strand PCR primers, whereas the anti-sense 60 ring the CDRs from the muMAb4D5 model into the con-PCR primers were based upon consensus sequences of murine framework residues (Orlandi, R. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3833-3837 (1989); Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) incorporating 65 restriction sites for directional cloning shown by underlining and listed after the sequences: V_L sense, 5'-TCC

GATATCCAGCTGACCCAGTCTCCA-3' (SEQ. ID NO. 7), EcoRV; V_L anti-sense, 5'-GTTTGATCTCCAGCTT GGTACCHSCDCCGAA-3' (SEQ. ID NO. 8), Asp718; V_H sense, 5'-AGGTSMARCTGCAGSAGTCWGG-3' (SEQ. ID NO. 9), PstI and V_H anti-sense, 5'-TGAGGAGAC GGTGACCGTGGTCCCTTGGCCCCAG-3' (SEQ. ID. NO. 10), BstEII; where H=A or C or T, S=C or G, D=A or G or T, M=A or C, R=A or G and W=A or T. The PCR products were cloned into pUC119 (Vieira, J. & Messing, J., Methods Enzymol. 153:3-11 (1987)) and five clones for each variable domain sequenced by the dideoxy method (Sanger, F. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467 (1977)).

Molecular Modelling. Models for muMAb4D5 V_H and V_L domains were constructed separately from consensus coordinates based upon seven Fab structures from the Brookhaven protein data bank (entries 1FB4, 2RHE, 2MCP, 3FAB, 1FBJ, 2HFL and 1REI). The Fab fragment KOL (Marquart, M. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 141:369-391 (1980)) was first chosen as a template for V_L and V_H domains and additional structures were then superimposed upon this 20 structure using their main chain atom coordinates (INSIGHT program, Siosym Technologies). The distance from the template $C\alpha$ to the analogous $C\alpha$ in each of the superimposed structures was calculated for each residue position. If all (or nearly all) $C\alpha$ — $C\alpha$ distances for a given residue were ≤ 1 Å, then that position was included in the consensus structure. In most cases the β -sheet framework residues satisfied these criteria whereas the CDR loops did not. For each of these selected residues the average coordinates for $_{30}$ individual N, C α , C, O and C β atoms were calculated and then corrected for resultant deviations from non-standard bond geometry by 50 cycles of energy minimization using the DISCOVER program (Biosym Technologies) with the AMBER forcefield (Weiner, S. J. et al., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 35 106:765–784 (1984)) and C α coordinates fixed. The side chains of highly conserved residues, such as the disulfidebridged cysteine residues, were then incorporated into the resultant consensus structure. Next the sequences of muMAb4D5 V_L and V_H were incorporated starting with the CDR residues and using the tabulations of CDR conforma-40 tions from Chothia et al. (Chothia, C. et al., Nature 342:877-883 (1989)) as a guide. Side-chain conformations were chosen on the basis of Fab crystal structures, rotamer libraries (Ponder, J. W. & Richards, F. M., J. Mol. Biol. 193:775-791 (1987)) and packing considerations. Since 45 V_H-COR3 could not be assigned a definite backbone conformation from these criteria, two models were created from a search of similar sized loops using the INSIGHT program. A third model was derived using packing and solvent exposure considerations. Each model was then subjected to 50 5000 cycles of energy minimization.

In humanizing muMAb4D5, consensus human sequences were first derived from the most abundant subclasses in the sequence compilation of Kabat et al. (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)), namely $V_L \kappa$ subgroup I and V_H group III, and a molecular model generated for these sequences using the methods described above. A structure for huMAb4D5 was created by transfersensus human structure. All huMAb4D5 variants contain human replacements of muMAb4D5 residues at three positions within CDRs as defined by sequence variability (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) but notas defined by structural variability (Chothia, C. & Lesk, A. M., J. Mol. Biol. 196:901-917 (1987)):

V_L-CDR1 K24R, V_L-CDR2 R54L and V_L-CDR2 T56S. Differences between muMAb4D5 and the human consensus framework residues (FIG. 1) were individually modeled to investigate their possible influence on CDR conformation and/or binding to the p185^{HER2} ECD.

Construction of Chimeric Genes. Genes encoding chMAb4D5 light and heavy chains were separately assembled in previously described phagemid vectors containing ihe human cytomegalovirus enhancer and promoter, et al., DNA & Prot. Engin. Tech. 2:3-10 (1990)). Briefly, gene segments encoding muMAb4D5 V_L (FIG. 1A) and REI human κ_1 light chain C_L (Palm, W. & Hilschmann, N., Z. Physiol. Chem. 356:167-191 (1975)) were precisely joined as were genes for muMAb4D5 V_H (FIG. 1B) and human $\gamma 1$ constant region (Capon, D. J. et al., Nature 337:525-531 (1989)) by simple subcloning (Boyle, A., in Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Chapter 3 (F. A. Ausubel et al., eds., Greene Publishing & Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1990)) and site-directed mutagenesis (Carter, P., in Mutagenesis: A Practical Approach, Chapter 1 (IRL Press, Oxford, UK 1991)). The γ 1 isotype was chosen as it has been found to be the preferred human isotype for supporting ADCC and complement dependent cytotoxicity using matched sets of chimeric (Brüggemann, M. et al., J. Exp. 25 Med. 166:1351-1361 (1987)) or humanized antibodies (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988)). The PCR-generated V_L and V_H fragments (FIG. 1) were subsequently mutagenized so that they faithfully represent the sequence of muMAb4D5 determined at the protein level: V_{H} 30 Q1E, $V_L V_{104}L$ and T109A (variants are denoted by the amino acid residue and number followed by the replacement amino acid). The human y1 constant regions are identical to those reported by Ellison et al. (Ellison, J. W. et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 13:4071–4079 (1982)) except for the mutations 35 E359D and M361L (Eu numbering, as in Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) which we installed to convert the antibody from the naturally rare A allotype to the much more common non-A allotype 40 (Tramontano, A. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990)). This was an attempt to reduce the risk of anti-allotype antibodies interfering with therapy.

Construction of Humanized Genes. Genes encoding chMAb4D5 light chain and heavy chain Fd fragment (V_H 45 and $C_H 1$ domains) were subcloned together into pUC119 (Vieira, J. & Messing, J., Methods Enzymol. 153:3-11 (1987)) to create pAK1 and simultaneously humanized in a single step (FIG. 2). Briefly, sets of 6 contiguous oligonucleotides were designed to humanize V_H and V_L (FIG. 1). 50 These oligonucleotides are 28 to 83 nucleotides in length, contain zero to 19 mismatches to the murine antibody template and are constrained to have 8 or 9 perfectly matched residues at each end to promote efficient annealing and ligation of adjacent oligonucleotides. The sets of V_{H} and 55 V_L humanization oligonucleotides (5 pmol each) were phosphorylated with either ATP or y-32P-ATP (Carter, P. Methods Enzymol. 154: 382-403 (1987)) and separately annealed with 3.7 pmol of pAK1 template in 40 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 10 mM MgCl₂ by cooling from 100° C. to 60 room temperature over ~30 min. The annealed oligonucleotides were joined by incubation with T4 DNA ligase (12 units; New England Biolabs) in the presence of 2 μ l 5 mM ATP and 2 µl 0.1 M DTT for 10 min at 14° C. After electrophoresis on a 6% acrylamide sequencing gel the 65 assembled oligonucleotides were located by autoradiography and recovered by electroelution. The assembled oligo-

nucleotides (~0.3 pmol each) were simultaneously annealed to 0.15 pmol single-stranded deoxyuridine-containing pAK1 prepared according to Kunkel et al. (Kunkel, T. A. et al., Methods Enzymol. 154:367-382 (1987)) in 10 µl 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 16 mM MgCl₂ as above. Heterodu-5 plex DNA was constructed by extending the primers with T7 DNA polymerase and transformed into E. coli BMH 71-18 mutL as previously described (Carter, P., in Mutagenesis: A Practical Approach, Chapter 1 (IRL Press, Oxford, UK a 5' intron and SV40 polyadenylation signal (Gorman, C. M. 10 1991)). The resultant phagemid DNA pool was enriched first for huV_L by restriction purification using XhoI and then for huV_H by restriction selection using StuI as described in Carter, P., in Mutagenesis: A Practical Approach, Chapter 1 (IRL Press, Oxford, UK 1991); and in Wells, J. A. et al., Phil. 15 Trans. R. Soc. Lond., A 317:415-423 (1986). Resultant clones containing both huV_L and huV_H genes were identified by nucleotide sequencing (Sanger, F. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467 (1977)) and designated pAK2. Additional humanized variants were generated by 20 site-directed mutagenesis (Carter, P., in Mutagenesis: A Practical Approach, Chapter 1 (IRL Press, Oxford, UK 1991)). The muMAb4D5 V_L and V_H gene segments in the transient expression vectors described above were then precisely replaced with their humanized versions.

> Expression and Purification of MAb4D5 Variants. Appropriate MAb4D5 light and heavy chain cDNA expression vectors were co-transfected into an adenovirus transformed human embryonic kidney cell line, 293 (Graham, F. L. et al., J. Gen. Virol. 36:59-72 (1977)) using a high efficiency procedure (Gorman, C. M. et al., DNA & Prot. Engin. Tech. 2:3-10 (1990); Gorman, C., in DNA Cloning, vol II, pp 143-190 (D. M. Glover, ed., IRL Press, Oxford, UK 1985)). Media were harvested daily for up to 5 days and the cells re-fed with serum free media. Antibodies were recovered from the media and affinity purified on protein A sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia) as described by the manufacturer. The eluted antibody was buffer-exchanged into phosphatebuffered saline by G25 gel filtration, concentrated by ultrafiltration (Centriprep-30 or Centricon-100, Amicon), sterilefiltered (Millex-GV, Millipore) and stored at 4° C. The concentration of antibody was determined by using both total immunoglobulin and antigen binding ELISAs. The standard used was huMAb4D5-5, whose concentration had been determined by amino acid composition analysis.

Cell Proliferation Assay. The effect of MAb4D5 variants upon proliferation of the human mammary adenocarcinoma cell line, SK-BR-3, was investigated as previously described (Fendly, B. M. et al., Cancer Res. 50:1550-1558 (1990)) using saturating MAb4D5 concentrations.

Affinity Measurements. The antigen binding affinity of MAb4D5 variants was determined using a secreted form of the p185^{HER2} ECD prepared as described in Fendly, B. M. et al., J. Biol. Resp. Mod. 9:449–455 (1990). Briefly, anti-body and p185^{HER2} ECD were incubated in solution until equilibrium was found to be reached. The concentration of free antibody was then determined by ELISA using immobilized p185^{HER2} ECD and used to calculate affinity (K_d) according to Friguet et al. (Friguet, B. et al., J. Immunol. Methods 77:305-319 (1985)).

Results

Humanization of muMAb4D5. The muMAb4D5 V_L and V_H gene segments were first cloned by PCR and sequenced (FIG. 1). The variable genes were then simultaneously humanized by gene conversion mutagenesis using preassembled oligonucleotides (FIG. 2). A 311-mer oligonucleotide containing 39 mismatches to the template directed 24 simultaneous amino acid changes required to humanize muMAb4D5 V_L . Humanization of muMAb4D5 V_H required 32 amino acid changes which were installed with a 361-mer containing 59 mismatches to the muMAb4D5 template. Two out of 8 clones sequenced precisely encode huMAb4D5-5, although one of these clones contained a single nucleotide imperfection. The 6 other clones were essentially humanized but contained a small number of errors: <3 nucleotide changes and <1 single nucleotide deletion per kilobase. Additional humanized variants (Table 3) were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis of huMAb4D5-5.

Expression levels of huMAb4D5 variants were in the range of 7 to 15 μ g/ml as judged by ELISA using immobilized p185^{*HER2*} ECD. Successive harvests of five 10 cm plates allowed 200 μ g to 500 mg of each variant to be produced in a week. Antibodies affinity purified on protein A gave a single band on a Coomassie blue stained SDS polyacrylamide gel of mobility consistent with the expected M_r of ~150 kDa. Electrophoresis under reducing conditions gave 2 bands consistent with the expected M_r of free heavy (48 kDa) and light (23 kDa) chains (not shown). Amino terminal sequence analysis (10-cycles) gave the mixed sequence expected (see FIG. 1) from an equimolar combination of light and heavy chains (not shown).

huMAb4D5 Variants. In general, the FR residues were chosen from consensus human sequences (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1987)) and CDR residues from muMAb4D5. Additional variants were 30 constructed by replacing selected human residues in huMAb4D5-1 with their muMAb4D5 counterparts. These are V_H residues 71, 73, 78, 93 plus 102 and V_L residues 55 plus 66 identified by our molecular modeling. V_H residue 71 has previously been proposed by others (Tramontano, A. et 35 al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:175-182 (1990)) to be critical to the conformation of V_H-CDR2. Amino acid sequence differences between huMAb4D5 variant molecules are shown in Table 3, together with their p185^{HER2} ECD binding affinity and maximal anti-proliferative activities against SK-BR-3 cells. Very similar K_d values were obtained for binding of MAb4D5 variants to either SK-BR-3 cells or to p185^{HER2} ECD (Table 3). However, K_d estimates derived from binding of MAb4D5 variants to p185^{HER2} ECD were more reproducible with smaller standard errors and consumed much smaller quantities of antibody than binding measurements with whole cells.

The most potent humanized variant designed by molecular modeling, huMAb4D5-8, contains 5 FR residues from muMAb4D5. This antibody binds the $p185^{HER2}$ ECD 3-fold 50 more tightly than does muMAb4D5 itself (Table 3) and has comparable anti-proliferative activity with SK-BR-3 cells (FIG. 3). In contrast, huMAb4D5-1 is the most humanized but least potent muMAb4D5 variant, created by simply installing the muMAb4D5 CDRs into the consensus human 55 sequences. huMAb4D5-1 binds the $p185^{HER2}$ ECD 80-fold less tightly than does the murine antibody and has no detectable anti-proliferative activity at the highest antibody concentration investigated (16 μ g/ml).

The anti-proliferative activity of huMAb4D5 variants 60 against p185^{*HER2*} overexpressing SK-BR-3 cells is not simply correlated with their binding affinity for the p185^{*HER2*} ECD. For example, installation of three murine residues into the V_H domain of huMAb4D5-2 (D73T, L78A and A93S) to create huMAb4D5-3 does not change the antigen binding 65 affinity but does confer significant anti-proliferative activity (Table 3).

The importance of V_H residue 71 (Tramontano, A. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:175–182 (1990)) is supported by the observed 5-fold increase in affinity for $p185^{HER2}$ ECD on replacement of R71 in huMAb4D5-1 with the corresponding 5 murine residue, alanine (huMAb4D5-2). In contrast, replacing V_H L78 in huMAb4D5-4 with the murine residue, alanine (huMAb4D5-5), does not significantly change the affinity for the $p185^{HER2}$ ECD or change anti-proliferative activity, suggesting that residue 78 is not of critical func-10 tional significance to huMAb4D5 and its ability to interact properly with the extracellular domain of $p185^{HER2}$.

V_L residue 66 is usually a glycine in human and murine κ chain sequences (Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest (National Institutes of Health, 15 Bethesda, Md., 1987)) but an arginine occupies this position in the muMAb4D5 κ light chain. The side chain of residue 66 is likely to affect the conformation of V_L-CDR1 and V_L-CDR2 and the hairpin turn at 68–69 (FIG. 4). Consistent with the importance of this residue, the mutation V_L G66R
20 (huMAb4D5-3→huMAb4D5-5) increases the affinity for the p185^{HER2} ECD by 4-fold with a concomitant increase in anti-proliferative activity.

From molecular modeling it appears that the tyrosyl side chain of muMAb4D5 V_L residue 55 may either stabilize the conformation of V_H-CDR3 or provide an interaction at the V_L-V_H interface. The latter function may be dependent upon the presence of V_H Y102. In the context of huMAb4D5-5 the mutations V_L E55Y (huMAb4D5-6) and V_H V102Y (huMAb4D5-7) individually increase the affinity for p185^{HER2} ECD by 5-fold and 2-fold respectively, whereas together (huMAb4D5-8) they increase the affinity by 11-fold. This is consistent with either proposed role of V_L Y55 and V_H Y102.

Secondary Immune Function of huMAb4D5-8. MuMAb4D5 inhibits the growth of human breast tumor cells which overexpress p185^{*HER2*} (Hudziak, R. M. et al., *Molec. Cell. Biol.* 9:1165–1172 (1989)). The antibody, however, does not offer the possibility of direct tumor cytotoxic effects. This possibility does arise in huMAb4D5-8 as a result of its high affinity (Kd_d=0.1 μ M) and its human IgG₁ subtype. Table 4 compares the ADCC mediated by huMAb4D5-8 with muMAb4D5 on a normal lung epithelial cell line, WI-38, which expresses a low level of p185^{*HER2*} and on SK-BR-3, which expresses a high level of p185^{*HER2*}. The results demonstrate that: (1) huMAb4D5 has a greatly enhanced ability to carry out ADCC as compared with its murine parent; and (2) that this activity may be selective for cell types which overexpress p185^{*HER2*}.

Discussion

MuMAb4D5 is potentially useful for human therapy since it is cytostatic towards human breast and ovarian tumor lines overexpressing the HER2-encoded p185^{HER2} receptor-like tyrosine kinase. Since both breast and ovarian carcinomas are chronic diseases it is anticipated that the optimal MAb4D5 variant molecule for therapy will have low immunogenicity and will be cytotoxic rather than solely cytostatic in effect. Humanization of muMAb4D5 should accomplish these goals. We have identified 5 different huMAb4D5 variants which bind tightly to p185^{*HER2*} ECD ($K_d \le 1$ nM) and which have significant anti-proliferative activity (Table 3). Furthermore huMAb4D5-8 but not muMAb4D5 mediates ADCC against human tumor cell lines overexpressing $p185^{HER2}$ in the presence of human effector cells (Table 4) as anticipated for a human y1 isotype (Brcuggemann, M. et al., J. Exp. Med. 166:1351-1361 (1987); Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988)).

Rapid humanization of huMAb4D5 was facilitated by the gene conversion mutagenesis strategy developed here using long preassembled oligonucleotides. This method requires less than half the amount of synthetic DNA as does total gene synthesis and does not require convenient restriction 5 sites in the target DNA. Our method appears to be simpler

direct cytotoxic activity of the humanized molecule in the presence of human effector cells. The apparent selectivity of the cytotoxic activity for cell types which overexpress p185^{HER2} allows for the evolution of a straightforward clinic approach to those human cancers characterized by overexpression of the HER2 protooncogene.

TABLE 3

| p185 ^{HER2} ECD binding affinity and anti-proliferative activities of MAb4D5 variants | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | V | H Resid | lue* | | V _L Res | idue* | - | | | | | |
| MAb4D5 cell Variant proliferation [‡] | 71 FR3 | 73 FR3 | 78 FR3 | 93 FR3 | 102 CDR3 | 55 CDR2 | 56 FR3 | ${K_d}^{\uparrow}$ nM | Relative | | | | |
| uMAb4D5-1 | R | D | L | А | v | Е | G | 25 | 102 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-2 | Ala | D | L | Α | V | E | G | 4.7 | 101 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-3 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Ser | V | Е | G | 4.4 | 66 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-4 | Ala | Thr | L | Ser | V | E | Arg | 0.82 | 56 | | | | |
| uMAb4D5-5 | Ala | Thre | Ala | Ser | V | E | Arg | 1.1 | 48 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-6 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Ser | V | Tyr | Arg | 0.22 | 51 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-7 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Ser | Tyr | Ē | Arg | 0.62 | 53 | | | | |
| nuMAb4D5-8 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Ser | Tyr | Tyr | Arg | 0.10 | 54 | | | | |
| muMAb4D5 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Ser | Tyr | Tyr | Arg | 0.30 | 37 | | | | |

*Human and murine residues are shown in one letter and three letter amino acid code respectively. ${}^{\uparrow}K_{d}$ values for the p185^{HER2} ECD were determined using the method of Friguet et al. (43) and the standard error of each estimate is $\leq \pm 10\%$.

[‡]Proliferation of SK-BR-3 cells incubated for 96 hr with MAb4D5 variants shown as a percentage of the untreated control as described (Hudziak, R. M. et al., Molec. Cell. Biol. 9: 1165-1172 (1989)). Data represent the maximal anti-proliferative effect for each variant (see FIG. 3A) calculated as the mean of triplicate determinations at a MAb4D5 concentration of 8 µg/ml. Data are all taken from the same experiment with an estimated standard error of $\leq \pm 15\%$.

> ~

and more reliable than a variant protocol recently reported (Rostapshov, V. M. et al., FEBS Lett. 249: 379-382 (1989)). Transient expression of huMAb4D5 in human embryonic kidney 293 cells permitted the isolation of a few hundred micrograms of huMAb4D5 variants for rapid characterization by growth inhibition and antigen binding affinity assays. Furthermore, different combinations of light and heavy chain were readily tested by co-transfection of corresponding cDNA expression vectors.

The crucial role of molecular modeling in the humanization of muMAb4D5 is illustrated by the designed variant huMAb4D5-8 which binds the p185HER2 ECD 250-fold more tightly than the simple CDR loop swap variant, huMAb4D5-1. It has previously been shown that the antigen binding affinity of a humanized antibody can be increased by mutagenesis based upon molecular modelling (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332:323-327 (1988); Queen, C. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:10029-10033 (1989)). Here we have extended this earlier work by others with a designed humanized antibody which binds its antigen 3-fold more tightly than the parent rodent antibody. While this result is gratifying, assessment of the success of the molecular modeling must await the outcome of X-ray structure determination. From analysis of huMAb4D5 variants (Table 3) it is 55 apparent that their anti-proliferative activity is not a simple function of their binding affinity for $p185^{HER2}$ ECD. For example the huMAb4D5-8 variant binds p185HER2 3-fold more tightly than muMAb4D5 but the humanized variant is slightly less potent in blocking the proliferation of SK-SR-3 cells. Additional huMAb4D5 variants are currently being constructed in an attempt to identify residues triggering the anti-proliferative activity and in an attempt to enhance this activity.

In addition to retaining tight receptor binding and the 65 ability to inhibit cell growth, the huMAb4D5-8 also confers a secondary immune function (ADCC). This allows for

TABLE 4

| 35 | Se | mediated by huMAb4D5-8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Effect- tor:Target | W | [-38* | SK | -BR-3 | | | | | | | | |
| | ratio† | muMAb4D5 | huMAb4D5-8 | muMAb4D5 | huMAb4D5-8 | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | A.‡ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25:1 12.5:1 6.25:1 | <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 | 9.3 11.1 8.9 | 7.5 4.7 0.9 | 40.6 36.8 35.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | <u>B.</u> | <1.0 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 19.6 | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | 25:1 12.5:1 6.25:1 3.13:1 | <1.0 <1.0 1.3 <1.0 | 3.1 1.7 2.2 0.8 | 6.1 5.5 2.0 2.4 | 33.4 26.2 21.0 13.4 | | | | | | | | |

*Sensitivity to ADCC of two human cell lines (WI-38, normal lung epithelium; and SK-BR-3, human breast tumor cell line) are compared. WI-38 expresses a low level of p185^{HER2} (0.6 pg per μ g cell protein) and SK-BR-3 expresses a high level of p185^{HER2} (64 pg p185^{HER2} per μ g cell protein), as determined by ELISA (Fendly et al., J. Biol. Resp. Mod. 9:449-455 (1990)).

ADCC assays were carried out as described in Bruggemann et al., J. Exp. Med. 166:1351-1361 (1987). Effector to target ratios were of IL-2 activated human peripheral blood lymphocytes to either WI-38 fibroblasts or SK-BR-3 tumor cells in 96-well microtiter plates for 4 hours at 37° C. Values given represent percent specific cell lysis as determined by ⁵¹Cr release. Estimated standard error in these quadruplicate determinations was $\leq \pm 10\%$. *Monoclonal antibody concentrations used were 0.1 µg/ml (A) and 0.1

 $\mu g/ml$ (B).

Example 2

Schematic Method for Humanizing an Antibody Sequence

This example illustrates one stepwise elaboration of the methods for creating a humanized sequence described

60

above. It will be understood that not all of these steps are essential to the claimed invention, and that steps may be taken in different order.

- 1. ascertain a consensus human variable domain amino acid sequence and prepare from it a consensus structural ₅ model.
- prepare model of import (the non-human domain to be humanized) variable domain sequences and note structural differences with respect to consensus human model.
- 3. identify CDR sequences in human and in import, both by 10 using Kabat (supra, 1987) and crystal structure criteria. If there is any difference in CDR identity from the different criteria, use of crystal structure definition of the CDR, but retain the Kabat residues as important framework residues to import. 15
- 4. substitute import CDR sequences for human CDR sequences to obtain initial "humanized" sequence.
- 5. compare import non-CDR variable domain sequence to the humanized sequence and note divergences.
- 6. Proceed through the following analysis for each amino 20 acid residue where the import diverges from the human-ized.
 - a. If the humanized residue represents a residue which is generally highly conserved across all species, use the residue in the humanized sequence. If the residue is not 25 conserved across all species, proceed with the analysis described in 6b.
 - b. If the residue is not generally conserved across all species, ask if the residue is generally conserved in humans. 30
 - i. If the residue is generally conserved in humans but the import residue differs, examine the structural models of the import and human sequences and determine if the import residue would be likely to affect the binding or biological activity of the CDRs 35 by considering 1) could it bind antigen directly and 2) could it affect the conformation of the CDR. If the conclusion is that an affect on the CDRs is likely, substitute the import residue. If the conclusion is that a CDR affect is unlikely, leave the humanized resi-40 due unchanged.
 - ii. If the residue is also not generally conserved in humans, examine the structural models of the import and human sequences and determine if the import residue would be likely to affect the binding or 45 biological activity of the CDRs be considering 1) could it bind antigen directly and 2) could it affect the conformation of the CDR. If the conclusion is that an affect on the CDRs is likely, substitute the import residue. If the conclusion is that a CDR affect 50 is unlikely, proceed to the next step.
 - a) Examine the structural models of the import and human sequences and determine if the residue is exposed on the surface of the domain or is buried within. If the residue is exposed, use the residue in 55 the humanized sequence. If the residue is buried, proceed to the next step.
 - (i) Examine the structural models of the import and human sequences and determine if the residue is likely to affect the $V_L - V_H$ interface. 60 Residues involved with the interface include: 34L, 36L, 38L, 43L, 33L, 36L, 85L, 87L, 89L, 91L, 96L, 98L, 35H, 37H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 47H, 60H, 91H, 93H, 95H, 100H, and 103H. If no effect is likely, use the residue in the humanized sequence. If some affect is likely, substitute the import residue.

- 7. Search the import sequence, the consensus sequence and the humanized sequence for glycosylation sites outside the CDRs, and determine if this glycosylation site is likely to have any affect on antigen binding and/or biological activity. If no effect is likely, use the human sequence at that site; if some affect is likely, eliminate the glycosylation site or use the import sequence at that site.
- 8. After completing the above analysis, determine the planned humanized sequence and prepare and test a sample. If the sample does not bind well to the target antigen, examine the particular residues listed below, regardless of the question of residue identity between the import and humanized residues.
 - a. Examine particular peripheral (non-CDR) variable domain residues that may, due to their position, possibly interact directly with a macromolecular antigen, including the following residues (where the * indicates residues which have been found to interact with antigen based on crystal structures):
 - i. Variable light domain: 36, 46, 49⁻, 63-70
 - ii. Variable heavy domain: 2, 47⁻, 68, 70, 73-76.
 - b. Examine particular variable domain residues which could interact with, or otherwise affect, the conformation of variable domain CDRs, including the following (not including CDR residues themselves, since it is assumed that, because the CDRs interact with one another, any residue in one CDR could potentially affect the conformation of another CDR residue) (L=LIGHT, H=HEAVY, residues appearing in bold are indicated to be structurally important according the Chothia et al., Nature 342:877 (1989), and residues appearing in italic were altered during humanization by Queen et al. (PDL), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:10029 (1989) and Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2869 (1991).):
 - i. Variable light domain:
 - a) CDR-1 (residues 24L–34L): 2L, 4L, 66L-69L, 71L
 - b) CDR-2 (residues 50L–56L): 35L, 46L, 47L, 48L, 49L, 58L, 62L, 64L–66L, 71L, 73L
 - c) CDR-3 (residues 89L–97L): 2L, 4L, 36L, 98L, 37H, 45H, 47H, 58H, 60H
 - ii. Variable heavy domain:
 - a) CDR-1 (residues 26H–35H): 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 71H, 73H, 76H, 78H, 92H, 94H
 - b) CDR-2 (residues 50H-55H): 49H, 69H, 69H, 71H, 73H, 78H
 - c) CDR-3 (residues 95H-102H): examine all residues as possible interaction partners with this loop, because this loop varies in size and conformation much more than the other CDRs.
- 9. If after step 8 the humanized variable domain still is lacking in desired binding, repeat step 8. In addition, re-investigate any buried residues which might affect the V_L-V_H interface (but which would not directly affect CDR conformation). Additionally, evaluate the accessibility of non-CDR residues to solvent.

Example 3

Engineering a Humanized Bisnecific F(ab')₂ Fragment

This example demonstrates the construction of a humanized bispecific antibody $(BsF(ab')_2v1 by separate$ *E. coli*expression of each Fab' arm followed by directed chemical $coupling in vitro. BsF(ab')_2v1 (anti-CD3/anti-p185^{$ *HER2*}) was demonstrated to retarget the cytotoxic activity of human

CD3⁺CTL in vitro against the human breast tumor cell line, SK-BR-3, which overexpresses the p185HER2 product of the protooncogene HER2. This example demonstrates the minimalistic humanization strategy of installing as few murine residues as possible into a human antibody in order to recruit antigen-binding affinity and biological properties comparable to that of the murine parent antibody. This strategy proved very successful for the anti-p185HER2 arm of BsF (ab')₂v1. In contrast BsF(ab')₂ v1 binds to T cells via its anti-CD3 arm much less efficiently than does the chimeric BsF(ab'), which contains the variable domains of the murine parent anti-CD3 antibody. Here we have constructed additional BsF(ab')₂ fragments containing variant anti-CD3 arms with selected murine residues restored in an attempt to improve antibody binding to T cells. One such variant, Ss F(ab')₂v9, was created by replacing six residues in the second hypervariable loop of the anti-CD3 heavy chain variable domain of BsF(ab')₂v1 with their counterparts from the murine parent anti-CD3 antibody. BsF(ab')₂v9 binds to T cells (Jurkat) much more efficiently than does BsF(ab')₂v1 and almost as efficiently as the chimeric BsF(ab')₂. This improvement in the efficiency of T cell binding of the humanized BsF(ab')₂ is an important step in its development as a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of $p185^{HER2}$ -overexpressing cancers.

Bispecific antibodies (BsAbs) with specificities for tumor-associated antigens and surface markers on immune effector cells have proved effective for retargeting effector cells to kill tumor targets both in vitro and in vivo (reviewed by Fanger, M. W. et al., Immunol. Today 10: 92-99 (1989); 30 Fanger, M. W. et al., Immunol. Today 12: 51-54 (1991); and Nelson, H., Cancer Cells 3: 163–172 (1991)). BsF(ab'), fragments have often been used in preference to intact BsAbs in retargeted cellular cytotoxicity to avoid the risk of the antibody. An additional advantage of BsF(ab')2 over intact BsAbs is that they are generally much simpler to prepare free of contaminating monospecific molecules (reviewed by Songsivilai, S. and Lachmann, P. J., Clin. Exp. Immunol. 79: 315-321 (1990) and Nolan, O. and O'Kennedy, R., Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1040: 1-11 (1990)).

BsF(ab')₂ fragments are traditionally constructed by directed chemical coupling of Fab' fragments obtained by limited proteolysis plus mild reduction of the parent rodent monoclonal Ab (Brennan, M. et al., Science 229, 81-83 45 (1985) and Glennie, M. J. et al., J. Immunol. 139: 2367–2375 (1987)). One such BsF(ab')₂ fragment (antiglioma associated antigen/anti-CD3) was found to have clinical efficacy in glioma patients (Nitta, T. et al., Lancet 335: 368-371 (1990) and another BsF(ab')₂ (anti-indium 50 chelate/anti-carcinoembryonic antigen) allowed clinical imaging of colorectal carcinoma (Stickney, D. R. et al., Antibody, Immunoconj. Radiopharm. 2: 1-13 (1989)). Future SsF(ab')₂ destined for clinical applications are likely to be constructed from antibodies which are either human or 55 Oliconucleotides HX11, HX12 and HX13 each remove a at least "humanized" (Riechmann, L. et al., Nature 332: 323-327 (1988) to reduce their immunogenicity (Hale, G. et al., Lancet i: 1394–1399 (1988)).

Recently a facile route to a fully humanized BsF(ab')₂ fragment designed for tumor immunotherapy has been demonstrated (Shalaby, M. R. et al., J. Exp. Med. 175: 217-225 (1992)). This approach involves separate E. coli expression of each Fab' arm followed by traditional directed chemical coupling in vitro to form the BsF(ab')₂. One arm of the BsF(ab')₂ was a humanized version (Carter, P. et al., Proc. 65 Natl. Aced. Sci. USA (1992a) and Carter, P., et al., Bio/ Technology 10: 163–167 (1992b)) of the murine monoclonal

Ab 4D5 which is directed against the p185HER2 product of the protooncogene HER2 (c-erbB-2) (Fendly, B. M. et al. Cancer Res. 50: 1550-1558 (1989)). The humanization of the antibody 4D5 is shown in Example 1 of this application. The second arm was a minimalistically humanized anti-CD3 antibody (Shalaby et al. supra) which was created by installing the CDR loops from the variable domains of the murine parent monoclonal Ab UCHT1 (Beverley, P. C. L. and Callard, R. E., Eur. J. Immunol. 11: 329-334 (1981)) into the humanized anti-p185^{*HER2*} antibody. The BsF(ab')₂ fragment 10 containing the most potent humanized anti-CD3 variant (v1) was demonstrated by flow cytometry to bind specifically to a tumor target overexpressing p185HER2 and to human peripheral blood mononuclear cells carrying CD3. In addition, BsF(ab')₂v1 enhanced the cytotoxic effects of 15 activated human CTL 4-fold against SK-SR-3 tumor cells overexpressing p185^{HER2}. The example descries efforts to improve the antigen binding affinity of the humanized anti-CD3 arm by the judicious recruitment of a small number of additional murine residues into the minimalisti-20 cally humanized anti-CD3 variable domains.

Materials and Methods

Construction of Mutations in the Anti-CD3 Variable Region Genes

The construction of genes encoding humanized anti-CD3 variant 1 (v1) variable light (V_1) and heavy (V_H) chain domains in phagemid pUC119 has been described (Shalaby et al. supra). Additional anti-CD3 variants were generated using an efficient site-directed mutagenesis method (Carter, P., Mutagenesis: a practical approach, (M. J. McPherson, Ed.), Chapter 1, IRL Press, Oxford, UK (1991)) using mismatched oligonucleotides which either install or remove unique restriction sites. Oligonucleotides used are listed below using lowercase to indicate the targeted mutations. killing innocent bystander cells binding to the Fc region of 35 Corresponding coding changes are denoted by the starting amino acid in one letter code followed by the residue numbered according to Kabat, E. A. et al., Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, 5th edition, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., USA (1991), then the 40 replacement amino acid and finally the identity of the anti-CD3 variant:

> HX11, 5' GTAGATAAATCCtctAACACAGC-CTAtCTGCAAATG 3' (SEQ.ID. NO. 11) V_HK75S, v6;

> HX12, 5' GTAGATAAATCCAAAtctACAGC-CTAtCTGCAAATG 3' (SEQ.ID. NO. 12) V_H N76S, v7;

- HX13, 5' GTAGATAAATCCtcttctACAGC-CTAtCTGCAAATG 3' (SEQ.ID. NO. 13) V_H K75S:N76S, v8;
- X14, 5' CTTATAAAGGTGTTtCcACCTATaaCcAgAaatTCAAGGatCGTTTCACgATAtc-
- CGTAGATAAATCC 3' (SEO.ID.NO. 14) V_H T57S:A60N:D61O:S62K:V63F:G65D, v9;
- LX6, 5' CTATACCTCCCGTCTgcatTCTGGAGTCCC 3' (SEQ.ID. NO. 15) V_L E55H, v11.
- site for BspMI, whereas LX6 removes a site for XhoI and HX14 installs a site for EcoRV (bold). Anti-CD3 variant v10 was constructed from v9 by site-directed mutagenesis using oligonucleotide HX13. Mutants were verified by dideoxy-60 nucleotide sequencing (Sanger, F. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. USA 74: 5463-5467 (1977)).

E. coli Expression of Fab' Fragments

The expression plasmid, pAK19, for the co-secretion of light chain and heavy chain Fd' fragment of the most preferred humanized anti-p185^{HER2} variant, HuMAb4D5-8, is described in Carter et al., 1992b, supre. Briefly, the Fab' expression unit is bicistronic with both chains under the

transcriptional control of the ohoA promoter. Genes encoding humanized V_L and V_H domains are precisely fused on their 5' side to a gene segment encoding the heat-stable enterotoxin II signal sequence and on their 3' side to human $k_1 C_L$ and IgG1C_H1 constant domain genes, respectively. The C_H gene is immediately followed by a sequence encoding the hinge sequence CysAlaAla and followed by a bacteriophage λ t_a transcriptional terminator. Fab' expression plasmids for chimeric and humanized anti-CD3 variants (v1 to v4, Shalaby et al., supra; v6 to v12, this study) were created from pAK19 by precisely replacing anti-p185^{HER2} V_L and V_H gene segments with those encoding murine and corresponding humanized variants of the anti-CD3 antibody, respectively, by sub-cloning and site-directed mutagenesis. The Fab' expression plasmid for the most potent humanized anti-CD3 variant identified in this study (v9) is designated pAK22. The anti-p185HER2 Fab' fragment was secreted from E. coli K12 strain 25F2 containing plasmid pAK19 grown for 32 to 40 hr at 37° C. in an aerated 10 liter fermentor. The final cell density was 120-150 OD₅₅₀ and the titer of soluble and functional anti-p185HER2 Fab' was 1-2 g/liter as judged by antigen binding ELISA (Carter et al., 1992b, suora). Anti-CD3 Fab' variants were secreted from E. coli containing corresponding expression plasmids using very similar fermentation protocols. The highest expression titers of chimeric and humanized anti-CD3 variants were 200 mgaliter and 700 mgaliter, respectively, as judged by total immunoglobulin ELISA.

Construction of BsF(ab')₂ Fragments

Fab' fragments were directly recovered from E. coli fermentation pastes in the free thiol form (Fab'-SH) by affinity purification on Streptococcal protein G at pH 5 in the presence of EDTA (Carter et al., 1992b supra). Thioether linked BsF(ab'), fragments (anti-p185^{HER2}/anti-CD3) were 35 constructed by the procedure of Glennie et al. supra with the following modifications. Anti-p185^{HER2} Fab'-SH in 100 mM Tris acetate, 5 mM EDTA (pH 5.0) was reacted with 0.1 vol of 40 mM N,N'-1,2-phenylenedimalemide (o-PDM) in dimethyl formamide for ~1.5 hr at 20° C. Excess o-PDM was removed by protein G purification of the Fab' maleimide derivative (Fab'-mal) followed by buffer exchange into 20 mM sodium acetate, 5 mM EDTA (pH 5.3) (coupling buffer) using centriprep-30 concentrators (Amicon). The total concentration of Fab' variants was estimated from the measured 45 absorbance at 280 nm (HuMAb4D5-8 Fab' e^{0.1}%=1.56, Carter et al., 1992b, supra). The free thiol content of Fab' preparations was estimated by reaction with 5,5' -dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) as described by Creighton, T. E., Protein structure: a practical approach, (T. E. Creighton, 50 Ed.), Chapter 7, IRL Press, Oxford, UK (1990). Equimolar amounts of anti-p185^{HER2} Fab'-mal (assuming quantitative reaction of Fab'-SH with o-PDM) and each anti-CD3 Fab'-SH variant were coupled together at a combined concentration of 1 to 2.5 mg/ml in the coupling buffer for 14 to 48 hr 55 supra) and the other either a chimeric or humanized variant at 4° C. The coupling reaction was adjusted to 4 mM cysteine at pH 7.0 and incubated for 15 min at 20 ° C. to reduce any unwanted disulfide-linked F(ab'), formed. These reduction conditions are sufficient to reduce inter-heavy chain disulfide bonds with virtually no reduction of the 60 Fab' by size exclusion chromatography as shown for a disulfide between light and heavy chains. Any free thiols generated were then blocked with 50 mM iodoacetamide. $BsF(ab')_2$ was isolated from the coupling reaction by S100-HR (Pharmacia) size exclusion chromatography (2.5 cm×100 cm) in the presence of PBS. The BsF(ab')₂ samples 65 were passed through a 0.2 mm filter flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70° C.

Flow Cytometric Analysis of F(ab'), Binding to Jurkat Cells

The Jurkat human acute T cell leukemia cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas Va.) (ATCC TIB 152) and grown as. recommended by the ATCC. Aliquots of 106 Jurkat cells were incubated with appropriate concentrations of BsF(ab'), (anti-p185HER2/anti-CD3 variant) or control mono-specific anti-p 185^{HER2} F(ab')₂ in PBS plus 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin and 10 mM sodium azide for 45 min at 4° C. The cells were washed and then incubated with fluoresceinconjugated goat anti-human F(ab')₂ (Organon Teknika, West Chester, Pa.) for 45 min at 4° C. Cells were washed and analyzed on a FACScan® (Becton Dickinson and Co., Mountain View, Calif.). Cells (8×10^3) were acquired by list mode and gated by forward light scatter versus side light scatter excluding dead cells and debris.

Results

Design of Humanized anti-CD3 Variants

The most potent humanized anti-CD3 variant previously identified, v1, differs from the murine parent antibody, 20 UCHT1 at 19 out of 107 amino acid residues within V_L and at 37 out of 122 positions within V_H (Shalaby et al., supra) 1992). Here we recruited back additional murine residues into anti-CD3 v1 in an attempt to improve the binding 25 affinity for CD3. The strategy chosen was a compromise between minimizing both the number of additional murine residues recruited and the number of anti-CD3 variants to be analyzed. We focused our attentions on a few CDR residues which were originally kept as human sequences in our minimalistic humanization regime. Thus human residues in 30 V_H CDR2 of anti-CD3 v1 were replaced en bloc with their murine counterparts to give anti-CD3 v9: T57S:A60N:D61Q:S62K:V63F:G65D (SEQ ID NO:20). Similarly, the human residue E55 in V_L CDR2 of anti-CD3 v_1 was replaced with histidine from the murine anti-CD3 antibody to generate anti-CD3 v11. In addition, V_H framework region (FR) residues 75 and 76 in anti-CD3 v1 were also replaced with their murine counterparts to create anti-CD3 v8: K75S:N76S. V_H residues 75 and 76 are located in a loop close to V_H CDR1 and CDR2 and therefore might 40 influence antigen binding. Additional variants created by combining mutations at these three sites are described below.

Preparation of BsF(ab')₂ Fragments

Soluble and functional anti-p185HER2 and anti-CD3 Fab' fragments were recovered directly from corresponding E. coli fermentation pastes with the single hinge cysteine predominantly in the free thiol form (75-100% Fab'-SH) by affinity purification on Streptococcal protein G at pH 5 in the presence of EDTA (Carter et al., 1992b, supra). Thioetherlinked BsF(ab')₂ fragments were then constructed by directed coupling using o-PDM as described by Glennie et al., supra. One arm was always the most potent humanized anti-p185^{HER2} variant, HuMAb4D5-8 (Carter et al., 1992a, of the anti-CD3 antibody. Anti-p185HER2 Fab'-SH was reacted with o-PDM to form the maleimide derivative (Fab'-mal) and then coupled to the Fab'-SH for each anti-CD3 variant. F(ab')₂ was then purified away from unreacted representative preparation $(BsF(ab')_2 v8)$ in data not shown. The F(ab')₂ fragment represents ~54% of the total amount of antibody fragments (by mass) as judged by integration of the chromatograph peaks.

SDS-PAGE analysis of this BsF(ab')₂v8 preparation under non-reducing conditions gave one major band with the expected mobility (M, ~96 kD) as well as several very minor

bands (data not shown). Amino-terminal sequence analysis of the major band after electroblotting on to polyvinylidene difluoride 76 are located in a loop close to V_H CDR1 and CDR2 and therefore might membrane Matsudaira, P., J. Biol. Chem. 262: 10035-10038 (1987) gave the expected mixed sequence from a stoichiometric 1:1 mixture of light and heavy chains (V_L/V_H: D/E, I/V, Q/D, M/L, T/V, D/E, S/S) expected for $BsF(ab')_2$. The amino terminal region of both light chains are identical as are both heavy chains and correspond to consensus human FR sequences. We have 10 previously demonstrated that F(ab'), constructed by directed chemical coupling carry both anti-p185HER2 and anti-CD3 antigen specificities (Shalaby et al., supra). The level of contamination of the BsF(ab')₂ with monospecific F(ab')₂ iS likely to be very low since mock coupling reactions with 15 either anti-p185^{HER2} w Fab'-mal or anti-CD3 Fab'-SH alone did not yield detectable quantities of F(ab')₂. Furthermore the coupling reaction was subjected to a mild reduction step followed by alkylation to remove trace amounts of disulfidelinked $F(ab')_2$ that might be present. SDS-PAGE of the purified $F(ab')_2$ under reducing conditions gave two major bands with electrophoretic mobility and amino terminal sequence anticipated for free light chain and thioether-linked heavy chain dimers.

Scanning LASER densitometry of a o-PDM coupled 25 $F(ab')_2$ preparation suggest that the minor species together represent ~10% of the protein. These minor contaminants were characterized by amino terminal sequence analysis and were tentatively identified on the basis of stoichiometry of light and heavy chain sequences and their electrophoretic 30 residues in the C-terminal part of V_H CDR2 are at least mobility (data not shown). These data are consistent with the minor contaminants including imperfect $F(ab')_2$ in which the disulfide bond between light and heavy chains is missing in one or both arms, trace amounts of Fab' and heavy chain thioether-linked to light chain.

Binding of BsF(ab'), to Jurkat Cells

Binding of BsF(ab')₂ containing different anti-CD3 variants to Jurkat cells (human acute T cell leukemia) was investigated by flow cytometry (data not shown). BsF(ab') $_{2}$ v9 binds much more efficiently to Jurkat cells than does our 40 starting molecule, BsF(ab')₂vl, and almost as efficiently as the chimeric BsF(ab')₂. Installation of additional murine residues into anti-CD3 v9 to create v10 (V_HK75S:N76S) and v12 (V_HK75S:N76S plus V_L E55H) did not further improve binding of corresponding BsF(ab')2to Jurkat cells. 45 Nor did recruitment of these murine residues into anti-CD3 v1 improve Jurkat binding: V_HK75S (v6), V_HN76S (v7), $V_H K75S: N76S$ (V8), $V_I E55H$ (v11) (not shown). BsF(ab') ₂v9 was chosen for future study since it is amongst the most efficient variants in binding to Jurkat cells and contains 50 fewest murine residues in the humanized anti-CD3 arm. A monospecific anti-p185HEE2 F(ab')2 did not show significant binding to Jurkat cells consistent with the interaction being mediated through the anti-CD3 arm.

Discussion

A minimalistic strategy was chosen to humanize the anti-p185^{HER2} (Carter et al., 1992a, supra) and anti-CD3 arms (Shalaby et al., supra) of the BsF(ab'), in this study in an attempt to minimize the potential immunogenicity of the 60 is supported by our preliminary pharmacokinetic experiresulting humanized antibody in the clinic. Thus we tried to install the minimum number of murine CDR and FR residues into the context of consensus human variable domain sequences as required to recruit antigen-binding affinity and biological properties comparable to the murine parent anti-65 body. Molecular modeling was used firstly to predict the murine FR residues which might be important to antigen

binding and secondly to predict the murine CDR residues that might not be required. A small number of humanized variants were then constructed to test these predictions.

Our humanization strategy was very successful for the anti-p185HER2 antibody where one out of eight humanized variants (HuMAb4D5-8, IgG1) was identified that bound the p185^{HER2} antigen ~3-fold more tightly than the parent murine antibody (Carter et al., 1992a, supra). HuMAb4D5-8 contains a total of five murine FR residues and nine murine CDR residues, including V_H CDR2 residues 60-65, were discarded in favor of human counterparts. In contrast, BsF (ab')₂v1 containing the most potent humanized anti-CD3 variant out of four originally constructed (Shalaby et al., supra) binds J6 cells with an affinity (K_d) of 140 nM which is ~70-fold weaker than that of the corresponding chimeric BsF(ab')2.

Here we have restored T cell binding of the humanized anti-CD3 close to that of the chimeric variant by replacing six human residues in V_H CDR2 with their murine counterparts: T57S:A60N:D61Q:S62K:V63F:G65D (anti-CD3 20 v9, FIG. 5). It appears more likely that these murine residues enhance antigen binding indirectly by influencing the conformation of residues in the N-terminal part of V_H CDR2 rather than by directly contacting antigen. Firstly, only N-terminal residues in V_H CDR2 (50–58) have been found to contact antigen in one or more of eight crystallographic structures of antibody/antigen complexes (Kabat et al., supra; and Mian, I. S. et al., J. Mol. Biol 217: 133-151 (1991), FIG. 5). Secondly, molecular modeling suggests that partially buried (FIG. 5). BsF(ab')₂v9 binds to SK-BR-3 breast tumor cells with equal efficiency to BsF(ab')₂v1 and chimeric BsF(ab')₂ as anticipated since the anti-p 185^{HER2} arm is identical in all of these molecules (Shalaby et al., 35 supra, not shown).

Our novel approach to the construction of $BsF(ab')_2$ fragments exploits an E. coli expression system which secretes humanized Fab' fragments at gram per liter titers and permits their direct recovery as Fab'-SH (Carter et al., 1992b, supra). Traditional directed chemical coupling of Fab'-SH fragments is then used to form BsF(ab'), in vitro (Brennan et al., supra; and Glennie et al., supra). This route to Fab'-SH obviates problems which are inherent in their generation from intact antibodies: differences in susceptibility to proteolysis and nonspecific cleavage resulting in heterogeneity, low yield as well as partial reduction that is not completely selective for the hinge disulfide bonds. The strategy of using E. coli-derived Fab'-SH containing a single hinge cysteine abolishes some sources of heterogeneity in BsF(ab')₂ preparation such as intra-hinge disulfide formation and contamination with intact parent antibody whilst greatly diminishes others, eg. formation of $F(ab')_3$ fragments.

BsF(ab')2 fragments constructed here were thioetherlinked as originally described by Glennie et al., supra with 55 future in vivo testing of these molecules in mind. Thioether bonds, unlike disulfide bonds, are not susceptible to cleavage by trace amounts of thiol, which led to the proposal that thioether-linked $F(ab')_2$ may be more stable than disulfidelinked F(ab'), in vivo (Glennie et al., supra). This hypothesis ments in normal mice which suggest that thioether-linked $BsF(ab')_2$ v1 has a 3-fold longer plasma residence time than $BsF(ab')_2$ v1 linked by a single disulfide bond. Disulfide and thioether-linked chimeric BsF(ab')2 were found to be indistinguishable in their efficiency of cell binding and in their retargeting of CTL cytotoxicity, which suggests that o-PDM directed coupling does not compromise binding of the

 $BsF(ab')_2$ to either antigen (not shown). Nevertheless the nature of the linkage appears not to be critical since a disulfide-linked $BsF(ab')_2$ (murine anti- $p185^{HER2}$ /murine anti-CD3) was recently shown by others (Nishimura et al., Int. *J. Cancer* 50: 800–804 (1992) to have potent anti-tumor 5 activity in nude mice. Our previous study (Shalaby et al., supra) together with this one and that of Nishimura, T. et al., supra improve the potential for using $BsF(ab')_2$ in targeted immunotherapy of $p185^{HER2}$ -overexpressing cancers in humans.

64

Example 4

Humanization of an anti-CD18 Antibody

A murine antibody directed against the leukocyte adhesion receptor β -chain (known as the H52 antibody) was humanized following the methods described above. FIGS. **6A** and **6B** provide amino acid sequence comparisons for the murine and humanized antibody light chains and heavy chains.

```
SEQUENCE LISTING
(1) GENERAL INFORMATION:
   (iii) NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 26
(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:1:
     (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
         (A) LENGTH: 109 amino acids
          (B) TYPE: Amino Acid
         (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear
   (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:1:
Asp Ile Gln Met Thr Gln Ser Pro Ser Ser Leu Ser Ala Ser Val
                                    10
                  5
                                                         15
Gly Asp Arg Val Thr Ile Thr Cys Arg Ala Ser Gln Asp Val Asn
                 20
                                     25
                                                         30
Thr Ala Val Ala Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Pro Gly Lys Ala Pro Lys
                 35
                                    40
Leu Leu Ile Tyr Ser Ala Ser Phe Leu Glu Ser Gly Val Pro Ser
                 50
                                    55
Arg Phe Ser Gly Ser Arg Ser Gly Thr Asp Phe Thr Leu Thr Ile
                                    70
                 65
Ser Ser Leu Gln Pro Glu Asp Phe Ala Thr Tyr Tyr Cys Gln Gln
                 80
                                     85
                                                         90
His Tyr Thr Thr Pro Pro Thr Phe Gly Gln Gly Thr Lys Val Glu
                 95
                                    1 00
                                                         1 05
Ile Lys Arg Thr
            109
(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:2:
     (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
         (A) LENGTH: 120 amino acids
          (B) TYPE: Amino Acid
         (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear
    (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:2:
Glu Val Gln Leu Val Glu Ser Gly Gly Gly Leu Val Gln Pro Gly
  1
                 5
                                    10
Gly Ser Leu Arg Leu Ser Cys Ala Ala Ser Gly Phe Asn Ile Lys
                 20
                                     25
                                                         30
Asp Thr Tyr Ile His Trp Val Arg Gln Ala Pro Gly Lys Gly Leu
                 35
                                    40
                                                         45
Glu Trp Val Ala Arg Ile Tyr Pro Thr Asn Gly Tyr Thr Arg Tyr
                 50
                             55
                                                         60
Ala Asp Ser Val Lys Gly Arg Phe Thr Ile Ser Ala Asp Thr Ser
```

65

242 of 1849

75

70

-continued

| Lys | Asn | Thr | Ala | Ty r 80 | Leu | Gln | Met | Asn | Ser 85 | Leu | Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp 90 |
|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Thr | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Суз | Ser | Arg | Trp | Gly 1 00 | Gly | Asp | Gly | Phe | Tyr 1 05 |
| Ala | Met | Asp | Val | Trp 110 | Gly | Gln | Gly | Thr | Leu 115 | Val 5 | Thr | Val | Ser | Ser 120 |
| (2) | INFOR | RMAT | ION F | FOR S | SEQ I | D NO | 0:3: | | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQU (A (B (D | JENCE) LEN) TYE) TOE | CHANGTH: PE: A POLOG | ARACI : 109 Amino GY: I | FERIS ami Aci Lines | STIC: ino a id ar | 3: acid: | 5 | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQU | JENCE | DES | SCRIE | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | NO | :3: | | | | |
| Asp 1 | Ile | Gln | Met | Thr 5 | Gln | Ser | Pro | Ser | Ser 10 | Leu | Ser | Ala | Ser | Val 15 |
| Gly | Asp | Arg | Val | Thr 20 | Ile | Thr | Сув | Arg | Ala 25 | Ser | Gln | Asp | Val | Ser 30 |
| Ser | Tyr | Leu | Ala | Trp 35 | Tyr | Gln | Gln | Lys | Pro 40 | Gly | Lys | Ala | Pro | L y s 45 |
| Leu | Leu | Ile | Tyr | Ala 50 | Ala | Ser | Ser | Leu | Glu 55 | Ser | Gly | Val | Pro | Ser 60 |
| Arg | Phe | Ser | Gly | Ser 65 | Gly | Ser | Gly | Thr | Asp 70 | Phe | Thr | Leu | Thr | Ile 75 |
| Ser | Ser | Leu | Gln | Pro 80 | Glu | Asp | Phe | Ala | Thr 85 | Tyr | Tyr | Сув | Gln | Gln 90 |
| Tyr | Asn | Ser | Leu | Pro 95 | Tyr | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gln 1 00 | Gly | Thr | Lys | Val | Glu 1 05 |
| Ile | Lys | Arg | Thr 109 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFOR | RMAT: | ION F | OR S | SEQ I | D NO | D:4: | | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQU (A (B (D | JENCE) LEN) TYE) TOE | CHANGTH: PE: A POLOG | ARACI 120 Amino 3Y: I | TERIS) ami) Aci Lines | STIC: ino a id ar | S: acid: | 5 | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQU | JENCE | DES | SCRIE | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | NO | :4: | | | | |
| Glu 1 | Val | Gln | Leu | Val 5 | Glu | Ser | Gly | Gly | Gly 10 | Leu | Val | Gln | Pro | Gly 15 |
| Gly | Ser | Leu | Arg | Leu 20 | Ser | Суз | Ala | Ala | Ser 25 | Gly | Phe | Thr | Phe | Ser 30 |
| Asp | Tyr | Ala | Met | Ser 35 | Trp | Val | Arg | Gln | Ala 40 | Pro | Gly | Lys | Gly | Leu 45 |
| Glu | Trp | Val | Ala | Val 50 | Ile | Ser | Glu | Asn | Gly 55 | Ser | Asp | Thr | Tyr | Tyr 60 |
| Ala | Asp | Ser | Val | Lys 65 | Gly | Arg | Phe | Thr | Ile 70 | Ser | Arg | Asp | Asp | Ser 75 |
| Lys | Asn | Thr | Leu | Tyr 80 | Leu | Gln | Met | Asn | Ser 85 | Leu | Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp 90 |
| Thr | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Суз | Ala | Arg | Asp | Arg 1 0(| Gly | Gly | Ala | Val | Ser 1 05 |
| Tyr | Phe | Asp | Val | Trp 110 | Gly | Gln | Gly | Thr | Leu 115 | Val | Thr | Val | Ser | Ser 120 |

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:5:

-continued

| | (i) | SEQU (A (B (D | JENCI) LEN) TYI) TOI | E CHA NGTH: PE: A POLOC | ARACI 109 Amino SY: I | PERIS ami Aci Lines | STIC: ino a id ar | S: acid: | 5 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | (xi) | SEQU | JENCI | E DES | SCRIE | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | NO | :5: | | | | |
| Asp 1 | Ile | Val | Met | Thr 5 | Gln | Ser | His | Lys | Phe 10 | Met | Ser | Thr | Ser | Val 15 |
| Gly | Asp | Arg | Val | Ser 20 | Ile | Thr | Cys | Lys | Ala 25 | Ser | Gln | Asp | Val | Asn 30 |
| Thr | Ala | Val | Ala | Trp 35 | Tyr | Gln | Gln | Lys | Pro 40 | Gly | His | Ser | Pro | L y s 45 |
| Leu | Leu | Ile | Tyr | Ser 50 | Ala | Ser | Phe | Arg | Tyr 55 | Thr | Gly | Val | Pro | Asp 60 |
| Arg | Phe | Thr | Gly | Asn 65 | Arg | Ser | Gly | Thr | Asp 70 | Phe | Thr | Phe | Thr | Ile 75 |
| Ser | Ser | Val | Gln | Ala 80 | Glu | Asp | Leu | Ala | Val 85 | Tyr | Tyr | Суз | Gln | Gln 90 |
| His | Tyr | Thr | Thr | Pro 95 | Pro | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gly 1 00 | Gly | Thr | Lys | Leu | Glu 1 05 |
| Ile | Lys | Arg | Ala 109 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFOR | RMAT | ION I | FOR S | SEQ 1 | D NO | 0:6: | | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQU (A (B (D | JENCI) LEN) TYI) TOI | E CHA NGTH: PE: A POLOC | ARACI : 120 Amino SY: I | TERIS) ami) Aci Lines | STICS ino a id ar | 3: acid: | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQU | JENCI | E DES | SCRIE | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | D NO: | :6: | | | | |
| Glu 1 | (xi) Val | SEQU Gln | JENCI Leu | E DES Gln 5 | Gln | PTION Ser | N: SI Gly | EQ II Pro | Glu 10 | :6: Leu | Val | Lys | Pro | Gly 15 |
| Glu 1 Ala | (xi) Val Ser | SEQU Gln Leu | JENCI Leu Lys | Gln 5 Leu 20 | GCRII Gln Ser | PTION Ser Cys | N: SH Gly Thr | EQ II Pro Ala | Glu 10 Ser 25 | :6: Leu Gly | Val Phe | Lys Asn | Pro Ile | Gly 15 Lys 30 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp | (xi) Val Ser Thr | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr | JENCI Leu Lys Ile | E DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 | Gln Ser Trp | PTION Ser Cys Val | N: SH Gly Thr Lys | EQ II Pro Ala Gln | Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 | :6: Leu Gly Pro | Val Phe Glu | Lys Asn Gln | Pro Ile Gly | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu | (xi) Val Ser Thr Trp | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile | JENCI Leu Lys Ile Gly | E DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 | GCRIE Gln Ser Trp Ile | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr | N: SI Gly Thr Lys Pro | EQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr | Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly | Val Phe Glu Tyr | Lys Asn Gln Thr | Pro Ile Gly Arg | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp | (xi) Val Ser Thr Trp Pro | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys | JENCI Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe | E DES Gln 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 | Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr Lys | N: SI Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala | EQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr | O NO: Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala | Lys Asn Gln Thr Asp | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp Ser | (xi) Val Ser Thr Trp Pro Asn | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys Thr | JENCH Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe Ala | E DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 Tyr 80 | GCRIH Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp Leu | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr Lys Gln | N: SH Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala Val | EQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr Ser | Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 Arg 85 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr Leu | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala Thr | L y s Asn Gln Thr Asp Ser | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr Glu | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 Asp 90 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp Ser Thr | (xi) Val Ser Thr Trp Pro Asn Ala | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys Thr Val | JENCH Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe Ala Tyr | E DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 Tyr 80 Tyr 95 | GCRIH Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp Leu Cys | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr Lys Gln Ser | N: SH Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala Val Arg | GQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr Ser Trp | C NO: Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 Arg 85 Gly 1 00 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr Leu Cly | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala Thr Asp | Lys Asn Gln Thr Asp Ser Gly | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr Glu Phe | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 Asp 90 Tyr 1 05 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp Ser Thr Ala | (xi) Val Ser Thr Trp Pro Asn Ala Met | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys Thr Val Asp | Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe Ala Tyr Tyr | C DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 Tyr 80 Tyr 95 Trp 110 | GCRIH Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp Leu Cys Gly | Cys Cys Val Tyr Gln Ser Gln | N: SI Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala Val Arg Gly | EQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr Ser Trp Ala | Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 Arg 85 Gly 1 00 Ser 115 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr Leu Gly Val | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala Thr Asp Thr | Lys Asn Gln Thr Asp Ser Gly Val | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr Glu Phe Ser | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 Asp 90 Tyr 1 05 Ser 120 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp Ser Thr Ala (2) | (xi) Val Ser Thr Pro Asn Ala Met | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys Thr Val Asp | UENCI Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe Ala Tyr Tyr | C DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 Tyr 80 Tyr 95 Trp 110 | GCRIH Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp Leu Cys Gly | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr Lys Gln Ser Gln | N: SH Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala Val Arg Gly | EQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr Trp Ala | D NO: Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 Arg 85 Gly 1 00 Ser 115 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr Leu Gly Val | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala Thr Asp Thr | Lys Asn Gln Thr Asp Ser Gly Val | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr Glu Phe Ser | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 Asp 90 Tyr 1 05 Ser 120 |
| Glu 1 Ala Asp Glu Asp Ser Thr Ala (2) | (xi) Val Ser Thr Pro Asn Ala Met INFOI | SEQU Gln Leu Tyr Lle Lys Thr Val Asp SEQU (A (B (C) (D) | JENCI Leu Lys Ile Gly Phe Ala Tyr Tyr UN H JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI JENCI | E DES Gln 5 Leu 20 His 35 Arg 50 Gln 65 Tyr 80 Tyr 95 Trp 110 FOR 5 C CH2 GTH 15 CAUGH 15 CAU | GCRIH Gln Ser Trp Ile Asp Leu Cys Gly SEQ 1 ARACT SEQ 1 ARACT SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SEQ 1 SET | PTION Ser Cys Val Tyr Lys Gln Ser Gln ID NO TERIS base bic <i>I</i> | N: SI Gly Thr Lys Pro Ala Val Arg Gly O:7: STICS STICS STICS | SQ II Pro Ala Gln Thr Thr Ser Trp Ala S: irs | D NO: Glu 10 Ser 25 Arg 40 Asn 55 Ile 70 Arg 85 Gly 100 Ser 115 | :6: Leu Gly Pro Gly Thr Leu Gly Val | Val Phe Glu Tyr Ala Thr Asp Thr | Lys Asn Gln Thr Asp Ser Gly Val | Pro Ile Gly Arg Thr Glu Phe Ser | Gly 15 Lys 30 Leu 45 Tyr 60 Ser 75 Asp 90 Tyr 1 05 Ser 120 |

TCCGATATCC AGCTGACCCA GTCTCCA

| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:8: | |
|--|----|
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 31 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | |
| (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:8: | |
| GTTTGATCTC CAGCTTGGTA CCHSCDCCGA A | 31 |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:9: | |
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 22 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | |
| (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:9: | |
| AGGTSMARCT GCAGSAGTCW GG | 22 |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:10: | |
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 34 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | |
| (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:10: | |
| TGAGGAGACG GTGACCGTGG TCCCTTGGCC CCAG | 34 |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:11: | |
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 36 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | |
| (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:11: | |
| GTAGATAAAT CCTCTAACAC AGCCTATCTG CAAATG | 36 |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:12: | |
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 36 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | |
| (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:12: | |
| | |
| GTAGATAAAT CCAAATCTAC AGCCTATCTG CAAATG | 36 |
| GTAGATAAAT CCAAATCTAC AGCCTATCTG CAAATG | 36 |
| <pre>GTAGATAAAT CCAAATCTAC AGCCTATCTG CAAATG (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:13: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 36 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear</pre> | 36 |
| <pre>GTAGATAAAT CCAAATCTAC AGCCTATCTG CAAATG (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:13: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 36 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:13:</pre> | 36 |

-continued

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:14: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 68 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:14: CTTATAAAGG TGTTTCCACC TATAACCAGA AATTCAAGGA TCGTTTCACG 50 ATATCCGTAG ATAAATCC 68 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:15: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 30 base pairs (B) TYPE: Nucleic Acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: Single (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:15: CTATACCTCC CGTCTGCATT CTGGAGTCCC 30 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:16: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 107 amino acids (B) TYPE: Amino Acid (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:16: Asp Ile Gln Met Thr Gln Thr Thr Ser Ser Leu Ser Ala Ser Leu 5 10 15 Gly Asp Arg Val Thr Ile Ser Cys Arg Ala Ser Gln Asp Ile Arg 20 25 30 Asn Tyr Leu Asn Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Pro Asp Gly Thr Val Lys 35 40 Leu Leu Ile Tyr Tyr Thr Ser Arg Leu His Ser Gly Val Pro Ser 50 55 60 Lys Phe Ser Gly Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr Asp Tyr Ser Leu Thr Ile 70 65 75 Ser Asn Leu Glu Gln Glu Asp Ile Ala Thr Tyr Phe Cys Gln Gln 80 85 90 Gly Asn Thr Leu Pro Trp Thr Phe Ala Gly Gly Thr Lys Leu Glu 95 1 00 1 05 Ile Lys 107 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:17: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 107 amino acids (B) TYPE: Amino Acid (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:17: Asp Ile Gln Met Thr Gln Ser Pro Ser Ser Leu Ser Ala Ser Val 5 10 15 Gly Asp Arg Val Thr Ile Thr Cys Arg Ala Ser Gln Asp Ile Arg 20 25 30 Asn Tyr Leu Asn Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Pro Gly Lys Ala Pro Lys

-continued

| | | | | 35 | | | | | 40 | | | | | 45 |
|----------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|
| Leu | Leu | Ile | Tyr | T y r 50 | Thr | Ser | Arg | Leu | Glu 55 | Ser | Gly | Val | Pro | Ser 60 |
| Arg | Phe | Ser | Gly | Ser 65 | Gly | Ser | Gly | Thr | Asp 70 | Tyr | Thr | Leu | Thr | Ile 75 |
| Ser | Ser | Leu | Gln | Pro 80 | Glu | Asp | Phe | Ala | Thr 85 | Tyr | Tyr | Суз | Gln | Gln 90 |
| Gly | Asn | Thr | Leu | Pro 95 | Trp | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gln 1 0 | Gly 0 | Thr | Lys | Val | Glu 1 0 |
| Ile | L y s 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFO | RMAT | ION I | FOR : | SEQ : | ID N | D:18 | : | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQI (A (B (D | JENCI) LEI) TYI) TOI | E CHI NGTH PE: J POLOO | ARAC 10 Amin GY: 1 | TERI 7 am 0 Ac Line | STIC ino id ar | S: acid | S | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQ | JENCI | E DE: | SCRI | PTIO | N:S | EQ II | D NO | :18: | | | | |
| Asp 1 | Ile | Gln | Met | Thr 5 | Gln | Ser | Pro | Ser | Ser 10 | Leu | Ser | Ala | Ser | Val 15 |
| Gly | Asp | Arg | Val | Thr 20 | Ile | Thr | Cys | Arg | Ala 25 | Ser | Gln | Ser | Ile | Ser 30 |
| Asn | Tyr | Leu | Ala | Trp 35 | Tyr | Gln | Gln | Lys | Pro 40 | Gly | Lys | Ala | Pro | Lys 45 |
| Leu | Leu | Ile | Tyr | Ala 50 | Ala | Ser | Ser | Leu | Glu 55 | Ser | Gly | Val | Pro | Ser 60 |
| Arg | Phe | Ser | Gly | Ser 65 | Gly | Ser | Gly | Thr | Asp 70 | Phe | Thr | Leu | Thr | Ile 75 |
| Ser | Ser | Leu | Gln | Pro 80 | Glu | Asp | Phe | Ala | Thr 85 | Tyr | Tyr | Cys | Gln | Gln 90 |
| Tyr | Asn | Ser | Leu | Pro 95 | Trp | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gln 1 0 | Gly 0 | Thr | Lys | Val | Glu 1 0 |
| Ile | L y s 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFOI | RMAT | ION I | FOR | SEQ : | ID N | 0:19 | : | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQI (A (B (D | JENCI) LEI) TYI) TOI | E CHI NGTH PE: J POLO | ARAC : 12 Amin GY: 1 | TERI 2 am 0 Ac Line | STIC ino id ar | S: acid: | 5 | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQ | JENCI | E DES | SCRI | PTIO | N: S: | EQ II | d No | :19: | | | | |
| Glu 1 | Val | Gln | Leu | Gln 5 | Gln | Ser | Gly | Pro | Glu 10 | Leu | Val | Lys | Pro | Gl y 15 |
| Ala | Ser | Met | Lys | Ile 20 | Ser | Cys | Lys | Ala | Ser 25 | Gly | Tyr | Ser | Phe | Thr 30 |
| Gly | Tyr | Thr | Met | Asn 35 | Trp | Val | Lys | Gln | Ser 40 | His | Gly | Lys | Asn | Leu 45 |
| Glu | Trp | Met | Gly | Leu 50 | Ile | Asn | Pro | Tyr | L y s 55 | Gly | Val | Ser | Thr | Tyr 60 |
| Asn | Gln | Lys | Phe | Lys 65 | Asp | Lys | Ala | Thr | Leu 70 | Thr | Val | Asp | Lys | Ser 75 |
| Ser | Ser | Thr | Ala | T y r 80 | Met | Glu | Leu | Leu | Ser 85 | Leu | Thr | Ser | Glu | Asp 90 |

-continued

| | | | | | | | | | | | | 00 | a | | |
|----------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|--|
| Ser | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Сув | Ala | Arg | Ser | Gly 1 00 | Tyr | Tyr | Gly | Asp | Ser 1 05 | |
| Asp | Trp | Tyr | Phe | A sp 110 | Val | Trp | Gly | Ala | Gly 115 | Thr | Thr | Val | Thr | Val 120 | |
| Ser | Ser | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFO | RMAT | ION : | FOR | SEQ : | ID NO | 0:20 | : | | | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQI (A (B (D | JENC:) LEI) TY:) TO: | E CHI NGTH PE: J POLO | ARAC 122 Amino GY: 1 | TERIS 2 am: 5 Ac: Lines | STIC: ino a id ar | 3: acid: | 6 | | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQI | JENC: | E DE: | SCRII | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | o no: | 20 : | | | | | |
| Glu 1 | Val | Gln | Leu | Val 5 | Glu | Ser | Gly | Gly | Gl y 10 | Leu | Val | Gln | Pro | Gly 15 | |
| Gly | Ser | Leu | Arg | Leu 20 | Ser | Сув | Ala | Ala | Ser 25 | Gly | Tyr | Ser | Phe | Thr 30 | |
| Gly | Tyr | Thr | Met | Asn 35 | Trp | Val | Arg | Gln | Ala 40 | Pro | Gly | Lys | Gly | Leu 45 | |
| Glu | Trp | Val | Ala | Leu 50 | Ile | Asn | Pro | Tyr | L y s 55 | Gly | Val | Ser | Thr | Tyr 60 | |
| Asn | Gln | Lys | Phe | L y s 65 | Asp | Arg | Phe | Thr | Ile 70 | Ser | Val | Asp | Lys | Ser 75 | |
| Lys | Asn | Thr | Ala | Ty r 80 | Leu | Gln | Met | Asn | Ser 85 | Leu | Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp 90 | |
| Thr | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Сув | Ala | Arg | Ser | Gly 1 00 | Tyr | Tyr | Gly | Asp | Ser 1 05 | |
| Asp | Trp | Tyr | Phe | A sp 110 | Val | Trp | Gly | Gln | Gly 115 | Thr | Leu | Val | Thr | Val 120 | |
| Ser | Ser 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (0) | | | | | APO · | | 0.01 | _ | | | | | | | |
| (2) | TNFO | RMA'I'. | LON . | FOR | SEQ . | LD NG | 3:21 | : | | | | | | | |
| | (1) | SEQI (A (B (D | JENC:) LE1) TY:) TO: | E CHA NGTH PE: A POLO | ARAC 122 Amino GY: 1 | FERIS 2 am: 5 Ac: Lines | STICS ino a id ar | s: acid: | 5 | | | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQ | JENC: | E DE: | SCRII | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | o no: | 21 : | | | | | |
| Glu 1 | Val | Gln | Leu | Val 5 | Glu | Ser | Gly | Gly | Gly 10 | Leu | Val | Gln | Pro | Gl y 15 | |
| Gly | Ser | Leu | Arg | Leu 20 | Ser | Суз | Ala | Ala | Ser 25 | Gly | Phe | Thr | Phe | Ser 30 | |
| Ser | Tyr | Ala | Met | Ser 35 | Trp | Val | Arg | Gln | Ala 40 | Pro | Gly | Lys | Gly | Leu 45 | |
| Glu | Trp | Val | Ser | Val 50 | Ile | Ser | Gly | Asp | Gly 55 | Gly | Ser | Thr | Tyr | Tyr 60 | |
| Ala | Asp | Ser | Val | L y s 65 | Gly | Arg | Phe | Thr | Ile 70 | Ser | Arg | Asp | Asn | Ser 75 | |
| Lys | Asn | Thr | Leu | Tyr 80 | Leu | Gln | Met | Asn | Ser 85 | Leu | Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp 90 | |
| Thr | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Cys | Ala | Arg | Gly | Arg 1 00 | Val | Gly | Tyr | Ser | Leu 1 05 | |
| Ser | Gly | Leu | Tyr | A sp 110 | Tyr | Trp | Gly | Gln | Gly 115 | Thr | Leu | Val | Thr | Val 120 | |

Ser Ser

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:22:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
 - (A) LENGTH: 454 amino acids
 - (B) TYPE: Amino Acid
 (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:22:

Gln Val Gln Leu Gln Gln Ser Gly Pro Glu Leu Val Lys Pro Gly 10 15 Ala Ser Val Lys Ile Ser Cys Lys Thr Ser Gly Tyr Thr Phe Thr Glu Tyr Thr Met His Trp Met Lys Gln Ser His Gly Lys Ser Leu Glu Trp Ile Gly Gly Phe Asn Pro Lys Asn Gly Gly Ser Ser His Asn Gln Arg Phe Met Asp Lys Ala Thr Leu Ala Val Asp Lys Ser Thr Ser Thr Ala Tyr Met Glu Leu Arg Ser Leu Thr Ser Glu Asp Ser Gly Ile Tyr Tyr Cys Ala Arg Trp Arg Gly Leu Asn Tyr Gly 1 00 1 05 Phe Asp Val Arg Tyr Phe Asp Val Trp Gly Ala Gly Thr Thr Val 110 115 12 Thr Val Ser Ser Ala Ser Thr Lys Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Pro Leu Ala Pro Ser Ser Lys Ser Thr Ser Gly Gly Thr Ala Ala Leu Gly Cys Leu Val Lys Asp Tyr Phe Pro Glu Pro Val Thr Val Ser Trp Asn Ser Gly Ala Leu Thr Ser Gly Val His Thr Phe Pro Ala Val Leu Gln Ser Ser Gly Leu Tyr Ser Leu Ser Ser Val Val Thr Val Pro Ser Ser Ser Leu Gly Thr Gln Thr Tyr Ile Cys Asn Val Asn His Lys Pro Ser Asn Thr Lys Val Asp Lys Lys Val Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val

| Ser | Asn | Lys | Ala | Leu 335 | Pro | Ala | Pro | Ile | Glu Lys 340 | Thr | Ile | Ser | L ys 345 |
|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Ala | Lys | Gly | Gln | Pro 350 | Arg | Glu | Pro | Gln | Val Tyr 355 | Thr | Leu | Pro | Pro 360 |
| Ser | Arg | Glu | Glu | Met 365 | Thr | Lys | Asn | Gln | Val Ser 370 | Leu | Thr | Суз | Leu 375 |
| Val | Lys | Gly | Phe | T y r 380 | Pro | Ser | Asp | Ile | Ala Val 385 | Glu | Trp | Glu | Ser 390 |
| Asn | Gly | Gln | Pro | Glu 395 | Asn | Asn | Tyr | Lys | Thr Thr 400 | Pro | Pro | Val | Leu 405 |
| Asp | Ser | Asp | Gly | Ser 410 | Phe | Phe | Leu | Tyr | Ser Lys 415 | Leu | Thr | Val | Asp 420 |
| Lys | Ser | Arg | Trp | Gln 425 | Gln | Gly | Asn | Val | Phe Ser 430 | Сув | Ser | Val | Met 435 |
| His | Glu | Ala | Leu | His 440 | Asn | His | Tyr | Thr | Gln Lys 445 | Ser | Leu | Ser | Leu 450 |
| Ser | Pro | Gly | L y s 454 | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) | INFOR | RMAT | ION H | FOR S | SEQ I | ED NO | 23: | : | | | | | |
| | (i) | SEQU (A (B (D | JENCI) LEN) TYI) TOI | E CHA NGTH: PE: A POLOC | ARACT : 469 Amino GY: I | TERIS 9 am: 5 Ac: Lines | STICS ino a id ar | 3: acid: | 3 | | | | |
| | (xi) | SEQU | JENCI | E DES | SCRII | PTIO | N: SI | EQ II | NO:23: | | | | |
| Met 1 | Gly | Trp | Ser | Cys 5 | Ile | Ile | Leu | Phe | Leu Val 10 | Ala | Thr | Ala | Thr 15 |
| Gly | Val | His | Ser | Glu 20 | Val | Gln | Leu | Val | Glu Ser 25 | Gly | Gly | Gly | Leu 30 |
| Val | Gln | Pro | Gly | Gly 35 | Ser | Leu | Arg | Leu | Ser Cys 40 | Ala | Thr | Ser | Gl y 45 |
| Tyr | Thr | Phe | Thr | Glu 50 | Tyr | Thr | Met | His | Trp Met 55 | Arg | Gln | Ala | Pro 60 |
| Gly | Lys | Gly | Leu | Glu 65 | Trp | Val | Ala | Gly | Ile Asn 70 | Pro | Lys | Asn | Gl y 75 |
| Gly | Thr | Ser | His | Asn 80 | Gln | Arg | Phe | Met | Asp Arg 85 | Phe | Thr | Ile | Ser 90 |
| Val | Asp | Lys | Ser | Thr 95 | Ser | Thr | Ala | Tyr | Met Gln 1 00 | Met | Asn | Ser | Leu 1 05 |
| Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp | Thr 110 | Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr | Cys Ala 115 | Arg | Trp | Arg | Gly 120 |
| Leu | Asn | Tyr | Gly | Phe 125 | Asp | Val | Arg | Tyr | Phe Asp 130 | Val | Trp | Gly | Gln 135 |
| Gly | Thr | Leu | Val | Thr 140 | Val | Ser | Ser | Ala | Ser Thr 145 | Lys | Gly | Pro | Ser 150 |
| Val | Phe | Pro | Leu | Ala 155 | Pro | Сув | Ser | Arg | Ser Thr 160 | Ser | Glu | Ser | Thr 165 |
| Ala | Ala | Leu | Gly | С у в 170 | Leu | Val | Lys | Asp | T y r Phe 175 | Pro | Glu | Pro | Val 180 |
| Thr | Val | Ser | Trp | A sn 185 | Ser | Gly | Ala | Leu | Thr Ser 190 | Gly | Val | His | Thr 195 |
| Phe | Pro | Ala | Val | Leu 200 | Gln | Ser | Ser | Gly | Leu Tyr 205 | Ser | Leu | Ser | Ser 210 |

250 of 1849

| Val | Val | Thr | Val | Thr 215 | Ser | Ser | Asn | Phe | Gly Thr 220 | Gln | Thr | Tyr | Thr 225 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|---------------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|
| Сув | Asn | Val | Asp | His 230 | Lys | Pro | Ser | Asn | Thr Lys 235 | Val | Asp | Lys | Thr 240 |
| Val | Glu | Arg | Lys | C y s 245 | Cys | Val | Glu | Сув | Pro Pro 250 | Сув | Pro | Ala | Pro 255 |
| Pro | Val | Ala | Gly | Pro 260 | Ser | Val | Phe | Leu | Phe Pro 265 | Pro | Lys | Pro | L y s 270 |
| Asp | Thr | Leu | Met | Ile 275 | Ser | Arg | Thr | Pro | Glu Val 280 | Thr | Суз | Val | Val 285 |
| Val | Asp | Val | Ser | His 290 | Glu | Asp | Pro | Glu | Val Gln 295 | Phe | Asn | Trp | Tyr 300 |
| Val | Asp | Gly | Met | Glu 305 | Val | His | Asn | Ala | Lys Thr 310 | Lys | Pro | Arg | Glu 315 |
| Glu | Gln | Phe | Asn | Ser 320 | Thr | Phe | Arg | Val | Val Ser 325 | Val | Leu | Thr | Val 330 |
| Val | His | Gln | Asp | Trp 335 | Leu | Asn | Gly | Lys | Glu Tyr 340 | Lys | Суз | Lys | Val 345 |
| Ser | Asn | Lys | Gly | Leu 350 | Pro | Ala | Pro | Ile | Glu Lys 355 | Thr | Ile | Ser | L y s 360 |
| Thr | Lys | Gly | Gln | Pro 365 | Arg | Glu | Pro | Gln | Val Tyr 370 | Thr | Leu | Pro | Pro 375 |
| Ser | Arg | Glu | Glu | Met 380 | Thr | Lys | Asn | Gln | Val Ser 385 | Leu | Thr | Сув | Leu 390 |
| Val | Lys | Gly | Phe | Tyr 395 | Pro | Ser | Asp | Ile | Ala Val 400 | Glu | Trp | Glu | Ser 405 |
| Asn | Gly | Gln | Pro | Glu 410 | Asn | Asn | Tyr | Lys | Thr Thr 415 | Pro | Pro | Met | Leu 420 |
| Asp | Ser | Asp | Gly | Ser 425 | Phe | Phe | Leu | Tyr | Ser Lys 430 | Leu | Thr | Val | Asp 435 |
| Lys | Ser | Arg | Trp | Gln 440 | Gln | Gly | Asn | Val | Phe Ser 445 | Cys | Ser | Val | Met 450 |
| His | Glu | Ala | Leu | His 455 | Asn | His | Tyr | Thr | Gln Lys 460 | Ser | Leu | Ser | Leu 465 |
| Ser | Pro | Gly | L y s 469 | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:24: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (A) LENGTH: 214 amino acids
- (B) TYPE: Amino Acid(D) TOPOLOGY: Linear
- (b) ioronogi. Linear

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:24:

Asp
1ValGlnMetThrGlnThrThrSerSerLeuSerAlaSerLeuGlyAspArgValThr20CuArgAlgSerGlnAspIleAsnAsnTyrLeuAsnTrgTyrGlnGlnLysAsnAspGlyThrValLysAsnTyrLeuAsnTyrTyrGlnGlnLusProAsnGlyThrValLysLeuLeuLleTyrTyrThrSerThrLeuHisSerGlyValProSerArgPheSerGlySerGlySerGlyThrAspTyrSerLeuThrThrArgPheSerGlySerGlySerGlyThrAspTyrSerLeuThrThrArgPheSerGlySerGlySerGlyThrAspTyrSerLeuThrThrArgPheSerGlySerGlySerGlyThrAspTyrSerLeuThrThrThrArgPheSerGlySerGlySerGlyThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrThrT

| Ser | Asn | Leu | Asp | Gln 80 | Glu | Asp | Ile | Ala | Thr 85 | Tyr | Phe | Сув | Gln | Gln 90 |
|--|--|-----|---------------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Gly | Asn | Thr | Leu | Pro 95 | Pro | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gly 1 00 | Gly | Thr | Lys | Val | Glu 1 05 |
| Ile | Lys | Arg | Thr | Val 110 | Ala | Ala | Pro | Ser | Val 115 | Phe | Ile | Phe | Pro | Pro 120 |
| Ser | Asp | Glu | Gln | Leu 125 | Lys | Ser | Gly | Thr | Ala 130 | Ser | Val | Val | Сув | Leu 135 |
| Leu | Asn | Asn | Phe | Tyr 140 | Pro | Arg | Glu | Ala | L y s 145 | Val | Gln | Trp | Lys | Val 150 |
| Asp | Asn | Ala | Leu | Gln 155 | Ser | Gly | Asn | Ser | Gln 160 | Glu) | Ser | Val | Thr | Glu 165 |
| Gln | Asp | Ser | Lys | Asp 170 | Ser | Thr | Tyr | Ser | Leu 175 | Ser | Ser | Thr | Leu | Thr 180 |
| Leu | Ser | Lys | Ala | A sp 185 | Tyr | Glu | Lys | His | L y s 190 | Val | Tyr | Ala | Суз | Glu 195 |
| Val | Thr | His | Gln | Gl y 200 | Leu | Ser | Ser | Pro | Val 205 | Thr | Lys | Ser | Phe | Asn 210 |
| Arg | Gly | Glu | C y s 214 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:25: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 233 amino acids (B) TYPE: Amino Acid (D) TOPOLOGY: Linear | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:25: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Met 1 | Gly | Trp | Ser | Cys 5 | Ile | Ile | Leu | Phe | Leu 10 | Val | Ala | Thr | Ala | Thr 15 |
| Gly | Val | His | Ser | Asp 20 | Ile | Gln | Met | Thr | Gln 25 | Ser | Pro | Ser | Ser | Leu 30 |
| Ser | Ala | Ser | Val | Gly 35 | Asp | Arg | Val | Thr | Ile 40 | Thr | Суз | Arg | Ala | Ser 45 |
| Gln | Asp | Ile | Asn | Asn 50 | Tyr | Leu | Asn | Trp | Tyr 55 | Gln | Gln | Lys | Pro | Gly 60 |
| Lys | Ala | Pro | Lys | Leu 65 | Leu | Ile | Tyr | Tyr | Thr 70 | Ser | Thr | Leu | His | Ser 75 |
| Gly | Val | Pro | Ser | Arg 80 | Phe | Ser | Gly | Ser | Gly 85 | Ser | Gly | Thr | Asp | Tyr 90 |
| Thr | Leu | Thr | Ile | Ser 95 | Ser | Leu | Gln | Pro | Glu 1 00 | Asp | Phe | Ala | Thr | Tyr 1 05 |
| Tyr | Суз | Gln | Gln | Gly 110 | Asn | Thr | Leu | Pro | Pro 115 | Thr | Phe | Gly | Gln | Gl y 120 |
| Thr | Lys | Val | Glu | Ile 125 | Lys | Arg | Thr | Val | Ala 130 | Ala | Pro | Ser | Val | Phe 135 |
| Ile | Phe | Pro | Pro | Ser 140 | Asp | Glu | Gln | Leu | L y s 145 | Ser | Gly | Thr | Ala | Ser 150 |
| Val | Val | Сув | Leu | Leu 155 | Asn | Asn | Phe | Tyr | Pro 160 | Arg | Glu | Ala | Lys | Val 165 |
| Gln | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | Trp | Lys | Val | Asp 170 | Asn | Ala | Leu | Gln | Ser 175 | Gly | Asn | Ser | Gln | Glu 180 |

252 of 1849
-continued

| Ser Thr | Leu | Thr | Leu 200 | Ser | Lys | Ala | Asp | Ty r 205 | Glu | Lys | His | Lys | Val 210 |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|
| Tyr Ala | Сув | Glu | Val 215 | Thr | His | Gln | Gly | Leu 220 | Ser | Ser | Pro | Val | Thr 225 |
| L y s Ser | Phe | Asn | Arg 230 | Gly | Glu | Cys 233 | 3 | | | | | | |
| (2) INFO | RMATI | ION E | OR S | SEQ I | D NO | 26: | : | | | | | | |
| (i) | SEQU (A) (B) (D) | JENCE LEN TYE TOE | CHANGTH: PE: A POLOG | ARACI 122 Amino GY: I | ERIS ami Aci ines | STICS ino a id ar | S: acida | 5 | | | | | |
| (xi) | SEQU | JENCE | DES | SCRIE | PTIO | N: SH | EQ II | NO: | 26: | | | | |
| Glu Val 1 | Gln | Leu | Val 5 | Glu | Ser | Gly | Gly | Gl y 10 | Leu | Val | Gln | Pro | Gly 15 |
| Gly Ser | Leu | Arg | Leu 20 | Ser | Cys | Ala | Ala | Ser 25 | Gly | Tyr | Ser | Phe | Thr 30 |
| Gly Tyr | Thr | Met | Asn 35 | Trp | Val | Arg | Gln | Ala 40 | Pro | Gly | Lys | Gly | Leu 45 |
| Glu Trp | Val | Ala | Leu 50 | Ile | Asn | Pro | Tyr | Lys 55 | Gly | Val | Thr | Thr | Ty r 60 |
| Ala Asp | Ser | Val | Lys 65 | Gly | Arg | Phe | Thr | Ile 70 | Ser | Val | Asp | Lys | Ser 75 |
| Lys Asn | Thr | Ala | T y r 80 | Leu | Gln | Met | Asn | Ser 85 | Leu | Arg | Ala | Glu | Asp 90 |
| Thr Ala | Val | Tyr | Tyr 95 | Сув | Ala | Arg | Ser | Gly 1 00 | Tyr | Tyr | Gly | Asp | Ser 1 05 |
| Asp Trp | Tyr | Phe | Asp 110 | Val | Trp | Gly | Gln | Gly 115 | Thr | Leu | Val | Thr | Val 120 |
| Ser Ser 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

We claim:

1. A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) 45 amino acid residues which bind an antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

2. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the substituted residue is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained.

3. The humanized variable domain of claim **1** wherein no human Framework Region (FR) residue other than those set forth in the group has been substituted.

4. The humanized variable domain of claim **1** wherein the human antibody variable domain is a consensus human ⁶⁰ variable domain.

5. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 4L has been substituted.

6. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 38L has been substituted.

7. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 43L has been substituted.

8. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 44L has been substituted.

9. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 58L has been substituted.

10. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 62L has been substituted.

11. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 65L has been substituted.

12. The humanized variable domain of claim **1** wherein the residue at site 66L has been substituted.

13. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 67L has been substituted.

14. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 68L has been substituted.

15. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 69L has been substituted.

16. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 73L has been substituted.

17. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 85L has been substituted.

18. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 98L has been substituted.

19. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein 65 the residue at site 2H has been substituted.

20. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 4H has been substituted.

⁵⁵ the rein no 1

15

21. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 36H has been substituted.

22. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 39H has been substituted

23. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein 5the residue at site 43H has been substituted.

24. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 45H has been substituted.

25. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 69H has been substituted.

26. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 70H has been substituted.

27. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 74H has been substituted.

28. The humanized variable domain of claim 1 wherein the residue at site 92H has been substituted.

29. An antibody comprising the humanized variable domain of claim 1.

30. An antibody which binds $p185^{HER2}$ and comprises a humanized antibody variable domain, wherein the humanized antibody variable domain comprises non-human 20 Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind $p185^{HER2}$ incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 25 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

31. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the substituted residue is the residue found at the corresponding location of 30 the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained.

32. The antibody of claim 30 wherein no human Framework Region (FR) residue other than those set forth in the group has been substituted.

33. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the human antibody variable domain is a consensus human variable domain.

34. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 4L has been substituted.

35. The antibody of claim **30** wherein the residue at site 40 38L has been substituted.

36. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 43L has been substituted.

37. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 44L has been substituted.

38. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 46L has been substituted.

39. The antibody of claim **30** wherein the residue at site 58L has been substituted.

62L has been substituted.

41. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 65L has been substituted.

42. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 66L has been substituted.

43. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 67L has been substituted.

44. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 68L has been substituted.

69L has been substituted.

46. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 73L has been substituted.

47. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 85L has been substituted.

48. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 98L has been substituted.

88

49. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 2H has been substituted.

50. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 4H has been substituted.

51. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 36H has been substituted.

52. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 39H has been substituted.

53. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 10 43H has been substituted.

54. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 45H has been substituted.

55. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 69H has been substituted.

56. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 70H has been substituted.

57. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 74H has been substituted.

58. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 75H has been substituted.

59. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 76H has been substituted.

60. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 78H has been substituted.

61. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 92H has been substituted.

62. A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen incorporated into a consensus human variable domain, and further comprising an amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, 75H, 76H, 78H and 92H, utilizing the 35 numbering system set forth in Kabat.

63. A humanized antibody which lacks immunogenicity compared to a non-human parent antibody upon repeated administration to a human patient in order to treat a chronic disease in that patient, wherein the humanized antibody comprises non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises an amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H. 4H. 36H. 39H. 43H. 45H. 69H. 70H. 74H. 75H. 76H. 78H and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

64. A humanized variant of a non-human parent antibody 40. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 50 which binds an antigen and comprises a human variable domain comprising the most frequently occurring amino acid residues at each location in all human immunoglobulins of a human heavy chain immunoglobulin subgroup wherein amino acid residues forming Complementarity Determining Regions (CDRs) thereof comprise non-human antibody amino acid residues, and further comprises a Framework Region (FR) substitution where the substituted FR residue: (a) noncovalently binds antigen directly; (b) interacts with a CDR; (c) introduces a glycosylation site which affects the 45. The antibody of claim 30 wherein the residue at site 60 antigen binding or affinity of the antibody; or (d) participates in the $V_I - V_H$ interface by affecting the proximity or orientation of the V_L and V_H regions with respect to one another.

65. The humanized variant of claim 63 which binds the antigen up to 3-fold more in the binding affinity than the parent antibody binds antigen.

66. A humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining

65

45

55

5

Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 24H, 73H, 76H, 78H, and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

67. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the substituted residue is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained.

68. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein no human Framework Region (FR) residue other than those set forth in the group has been substituted.

69. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the human antibody variable domain is a consensus human 15 substituted FR residue: variable domain.

70. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 24H has been substituted.

71. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 73H has been substituted. 20

72. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 76H has been substituted.

73. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein the residue at site 78H has been substituted.

74. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 wherein 25 the residue at site 93H has been substituted.

75. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 which further comprises an amino acid substitution at site 71H.

76. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 which further comprises amino acid substitutions at sites 71H and 30 73H

77. The humanized variable domain of claim 66 which further comprises amino acid substitutions at sites 71H, 73H and 78H.

78. An antibody comprising the humanized variable domain of claim 66.

79. A humanized variant of a non-human parent antibody which binds an antigen, wherein the humanized variant comprises Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues of the non-human parent antibody incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises Framework Region (FR) substitutions at heavy chain positions 71H, 73H, 78H and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat. 10

80. A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution where the

(a) noncovalently binds antigen directly;

(b) interacts with a CDR; or

(c) participates in the $V_L - V_H$ interface by affecting the proximity or orientation of the V_L and V_H regions with respect to one another, and wherein the substituted FR residue is at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 76H, 78H, 92H and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

81. The humanized variable domain of claim 80 wherein the substituted residue is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR amino acid residues are obtained.

82. The humanized variable domain of claim 80 wherein no human Framework Region (FR) residue other than those set forth in the group has been substituted.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENTINO. : 6,407,213 B1 DATED : June 18, 2002 INVENTOR(S) : Carter et al. Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 88, Line 63, please delete "63" and insert therefor -- 79 --.

Signed and Sealed this

Third Day of December, 2002



JAMES E. ROGAN Director of the United States Potent and Trademark Office

DOCUMENT NO: 27

| Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 |
|---|
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE |
| |

12105

| | · · · · | | | 1 110.2 | 1 | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | Approved for us | se through 07/31. | PTO/SB/05 (05-05) /2006. OMB 0651-0032 | | | |
| | Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no per- | sons are req | U.S. Pater uired to respond to a col | It and Trademark Office lection of information unk | e. U.S. DEPARTN ess it displays a va | AENT OF COMMERCE | | | |
| | | Ati | tomey Docket No. | CARP0001-112 | | | | | |
| D | | Fir | rst Inventor | John R. Adair et al. | | 20 📰 🗌 | | | |
| F7 | TRANSMITTAI | Tit | lle | HUMANISED ANTIE | ODIES | 00 100 100 100 100 | | | |
| (Only for new | w nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. 1.5 | 3(b)) Ex | press Mail Label No. | ress Mail Label No. EV146 601 565US | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | Commissio | ner for Patents | | | | |
| See MPEP c | hapter 600 concerning utility patent application co | ntents. | ADDRESS | TO: P.O. Box 14 Alexandria | 150 VA 22313-1450 | | | | |
| 1. K Fee 7 | Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17) | | ACCOMPANYING APPLICATIONS PARTS | | | | | | |
| 2. Appl | licant claims small entity status. | 37 | 9. 🗋 Assig | Inment Papers (cov | er sheet & doc | ument(s)) | | | |
| 3. Spec | cification [Total Pages the claims and abstract must start on a new page altomation on the preferred errangement see MPEP 608 | 70] | | ne or Assignee | | | | | |
| 4. Drav | wing(s) (35 U.S.C.113) [Total Sheets | <u>18</u>] | 10. 🗌 37 C. (when | F.R. 3.73(b) Statem there is an assigne | ent 🛛 Cop e) Atte | y of Power of orney | | | |
| 5. Oath or 1 | Declaration [Total Sheets Newly executed (original or copy) | <u>03</u>] | 11. 🗍 Engli | sh Translation Doc | ument (if appli | icable) | | | |
| □- ⊻i | (for a continuation/divisional with Box 18 c) [DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) | סא (ם)) ompleted) | 12. Infor | mation Disclosure \$ Copies of foreign pa publications & othe | Statement (PT) tent document er information | D/SB/08 or PTO-1449) s, | | | |
| | Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b). | | 13. 🖾 Prelia under 37 C.F.R | 13. 🖾 Preliminary Amendment and Request for Interferent under 37 C.F.R. § 42.202 | | | | | |
| 6. ⊠ App 7. □ CD-I | plication Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76 | | 14. Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) | | | | | | |
| Con | mputer Program (<i>Appendix</i>)] Landscape Table on CD | | 15. Certified Copy of Priority Document Was Received in Parent Application Serial No. 07/743.329, Filed September 17, | | | | | | |
| 8. Nucleoti (if applic a. Comp | ide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submis cable, items ac. are required) outer Readable Form (CRF) | sion | 1991 (if foreign priority is claimed) 16. Nonpublication Request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i). | | | | | | |
| i. □ (→ II. ⊠ 1 | Computer Readable Form (CRF) Transfer Request (37 CFR 1.821(e)) | | App | Applicant must attach form PTO/SB/35 or equivalent. | | | | | |
| ы. Specif i. □ (ii. ⊠ I | псаtion Sequence Listing on: CD-ROM or CD-R (2 copies); or Рарег Сору | | 17. Other Application da | Copy of Change o ted December 23, 2 d May 1, 1997. | f Correspond 002, from Apr | ence Address – Dication Serial No. | | | |
| c. 🔀 Sta | atements verifying identity of above cop | ies | | | | | | | |
| 18. If a CONI specification a Contin which is a Co Wrapper Cor International Filed Decemt Prior appl | INUNG APPLICATION, check appropriate dox a following the title, or in an Application Data S, nuation Divisional Continuat antinuation of Application Serial No. 07/743,329, I Application No. PCT/GB90/02017, International Fi ber 21, 1989, all applications incorporated by refer lication information: Examiner Minh Tam B. I | r, and supp heet under ion-in-part (C Filed Septer Filed Septer filed Septer filed Septer filed Septer filed Septer filed Support Savis | Ny The requisite inform 37 CFR 1.76: CIP) of p mber 7, 1994, now U.S. mber 17, 1991, Abanda i December 21, 1990, w a in their entireties. | ation below and in the prior Application No. <u>S</u> Patent No. 5,859,205, 1 Dred, which Is a 35 U. Nich claims priority to 0 Art Unil: <u>1642</u> | e first sentence erial No. 08/846,t ssued January 1 S.C. 371 Natione Great Britain App | or the 358, Filed May 1, 1997, 2, 1999, which is a File al Stage Application of dication No. 8928874.0, | | | |
| | 19. | CORRESI | PONDENCE ADDR | ESS | | | | | |
| 🖾 The add | dress associated with Customer Number | 34132 | | OR 🗖 Cor | respondence ad | dress below | | | |
| | | | | ····· | | | | | |
| Name | | | | ··· | | | | | |
| Name Address | | | | | | | | | |
| Name Address City | | State | | Zip Code | | | | | |
| Name Address City Country | Te | State | | Zip Code Email address | | | | | |
| Name Address City Country Signature | Naceen Ga | State lephone | huple' | Zip Code Email address Date November 21 | , 2005 | | | | |

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

| 14042 | Under the Paperwork R | Reduction Act of 1 | 995, no persons are requir | red to respon | U.S. Pate d to a collection | Approved fr nt and Trademark O n of information unle | or use through 07/ Mice: U.S. DEPAF | PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2) 31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 RTMENT OF COMMERCE alid OMB control number. | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | E | fective on 12/08/ | 2004. | | | Complete | e if Known | | | |
| Ĭ | Fees pursuant to the Con | solidated Appropr | ations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818 | 9). Applica | tion Number | Not Yet Assigned | | | | |
| d | FEE T | RANS | MITTAL | Filing | Filing Data November 21 2005 | | | | | |
| | fo | or FY 2 | 005 | First N | First Named Investor John R. Adair et al. | | | | | |
| - | Applicant claims s | mall entity sta | tus. See 37 CFR 1.27 | Examir | Evaminer Name Not Yet Assigned | | | | | |
| | | | | Art Uni | t | Not Yet Assigned | 1 | | | |
| | TOTAL AMOUNT OF | PAYMENT | (\$) 1,000.00 | Attorne | y Docket No. | CARP0001-112 | | | | |
| METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 🗌 Check 🔲 Credit | Card 🗌 Mo | ney Order 🔲 None | 🗌 Other (| please identif | y): | | | | |
| | Deposit Account | Deposit Accour | nt Number: 50-1275 | | Deposit Acco | ount Name: Coze | n O'Connor, P | .C | | |
| | For the above | e-identified dep | osit account, the Directo | r is hereby | authorized to: | (check all that ap | ply) | | | |
| | 🔀 Charo | e fee(s) indicate | ed below | - | 🔲 Chai | rge fee(s) indicate | d below, excep | t for the filing fee | | |
| | Charo | e any additiona | l fee(s) or undernavmen | ts of fee(s) | | lit any overbavme | ents | - | | |
| | Under WARNING: Information of | 37 CFR 1.16 a | nd 1.17 become public. Credit car | rd informatio | on should not b | e included on this | form. Provide cr | edit card | | |
| | information and authoriz | ation on PTO-20 | 38. | | | | | | | |
| | FEE CALCULATION | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. BASIC FILING, S | SEARCH, AN | D EXAMINATION FE | ES | FEEQ | | | | | |
| | | FILING | Small Entity | SEARCH | Small Entit | | Small Entity | | | |
| | Application Type | <u>Fee (\$)</u> | Fee(\$) | <u>Fee(\$)</u> | Fee(\$) | <u> </u> | Fee(\$) | Fees Paid (\$) | | |
| | Utility | 300 | 150 | 500 | 250 | 200 | 100 | <u>\$1,000.00</u> | | |
| | Design | 200 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 130 | 65 | | | |
| | Plant | 200 | 100 | 300 | 150 | 160 | 80 | | | |
| | Reissue | 300 | 150 | 500 | 250 | 600 | 300 | | | |
| | Provisional | 200 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | 2. EXCESS CLAIM | FEES | | | | | | Small Entity | | |
| | Fee Description Each claim over 20 | (including Rei | ssues) | | | | <u>Fee (\$)</u> 50 | <u>Fee (\$)</u> 25 | | |
| | Each independent c | laim over 3 (in | cluding Reissues) | | | | 200 | 100 | | |
| - | Multiple dependent | claims | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ | | | 360 | 180 | | |
| | Total Claims | Extra C | Jaims <u>Fee(\$)</u> | <u>Fee</u> | Paid (\$) | | Multiple | Dependent Claims | | |
| | <u>U2</u> - 20 of | | X | = | | | <u>⊢ee (\$</u>) | ree Paid (\$) | | |
| | | Fytra (| and for, in greater than 20. | Faa | Paid (\$) | | | | | |
| | 01 - 03 or | HP= 00 | x | = | | | | | | |
| | HP = highest number | er of independent | claims paid for, if greater that | an 3. | | | | | | |
| | 3. APPLICATION S | IZE FEE | | | | | | | | |
| | If the specification ar | d drawings exe | ceed 100 sheets of paper | r (excluding | g electronicall | y filed sequence | or computer | | | |
| | listings under | r 37 CFR 1.52(| e)), the application size $35 \parallel S \subseteq 41(a)(1)(G$ | tee due is 3 | 5250 (\$125 for FR 1 16(c) | r small entity) for | each additiona | 150 | | |
| | Total Sheet | ts <u>Extra Sh</u> | eets Number of | each add | tional 50 or | fraction there | of <u>Fee (\$)</u> | Fee Paid (\$) | | |
| | | = | /50 =(| (round up | to a whole n | umber) x | = | | | |
| | 4. OTHER FEE(S) | | | | | | | Fees Paid (\$) | | |
| | Non-English | Specification, S | \$130 fee (no small entity | y discount) | | | | | | |
| | Other (e.g., la | ate filing surch: | arge): | | | | | | | |
| ļ | | | | | | | · · · · | | | |
| | SUBMITTED BY | <u>A</u> | | | Desistanting Mr. | | | | | |
| | Signature | Nore | n yathook | upll | Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) | 35,719 | Telephone | (215) 665-5593 | | |
| | Name (Print/Type) | Doreen Yatko Truji | | - | | | Date | November 21, 200 | | |
| | i his collection of information is re- | equirea by 37 CFR 1. | 130. The information is required | I to obtain or re | ain a benefit by the | e public which is to file (| (and by the USPTO t | o process) an application. | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

| 14042 | Under the Paperwork R | Reduction Act of 1 | 995, no persons are requir | red to respon | U.S. Pate d to a collection | Approved fr nt and Trademark O n of information unle | or use through 07/ Mice: U.S. DEPAF | PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2) 31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 RTMENT OF COMMERCE alid OMB control number. | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | E | fective on 12/08/ | 2004. | | | Complete | e if Known | | | |
| Ĭ | Fees pursuant to the Con | solidated Appropr | ations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818 | 9). Applica | tion Number | Not Yet Assigned | | | | |
| d | FEE T | RANS | MITTAL | Filing | Filing Data November 21 2005 | | | | | |
| | fo | or FY 2 | 005 | First N | First Named Investor John R. Adair et al. | | | | | |
| - | Applicant claims s | mall entity sta | tus. See 37 CFR 1.27 | Examir | Evaminer Name Not Yet Assigned | | | | | |
| | | | | Art Uni | t | Not Yet Assigned | 1 | | | |
| | TOTAL AMOUNT OF | PAYMENT | (\$) 1,000.00 | Attorne | y Docket No. | CARP0001-112 | | | | |
| METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 🗌 Check 🔲 Credit | Card 🗌 Mo | ney Order 🔲 None | 🗌 Other (| please identif | y): | | | | |
| | Deposit Account | Deposit Accour | nt Number: 50-1275 | | Deposit Acco | ount Name: Coze | n O'Connor, P | .C | | |
| | For the above | e-identified dep | osit account, the Directo | r is hereby | authorized to: | (check all that ap | ply) | | | |
| | 🔀 Charo | e fee(s) indicate | ed below | - | 🔲 Chai | rge fee(s) indicate | d below, excep | t for the filing fee | | |
| | Charo | e any additiona | l fee(s) or undernavmen | ts of fee(s) | | lit any overbavme | ents | - | | |
| | Under WARNING: Information of | 37 CFR 1.16 a | nd 1.17 become public. Credit car | rd informatio | on should not b | e included on this | form. Provide cr | edit card | | |
| | information and authoriz | ation on PTO-20 | 38. | | | | | | | |
| | FEE CALCULATION | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. BASIC FILING, S | SEARCH, AN | D EXAMINATION FE | ES | FEEQ | | | | | |
| | | FILING | Small Entity | SEARCH | Small Entit | | Small Entity | | | |
| | Application Type | <u>Fee (\$)</u> | Fee(\$) | <u>Fee(\$)</u> | Fee(\$) | <u> </u> | Fee(\$) | Fees Paid (\$) | | |
| | Utility | 300 | 150 | 500 | 250 | 200 | 100 | <u>\$1,000.00</u> | | |
| | Design | 200 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 130 | 65 | | | |
| | Plant | 200 | 100 | 300 | 150 | 160 | 80 | | | |
| | Reissue | 300 | 150 | 500 | 250 | 600 | 300 | | | |
| | Provisional | 200 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | 2. EXCESS CLAIM | FEES | | | | | | Small Entity | | |
| | Fee Description Each claim over 20 | (including Rei | ssues) | | | | <u>Fee (\$)</u> 50 | <u>Fee (\$)</u> 25 | | |
| | Each independent c | laim over 3 (in | cluding Reissues) | | | | 200 | 100 | | |
| - | Multiple dependent | claims | | _ | | | 360 | 180 | | |
| | Total Claims | Extra C | Jaims <u>Fee(\$)</u> | <u>Fee</u> | Paid (\$) | | Multiple | Dependent Claims | | |
| | <u>U2</u> - 20 of | | X | = | | | <u>⊢ee (\$</u>) | ree Paid (\$) | | |
| | | Fytra (| and for, in greater than 20. | Faa | Paid (\$) | | | | | |
| | 01 - 03 or | HP= 00 | x | = | | | | | | |
| | HP = highest number | er of independent | claims paid for, if greater that | an 3. | | | | | | |
| | 3. APPLICATION S | IZE FEE | | | | | | | | |
| | If the specification ar | d drawings exe | ceed 100 sheets of paper | r (excluding | g electronicall | y filed sequence | or computer | | | |
| | listings under | r 37 CFR 1.52(| e)), the application size $35 \parallel S \subseteq 41(a)(1)(G$ | tee due is 3 | 5250 (\$125 for FR 1 16(c) | r small entity) for | each additiona | 150 | | |
| | Total Sheet | ts <u>Extra Sh</u> | eets Number of | each add | tional 50 or | fraction there | of <u>Fee (\$)</u> | Fee Paid (\$) | | |
| | | = | /50 =(| (round up | to a whole n | umber) x | = | | | |
| | 4. OTHER FEE(S) | | | | | | | Fees Paid (\$) | | |
| | Non-English | Specification, S | \$130 fee (no small entity | y discount) | | | | | | |
| | Other (e.g., la | ate filing surch: | arge): | | | | | | | |
| ļ | | | | | | | · · · · | | | |
| | SUBMITTED BY | <u>A</u> | | <u></u> | Desistanting Mr. | | | | | |
| | Signature | Nore | n yathook | upll | Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) | 35,719 | Telephone | (215) 665-5593 | | |
| | Name (Print/Type) | Doreen Yatko Truji | | - | | | Date | November 21, 200 | | |
| | i his collection of information is re- | equirea by 37 CFR 1. | 130. The information is required | I to obtain or re | ain a benefit by the | e public which is to file (| (and by the USPTO t | o process) an application. | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules, to processes for their production using recombinant DNA technology, and to their therapeutic uses.

The term "humanised antibody molecule" is used to describe a molecule having an antigen binding site derived from an immunoglobulin from a non-human species, and remaining immunoglobulin-derived parts of the molecule being derived from a human immunoglobulin. The antigen binding site typically comprises complementarity determining regions (CDRs) which determine the binding specificity of the antibody molecule and which are carried on appropriate framework regions in the variable domains. There are 3 CDRs (CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3) in each of the heavy and light chain variable domains.

In the description, reference is made to a number of publications by number. The publications are listed in numerical order at the end of the description.

Background of the Invention

Natural immunoglobulins have been known for many years, as have the various fragments thereof, such as the Fab, (Fab')₂ and Fc fragments, which can be derived by enzymatic cleavage. Natural immunoglobulins comprise a generally Y-shaped molecule having an antigen-binding site towards the end of each upper arm. The remainder of the structure, and particularly the stem of the Y, mediates the effector functions associated with immunoglobulins.

Natural immunoglobuling have been used in assay, diagnosis and, to a more limited extent, therapy. However, such uses, especially in therapy, were hindered until recently by the polyclonal nature of natural immunoglobulins. A significant step towards the realisation of the potential of immunoglobulins as therapeutic agents was the discovery of procedures for the production of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) of defined specificity (1).

However, most MAbs are produced by hybridomas which are fusions of rodent spleen cells with rodent myeloma cells. They are therefore essentially rodent proteins. There are very few reports of the production of human MAbs.

Since most available MAbs are of rodent origin, they are naturally antigenic in humans and thus can give rise to an undesirable immune response termed the HAMA (Human Anti-Mouse Antibody) response. Therefore, the use of rodent MAbs as therapeutic agents in humans is inherently limited by the fact that the human subject will mount an immunological response to the MAb and will either remove it entirely or at least reduce its effectiveness. In practice, MAbs of rodent origin may not be used in patients for more than one or a few treatments as a HAMA response soon develops rendering the MAb ineffective as well as giving rise to undesirable reactions. For instance, OKT3 a mouse IgG2a/k MAb which recognises an antigen in the T-cell receptor-CD3 complex has been approved for use in many countries throughout the world as an immunosuppressant in the treatment of acute allograft rejection [Chatenoud et al (2) and Jeffers et al However, in view of the rodent nature of this and (3)].other such MAbs, a significant HAMA response which may include a major anti-idiotype component, may build up on Clearly, it would be highly desirable to diminish use. or abolish this undesirable HAMA response and thus enlarge the areas of use of these very useful antibodies.

Proposals have therefore been made to render non-human MAbs less antigenic in humans. Such techniques can be generically termed "humanisation" techniques. These

- 2 -

261 of 1849

techniques typically involve the use of recombinant DNA technology to manipulate DNA sequences encoding the polypeptide chains of the antibody molecule.

Early methods for humanising MAbs involved production of chimeric antibodies in which an antigen binding site comprising the complete variable domains of one antibody is linked to constant domains derived from another Methods for carrying out such chimerisation antibody. procedures are described in EP0120694 (Celltech Limited), EP0125023 (Genentech Inc. and City of Hope), EP-A-0 171496 (Res. Dev. Corp. Japan), EP-A-0 173 494 (Stanford University), and WO 86/01533 (Celltech Limited). This latter Celltech application (WO 86/01533) discloses a process for preparing an antibody molecule having the variable domains from a mouse MAb and the constant domains from a human immunoglobulin. Such humanised chimeric antibodies, however, still contain a significant proportion of non-human amino acid sequence, i.e. the complete non-human variable domains, and thus may still elicit some HAMA response, particularly if administered over a prolonged period [Begent et al (ref. 4)].

In an alternative approach, described in EP-A-0239400 (Winter), the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of a mouse MAb have been grafted onto the framework regions of the variable domains of a human immunoglobulin by site directed mutagenesis using long oligonucleotides. The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules prepared according to this alternative approach, i.e. CDR-grafted humanised antibody molecules. Such CDR-grafted humanised antibodies are much less likely to give rise to a HAMA response than humanised chimeric antibodies in view of the much lower proportion of non-human amino acid sequence which they contain.

BI Exhibit 1095

The earliest work on humanising MAbs by CDR-grafting was carried out on MAbs recognising synthetic antigens, such as the NP or NIP antigens. However, examples in which a mouse MAb recognising lysozyme and a rat MAb recognising an antigen on human T-cells were humanised by CDR-grafting have been described by Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (5) and Riechmann <u>et al</u> (6) respectively. The preparation of CDR-grafted antibody to the antigen on human T cells is also described in WO 89/07452 (Medical Research Council).

In Riechmann et al/Medical Research Council it was found that transfer of the CDR regions alone [as defined by Kabat refs. (7) and (8)] was not sufficient to provide satisfactory antigen binding activity in the CDR-grafted Riechmann et al found that it was necessary to product. convert a serine residue at position 27 of the human sequence to the corresponding rat phenylalanine residue to obtain a CDR-grafted product having improved antigen binding activity. This residue at position 27 of the heavy chain is within the structural loop adjacent to CDRI. A further construct which additionally contained a human serine to rat tyrosine change at position 30 of the heavy chain did not have a significantly altered binding activity over the humanised antibody with the serine to phenylalanine change at position 27 alone. These results indicata that changes to residues of the human sequence outside the CDR regions, in particular in the structural loop adjacent to CDR1, may be necessary to obtain effective antigen binding activity for CDR-grafted antibodies which recognise more complex antigens. Even so the binding affinity of the best CDR-grafted antibodies obtained was still significantly less than the original MAb.

Very recently Queen et al (9) have described the preparation of a humanised antibody that binds to the

interleukin 2 receptor, by combining the CDRs of a murine MAb (anti-Tac) with human immunoglobulin framework and constant regions. The human framework regions were chosen to maximise homology with the anti-Tac MAb sequence. In addition computer modelling was used to identify framework amino acid residues which were likely to interact with the CDRs or antigen, and mouse amino acids were used at these positions in the humanised antibody.

- 5 -

In WO 90/07861 Queen et al propose four criteria for The first criterion designing humanised immunoglobulins. is to use as the human acceptor the framework from a particular human immunoglobulin that is unusually homologous to the non-human donor immunoglobulin to be humanised, or to use a consensus framework from many human The second criterion is to use the donor antibodies. amino acid rather than the acceptor if the human acceptor residue is unusual and the donor residue is typical for human sequences at a specific residue of the framework. The third criterion is to use the donor framework amino acid residue rather than the acceptor at positions immediately adjacent to the CDRs. The fourth criterion is to use the donor amino acid residue at framework positions at which the amino acid is predicted to have a side chain atom within about 3 Å of the CDRs in a three-dimensional immunoglobulin model and to be capable of interacting with the antigen or with the CDRs of the humanised immunoglobulin. It is proposed that criteria two, three or four may be applied in addition or alternatively to criterion one, and may be applied singly or in any combination.

WO 90/07861 describes in detail the preparation of a single CDR-grafted humanised antibody, a humanised antibody having specificity for the p55 Tac protein of the

The combination of all four criteria, as IL-2 receptor. above, were employed in designing this humanised antibody. the variable region frameworks of the human antibody Eu (7) being used as acceptor. In the resultant humanised antibody the donor CDRs were as defined by Kabat et al (7 and 8) and in addition the mouse donor residues were used in place of the human acceptor residues, at positions 27, 30, 48, 66, 67, 89, 91, 94, 103, 104, 105 and 107 in the heavy chain and at positions 48, 60 and 63 in the light chain, of the variable region frameworks. The humanised anti-Tac antibody obtained is reported to have an affinity for p55 of 3 x 10^9 M⁻¹, about one-third of that of the murine MAb.

6 -

We have further investigated the preparation of CDRgrafted humanised antibody molecules and have identified a hierarchy of positions within the framework of the variable regions (i.e. outside both the Kabat CDRs and structural loops of the variable regions) at which the amino acid identities of the residues are important for obtaining CDR-grafted products with satisfactory binding affinity. This has enabled us to establish a protocol for obtaining satisfactory CDR-grafted products which may be applied very widely irrespective of the level of homology between the donor immunoglobulin and acceptor The set of residues which we have identified framework. as being of critical importance does not coincide with the residues identified by Queen et al (9).

Summary of the Invention

Accordingly, in a first aspect the invention provides a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/ or 91. In preferred embodiments, the heavy chain framework comprises donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 or at positions 23, 24 and 49. The residues at positions 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain framework are preferably either all acceptor or all donor residues.

In particularly preferred embodiments the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions 6, 37, 48 and 94. Also it is particularly preferred that residues at positions of the heavy chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 25, 36, 39, 47, 93, 103, 104, 106 and 107, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

In addition the heavy chain framework optionally comprises
donor residues at one, some or all of positions:
1 and 3,
72 and 76,
69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor),
38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue),
80 and 20 (if 69 is the donor residue),
67,
82 and 18 (if 67 is the donor residue),
91,
88, and
any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.

In the first and other aspects of the present invention reference is made to CDR-grafted antibody products comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions. It will be appreciated that the invention is widely applicable to the CDR-grafting of antibodies in

- 7 -

general. Thus, the donor and acceptor antibodies may be derived from animals of the same species and even same antibody class or sub-class. More usually, however, the donor and acceptor antibodies are derived from animals of different species. Typically the donor antibody is a non-human antibody, such as a rodent MAb, and the acceptor antibody is a human antibody.

In the first and other aspects of the present invention. the donor antigen binding region typically comprises at least one CDR from the donor antibody. Usually the donor antigen binding region comprises at least two and preferably all three CDRs of each of the heavy chain and/or light chain variable regions. The CDRs may comprise the Kabat CDRs, the structural loop CDRs or a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs and any combination of any of these. Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted heavy chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR2 (residues 50-65) and CDR3 (residues 95-100) and a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs at CDR1 (residues 26-35).

The residue designations given above and elsewhere in the present application are numbered according to the Kabat numbering [refs. (7) and (8)]. Thus the residue designations do not always correspond directly with the linear numbering of the amino acid residues. The actual linear amino acid sequence may contain fewer or additional amino acids than in the strict Kabat numbering corresponding to a shortening of, or insertion into, a structural component, whether framework or CDR, of the basic variable domain structure. For example, the heavy chain variable region of the anti-Tac antibody described by Queen et al (9) contains a single amino acid insert (residue 52a) after residue 52 of CDR2 and a three amino

acid insert (residues 82a, 82b and 82c) after framework residue 82, in the Kabat numbering. The correct Kabat numbering of residues may be determined for a given antibody by alignment at regions of homology of the sequence of the antibody with a "standard" Kabat numbered sequence.

The invention also provides in a second aspect a CDRgrafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47. Preferably the CDR grafted light chain of the second aspect comprises donor residues at positions 46 and/or 47.

The invention also provides in a third aspect a CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In a preferred embodiment of the third aspect, the framework comprises donor residues at all of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In particularly preferred embodiments of the second and third aspects, the framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 36, 44, 47, 85 and 87. Similarly positions of the light chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 49, 62, 64-69, 98, 99, 101 and 102, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the light chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102. In addition the framework of the second or third aspects optionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions:

1 and 3,

63,

60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form at potential saltbridge), 70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor), 37 and 45 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor), and

any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 80, 103 and 105.

Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted light chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR1 (residue 24-34), CDR2 (residues 50-56) and CDR3 (residues 89-97).

The invention further provides in a fourth aspect a CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to the first and second or first and third aspects of the invention.

The humanised antibody molecules and chains of the present invention may comprise: a complete antibody molecule, having full length heavy and light chains; a fragment thereof, such as a Fab, (Fab')₂ or FV fragment; a light chain or heavy chain monomer or dimer; or a single chain antibody, e.g. a single chain FV in which heavy and light chain variable regions are joined by a peptide linker; or any other CDR-grafted molecule with the same specificity as the original donor antibody. Similarly the CDR-grafted heavy and light chain variable region may be combined with other antibody domains as appropriate.

- 11 -

Also the heavy or light chains or humanised antibody molecules of the present invention may have attached to them an effector or reporter molecule. For instance, it may have a macrocycle, for chelating a heavy metal atom, or a toxin, such as ricin, attached to it by a covalent bridging structure. Alternatively, the procedures of recombinant DNA technology may be used to produce an immunoglobulin molecule in which the Fc fragment or CH3 domain of a complete immunoglobulin molecule has been replaced by, or has attached thereto by peptide linkage, a functional non-immunoglobulin protein, such as an enzyme or toxin molecule.

Any appropriate acceptor variable region framework sequences may be used having regard to class/type of the donor antibody from which the antigen binding regions are derived. Preferably, the type of acceptor framework used is of the same/similar class/type as the donor antibody. Conveniently, the framework may be chosen to maximise/ optimise homology with the donor antibody sequence particularly at positions close or adjacent to the CDRs. However, a high level of homology between donor and acceptor sequences is not important for application of the The present invention identifies a present invention. hierarchy of framework residue positions at which donor residues may be important or desirable for obtaining a CDR-grafted antibody product having satisfactory binding The CDR-grafted products usually have properties. binding affinities of at least 10⁵ M⁻¹, preferably at least about 10^8 M⁻¹, or especially in the range 10^8-10^{12} M^{-1} . In principle, the present invention is applicable to any combination of donor and acceptor antibodies irrespective of the level of homology between their A protocol for applying the invention to any sequences. particular donor-acceptor antibody pair is given Examples of human frameworks which may be hereinafter.

270 of 1849

used are KOL, NEWN, REI, EU, LAY and POM (refs. 4 and 5) and the like; for instance KOL and NEWM for the heavy chain and REI for the light chain and EU, LAY and POM for both the heavy chain and the light chain.

Also the constant region domains of the products of the invention may be selected having regard to the proposed function of the antibody in particular the effector functions which may be required. For example, the constant region domains may be human IgA, IgE, IgG or IgM In particular, IgG human constant region domains. domains may be used, especially of the IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes, when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic uses, and antibody effector functions are required. Alternatively, IgG2 and IgG4 isotypes may be used when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic purposes and antibody effector functions are not required, e.g. for simple blocking of lymphokine activity.

However, the remainder of the antibody molecules need not comprise only protein sequences from immunoglobulins. For instance, a gene may be constructed in which a DNA sequence encoding part of a human immunoglobulin chain is fused to a DNA sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of a functional polypeptide such as an effector or reporter molecule.

Preferably the CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chain and antibody molecule products are produced by recombinant DNA technology.

Thus in further aspects the invention also includes DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains, cloning and expression vectors containing the DNA sequences, host cells transformed with the DNA sequences and processes for producing the CDR-grafted chains and antibody molecules comprising expressing the DNA sequences in the transformed host cells.

The general methods by which the vectors may be constructed, transfection methods and culture methods are well known per se and form no part of the invention. Such methods are shown, for instance, in references 10 and 11.

The DNA sequences which encode the donor amino acid sequence may be obtained by methods well known in the art. For example the donor coding sequences may be obtained by genomic cloning, or cDNA cloning from suitable hybridoma cell lines. Positive clones may be screened using appropriate probes for the heavy and light chain genes in question. Also PCR cloning may be used.

DNA coding for acceptor, e.g. human acceptor, sequences may be obtained in any appropriate way. For example DNA sequences coding for preferred human acceptor frameworks such as KOL, REI, EU and NEWM, are widely available to workers in the art.

The standard techniques of molecular biology may be used to prepare DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted Desired DNA sequences may be synthesised products. completely or in part using oligonucleotide synthesis techniques. Site-directed mutagenesis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques may be used as For example oligonucleotide directed appropriate. synthesis as described by Jones et al (ref. 20) may be Also oligonucleotide directed mutagenesis of a used. pre-exising variable region as, for example, described by Verhoeyen et al (ref. 5) or Riechmann et al (ref. 6) may Also enzymatic filling in of gapped be used.

- 13 -

oligonucleotides using T4 DNA polymerase as, for example, described by Queen et al (ref. 9) may be used.

Any suitable host cell/vector system may be used for expression of the DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains. Bacterial e.g. <u>E. coli</u>, and other microbial systems may be used, in particular for expression of antibody fragments such as FAb and (Fab')₂ fragments, and especially FV fragments and single chain antibody fragments e.g. single chain FVs. Eucaryotic e.g. mammalian host cell expression systems may be used for production of larger CDR-grafted antibody products, including complete antibody molecules. Suitable mammalian host cells include CHO cells and myeloma or hybridoma cell lines.

Thus, in a further aspect the present invention provides a process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising:

 (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to the first aspect of the invention;

and/or

- (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to the second or third aspect of the invention;
- (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and
- (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.

273 of 1849

The CDR-grafted product may comprise only heavy or light chain derived polypeptide, in which case only a heavy chain or light chain polypeptide coding sequence is used to transfect the host cells.

For production of products comprising both heavy and light chains, the cell line may be transfected with two vectors, the first vector may contain an operon encoding a light chain-derived polypeptide and the second vector containing an operon encoding a heavy chain-derived polypeptide. Preferably, the vectors are identical, except in so far as the coding sequences and selectable markers are concerned, so as to ensure as far as possible that each polypeptide chain is equally expressed. Alternatively, a single vector may be used, the vector including the sequences encoding both light chain- and heavy chain-derived polypeptides.

The DNA in the coding sequences for the light and heavy chains may comprise cDNA or genomic DNA or both. However, it is preferred that the DNA sequence encoding the heavy or light chain comprises at least partially, genomic DNA, preferably a fusion of cDNA and genomic DNA.

The present invention is applicable to antibodies of any appropriate specificity. Advantageously, however, the invention may be applied to the humanisation of non-human antibodies which are used for in vivo therapy or diagnosis. Thus the antibodies may be site-specific antibodies such as tumour-specific or cell surfacespecific antibodies, suitable for use in in vivo therapy or diagnosis, e.g. tumour imaging. Examples of cell surface-specific antibodies are anti-T cell antibodies, such as anti-CD3, and CD4 and adhesion molecules, such as CR3, ICAM and ELAM. The antibodies may have specificity for interleukins (including lymphokines, growth factors and stimulating factors), hormones and other biologically active compounds, and receptors for any of these. For

example, the antibodies may have specificity for any of the following: Interferons $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$ or δ , IL1, IL2, IL3, or IL4, etc., TNF, GCSF, GMCSF, EPO, hGH, or insulin, etc.

The the present invention also includes therapeutic and diagnostic compositions comprising the CDR-grafted products of the invention and uses of such compositions in therapy and diagnosis.

Accordingly in a further aspect the invention provides a therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.

Accordingly also the invention provides a method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention to a human or animal subject.

A preferred protocol for obtaining CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chains in accordance with the present invention is set out below together with the rationale by which we have derived this protocol. This protocol and rationale are given without prejudice to the generality of the invention as hereinbefore described and defined.

Protocol

It is first of all necessary to sequence the DNA coding for the heavy and light chain variable regions of the donor antibody, to determine their amino acid sequences. It is also necessary to choose appropriate acceptor heavy and light chain variable regions, of known amino acid sequence. The CDR-grafted chain is then designed starting from the basis of the acceptor sequence. It will be appreciated that in some cases the donor and acceptor amino acid residues may be identical at a particular position and thus no change of acceptor framework residue is required.

As a first step donor residues are substituted for

 acceptor residues in the CDRs. For this purpose the
 CDRs are preferably defined as follows:

| Heavy | chain | - | CDR1: | residues | 26-35 |
|-------|-------|-----|-------|----------|--------|
| | | - | CDR2: | residues | 50-65 |
| | | *** | CDR3: | residues | 95-102 |
| Light | chain | - | CDR1: | residues | 24-34 |
| | | - | CDR2: | residues | 50-56 |
| | | - | CDR3: | residues | 89-97 |

The positions at which donor residues are to be substituted for acceptor in the framework are then chosen as follows, first of all with respect to the heavy chain and subsequently with respect to the light chain.

2. <u>Heavy Chain</u>

- 2.1 Choose donor residues at all of positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain or all of positions 23, 24 and 49 (71, 73 and 78 are always either all donor or all acceptor).
- 2.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, and if not preferably choose the donor: 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

BI Exhibit 1095

- 2.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:
 - i. 1, 3 ii. 72, 76 iii. If 48 is different between donor and acceptor sequences, consider 69 iv. If at 48 the donor residue is chosen, consider 38 and 46 If at 69 the donor residue is chosen, consider ٧. 80 and then 20 67 vi. vii. If at 67 the donor residue is chosen, consider 82 and then 18 viii. 91 ix. 88 x. 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112

3. Light Chain

- 3.1 Choose donor at 46, 48, 58 and 71
- 3.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, if not preferably choose donor:

2, 4, 6, 35, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69 inclusive, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102

- 3.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:
 - i. 1,3 ii. 63

- iii. 60, if 60 and 54 are able to form potential
 saltbridge
- iv. 70, if 70 and 24 are able to form potential
 saltbridge
- v. 73, and 21 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vi. 37, and 45 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vii. 10, 12, 40, 80, 103, 105

Rationale

1.

In order to transfer the binding site of an antibody into a different acceptor framework, a number of factors need to be considered.

The extent of the CDRs

The CDRs (Complementary Determining Regions) were defined by Wu and Kabat (refs. 4 and 5) on the basis of an analysis of the variability of different regions of antibody variable regions. Three regions per domain were recognised. In the light chain the sequences are 24-34, 50-56, 89-97 (numbering according to Kabat (ref. 4), Eu Index) inclusive and in the heavy chain the sequences are 31-35, 50-65 and 95-102 inclusive.

When antibody structures became available it became apparent that these CDR regions corresponded in the main to loop regions which extended from the β barrel framework of the light and heavy variable domains. For H1 there was a discrepancy in that the loop was from 26 to 32 inclusive and for H2 the loop was 52 to 56 and for L2 from 50 to 53. However, with the exception of H1 the CDR regions encompassed the loop regions and extended into the β strand frameworks. In H1 residue 26 tends to be a serine and 27 a phenylalanine or tyrosine, residue 29 is a phenylalanine in most cases. Residues 28 and 30 which are surface residues exposed to solvent might be involved in antigen-binding. A prudent definition of the H1 CDR therefore would include residues 26-35 to include both the loop region and the hypervariable residues 33-35.

It is of interest to note the example of Riechmann <u>et al</u> (ref. 3), who used the residue 31-35 choice for CDR-H1. In order to produce efficient antigen binding, residue 27 also needed to be recruited from the donor (rat) antibody.

<u>Non-CDR residues which contribute to antigen</u> binding

By examination of available X-ray structures we have identified a number of residues which may have an effect on net antigen binding and which can be demonstrated by experiment. These residues can be sub-divided into a number of groups.

2.1

2.

Surface residues near CDR [all numbering as in Kabat <u>et al</u> (ref. 7)].

2.1.1.

Heavy Chain - Key residues are 23, 71 and 73. Other residues which may contribute to a lesser extent are 1, 3 and 76. Finally 25 is usually conserved but the murine residue should be used if there is a difference.

2.1.2

Light Chain - Many residues close to the CDRs, e.g. 63, 65, 67 and 69 are conserved. If . conserved none of the surface residues in the light chain are likely to have a major effect. However, if the murine residue at these positions is unusual, then it would be of benefit to analyse the likely contribution more closely. Other residues which may also contribute to binding are 1 and 3, and also 60 and 70 if the residues at these positions and at 54 and 24 respectively are potentially able to form a salt bridge i.e. 60 + 54; 70 + 24. Packing residues near the CDRs.

A CONTRACTOR OF MANY E

2.2

.

Heavy Chain - Key residues are 24, 49 and 78. Other key residues would be 36 if not a tryptophan, 94 if not an arginine, 104 and 106 if not glycines and 107 if not a threonine. Residues which may make a further contribution to stable packing of the heavy chain and hence improved affinity are 2, 4, 6, 38, 46, 67 and 69. 67 packs against the CDR residue 63 and this pair could be either both mouse or both Finally, residues which contribute to human. packing in this region but from a longer range are 18, 20, 80, 82 and 86. 82 packs against 67 and in turn 18 packs against 82. 80 packs against 69 and in turn 20 packs against 80. 86 forms an H bond network with 38 and 46. Many of the mouse-human differences appear minor e.g. Leu-Ile, but could have an minor impact on correct packing which could translate into altered positioning of the CDRs.

2.2.2.

Light Chain - Key residues are 48, 58 and 71. Other key residues would be 6 if not glutamine, 35 if not tryptophan, 62 if not phenylalanine or tryosine, 64, 66, 68, 99 and 101 if not glycines and 102 if not a threonine. Residues which make a further contribution are 2, 4, 37, 45 and 47. Finally residues 73 and 21 and 19 may make long distance packing contributions of a minor nature. 2.3.

Residues at the variable domain interface between heavy and light chains - In both the light and heavy chains most of the non-CDR interface residues are conserved. If a conserved residue is replaced by a residue of different character, e.g. size or charge, it should be considered for retention as the murine residue.

2.3.1. Heavy Chain - Residues which need to be considered are 37 if the residue is not a valine but is of larger side chain volume or has a charge or polarity. Other residues are 39 if not a glutamine, 45 if not a leucine, 47 if not a tryptophan, 91 if not a phenylalanine or tyrosine, 93 if not an alanine and 103 if not a tryptophan. Residue 89 is also at the interface but is not in a position where the side chain could be of great impact.

2.3.2.

2.4.

Light Chain - Residues which need to be considered are 36, if not a tyrosine, 38 if not a glutamine, 44 if not a proline, 46, 49 if not a tyrosine, residue 85, residue 87 if not a tyrosine and 98 if not a phenylalanine. Variable-Constant region interface - The elbow angle between variable and constant regions may we be affected by alterations in packing of key residues in the variable region against the constant region which may affect the position of V_{T} , and V_{H} with respect to one another. Therefore it is worth noting the residues likely to be in contact with the constant region. In the heavy chain the surface residues potentially in contact with the variable region are conserved between mouse and human antibodies therefore the variable region contact residues may influence In the light chain the the V-C interaction. amino acids found at a number of the constant

region contact points vary, and the $V \in C$ regions are not in such close proximity as the heavy chain. Therefore the influences of the light chain V-C interface may be minor.

- 2.4.1. Heavy Chain Contact residues are 7, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112.
- 2.4.2. Light Chain In the light chain potentially contacting residues are 10, 12, 40, 80, 83, 103 and 105.

The above analysis coupled with our considerable practical experimental experience in the CDR-grafting of a number of different antibodies have lead us to the protocol given above.

The present invention is now described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying Figures 1 - 13.

Brief Description of the Figures

| Figure | I | shows | DNA | and | amino | acid | sequences | of | the | OKT3 |
|--------|---|-------|------|-----|-------|------|-----------|----|-----|------|
| | | light | chai | Ln; | | | | | | |

- Figure 2 shows DNA and amino acid sequences of the OKT3 heavy chain;
- Figure 3 shows the alignment of the OKT3 light variable region amino acid sequence with that of the light variable region of the human antibody REI;
 - Figure 4 shows the alignment of the OKT3 heavy variable region amino acid sequence with that of the heavy variable region of the human antibody KOL;
 - Figure 5 shows the heavy variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, KOL and various corresponding CDR grafts;
 - Figure 6 shows the light variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, REI and various corresponding CDR grafts;

| Figure 7 | shows a graph of binding assay results for |
|-----------|--|
| • | various grafted OKT3 antibodies' |
| Figure 8 | shows a graph of blocking assay results for |
| - | various grafted OKT3 antibodies; |
| Figure 9 | shows a similar graph of blocking assay results; |
| Figure 10 | shows similar graphs for both binding assay and |
| | blocking assay results; |
| Figure 11 | shows further similar graphs for both binding |
| | assay and blocking assay results; |
| Figure 12 | shows a graph of competition assay results for |
| | a minimally grafted OKT3 antibody compared with |
| | the OKT3 murine reference standard, and |
| Figure 13 | shows a similar graph of competition assay |
| | results comparing a fully grafted OKT3 antibody |
| | with the murine reference standard. |

۰ ،

.

. -

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

EXAMPLE 1

2.

CDR-GRAFTING OF OKT3

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. INCOMING CELLS

Hybridoma cells producing antibody OKT3 were provided by Ortho (seedlot 4882.1) and were grown up in antibiotic free Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with glutamine and 5% foetal calf serum, and divided to provide both an overgrown supernatant for evaluation and cells for extraction of RNA. The overgrown supernatant was shown to contain 250 ug/mL murine IgG2a/kappa antibody. The supernatant was negative for murine lambda light chain and IgG1, IgG2b, IgG3, IgA and IgM heavy chain. 20mL of supernatant was assayed to confirm that the antibody present was OKT3.

MOLECULAR BIOLOGY PROCEDURES

Basic molecular biology procedures were as described in Maniatis <u>et al</u> (ref. 9) with, in some cases, minor modifications. DNA sequencing was performed as described in Sanger <u>et al</u> (ref. 11) and the Amersham International Plc sequencing handbook. Site directed mutagenesis was as described in Kramer <u>et al</u> (ref. 12) and the Anglian Biotechnology Ltd. handbook. COS cell expression and metabolic labelling studies were as described in Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)

- 26 -

3. RESEARCH ASSAYS

3.1. ASSEMBLY ASSAYS

Assembly assays were performed on supernatants from transfected COS cells to determine the amount of intact IgG present.

3.1.1. COS CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH MOUSE OKT3 GENES The assembly assay for intact mouse IgG in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

> 96 well microtitre plates were coated with F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc. The plates were washed in water and samples added for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG F(ab')2 (HRPO conjugated) was then added. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. UPC10, a mouse IgG2a myeloma, was used as a standard.

3.1.2.

COS AND CHO CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH CHIMERIC OR CDR-GRAFTED OKT3 GENES

The assembly assay for chimeric or CDR-grafted antibody in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

96 well microtitre plates were coated with F(ab')2 goat anti-human IgG Fc. The plates were washed and samples added and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and monoclonal mouse anti-human kappa chain was added for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed and F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added. Enzyme substrate was added to reveal the reaction. Chimeric B72.3 (IgG4) (ref. 13) was used as a standard. The use of a monoclonal anti-kappa chain in this assay allows grafted antibodies to be read from the chimeric standard. 3.2.

ASSAY FOR ANTIGEN BINDING ACTIVITY

Material from COS cell supernatants was assayed for OKT3 antigen binding activity onto CD3 positive cells in a direct assay. The procedure was as follows:

HUT 78 cells (human T cell line, CD3 positive) were maintained in culture. Monolayers of HUT 78 cells were prepared onto 96 well ELISA plates using poly-L-lysine and glutaraldehyde. Samples were added to the monolayers for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed gently using PBS. F(ab')2 goat anti-human IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) or F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added as appropriate for humanised or mouse samples. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. The negative control for the cell-based assay was chimeric B72.3. The positive control was mouse Orthomune OKT3 or chimeric OKT3, when available. This cell-based assay was difficult to perform, and an alternative assay was developed for CDR-grafted OKT3 which was more sensitive and easier to carry out.

In this system CDR-grafted OKT3 produced by COS cells was tested for its ability to bind to the CD3-positive HPB-ALL (human peripheral blood acute lymphocytic leukemia) cell line. It was also tested for its ability to block the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells. Binding was measured by the following procedure: HPB-ALL cells were harvested from tissue culture. Cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody, positive control antibody, or The cells negative control antibody. were washed once and incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with an FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG (Fc-

286 of 1849

specific, mouse absorbed). The cells were washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. Chimeric ORT3 was used as a positive control for direct Cells incubated with mock- transfected binding. COS cell supernatant, followed by the FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG, provided the negative control. To test the ability of CDR-grafted OKT3 to block murine OKT3 binding, the HPB-ALL cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody or control antibody. A fixed saturating amount of FITC OKT3 was added. The samples were incubated for 1 hour at 4°C, washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. FITC-labelled OKT3 was used as a positive control to determine maximum binding. Unlabelled murine OKT3 served as a reference standard for blocking. Negative controls were unstained cells with or without mock-transfected cell supernatant. The ability of the CDR-grafted OKT3 light chain to bind CD3-positive cells and block the binding of murine OKT3 was initially tested in combination with the chimeric OKT3 heavy chain. The chimeric OKT3 heavy chain is composed of the murine OKT3 variable region and the human IgG4 constant The chimeric heavy chain gene is region. expressed in the same expression vector used for the CDR-grafted genes. The CDR-grafted light chain expression vector and the chimeric heavy chain expression vector were co-transfected into The fully chimeric OKT3 antibody COS cells. (chimeric light chain and chimeric heavy chain) was found to be fully capable of binding to CD3 positive cells and blocking the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells.

- 28 -

3.3

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE BINDING AFFINITY The relative binding affinities of CDR-grafted

anti-CD3 monoclonal antibodies were determined by competition binding (ref. 6) using the HPB-ALL human T cell line as a source of CD3 antigen, and fluorescein-conjugated murine OKT3 (Fl-OKT3) of known binding affinity as a tracer antibody. The binding affinity of Fl-OKT3 tracer antibody was determined by a direct binding assay in which increasing amounts of F1-OKT3 were incubated with HPB-ALL (5x10⁵) in PBS with 5% foetal calf serum for 60 min. at 4°C. Cells were washed, and the fluorescence intensity was determined on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards (Flow Cytometry Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC). Fluorescence intensity per antibody molecule (F/P ratio) was determined by using microbeads which have a predetermined number of mouse IgG antibody binding sites (Simply Cellular beads, Flow Cytometry Standards). F/P equals the fluorescence intensity of beads saturated with F1-OKT3 divided by the number of binding sites per bead. The amount of bound and free F1-OKT3 was calculated from the mean fluorescence intensity per cell, and the ratio of bound/free was plotted against the number A linear fit was of moles of antibody bound. used to determine the affinity of binding (absolute value of the slope).

For competitive binding, increasing amounts of competitor antibody were added to a sub-saturating dose of Fl-OKT3 and incubated with 5×10^5 HPB-ALL in 200 Ml of PBS with 5% foetal calf serum, for 60 min at 4°C. The fluorescence intensities of the cells were measured on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards. The concentrations of bound and free Fl-OKT3 were calculated. The affinities of competing anti-
- 30 -

bodies were calculated from the equation [X]-[OKT3] = (1/Kx) - (1/Ka), where Ka is the affinity of murine OKT3, Kx is the affinity of competitor X, [] is the concentration of competitor antibody at which bound/free binding is R/2, and R is the maximal bound/free binding.

4.

CONA LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

mRNA PREPARATION AND CDNA SYNTHESIS

4.1.

4.2.

OKT3 producing cells were grown as described above and 1.2 x 10⁹ cells harvested and mRNA extracted using the guanidinium/LiCl extraction procedure. cDNA was prepared by priming from Oligo-dT to generate full length cDNA. The cDNA was methylated and EcoRl linkers added for cloning. LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

The cDNA library was ligated to pSP65 vector DNA which had been EcoR1 cut and the 5' phosphate groups removed by calf intestinal phosphatase (EcoR1/CIP). The ligation was used to transform high transformation efficiency Escherichia coli (E.coli) HB101. A cDNA library was prepared. 3600 colonies were screened for the light chain and 10000 colonies were screened for the heavy chain.

5.

SCREENING

E.coli colonies positive for either heavy or light chain probes were identified by oligonucleotide screening using the oligonucleotides: 5' TCCAGATGTTAACTGCTCAC for the light chain, which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse kappa constant region, and 5' CAGGGGCCAGTGGATGGATAGAC for the heavy chain which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse IgG2a constant CH1 domain region. 12 light chain and 9 heavy chain clones

were identified and taken for second round Positive clones from the second round screening. of screening were grown up and DNA prepared. sizes of the gene inserts were estimated by gel

electrophoresis and inserts of a size capable of containing a full length cDNA were subcloned into M13 for DNA sequencing.

DNA SEQUENCING

Clones representing four size classes for both heavy and light chains were obtained in M13. DNA sequence for the 5' untranslated regions, signal sequences, variable regions and 3' untranslated regions of full length cDNAs (Figures 1(a) and 2(a)] were obtained and the corresponding amino acid sequences predicted [(Figures 1(b) and In Figure 1(a) the untranslated DNA 2(b)1. regions are shown in uppercase, and in both Figures 1 and 2 the signal sequences are underlined.

7.

6.

..........

CONSTRUCTION OF CONA EXPRESSION VECTORS

Celltach expression vectors are based on the plasmid pEE6hCMV (ref. 14). A polylinker for the insertion of genes to be expressed has been introduced after the major immediate early promoter/enhancer of the human Cytomegalovirus Marker genes for selection of the (hCMV). plasmid in transfected eukaryotic cells can be inserted as BamH1 cassettes in the unique BamH1 site of pEE6 hCMV; for instance, the neo marker It is usual practice to provide pEE6 hCMV neo. to insert the neo and gpt markers prior to insertion of the gene of interest, whereas the GS marker is inserted last because of the presence of internal EcoRI sites in the cassette.

- 31 -

The

The mouse sequences were excised from the M13 based vectors described above as EcoR1 fragments and cloned into either pEE6-hCMV-neo for the heavy chain and into EE6-hCMV-gpt for the light chain to yield vectors pJA136 and pJA135 respectively.

8.

9.

EXPRESSION OF CONAS IN COS CELLS

Plasmids pJA135 and pJA136 were co-transfected into COS cells and supernatant from the transient expression experiment was shown to contain assembled antibody which bound to T-cell enriched lymphocytes. Metabolic labelling experiments using ³⁵S methionine showed expression and assembly of heavy and light chains.

CONSTRUCTION OF CHIMERIC GENES

Construction of chimeric genes followed a previously described strategy [Whittle et al (ref. 13)]. A restriction site near the 3' end of the variable domain sequence is identified and used to attach an oligonucleotide adapter coding for the remainder of the mouse variable region and a suitable restriction site for attachment to the constant region of choice.

9.1.

LIGHT CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION

The mouse light chain cDNA sequence contains an Aval site near the 3' end of the variable region [Fig. 1(a)]. The majority of the sequence of the variable region was isolated as a 396 bp. EcoR1-Aval fragment. An oligonucleotide adapter was designed to replace the remainder of the 3' region of the variable region from the Aval site and to include the 5' residues of the human constant region up to and including a unique Narl site which had been previously engineered into the constant region.

- 33 -

A Hindlll site was introduced to act as a marker for insertion of the linker.

The linker was ligated to the V_L fragment and the 413 bp EcoR1-Narl adapted fragment was purified from the ligation mixture.

The constant region was isolated as an Narl-BamH1 fragment from an M13 clone NW361 and was ligated with the variable region DNA into an EcoR1/BamH1/CIP pSP65 treated vector in a three way reaction to yield plasmid JA143. Clones were isolated after transformation into E.coli and the linker and junction sequences were confirmed by the presence of the Hind111 site and by DNA sequencing.

9.2

LIGHT CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION - VERSION 2 The construction of the first chimeric light chain gene produces a fusion of mouse and human amino acid sequences at the variable-constant region junction. In the case of the OKT3 light chain the amino acids at the chimera junction are:Leu-Glu-Ile-Asn-Arg/ -/Thr-Val-Ala -Ala

VARIABLE CONSTANT This arrangement of sequence introduces a potential site for Asparagine (Asn) linked (N-linked) glycosylation at the V-C junction. Therefore, a second version of the chimeric light chain oligonucleotide adapter was designed in which the threonine (Thr), the first amino acid of the human constant region, was replaced with the equivalent amino acid from the mouse constant

region, Alanine (Ala).

An internal Hindlll site was not included in this adapter, to differentiate the two chimeric light chain genes.

The variable region fragment was isolated as a 376 bp BcoR1-Aval fragment. The oligonucleotide linker was ligated to Narl cut pNW361 and then the adapted 396bp constant region was isolated after recutting the modified pNW361 with EcoR1. The variable region fragment and the modified constant region fragment were ligated directly into EcoR1/C1P treated pEE6hCMVneo to yield pJA137. Initially all clones examined had the insert in the incorrect orientation. Therefore, the insert was re-isolated and recloned to turn the insert round and yield plasmid pJA141. Several clones with the insert in the correct orientation were obtained and the adapter sequence of one was confirmed by DNA sequencing

9.3. HEAVY CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION

9.3.1. CHOICE OF HEAVY CHAIN GENE ISOTYPE The constant region isotype chosen for the heavy chain was human IgG4.

9.3.2. GENE CONSTRUCTION

The heavy chain cDNA sequence showed a Banl site near the 3' end of the variable region [Fig. 2(a)]. The majority of the sequence of the variable region was isolated as a 426bp. EcoRl/ClP/Banl fragment. An oligonucleotide adapter was designated to replace the remainder of the 3' region of the variable region from the Banl site up to and including a unique HindIII site which had been previously engineered into the first two amino acids of the constant region. The linker was ligated to the V_H fragment and the EcoRl-Hind111 adapted fragment was purified from the ligation mixture.

293 of 1849

The variable region was ligated to the constant region by cutting pJA91 with EcoR1 and Hind111 removing the intron fragment and replacing it with the V_H to yield pJA142. Clones were isolated after transformation into E.coli JN101 and the linker and junction sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing. (N.B. The Hind111 site is lost on cloning).

10. 10.1.

CONSTRUCTION OF CHIMERIC EXPRESSION VECTORS neo AND gpt VECTORS

The chimeric light chain (version 1) was removed from pJA143 as an EcoR1 fragment and cloned into EcoR1/C1P treated pEE6hCMVneo expression vector to Clones with the insert in the yield pJA145. correct orientation were identified by restriction mapping.

The chimeric light chain (version 2) was constructed as described above.

The chimeric heavy chain gene was isolated from pJA142 as a 2.5Kbp EcoR1/BamH1 fragment and cloned into the EcoR1/Bcl1/CIP treated vector fragment of a derivative of pEE6hCMVgpt to yield plasmid pJA144.

10.2.

GS SEPARATE VECTORS

GS versions of pJA141 and pJA144 were constructed by replacing the neo and gpt cassettes by a BamH1/Sal1/ClP treatment of the plasmids, isolation of the vector fragment and ligation to a GS-containing fragment from the plasmid pRO49 to yield the light chain vector pJA179 and the heavy chain vector pJA180.

10.3. GS SINGLE VECTOR CONSTRUCTION

> Single vector constructions containing the cL (chimeric light), cH (chimeric heavy) and GS genes on one plasmid in the order cL-cH-GS, or cH-cL-GS

and with transcription of the genes being head to tail e.g. cL>cH>GS were constructed. These plasmids were made by treating pJA179 or pJA180 with BamH1/C1P and ligating in a Bgl11/Hind111 hCMV promoter cassette along with either the Hind111/BamH1 fragment from pJA141 into pJA180 to give the cH-cL-GS plasmid pJA182 or the Hind111/BamH1 fragment from pJA144 into pJA179 to give the cL-cH-GS plasmid pJA181.

11. EXPRESSION OF CHIMERIC GENES

11.1.

EXPRESSION IN COS CELLS

The chimeric antibody plasmid pJA145 (cL) and pJA144 (CH) were co-transfected into COS cells and supernatant from the transient expression experiment was shown to contain assembled antibody which bound to the HUT 78 human T-cell line. Metabolic labelling experiments using 35S methionine showed expression and assembly of heavy However the light chain ' and light chains. mobility seen on reduced gels suggested that the potential glycosylation site was being glycosylated. Expression in COS cells in the presence of tunicamycin showed a reduction in size of the light chain to that shown for control chimeric antibodies and the OKT3 mouse light chain. Therefore JA141 was constructed and In this case the light chain did not expressed. show an aberrant mobility or a size shift in the presence or absence of tunicamycin. This second version of the chimeric light chain, when expressed in association with chimeric heavy (CH) chain, produced antibody which showed good binding In both cases antigen binding to HUT 78 cells. was equivalent to that of the mouse antibody.

A COMPANY CAN I A

11.2 EXPRESSION IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY (CHO) CELLS Stable cell lines have been prepared from plasmids PJA141/pJA144 and from pJA179/pJA180, pJA181 and pJA182 by transfection into CHO cells.

12. CDR-GRAFTING

The approach taken was to try to introduce sufficient mouse residues into a human variable region framework to generate antigen binding activity comparable to the mouse and chimeric antibodies.

12.1. VARIABLE REGION ANALYSIS

From an examination of a small database of structures of antibodies and antigen-antibody complexes it is clear that only a small number of antibody residues make direct contact with antigen. Other residues may contribute to antigen binding by positioning the contact residues in favourable configurations and also by inducing a stable packing of the individual variable domains and stable interaction of the light and heavy chain variable domains. The residues chosen for transfer can be identified in a number of ways:

(a) By examination of antibody X-ray crystal structures the antigen binding surface can be predominantly located on a series of loops, three per domain, which extend from the B-barrel framework.

(b)

By analysis of antibody variable domain sequences regions of hypervariability [termed the Complementarity Determining Regions (CDRs) by Wu and Kabat (ref. 5)] can be identified. In the most but not all cases these CDRs correspond to, but extend a short way beyond, the loop regions noted above. (c)

Residues not identified by (a) and (b) may contribute to antigen binding directly or indirectly by affecting antigen binding site topology, or by inducing a stable packing of the individual variable domains and stabilising the inter-variable domain These residues may be interaction. identified either by superimposing the sequences for a given antibody on a known structure and looking at key residues for their contribution, or by sequence alignment analysis and noting "idiosyncratic" residues followed by examination of their structural location and likely effects.

12.1.1. LIGHT CHAIN

Figure 3 shows an alignment of sequences for the human framework region RE1 and the OKT3 light variable region. The structural loops (LOOP) and CDRs (KABAT) believed to correspond to the antigen binding region are marked. Also marked are a number of other residues which may also contribute to antigen binding as described in 13.1(c). Above the sequence in Figure 3 the residue type indicates the spatial location of each residue side chain, derived by examination of resolved structures from X-ray crystallography analysis. The key to this residue type designation is as follows:

N - near to CDR (From X-ray Structures)

B - Buried Non-Packing

S - Surface

P - Packing

E - Exposed

I - Interface

- Exposed
- Interiace
- * Interface ·
- Packing/Part Exposed

? - Non-CDR Residues which may require to be left as Mouse sequence. Residues underlined in Figure 3 are amino acids. RE1 was chosen as the human framework because the light chain is a kappa chain and the kappa variable regions show higher homology with the mouse sequences than a lambda light variable region, e.g. KOL (see below). RE1 was chosen in preference to another kappa light chain because the X-ray structure of the light chain has been determined so that a structural examination of individual residues could be made.

12.1.2. HEAVY CHAIN

......

Similarly Figure 4 shows an alignment of sequences for the human framework region KOL and the OKT3 heavy variable region. The structural loops and CDRs believed to correspond to the antigen binding Also marked are a number of region are marked. other residues which may also contribute to antigen binding as described in 12.1(c). The residue type key and other indicators used in Figure 4 are the same as those used in Figure 3. KOL was chosen as the heavy chain framework because the X-ray structure has been determined to a better resolution than, for example, NEWM and also the sequence alignment of OKT3 heavy variable region showed a slightly better homology to KOL than to NEWM.

12.2. DESIGN OF VARIABLE GENES

The variable region domains were designed with mouse variable region optimal codon usage [Grantham and Perrin (ref. 15)] and used the B72.3 signal sequences [Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)]. The sequences were designed to be attached to the constant region in the same way as for the chimeric genes described above. Some constructs contained the "Kozak consensus sequence" [Kozak (ref. 16)] directly linked to the 5' of the signal sequence in the gene. This sequence motif is believed to have a beneficial role in translation initiation in eukaryotes.

- 40 -

12.3.

GENE CONSTRUCTION

To build the variable regions, various strategies are available. The sequence may be assembled by using oligonucleotides in a manner similar to Jones <u>et al</u> (ref. 17) or by simultaneously replacing all of the CDRs or loop regions by oligonucleotide directed site specific mutagenesis in a manner similar to Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (ref. 2). Both strategies were used and a list of constructions is set out in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 4 and 5. It was noted in several cases that the mutagenesis approach led to deletions and rearrangements in the gene being remodelled, while the success of the assembly approach was very sensitive to the quality of the oligonucleotides.

13. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPRESSION VECTORS

Genes were isolated from M13 or SP65 based intermediate vectors and cloned into pEE6hCMVneo for the light chains and pEE6hCMVgpt for the heavy chains in a manner similar to that for the chimeric genes as described above. MO AILANA

Ç

- 41 -

| TABLE | 1 | CDR-G | RAFTED | GENE CONSTRUC | TS | | • |
|---------------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------|
| CODB | HOUS | E SEQUEN | CE | | METHOD OF | KOZ | ĸ |
| | CONT | ENT | | | CONSTRUCTION | SEQU | JENCE |
| - | | | | | | - | + |
| | | | | | | ******* | |
| LIGHT | CHAIN | ALL H | UMAN FR | ANEWORK RE1 | | | • |
| 121 | 26-32 | 2, 50-56 | , 91-96 | inclusive | SDM and gene assem | bly + | n.d. |
| 121A | 26-32 | 2. 50-56 | , 91-96 | inclusive | Partial gene assemi | bly n.d. | + |
| | +1, 3 | 3, 46, 43 | 7 | | | | |
| 121B | 26-32 | . 50-56 | 91-96 | Inclusive | Partial gene assemb | bly n.d. | + |
| | + 46, | 47 | | | | | |
| 221 | 24-24 | , 50-56, | , 91-96 | inclusive | Partial gene assemb | oly + | + |
| 221A | 24-34 | , 50-56, | 91-96 | inclusive | Partial gene assemb |)ly + | + |
| | +1, 3 | , 46, 47 | 7 | | • | | |
| 221B | 24-34 | , 50-56, | 91-96 | inclusive | Partial gene assemb | ly + | + |
| _ | +1, 3 | | | - | | | |
| 2 21C | 24-34 | , 50-56, | 91-96 | inclusive | Partial gene assemb | ily + | + |
| | | | | | | | |
| HEAVY C | HAIN | ALL H | UMAN FR | AMEWORK KOL | | | |
| 121 | 26-32 | , 50-56, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Gene assembly | n.d. | + |
| 131 | 26-32 | , 50-58, | 95-100 | 8 inclusive | Gene assembly | n.d. | + |
| 141 | 26-32 | 50-65, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Partial gene assemb | ly + | n.d. |
| 321 | 26-35 | , 50-56, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Partial gene assemb | ly + | n.d. |
| 331 | 26-35 | , 50-58, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Partial gene assemb | ly + | |
| • • • | | | | | Gana assembly | - | + |
| 341 | 26-35, | 50-65. | 95-100 | B inclusive | SDM | + | |
| | | | | | Partial gene assemb | ly | . + |
| 341A . | 26-35, | 50-65, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Gene assembly | n.d. | + |
| | +6, 23 | 1, 24, 4 | 8, 49, | 71, 73, 76, | • | | |
| | 78, 88 | , 91 (+ | 63 - hu | Hen) | a 1.8 | | |
| 341B | 26-35, | 50-65, | 95-100 | B inclusive | Gene assembly | n.d. | + |
| | + 48, | 49, 71, | 73, 76 | , 78, 88, 91 | | | • |
| | (+63 + | - human) | | | | | |
| <u>KEY</u> n.d. | | not don | 9 | | | | • |
| SDM | | Site di | rected | mutagenesis | | | |
| Gene as: Partial | gene | Variable Variable | a region a region | n assembled en n assembled by | ntirely from oligonuc v combination of rest | leotides riction | |
| assent | oly | fragment | ts eith | er from other | genes originally cre | ated by | SDM |
| Ň | | and gene | assemi | bly or by olig | gonucleotide assembly anstruction with rest | or part | OI |
| | | fragment | s from | other genes | originally created by | SDM and | gene |
| | | assembly | 7 | | | - | |

BI Exhibit 1095

14. EXPRESSION OF CDR-GRAFTED GENES

14.1.

PRODUCTION OF ANTIBODY CONSISTING OF GRAFTED LIGHT (gL) CHAINS WITH MOUSE HEAVY (mH) OR CHIMERIC HEAVY (CH) CHAINS

All gL chains, in association with mH or cH produced reasonable amounts of antibody. Insertion of the Kozak consensus sequence at a position 5' to the ATG (kgL constructs) however, led to a 2-5 fold improvement in net expression. Over an extended series of experiments expression levels were raised from approximately 200ng/ml to approximately 500 ng/ml for kgL/cH or kgL/mH combinations.

When direct binding to antigen on HUT 78 cells was measured, a construct designed to include mouse sequence based on loop length (gL121) did not lead to active antibody in association with mH or cH. A construct designed to include mouse sequence based on Kabat CDRs (gL221) demonstrated some weak binding in association with mH or cH. However, when framework residues 1, 3, 46, 47 were changed from the human to the murine OKT3 equivalents based on the arguments outlined in Section 12.1 antigen binding was demonstrated when both of the new constructs, which were termed 121A and 221A were co-expressed with cH. When the effects of these residues were examined in more detail, it appears that residues 1 and 3 are not major contributing residues as the product of the gL221B gene shows little detectable binding activity in association with cH. The light chain product of gL221C, in which mouse sequences are present at 46 and 47, shows good binding activity in association with cH.

301 of 1849

PRODUCTION OF ANTIBODY CONSISTING OF GRAFTED HEAVY (gH) CHAINS WITH MOUSE LIGHT (mL) OR CHIMERIC LIGHT (cL) CHAINS Expression of the gH genes proved to be more

difficult to achieve than for gL. First, inclusion of the Kozak sequence appeared to have no marked effect on expression of gH genes. Expression appears to be slightly improved but not to the same degree as seen for the grafted light chain.

Also, it proved difficult to demonstrate production of expected quantities of material when the loop choice (amino acid 26-32) for CDR1 is used, e.g. gH121, 131, 141 and no conclusions can be drawn about these constructs.

Moreover, co-expression of the gH341 gene with cL or mL has been variable and has tended to produce lower amounts of antibody than the cH/cL or mH/mL combinations. The alterations to gH341 to produce gH341A and gH341B lead to improved levels of expression.

This may be due either to a general increase in the fraction of mouse sequence in the variable region, or to the alteration at position 63 where the residue is returned to the human amino acid Valine (Val) from Phenylalanine (Phe) to avoid possible internal packing problems with the rest of the human framework. This arrangement also occurs in gH331 and gH321.

When gH321 or gH331 were expressed in association with cL, antibody was produced but antibody binding activity was not detected. When the more conservative gH341 gene was used antigen binding could be detected in association with cL or mL, but the activity was only marginally above the background level.

- 44 -

14.3

PRODUCTION OF FULLY CDR-GRAFTED ANTIBODY The kgL221A gene was co-expressed with kgH341, kgH341A or kgH341B. For the combination kgH221A/kgH341 very little material was produced in a normal COS cell expression. For the combinations kgL221A/kgH341A or kgH221A/kgH341B amounts of antibody similar to gL/cH was produced. In several experiments no antigen binding activity could be detected with kgH221A/gH341 or kgH221A/kgH341 combinations, although expression levels were very low. Antigen binding was detected when kgL221A/kgH341A or kgH221A/kgH341B combinations were expressed. In the case of the antibody produced from the kgL221A/kgH341A combination the antigen binding was very similar to that of the chimeric antibody.

An analysis of the above results is given below.

15.

DISCUSSION OF CDR-GRAFTING RESULTS

In the design of the fully humanised antibody the aim was to transfer the minimum number of mouse amino acids that would confer antigen binding onto a human antibody framework.

15.1. LIGHT CHAIN

15.1.1. EXTENT OF THE CDRs

For the light chain the regions defining the loops known from structural studies of other antibodies to contain the antigen contacting residues, and

those hypervariable sequences defined by Kabat et al (refs. 4 and 5) as Complementarity Determining Regions (CDRs) are equivalent for CDR2. For CDR1 the hypervariable region extends from residues 24-34 inclusive while the structural loop extends from 26-32 inclusive. In the case of OKT3 there is only one amino acid difference between the two options, at amino acid 24, where the mouse sequence is a serine and the human framework RE1 has glutamine. For CDR3 the loop extends from residues 91-96 inclusive while the Kabat hypervariability extends from residues 89-97 For OKT3 amino acids 89, 90 and 97 inclusive. are the same between OKT3 and RE1 (Fig. 3). When constructs based on the loop choice for CDR1 (gL121) and the Rabat choice (gL221) were made and co-expressed with mH or cH no evidence for antigen binding activity could be found for gL121, but trace activity could be detected for the gL221, suggesting that a single extra mouse residue in the grafted variable region could have some detectable effect. Both gene constructs were reasonably well expressed in the transient expression system.

15.1.2. FRAMEWORK RESIDUES

The remaining framework residues were then further examined, in particular amino acids known from X-ray analysis of other antibodies to be close to the CDRs and also those amino acids which in OKT3 showed differences from the consensus framework for the mouse subgroup (subgroup VI) to which OKT3 shows most homology. Four positions 1, 3, 46 and 47 were identified and their possible contribution was examined by substituting the mouse amino acid for the human amino acid at each position. Therefore gL221A (gL221 + D1Q, Q3V, L46R, L47W, see Figure 3 and Table 1) was made, cloned in EE6hCMVneo and co-expressed with cH (pJA144). The resultant antibody was well expressed and showed good binding activity. When the related genes gL221B (gL221 + DIQ, Q3V) and gL221C (gL221 + L46R, L47W) were made and similarly tested, while both genes produced antibody when co-expressed with cH, only the gL221C/cH combination showed good antigen binding. When the gL121A (gL121 + D1Q, Q3V, L46R, L47W) gene was made and co-expressed with cH, antibody was produced which also bound to antigen.

15.2. HEAVY CHAIN

15.2.1. EXTENT OF THE CDRs

For the heavy chain the loop and hypervariability analyses agree only in CDR3. For CDR1 the loop region extends from residues 26-32 inclusive whereas the Kabat CDR extends from residues 31-35 For CDR2 the loop region is from inclusive. 50-58 inclusive while the hypervariable region covers amino acids 50-65 inclusive. Therefore humanised heavy chains were constructed using the framework from antibody KOL and with various combinations of these CDR choices, including a shorter choice for CDR2 of 50-56 inclusive as there was some uncertainty as to the definition of the end point for the CDR2 loop around residues 56 The genes were co-expressed with mL or cL to 58. initially. In the case of the gH genes with loop choices for CDR1 e.g. gH121, gH131, gH141 very little antibody was produced in the culture As no free light chain was supernatants. detected it was presumed that the antibody was being made and assembled inside the cell but that the heavy chain was aberrant in some way, possibly incorrectly folded, and therefore the antibody was

being degraded internally. In some experiments trace amounts of antibody could be detected in ³⁵S labelling studies.

As no net antibody was produced, analysis of these constructs was not pursued further.

When, however, a combination of the loop choice and the Kabat choice for CDR1 was tested (mouse amino acids 26-35 inclusive) and in which residues 31 (Ser to Arg), 33 (Ala to Thr), and 35 (Tyr to His) were changed from the human residues to the mouse residue and compared to the first series, antibody was produced for gH321, kgH331 and kgH341 when co-expressed with cL. Expression was generally low and could not be markedly improved by the insertion of the Kozak consensus sequence 5' to the ATG of the signal sequence of the gene, as distinct from the case of the gL genes where such insertion led to a 2-5 fold increase in net antibody production. However, only in the case of gH341/mL or kgH341/cL could marginal antigen binding activity be demonstrated. When the kgH341 gene was co-expressed with kgL221A, the net yield of antibody was too low to give a signal above the background level in the antigen binding assay.

15.2.2. FRAMEWORK RESIDUES

As in the case of the light chain the heavy chain frameworks were re-examined. Possibly because of the lower initial homology between the mouse and human heavy variable domains compared to the light chains, more amino acid positions proved to be of interest. Two genes kgH341A and kgH341B were constructed, with 11 or 8 human residues respectively substituted by mouse residues compared to gH341, and with the CDR2 residue 63 returned to the human amino acid potentially to improve domain packing. Both showed antigen binding when combined with cL or kgL221A, the kgH341A gene with all 11 changes appearing to be the superior choice.

15.3

INTERIM CONCLUSIONS

It has been demonstrated, therefore, for OKT3 that to transfer antigen binding ability to the humanised antibody, mouse residues outside the CDR regions defined by the Kabat hypervariability or structural loop choices are required for both the light and heavy chains. Fewer extra residues are needed for the light chain, possibly due to the higher initial homology between the mouse and human kappa variable regions.

Of the changes seven (1 and 3 from the light chain and 6, 23, 71, 73 and 76 from the heavy chain) are predicted from a knowledge of other antibody structures to be either partly exposed or on the antibody surface. It has been shown here that residues 1 and 3 in the light chain are not absolutely required to be the mouse sequence; and for the heavy chain the gH341B heavy chain in combination with the 221A light chain generated only weak binding activity. Therefore the presence of the 6, 23 and 24 changes are important to maintain a binding affinity similar to that of the murine antibody. It was important, therefore, to further study the individual contribution of othe other 8 mouse residues of the kgH341A gene compared to kgH341.

16.

FURTHER CDR-GRAFTING EXPERIMENTS

Additional CDR-grafted heavy chain genes were prepared substantially as described above. With reference to Table 2 the further heavy chain genes were based upon the gh341 (plasmid pJA178) and gH341A (plasmid pJA185) with either mouse OKT3 or human KOL residues at 6, 23, 24, 48, 49, 63, 71, 73, 76, 78, 88 and 91, as indicated. The CDRgrafted light chain genes used in these further experiments were gL221, gL221A, gL221B and gL221C as described above.

TABLE 2

OKT3 HEAVY CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

1. gH341 and derivatives

| RES NUM | 6 | 23 | 24 | 48 | 49 | 63 | 71 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 88 | 9L | |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----|----|----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|----|----------|---------------|
| 0KT3vh | <u>q</u> | K | A | I | G | F | T | K | <u> </u> | A | A | <u>Y</u> | |
| gH341 | E | S | S | V | A | F | R | N | N | L | G | F | JA178 |
| gH341A | <u>Q</u> | <u> </u> | A | I. | G | v | T | K | S | A | A | <u>Y</u> | JA185 |
| gH341E | <u>q</u> | <u> </u> | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> . | ĸ | <u>s</u> | <u> </u> | G | G | JA198 |
| gH341* | <u>Q</u> | K | A | I | G | V | T | ĸ | N | A | G | F | JA20 7 |
| gH341* | <u>q</u> | <u> </u> | A | I | G | V | R | N | N | A | G | F | JA209 |
| gH341D | <u>q</u> | K | A | I | G | v | T | K | N | L | G | F | JA197 |
| gH341* | <u>q</u> | <u> </u> | A | I | G | V | R | N | N | L | G | F | JA19 9 |
| gH341C | <u>q</u> | K | A | V | A | <u>F</u> | R | N | N | L | G | F | JA18 4 |
| gH341* | 9 | S | <u>A</u> | I | G | v | T | K | S | A | A | <u> </u> | JA203 |
| gH341* | E | S | <u>A</u> | I | G | v | T | ĸ | S | A | A | Y | JA205 |
| gH341B | E | S | S | I | G | v | T | ĸ | S | A | A | Y | JA183 |
| gH341* | Q | S | <u>A</u> | I | G | V | <u>T</u> | К | S | <u>A</u> | G | F | JA204 |
| gH341* | E | S | <u>A</u> | I | G | V | <u>T</u> | K - | S | <u>A</u> | G | F | JA206 |
| gH341* | <u>Q</u> | S | <u>A</u> | I | G | V | <u>T</u> | K | N | A | G | F | JA208 |
| KOL | E | S | S | v | A | | R | N | N | L | G | F | |

OKT3 LIGHT CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

2. gL221 and derivatives

| res num | 1 | 3 | 46 | 47 | 7 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| OKT3vl | 9 | V | R | W | |
| GL221 | D | Q | L. | * L | DA221 |
| gL221A | <u>Q</u> | <u>v</u> | R | <u> </u> | DA221A |
| gL221B | <u>q</u> | v | L | L | DA221B |
| GL221C | ם | Q | <u>R</u> | <u> </u> | DA221C |
| RE1 | D | Q | L | L | |

MURINE RESIDUES ARE UNDERLINED

The CDR-grafted heavy and light chain genes were co-expressed in COS cells either with one another in various combinations but also with the corresponding murine and chimeric heavy and light chain genes substantially as described above. The resultant antibody products were then assayed in binding and blocking assays with HPB-ALL cells as described above.

The results of the assays for various grafted heavy chains co-expressed with the gL221C light chain are given in Figures 7 and 8 (for the JA184, JA185, JA197 and JA198 constructs - see Table 2), in Figure 9 (for the JA183, JA184, JA185 and JA197 constructs) in Figure 10 (for the chimeric, JA185, JA199, JA204, JA205, JA207, JA208 and JA209 constructs) and in Figure 11 (for the JA183, JA184, JA185, JA198, JA203, JA205 and JA206 constructs).

The basic grafted product without any human to murine changes in the variable frameworks, i.e. gL221 co-expressed with gh341 (JA178), and also the "fully grafted" product, having most human to murine changes in the grafted heavy chain framework, i.e. gL221C co-expressed with gh341A (JA185), were assayed for relative binding affinity in a competition assay against murine OKT3 reference standard, using HPB-ALL cells. The assay used was as described above in section 3.3. The results obtained are given in Figure 12 for the basic grafted product and in Figure 13 for the fully grafted product. These results indicate that the basic grafted product has neglibible binding ability as compared with the OKT3 murine reference standard; whereas the "fully grafted" product has a binding ability very similar to that of the OKT3 murine reference standard.

The binding and blocking assay results indicate the following:

The JA198 and JA207 constructs appear to have the best binding characteristics and similar binding abilities, both substantially the same as the chimeric and fully grafted gH341A products. This indicates that positions 88 and 91 and position 76 are not highly critical for maintaining the OKT3 binding ability; whereas at least some of positions 6, 23, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 and 78 are more important.

This is borne out by the finding that the JA209 and JA199, although of similar binding ability to one another, are of lower binding ability than the JA198 and JA207 constructs. This indicates the importance of having mouse residues at positions 71, 73 and 78, which are either completely or partially human in the JA199 and JA209 constructs respectively.

Moreover, on comparing the results obtained for the JA205 and JA183 constructs it is seen that there is a decrease in binding going from the JA205 to the JA183 constructs. This indicates the importance of retaining a mouse residue at position 23, the only position changed between JA205 and JA183.

These and other results lead us to the conclusion that of the 11 mouse framework residues used in the gH341A (JA185) construct, it is important to retain mouse residues at all of positions 6, 23, 24, 48 and 49, and possibly for maximum binding affinity at 71, 73 and 78.

Similar Experiments were carried out to CDR-graft a number of the rodent antibodies including antibodies having specificity for CD4 (OKT4), ICAM-1 (R6-5), TAG72 (B72.3), and TNF \propto (61E71, 101.4, hTNF1, hTNF2 and hTNF3).

EXAMPLE 2

CDR-GRAFTING OF A MURINE ANTI-CD4 T CELL RECEPTOR ANTIBODY, OKT4A

Anti OKT4A CDR-grafted heavy and light chain genes were prepared, expressed and tested substantially as described above in Example 1 for CDR-grafted OKT3. The CDR grafting of OKT4A is described in detail in Ortho patent application PCT/GB 90..... of even date herewith entitled "Humanised Antibodies". The disclosure of this Ortho patent application PCT/GB 90 is incorporated herein by reference. A number of CDR-grafted OKT4 antibodies have been prepared. Presently the CDR-grafted OKT4A of choice is the combination of the grafted light chain LCDR2 and the grafted heavy chain HCDR10.

THE LIGHT CHAIN

The human acceptor framework used for the grafted light chains was RE1. The preferred LCDR2 light chain has human to mouse changes at positions 33, 34, 38, 49 and 89 in addition to the structural loop CDRs. Of these changed positions, positions 33, 34 and 89 fall within the preferred extended CDRs of the present invention (positions 33 and 34 in CDR1 and position 89 in CDR3). The human to murine changes at positions 38 and 49 corresponds to positions at which the amino acid residues are preferably donor murine amino acid residues in accordance with the present invention. A comparison of the amino acid sequences of the donor murine light chain variable domain and the RE1 human acceptor light chain variable further reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at all of positions 46, 48 and 71 and at all of positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 44, 47, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99 and 101 and 102.

However the amino acid residue at position 58 in LCDR2 is

the human RE1 framework residue not the mouse OKT4 residue as would be preferred in accordance with the present invention.

THE HEAVY CHAIN

1

The human acceptor framework used for the grafted heavy chains was KOL.

The preferred CDR graft HCDR10 heavy chain has human to mouse changes at positions 24, 35, 57, 58, 60, 88 and 91 in addition to the structural loop CDRs.

Of these positions, positions 35 (CDR1) and positions 57, 58 and 60 (CDR2) fall within the preferred extended CDRs of the present invention. Also the human to mouse change at position 24 corresponds to a position at which the amino acid residue is a donor murine residue in accordance with the present invention. Moreover, the human to mouse changes at positions 88 and 91 correspond to positions at which the amino acid residues are optionally donor murine residues.

Moreover, a comparison of the murine OKT4A and human KOL heavy chain variable amino acid sequences reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at all of positions 23, 49, 71, 73 and 78 and at all of positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

Thus the OKT4A CDR-grafted heavy chain HCDR10 corresponds to a particularly preferred embodiment according to the present invention.

EXAMPLE 3

.

CDR-GRAFTING OF AN ANTI-MUCIN SPECIFIC MURINE ANTIBODY, B72.3

The cloning of the genes coding for the anti-mucin specific murine monoclonal antibody B72.3 and the preparation of B72.3 mouse-human chimeric antibodies has been described previously (ref. 13 and WO 89/01783). CDR-grafted versions of B72.3 were prepared as follows.

(a) B72.3 Light Chain

CDR-grafting of this light chain was accomplished by direct transfer of the murine CDRs into the framework of the human light chain RE1. The regions transferred were:

| CDR Number | Residues |
|------------|----------|
|) 1 | 24-34 |
| 2 | 50-56 |
| 3 | 90-96 |

The activity of the resulting grafted light chain was assessed by co-expression in COS cells, of genes for the combinations:

B72.3 сН/В72.3 сL B72.3 сН/В72.3 gL

and

Supernatants were assayed for antibody concentration and for the ability to bind to microtitre plates coated with mucin. The results obtained indicated that, in combination with the B72.3 cH chain, B72.3 cL and B72.3 gL had similar binding properties.

Comparison of the murine B72.3 and RBI light chain amino acid sequences reveals that the residues are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71 but are different at position 48. Thus changing the human residue to the donor mouse residue at position 48 may further improve the binding characteristics of the CDR-grafted light chain, (B72.3 gL) in accordance with the present invention.

(b)

B72.3 heavy chain

i. Choice of framework

At the outset it was necessary to make a choice of human framework. Simply put, the question was as follows: Was it necessary to use the framework regions from an antibody whose crystal structure was known or could the choice be made on some other criteria?

For B72.3 heavy chain, it was reasoned that, while knowledge of structure was important, transfer of the CDRs from mouse to human frameworks might be facilitated if the overall homology between the donor and receptor frameworks was maximised. Comparison of the B72.3 heavy chain sequence with those in Kabat (ref. 4) for human heavy chains showed clearly that B72.3 had poor homology for KOL and NEWM (for which crystal structures are available) but was very homologous to the heavy chain for EU.

On this basis, EU was chosen for the CDR-grafting and the following residues transferred as CDRs.

| CDR Number | Residues |
|------------|----------|
| · 1 | 27-36 |
| 2 | 50-63 |
| 3 | 93-102 |

BI Exhibit 1095

Also it was noticed that the FR4 region of EU was unlike that of any other human (or mouse) antibody. Consequently, in the grafted heavy chain genes this was also changed to produce a "consensus" human sequence. (Preliminary experiments showed that grafted heavy chain genes containing the EU FR4 sequence expressed very poorly in transient expression systems.)

ii.

Results with grafted heavy chain genes Expression of grafted heavy chain genes containing all human framework regions with either gL or cL genes produced a grafted antibody with little ability to bind to mucin. The grafted antibody had about 1% the activity of the chimeric antibody. In these experiments, however, it was noted that the activity of the grafted antibody could be increased to \sim 10% of B72.3 by exposure to pHs of 2-3.5.

This observation provided a clue as to how the activity of the grafted antibody could be improved without acid treatment. It was postulated that acid exposure brought about the protonation of an acidic residue (pKa of aspartic acid = 3.86 and of glutamine acid = 4.25) which in turn caused a change in structure of the CDR loops, or allowed better access of antigen. From comparison of the sequences of B72.3 (ref. 13) and EU (refs. 4 and 5), it was clear that, in going from the mouse to human frameworks, only two positions.had been changed in such a way that acidic residues had been introduced. These

positions are at residues 73 and 81, where K to E and Q to E changes had been made, respectively.

Which of these positions might be important was determined by examining the crystal structure of the KOL antibody. In KOL heavy chain, position 81 is far removed from either of the CDR loops. Position 73, however, is close to both CDRs 1 and 3 of the heavy chain and, in this position it was possible to envisage that a K to E change in this region could have a detrimental effect on antigen binding.

iii. Pramework changes in B72.3 gH gene

> On the basis of the above analysis, E73 was mutated to a lysine (K). It was found that this change had a dramatic effect on the ability of the grafted Ab to bind to mucin. Further the ability of the grafted B72.3 produced by the mutated gH/gL combination to bind to mucin was similar to that of the B72.3 chimeric antibody.

iv.

ν.

Other framework changes

In the course of the above experiments, other changes were made in the heavy chain framework regions. Within the accuracy of the assays used, none of the changes, either alone or together, appeared beneficial.

Other

All assays used measured the ability of the grafted Ab to bind to mucin and, as a whole, indicated that the single framework change at position 73 is sufficient to generate an antibody with similar binding properties to B72.3.

and the second second

.

Comparison of the B72.3 murine and EU heavy chain sequences reveals that the mouse and human residues are identical at positions 23, 24, 71 and 78.

Thus the mutated CDR-grafted B72.3 heavy chain corresponds to a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

318 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

EXAMPLE 4

<u>CDR-GRAFTING OF A MURINE ANTI-ICAM-1 MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY</u> A murine antibody, R6-5-D6 (EP 0314863) having specificity for Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1 (ICAM-1) was CDR-grafted substantially as described above in previous examples. This work is described in greater detail in co-pending application, British Patent Application No. 9009549.8, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

The human EU framework was used as the acceptor framework for both heavy and light chains. The CDR-grafted antibody currently of choice is provided by co-expression of grafted light chain gL221A and grafted heavy chain gH341D which has a binding affinity for ICAM 1 of about 75% of that of the corresponding mouse-human chimeric antibody.

LIGHT CHAIN

gL221A has murine CDRs at positions 24-34 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 89-97 (CDR3). In addition several framework residues are also the murine amino acid. These residues were chosen after consideration of the possible contribution of these residues to domain packing and stability of the conformation of the antigen binding region. The residues which have been retained as mouse are at positions 2, 3, 48 (?), 60, 84, 85 and 87. Comparison of the murine anti-ICAM 1 and human EU light chain amino acid sequences reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71. HEAVY CHAIN

gH341D has murine CDRs at positions 26-35 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 94-100B (CDR3). In addition murine residues were used in gH341D at positions 24, 48, 69, 71, 73, 80, 88 and 91. Comparison of the murine anti-ICAM 1 and human EU heavy chain amino acid sequences are identical at positions 23, 49 and 78.

EXAMPLE 5

CDR-Grafting of murine anti-TNFA antibodies

A number of murine anti-TNF2 monoclonal antibodies were CDR-grafted substantially as described above in previous examples. These antibodies include the murine monoclonal antibodies designated 61 B71, hTNF1, hTNF3 and 101.4 A brief summary of the CDR-grafting of each of these antibodies is given below.

61E71

A similar analysis as described above (Bxample 1, Section 12.1.) was done for 61E71 and for the heavy chain 10 residues were identified at 23, 24, 48, 49, 68, 69, 71, 73, 75 and 88 as residues to potentially retain as murine. The human frameworks chosen for CDR-grafting of this antibody, and the hTNF3 and 101.4 antibodies were RE1 for the light chain and KOL for the heavy chain. Three genes were built, the first of which contained 23, 24, 48, 49, 71 and 73 [gH341(6)] as murine residues. The second gene also had 75 and 88 as murine residues [gH341(8)] while the third gene additionally had 68, 69, 75 and 88 as murine residues [gH341(10)]. Each was co-expressed with qL221, the minimum grafted light chain The gL221/gH341(6) and gL221/gH341(8) (CDRs only). antibodies both bound as well to TNF as murine 61E71. The gL221/gH341(10) antibody did not express and this combination was not taken further. Subsequently the gL221/gH341(6) antibody was assessed in an L929 cell competition assay in which the antibody competes against the TNF receptor on L929 cells for binding to TNF in solution. In this assay the gL221/gH341(6) antibody was approximately 10% as active as murine 61E71.

htnr1

hTNF1 is a monoclonal antibody which recognises an epitope on human TNF- . The EU human framework was used for CDR-grafting of both the heavy and light variable domains.

Heavy Chain

In the CDR-grafted heavy chain (ghTNF1) mouse CDRs were used at positions 26-35 (CDR1), 50-65 (CDR2) and 95-102 (CDR3). Mouse residues were also used in the frameworks at positions 48, 67, 69, 71, 73, 76, 89, 91, 94 and 108. Comparison of the TNF1 mouse and EU human heavy chain residues reveals that these are identical at positions 23, 24, 29 and 78.

Light Chain

In the CDR-grafted light chain (gLhTNF1) mouse CDRs wre used at positions 24-34 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 89-97 (CDR3). In addition mouse residues were used in the frameworks at positions 3, 42, 48, 49, 83, 106 and 108. Comparison of the hTNF1 mouse and EU human light chain residues reveals that these are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71.

The grafted hTNF1 heavy chain was co-expressed with the chimeric light chain and the binding ability of the product compared with that of the chimeric light chain/chimeric heavy chain product in a TNF binding assay. The grafted heavy chain product appeared to have binding ability for TNF slightly better than the fully chimeric product.

Similarly, a grafted heavy chain/grafted light chain product was co-expressed and compared with the fully chimeric product and found to have closely similar binding properties to the latter product.

321 of 1849

hTNF3

hTNF3 recognises an epitope on human TNF-X. The sequence of hTNF3 shows only 21 differences compared to 61E71 in the light and heavy chain variable regions, 10 in the light chain (2 in the CDRs at positions 50, 96 and 8 in the framework at 1, 19, 40, 45, 46, 76, 103 and 106) and 11 in the heavy chain (3 in the CDR regions at positions 52, 60 and 95 and 8 in the framework at 1, 10, 38, 40, 67, 73, 87 and 105). The light and heavy chains of the 61E71 and hTNF3 chimeric antibodies can be exchanged without loss of activity in the direct binding assay. However 61E71 is an order of magnitude less able to compete with the TNP receptor on L929 cells for TNF-a compared to hTNF3. Based on the 61E71 CDR grafting data gL221 and gH341(+23, 24, 48, 49 71 and 73 as mouse) genes have been built for hTNF3 and tested and the resultant grafted antibody binds well to TNF-a, but competes very poorly in the L929 assay. It is possible that in this case also the framework residues identified for OKT3 programme may improve the competitive binding ability of this antibody.

101.4

101.4 is a further murine monoclonal antibody able to The heavy chain of this antibody recognise human TNF-a. shows good homology to KOL and so the CDR-grafting has been based on RE1 for the light chain and KOL for the Several grafted heavy chain genes have been heavy chain. constructed with conservative choices for the CDR's (gH341) and which have one or a small number of non-CDR residues at positions 73, 78 or 77-79 inclusive, as the These have been co-expressed with cL mouse amino acids. In all cases binding to TNF equivalent to the or qL221. chimeric antibody is seen and when co-expressed with cL the resultant antibodies are able to compete well in the L929 assay. However, with gL221 the resultant antibodies

are at least an order of magnitude less able to compete for TNF against the TNF receptor on L929 cells.

Mouse residues at other positions in the heavy chain, for example, at 23 and 24 together or at 76 have been demonstrated to provide no improvement to the competitive ability of the grafted antibody in the L929 assay.

A number of other antibodies including antibodies having specificity for interleukins e.g. ILl and cancer markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) e.g. the monoclonal antibody A5B7 (ref. 21), have been successfully CDR-grafted according to the present invention. It will be appreciated that the foregoing examples are given by way of illustration only and are not intended to limit the scope of the claimed invention. Changes and modifications may be made to the methods described whilst still falling within the spirit and scope of the invention.

BI Exhibit 1095

References

.

2

| 1. | Kohler & Milstein, Nature, <u>265</u> , 295-497, 1975. |
|-----------|--|
| 2. | Chatenoud <u>et al</u> , (1986), J. Immunol. <u>137</u> , 830-838. |
| 3. | Jeffers <u>et al</u> , (1986), Transplantation, <u>41</u> , 572-578. |
| 4 | Begent <u>et al</u> , Br. J. Cancer <u>62</u> : 487 (1990). |
| 5. | Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> , Science, <u>239</u> , 1534-1536, 1988. |
| 6. | Riechmann <u>et al</u> , Nature, <u>332</u> , 323-324, 1988. |
| 7. | Kabat, E.A., Wu, T.T., Reid-Miller, M., Perry, H.M., Gottesman, K.S., 1987, in Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, US Department of Health and Human Services, NIH, USA. |
| 8. | Wu, T.T., and Kabat, E.A., 1970, J. Exp. Med. <u>132</u> 211-250. |
| 9. | Queen <u>et al</u> , (1989), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, <u>86</u> , 10029-10033 and WO 90/07861 |
| 10. | Maniatis <u>et al</u> , Molecular Cloning, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989. |
| 11. | Primrose and Old, Principles of Gene Manipulation, Blackwell, Oxford, 1980. |

12. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., Coulson, A.R., 1977, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, <u>74</u> 5463
- 13. Kramer, W., Drutsa, V., Jansen, H.-W., Kramer, B., Plugfelder, M., Fritz, H.-J., 1984, Nucl. Acids Res. 12, 9441
- Whittle, N., Adair, J., Lloyd, J.C., Jenkins, E.,
 Devine, J., Schlom, J., Raubitshek, A., Colcher, D.,
 Bodmer, M., 1987, Protein Engineering 1, 499.
- Sikder, S.S., Akolkar, P.N., Kaledaa, P.M., Morrison,
 S.L., Kabat, E.A., 1985, J. Immunol. 135, 4215.
- 16. Wallick, S.C., Kabat, E.A., Morrison, S.L., 1988, J. Exp. Med. <u>168</u>, 1099
- 17. Bebbington, C.R., Published International Patent Application WO 89/01036.
- 18. Granthan and Perrin 1986, Immunology Today 7, 160.
- 19. Kozak, M., 1987, J. Mol. Biol. 196, 947.
- 20. Jones, T.P., Dear, P.H., Foote, J., Neuberger, M.S., Winter, G., 1986, Nature, 321, 522
- 21. Harwood et al, Br. J. Cancer, 54, 75-82 (1986).

CLAINS

- A CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/or 91.
- 2. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1 comprising donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78, or at positions 23, 24 and 49.
- 3. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 2 comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.
- A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 2 or 3, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions:
 1 and 3,
 69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor),
 38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue),
 67,
 82 and 18 (if 67 is the donor residue),
 91, and
 any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.
- 5. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any of the preceding comprising donor CDRs at positions 26-35, 50-65 and 95-100.
- 6. A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47.

- 7. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 comprising donor residues at positions 46 and 47.
- 8. A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.
- 9. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 8 comprising donor residues at positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.
- 10. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 8 or 9, comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102.
- 11. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 9 or 10, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 63, 60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor), 37 and 45 (if 47 if different between donor and acceptor), and any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 83, 103 and 105.
- 12. A CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of Claims 6-11, comprising donor CDRs at positions 24-34, 50-56 and 89-97.

13. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any one of Claims 1-5 and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of Claims 6-12.

- 14. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13, which is a site-specific antibody molecule.
- 15. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 which has specificity for an interleukin, hormone or other biologically active compound or a receptor therefor.
- 16. A CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to any one of the preceding claims comprising human acceptor residues and non-human donor residues.
- 17. A DNA sequence which codes for a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1 or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8.
- 18. A cloning or expression vector containing a DNA sequence according to Claim 17.
- 19. A host cell transformed with a DNA sequence according to Claim 17.
- 20. A process for the production of a CDR-grafted antibody sequence according to Claim 17 in a transformed host cell.
- 21. A process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising:

 (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to Claim 1;

and/or

- (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8;
- (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and
- (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.
- 22. A therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain according to Claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.
- 23. A method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 to a human or animal subject.

| _ | | |
|---|----|--|
| | ∽. | |

J

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCD

| | <u> </u> | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| (51) International Patent Classification 5 : C12P 21/08, C12N 15/13 A61K 39/395, C07K 15/06 C12N 5/10, 15/62 | • | AI | (11) International Publication Numi (43) International Publication Date: | xer: WO 91/09967 11 July 1991 (11.07.91) |
| (21) International Application Number: (22) International Filing Date: 21 Decent (30) Priority data: 8928874.0 21 December ((71) Applicant (for all designated States except LIMITED [GB/GB]; 216 Bath Road SL1 4EN (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : AD [GB/GB]; 23 George Road, Stokan combe, Buckinghamshire HP14 3RN Dilject, Singh (GB/GB]; Flat 35, Kn tock Square, London WC1 (GB). EM cer [GB/GB]; 49 Temple Mill Island Buckinghamshire SL7 1SQ (GB). | PCT/GE nber 1990 989 (21.12 r US): CE , Slough, AIR, John church, F (GB). A' ollys Hou I'AGE, Jol , Temple, | 190/020 (21.12.5 (21.12.5 (21.12.5 (21.12.5) (| (74) Agent: MERCER, Christon ford, 43 Bloomsbury Squ (81) Designated States: AT, AT (European patent), BF patent), BR, CF (OAPI CH (European patent), DK, (European patent), DK, (OAPI patent), GB, GB (European patent), JP, F patent), MC, MG, ML tent), MW, NL, NL (Eu SE, SE (European patent), TG (OA Published With international search Before the expiration of claims and to be republis amendments. With a request for recific | (European patent), AU, BB, BE (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH, CM (OAPI patent), DE, DE FI, FR (European patent), GA (European patent), GR, HU, IT P, KR, LK, LU, LU (European (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI pa- iropean patent), MO, RO, SD, it), SN (OAPI patent), SU, TD PI patent), US. report. the time limit for amending the hed in the event of the receipt of mion under Rule 91.1(1). |

(54) Tide: HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

(S7) Abstract

CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chains comprise acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions, the heavy chains comprising donor residues at at least one of positions (6, 23) and/or (24, 48) and/or (49, 71) and/or (73, 75) and/or (76) and/or (78) and (88) and/or (91). The CDR-grafted light chains comprise donor residues at at least one of positions (1) and/or (3) and (46) and/or (47) or at at least one of positions (46, 48, 58) and (71). The CDR-grafted antibodies are preferably humanised antibodies, having non human, e.g. rodent, donor and human acceptor frameworks, and may be used for *in vivo* therapy and diagnosis. A generally applicable protocol is disclosed for obtaining CDR-grafted antibodies.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT Austria AU Australia 88 Barbados 86 Belgium 8**F** Burtine Feso 8**G** Bulgaria BJ Benia BR Bradi CA Canada CP Central African Republic Congo Switzerland CG CH Côte d'Ivoire CM Camerooa cs Crochoslovakia D& Gormany DK Denmark

1

ES Spale **Ft** Finland FR France GA Gabox CB United Kingdom CN Guince GR Greece KU Hungary П Italy JP Japan Democratic People's Republic KP of Korca Republic of Korca Liechtenstein KR ы LK Sri Laoka £.U Lusembourg MC Monaco

MG Madegascar ML Mall MN Mongolia MR Mauricania MW Malawi NL Netherlands NO Norway PL Poland RO Romania 80 Sudan SB Sweden SN Senegal su Soviet Union TD Chad TC Togo US United States of America

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1/18

· · · ·

| 1 | GAATTCCCAA | AGACAAAata | gattttcaag | tgcagatttt | cagetteetg |
|------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 51 | <u>ctaatcagtg</u> | cctcagtcat | aatatccaga | ggacaaattg | ttctcaccca |
| 101 | gtctccagca | atcatgtctg | catctccagg | ggagaaggtc | accatgacct |
| 151 | gcagtgccag | ctcaagtgta | agttacatga | actggtacca | gcagaagtca |
| 201 | ggcacctccc | ccaaaagatg | gatttatgac | acatccaaac | tggcttctgg |
| 251 | agtccctgct | cacttcaggg | gcagtgggtc | tgggacctct | tactctctca |
| 301 | caatcagcgg | catggaggct | gaagatgctg | ccacttatta | ctgccagcag |
| 351 | tggagtagta | accattcac | gttcggctcg | gggacaaagt | tggaaataaa |
| 401 | ccgggctgat | actgcaccaa | ctgtatccat | cttcccacca | tccagtgagc |
| 451 | agttaacatc | tggaggtgcc | tcagtcgtgt | gcttcttgaa | caacttctac |
| 501 | сссааадаса | tcaatgtcaa | gtggaagatt | gatggcagtg | ممدومدمممم |
| 551 | tggcgtcctg | aacagttgga | ctgatcagga | cagcaaagac | agcacctaca |
| 601 | gcatgagcag | caccctcacg | ttgaccaagg | acgagtatga | acgacataac |
| 651 | agctatacct | gtgaggccac | tcacaagaca | tcaacttcac | ccattgtcaa |
| 701 | gagetteaae | aggaatgagt | gtTAGAGACA | AAGGTCCTGA | GACGCCACCA |
| 751 | CCAGCTCCCA | GCTCCATCCT | ATCTTCCCTT | CTAAGGTCTT | GGAGGCTTCC |
| 801 | CCACAAGCGC | tTACCACTGT | TGCGGTGCTC | TAAACCTCCT | CCCACCTCCT |
| 851 | TCTCCTCCTC | CTCCCTTTCC | TTGGCTTTTA | TCATGCTAAT | ATTTGCAGAA |
| 901 | AATATTCAAT | AAAGTGAGTC | TTTGCCTTGA | ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ | AAA |
| (SEQ | ID $ND:4$ | | | | |

FIG. 1a

| 1 | MDFQVQIFSF | LLISASVIIS | RGDQIVLTQSF | P AIMSASPGEK | VTMTCSASSS |
|-----|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 51 | VSYMNWYQQK | SGTSPKRWIY | DTSKLASGVP | AHFRGSGSGT | SYSLTISGME |
| 101 | AEDAATYYCQ | QWSSNPFTFG | SGTKLEINRA | DTAPTVSIFP | PSSEQLTSGG |
| 151 | ASVVCFLNNF | YPKDINVKWK | IDGSERQNGV | LNSWTDQDSK | DSTYSMSSTL |
| 201 | TLTKDEYERH | NSYTCEATHK | TSTSPIVKSF | NRNEC* (SEQ | ID ND:5) |

FIG. 1b

.

2/18

.

.

| 1 | GAATTCCCCT | CTCCACAGAC | ACTGAAAACT | CTGACTCAAC | ATGGAAAGGC |
|------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 51 | ACTGGATCTT | <u>TCTACTCCTG</u> | TTGTCAGTAA | CTGCAGGTGT | CCACTCCCAG |
| 101 | GTCCAGCTGC | AGCAGTCTGG | GGCTGAACTG | GCAAGACCTG | GGGCCTCAGT |
| 151 | GAAGATGTCC | TGCAAGGCTT | CTGGCTACAC | CTTTACTAGG | TACACGATGC |
| 201 | ACTGGGTAAA | ACAGAGGCCT | GGACAGGGTC | TGGAATGGAT | TGGATACATT |
| 251 | ATTCCTAGCC | GTGGTTATAC | TAATTACAAT | CAGAAGTTCA | AGGACAAGGC |
| 301 | CACATTGACT | ACAGACAAAT | CCTCCAGCAC | AGCCTACATG | CAACTGAGCA |
| 351 | GCCTGACATC | TGAGGACTCT | GCAGTCTATT | ACTGTGCAAG | ATATTATGAT |
| 401 | GATCATTACT | GCCTTGACTA | CTGGGGCCAA | GGCACCACTC | TCACAGTCTC |
| 451 | CTCAGCCAAA | ACAACAGCCC | CATCGGTCTA | TCCACTGGCC | CCTGTGTGTG |
| 501 | GAGATACAAC | TGGCTCCTCG | GTGACTCTAG | GATGCCTGGT | CAAGGGTTAT |
| 551 | TTCCCTGAGC | CAGTGACCTT | GACCTGGAAC | TCTGGATCCC | TGTCCAGTGG |
| 601 | TGTGCACACC | TTCCCAGCTG | TCCTGCAGTC | TGACCTCTAC | ACCCTCAGCA |
| 651 | GCTCAGTGAC | TGTAACCTCG | AGCACCTGGC | CCAGCCAGTC | CATCACCTGC |
| 701 | AATGTGGCCC | ACCCGGCAAG | CAGCACCAAG | GTGGACAAGA | AAATTGAGCC |
| 801 | ACCTCTTGGG | TGGACCATCC | GTCTTCATCT | TCCCTCCAAA | GATCAAGGAT |
| 851 | GTACTCATGA | TCTCCCTGAG | CCCCATAGTC | ACATGTGTGG | TGGTGGATGT |
| 901 | GAGCGAGGAT | GACCCAGATG | TCCAGATCAG | CTGGTTTGTG | AACAACGTGG |
| 951 | AAGTACACAC | AGCTCAGACA | CAAACCCATA | GAGAGGATTA | CAACAGTACT |
| 1001 | CTCCGGGTGG | TCAGTGCCCT | CCCCATCCAG | CACCAGGACT | GGATGAGTGG |
| 1051 | CAAGGAGTTC | AAATGCAAGG | TCAACAACAA | AGACCTCCCA | GCGCCCATCG |
| 1101 | AGAGAACCAT | CTCAAAACCC | AAAGGGTCAG | TAAGAGCTCC | ACAGGTATAT |
| 1151 | GTCTTGCCTC | CACCAGAAGA | AGAGATGACT | AAGAAACAGG | TCACTCTGAC |
| 1201 | CTGCATGGTC | ACAGACTTCA | TGCCTGAAGA | CATTTACGTG | GAGTGGACCA |
| 1251 | ACAACGGGAA | AACAGAGCTA | AACTACAAGA | ACACTGAACC | AGTCCTGGAC |
| 1301 | TCTGATGGTT | CTTACTTCAT | GTACAGCAAG | CTGAGAGTGG | AAAAGAAGAA |
| 1351 | CTGGGTGGAA | AGAAATAGCT | ACTCCTGTTC | AGTGGTCCAC | GAGGGTCTGC |
| 1401 | ACAATCACCA | CACGACTAAG | AGCTTCTCCC | GGACTCCGGG | TAAATGAGCT |
| 1451 | CAGCACCCAC | AAAACTCTCA | GGTCCAAAGA | GAGACCCACA | CTCATCTCCA |
| 1501 | TGCTTCCCTT | GTATAAATAA | AGCACCCAGC | AATGCCTGGG | ACCATGTAAA |
| 1551 | ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ | AAAGGAATTC | (SEQ ID N |]:6) | |

FIG. 2a

| OKT | 3 HEAVY CHAI | N PROTEIN S | SEQUENCE DEI | OUCED FROM I | INA SEQUENCE |
|-----|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 4 | | | | | |
| 1 | MERHWIFLLL | L2ALUPAH2M | VULUUSUAEL | AKPGA2AKW2 | CKASGTIFTR |
| 51 | YTMHWVKQRP | GQGLEWIGYI | NPSRGYTNYN | QKFKDKATLT | TDKSSSTAYM |
| 101 | QLSSLTSEDS | AVYYCARYYD | DHYCLDYWGQ | GTTLTVSSAK | TTAPSVYPLA |
| 151 | PVCGDTTGSS | VTLGCLVKGY | FPEPVTLTWN | SGSLSSGVHT | FPAVLQSDLY |
| 201 | TLSSSVTVTS | STWPSQSITC | NVAHPASSTK | VDKKIEPRGP | TIKPCPPCKC |
| 251 | PAPNLLGGPS | VFIFPPKIKD | VLMISLSPIV | TCVVVDVSED | DPDVQISWFV |
| 301 | NNVEVHTAQT | QTHREDYNST | LRVVSALPIQ | HQDWMSGKEF | KCKVNNKDLP |
| 351 | APIERTISKP | KGSVRAPQVY | VLPPPEEEMT | KKQVTLTCMV | TDFMPEDIYV |
| 401 | .EWTNNGKTEL | NYKNTEPVLD | SDGSYFMYSK | LRVEKKNWVE | RNSYSCSVVH |
| 451 | EGLHNHHTTK | SFSRTPGK* | (SEQ ID ND: | 7) | |

FIG. 2b

334 of 1849

3/18

-

-

.

| RES TYPE Okt3vl REI | 1 NN N SBspSPESssBSbSsSssPSF QIVLTQSPAIMSASPGEKVTM DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRVT1 ? ? CDR1 (LOOP) CDR1 (KABAT) | 23 NNN PSPsPSsse*s*p*Pi^ISs ATCSASS.SVSYM <u>N</u> WYQQKS ITCQASQDIIKYLNWYQQ <u>T</u> P ******* | 42 Se GT YGK |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| RES TYPE Okt3vl REI ID ND:8) | 56 N NN *Is;PpIeesesssSBEsePs SPKRWIYDTSKLASGVPAHF APKLLIYEASNLQAGVPSRFS ? ?? ******* CDR2 (| \$PSBSSEsPspsPsseesSP <u>&</u> GSGSGTSYSLTIS <u>G</u> MEAED &GSGSGTD <u>Y</u> T <u>F</u> TISSLQPED ?? &LDDP/KABAT) | 85 YePb)AAT) <u>I</u> AT (SEQ |
| RES TYPE Okt3vl REIvl | 102 1 P;PIP;es**;PIIsPPSPSF YYCQQWSSNPFTFG <u>S</u> GTKLE1 YYCQQYQSLPYTFGQGTKLQI ??? ******* CDR3 ************************ | 08 SS <u>NR (SEQ ID ND:29)</u> <u>IR (SEQ ID ND:9)</u> S(LOOP) S(KABAT) | |

FIG. 3

.

335 of 1849

4/18

| | NN N | • | 23 26 | 32 | 35 | N39 4 | 43 |
|----------|----------------|-------------|-------|------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|
| RES TYPE | SESPs^SBssS^s | 2222222 | PsPSE | bSBssB | ePi^F | lpiess | 55 |
| Okt3h | QVQLQQSGAELAR | PGASVKMS | CKASG | YTFTRY | TMNH | IVKQRPO | 5Q |
| KUL | | PGRSI RI SI | CSSSG | FIFSSY | | RQAPG | < |
| | ? ? | | ?? | <u> </u> | | | - |
| | · | | | **** | X | CDR1 | (LOOP) |
| | | | | * | **** | CDR1 | (KABAT) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 52a | 60 | 65 | NN | N | 82abc | 89 |
| RES TYPE | Ilelppp^sssss | ss^ps^pS | SsbSp | seSsSs | eSp^p | SpsSBs | ssS^ePb |
| Okt3vh | GLEWIGYINPSRGY | TNTNQKFKI | RKATL | TTDKSS | STAYN | IQLSSLI | SEDSAV |
| KOL | GLEWVAIIWDDGSD | QHYADSVK | GRFTI | SRD <u>N</u> SKI | NTLEL | QMDSLF | PEDT <u>G</u> V |
| | <u>; ;</u> | | | <u>;</u> ; ; | ? | | ? |
| | ***** | **** | | CDR2 | (LE | 10P) | |
| | ***** | ******* | **** | CDR2 | (KA | BAT) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

÷.

-

FIG. 4

5/18

OKT 3 HEAVY CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

6/18

1. gh341 and derivatives

• . • • •

| | 1 | 26 | 35 | 39 | 43 | |
|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| 0kt3vh | QVQLQQSGAELARPGASVKMSC | KASGYTFI | RYTMHW | /KQRF | °GQ | |
| gH341 | QVQLVESGGGVVQDGRSLRLSC | SSSGYTF1 | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK . | JA178 |
| gH341A | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | KASGYTF1 | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK | JA185 |
| -112415 | | | | | | 14400 |
| GH341E | MARTARZOPPAARDER2FEF2F | KASGAIFI | RYIMHW | /RUAF | ЪК - | JAI 98 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | <u>KASGYTF1</u> | <u>(RYTM</u> HW) | /RQAF | PGK | JA207 |
| gH341* | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | KASGYTF1 | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK | 90 SAL |
| qH341D | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | KASGYTFI | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK . | JA197 |
| gH341* | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | KASGYTFT | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK | JA199 |
| gH341C | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | KASGYTFI | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK | JA184 |
| 110.4.4 | | 0.000 TET | | (00.45 | | wàna |
| gH341* | MANTARSPRPAANDERSEKERC | SASGATET | RYIMHW | /RQAF | ЪК | JA203 |
| gH341* | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | S <u>ASGYTF1</u> | <u>RYTM</u> HW1 | /RQAF | PGK . | JA205 |
| gH341B | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | S <u>S</u> SGYTF1 | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK . | JA183 |
| gH341* | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | SASGYTET | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK . | JA204 |
| gH341* | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | SASGYTET | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK . | JA206 |
| gH341* | QVQLVQSGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | SASGYTET | RYTMHW | /RQAF | PGK - | 80 SAL |
| ŘOL | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC | SSGFIFS | SYAMYW | /RQAF | PGK | |

FIG. 5a

| | 44 | 50 | 65 | 83 | |
|--------|------|---------------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| Okt3vh | GLEW | IGYI | NPSRGYTNYNQKFKDKATLTTI | DKSSSTAYMQLSSLT | |
| gH341 | GLEW | VAYI | <u>NPSRGYTNYNQKFKDRFTISRI</u> | DNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA178 |
| gH341A | GLEW | IGYI | NPSRGYTNYNQKVKDRFTISTI | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA185 |
| | | | | | |
| gH341E | GLEW | IGYI | <u>NPSRGYTNYNQKVKD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | D <u>KSKSTA</u> FLQMDSLR | JA198 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYI | <u>NPSRGYTNYNQK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKISKNTAFLQMDSLR | JA207 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYI | <u>NPSRGYTNYNQKVKD</u> RFTISRI | DNSKNTAFLQMDSLR | JA209 |
| gH341D | GLEW | IGYI | <u>NPSRGYTNYNQKVKD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKNTĒFLQMDSLR | JA197 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYI | <u>IPSRGYTNYNQKVKD</u> RFTISRĪ | DNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA199 |
| gH341C | GLEW | <u>IIY</u> AV | <u>IPSRGYTNYNQKFKD</u> RFTISRI | DNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA184 |
| - | | | | | |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYIN | <u>IPSRGYTNYNOK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA207 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYI | <u> IPSRGYTNYNDK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA205 |
| gH341B | GLEW | IGYIN | <u>IPSRGYTNYNOK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA183 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYIN | <u>IPSRGYTNYNDK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA204 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYIN | <u>IPSRGYTNYNOK</u> VKDRFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA206 |
| gH341* | GLEW | IGYIN | <u>IPSRGYTNYNDK</u> VK <u>D</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> I | DKSKNTAFLQMDSLR | JA208 |
| ΓŪ | GLEV | VAIIN | ΙΠΠΓΟΠΟΗΥΑΠΟΥΚΓΡΕΤΙΟΡΙ | | |

FIG. 5b

BI Exhibit 1095

7/18

• • • •

•

| | 84 | 95 | 102 | 113 | | SEQ 1 | ID NO: |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Okt3vh | SEDSAVYY | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGI | TLTVSS | | 30 | |
| gH341 | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGT | TLTVSS | JA178 | 11 | |
| gH341A | PEDT <u>A</u> VYY | CARY <u>YDDHY</u> | CLDYWGQG1 | TLTVSS | JA185 | 12 | |
| aH341E | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGOG' | TLTVSS | JA198 | 13 | |
| qH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGI | TLTVSS | JA207 | 14 | |
| gH341D | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQG1 | TLTVSS | JA197 | 15 | |
| gH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGT | TLTVSS | JA209 | 16 | |
| qH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGI | TLTVSS | JA199 | 17 | |
| gH341C | PEDTGVYF | CAR <u>YYDDHY</u> | CLDYWGQG1 | TLTVSS | JA184 | 18 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| gH341* | PEDTAVYY | CARY <u>YDDHY</u> | <u>CL</u> DYWGQGI | TLTVSS | JA203 | 19 | |
| gH341* | PEDTAVYY | CARY <u>YDDHY</u> | CLDYWGQGI | TLTVSS | JA205 | 20 | |
| qH341B | PEDTAVYY | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGI | TLTVSS | JA183 | 21 | |
| gH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQGT | TLTVSS | JA204 | 22 | |
| qH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQG1 | TLTVSS | JA206 | 23 | |
| gH341* | PEDTGVYF | CARYYDDHY | CLDYWGQG1 | TLTVSS | JA208 | 24 | |
| KOL | PEDTGVYF | CARDGGHGFCSSA | SCFGPDYWGQGT | PVTVSS | | 10 | |

FIG. 5c

.

.

OKT3 LIGHT CHAIN CDR GRAFTING

1. gL221 and derivatives

| | 1 | 24 | 34 | 42 | | | |
|--------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|----|-------|
| Okt3vl | QIVLTQSPAOMSASPG | EKVTMTCSASS. | SVSYMNW | (QQKSGT | | | |
| gL221 | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVG | DRVTITCSASS. | SVSYMNW) | (QQTPGK | | | |
| gL221A | QIVMTQSPSSLSASVG | DRVTITCSASS. | SVSYMNWY | (QQTPGK | | | |
| gL221B | <u>Q</u> I <u>V</u> MTQSPSSLSASVG | DRVTITCSASS. | SVSYMNW | (QQT PGK | | | |
| gL221C | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVG | DRVTITCSASS. | SVSYMNWY | /QQTPGK | | | |
| REI | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVG | DRVTITCQASQE | IIKYLNW | (QQTPGK | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 43 50 56 | | | 85 |) | | |
| Okt3vl | SPKRWIYDTSKLASGV | PAHFRGSGSGTS | YSLTISGN | MEAEDAAT | | | |
| gL221 | APKLLIY <u>DTSKLAS</u> GV | PSRFSGSGSGTE | YTFTISSI | LQPEDIAT | | | |
| gL221A | APK <u>RW</u> IY <u>DTSKLAS</u> GV | PSRFSGSGSGTE | YTFTISSI | LQPEDIAT | | | |
| gL221B | APKILIYDTSKLASGV | PSRFSGSGSGTL | YTFTISSI | LQPEDIAT | | | |
| gL221C | APKRWIYDTSKLASGV | PSRFSGSGSGTL | YTFTISSI | LQPEDIAT | | | |
| REI | APKILIYEASNLQAGV | PSRFSGSGSGT | YTFTISSI | LQPEDIAT | (SEQ | ID | NO:8) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | |
| | 86 91 96 | 108 | | | | | |
| Okt3vl | YYC <u>QQWSSNPF</u> TFGSG | TKLEINR | (SEQ ID | NO:29) | | ; | |
| gL221 | YYCOOWSSNPETFGQG | TKLQITR | (SEQ ID | NO:25) | | | |
| gL221A | YYC <u>OOWSSNPE</u> TFGQG | TKLQITR | (SEQ ID | NO:26) | | | |
| gL221B | YYC <u>QQWSSNPE</u> TFGQG | TKLQITR | (SEQ ID | NO:27) | | | |
| gL221C | YYC <u>QQWSSNPE</u> TFGQG | TKLQITR | (SEQ ID | NO:28) | | | |
| REI | YYCQQYQSLPYT <u>FGQG</u> | TKLQITR | (SEQ ID | NO:9) | | | |

•

.

9/18

CDR'S ARE UNDERLINED

•

FRAMEWORK RESIDUES INCLUDED IN THE GENE ARE DOUBLE UNDERLINED

FIG. 6



341 of 1849

OKT3 - pJA198 EVALUATION BLOCKING ASSAY (Mean Channel - HPBALL's)



342 of 1849



343 of 1849

| FLUORESCENCE INTENSITY | OKT3 - GR BII (Mean C 200 150 50 50 0 .1 1 1 1 0 .1 1 1 1 0 | AFTED HEAVY CHAINS NDING ASSAY hannel – HPALL's) A B C C F C C F C C F C C F C C F C C F D C C C F D C C F D C C C C | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ABCDEFGH |
|------------------------|--|---|--|----------|
| | (205) (208) 6 (208) 6 (204) 6 (199) 6 (199) 6 (207) 6 (207) 6 (207) 6 (209) 6 (209) 6 (209) 6 | ,, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 ,, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 ,, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 75 , 23, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 73 , 23, 24, 48, 49,, 73 | 3,76,78,88,91, 3,,78,,, 3,76,78,,, 3,76,78,,, 3,76,78,,, 3,76,78, 88,91, 3,76,78, 88,91, | |

FIG. 10a

344 of 1849

13/18





FIG. 10b



| (183) | _,_,, ,48,49,71,73,76,78,88,91, |
|----------------------|---|
| | _,, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73, 76, 78, 88, 91, |
| — × (184) | 6,23,24,,,,,,,, |
| | _,,24,48,49,71,73,76,78,,, |
| | 6,,24,48,49,71,73,76,78,88,91, |
| →→ (185) | 6,23,24,48,49,71,73,76,78,88,91, |
| | 6,23,24,48,49,71,73,76,78,,, |

FIG. 11a

÷



16/18

FIG. 11b





BI Exhibit 1095

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; and

I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>HUMANISED ANTIBODIES</u>

the specification of which:

is attached hereto.

was filed on <u>21 December 1990</u> as International Application Serial No. <u>PCT/GB90/</u> and was amended on ______. (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of any application on which priority is claimed:

| Country | Number | Date Filed | Priority Claimed |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------------|
| <u>U.K.</u> | 8928874.0 | 21.12.89 | <u>yes</u> |
| | | | |
| | | | |

REST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO-19

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 CFR § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

| Application | Serial No. | Filing Date | Status | (patented, pending) |
|-------------|--|-------------|--------|------------------------|
| | ······································ | | | |
| · | | | | |

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Francis A. Paintin

Registration Nos. <u>19,386</u> of the firm of WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS, One Liberty Place - 46th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, and

> Address all telephone calls and correspondence to: Francis A. Paintin

WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone No. 215-568-3100.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the

REST AVAILABLE COPY

BI Exhibit 1095

PTO-19

2 .

United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

| ·— | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| - | Full Name JOHN ROBERT ADAIR | Inventor's Signature John Robert Adm | Date 13/8/91 | | |
| 1 1 | Residence 23 George Road, Stokenchurch Citizenship U.K. High Wycombe, Buckinghamshire HP14 3RN, U.K. | | | | |
| | Post Office Address 23 George Road, Stokenchurch, High Wycombe, Buckinghamshire HP14 3RN, U.K. | | | | |
| | Full Name DILJEET SINGH ATHWAL | Inventor's Signature' | Date) 13891 | | |
| 2 | Residence Flat 35, Knollys House, Citizenship U.K. Tavistock Square, London WC1, U.K. | | | | |
| · | Post Office Address Flat 35, Knollys House, Tavistock Square, London WC1, U.K. | | | | |
| 3 | Full Name JOHN SPENCER EMTAGE | Inventor's signature John John an Lago. | Date 13/8/91 | | |
| | Residence 49 Temple Mill Island, Temple Citizenship U.K. Marlow, Buckinghamshire, SL7 1SQ, U.K. | | | | |
| | Post Office Address 49 Temple Mill Island, Temple, Marlow, Buckinghamshire SL7 1SQ, U.K. | | | | |
| 4 | Full Name | Inventor's Signature | Date | | |
| | Residence Citizenship | | | | |
| | Post Office Address | | | | |
| 5 | Full Name | Inventor's Signature | Date | | |
| | Residence | Citizenship | L | | |
| | Post Office Address | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u></u> | ······································ | | |

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PT0-19

S. . .

352 of 1849

ł

:

3

DOCUMENT NO: 28

DOCKET NO.: CARP0001-112 PATENT PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR INTERFERENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.202 DATED NOVEMBER 21, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: John R. Adair, Diljeet S. Athwal and John S. Emtage

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Art Unit: Not Yet Assigned

Filing Date: November 21, 2005

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Customer No.: 34132

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV146 601 565US DATE OF DEPOSIT: November 21, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR INTERFERENCE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.202

Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following amendments prior to the calculation of filing fees:

Amendments To The Specification begin on page 2 of this paper.

Listing of the Claims, reflecting current amendments, begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 4 of this paper.

The Conclusion is found on page 12.

Appendix A is found on page 14.

Appendix B is found on page 17.

Appendix C is found on page 19.

Appendix D is found on page 20.

Appendix E is found on page 21.

Carter Exhibit 2003 Carter v. Adair Interference No. 105,744

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the paragraph containing the cross-reference to related applications on page 1 of the specification with the following:

This application is a Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/846,658, Filed May 1, 1997, which is a Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/303,569, Filed September 7, 1994, now U.S. Patent No. 5,859,205, Issued January 12, 1999, which is a File Wrapper Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 07/743,329, Filed September 17, 1991, Abandoned, which is a 35 U.S.C. 371 National Stage Application of International Application No. PCT/GB90/02017, International Filing Date of December 21, 1990, which claims priority to Great Britain Application No. 8928874.0, Filed December 21, 1989, all applications are incorporated by reference herein in their entireties.

LISTING OF CLAIMS

This listing of claims represents the current status of the claims.

Claims 1-23 (cancelled)

Claim 24 (new) A humanised antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises an amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof, as numbered according to Kabat.

Claim 25 (new) A humanised antibody comprising the variable domain of claim 24.

REMARKS

Claims 24 to 25 are pending. Applicants hereby request an interference in accordance with 37 CFR § 42.202. It is noted that U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213, whose claims present the basis for an interference, is classified in Class 530, and was examined by Examiners Minh-Tam Davis and Anthony C. Caputa.

Compliance with 37 CFR § 42.202

Applicants respectfully submit that all requirements of 37 CFR § 42.202 have been met and respectfully request examination of the present application and declaration of an interference.

(a) Identifying The Patent

Applicants request that an interference be declared between Applicants' above-identified application and U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213 B1 (hereinafter the 213 patent), issued to Carter et al. on June 18, 2002, a copy of which is enclosed herewith.

(b) Compliance with 35 USC § 135(b)

Although the present rules do not require a showing of compliance under 35 USC § 135(b), Applicants submit the following to advance the examination of the present application to allowability. The present application is a Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/846,658, Filed May 1, 1997, which is a Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/303,569, Filed September 7, 1994, now U.S. Patent No. 5,859,205, Issued January 12, 1999, which is a File Wrapper Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 07/743,329, Filed September 17, 1991, Abandoned, which is a 35 U.S.C. 371 National Stage Application of International Application No. PCT/GB90/02017, International Filing Date of December 21, 1990 (hereinafter "the PCT application," a copy of which is enclosed herewith), which claims priority to Great Britain Application No. 8928874.0, Filed December 21, 1989. Claims 1-23 as filed in the PCT application are attached as Appendix A.

Under 35 USC § 135(b)(1), Applicants must show that they had a claim to the same, or substantially the same, subject mater as a claim of the 213 patent within one year of the issuance of the 213 patent, or June 18, 2003. The 213 patent issued on June 18, 2002. The PCT application was filed on December 21, 1990, over 10 years earlier than the 213 patent issued. The time limit of Section 135(b)(1) has been complied with fully. See *Corbett v. Chisholm*, 196 USPQ 337 (CCPA 1977).

To meet the "same or substantially the same invention" requirement of Section 135(b)(1), Applicants must show that their claim contained all material limitations, i.e. limitations necessary to patentability, of the claim of the 213 patent alleged to be to the same, or substantially the same, invention. *Corbett v. Chisholm*, 196 USPQ 337 (C.C.P.A. 1977), *citing Wetmore v. Miller*, 477 F.2d 960, 177 USPQ 699 (C.C.P.A. 19730).

As is evident from Appendix A, Applicants made a claim for the same, or substantially the same, subject matter as a claim of the 213 patent well before the issuance of the 213 patent. Claim 16 of the PCT application, as depending from claim 8, is to substantially the same subject matter as at least claim 1 of the 213 patent. For the Office's convenience, all three claims are duplicated below.

Claim 8 of the PCT application: A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, **58** and 71.

Claim 16 of the PCT application: A CDR-grafted antibody heavy or **light chain** or molecule according to any one of the preceding claims comprising human acceptor residues and non-human donor residues.

Claim 1 of the 213 patent: A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues

which bind an antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, **58L**, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

Both claim 16 of the PCT application, as it depends from claim 8, and claim 1 of the 213 patent are directed to variable domains comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Regions ("CDRs") that bind antigen, i.e., antigen binding regions, human framework regions, and a non-human framework amino acid at residue 58 of the light chain, using Kabat numbering. Both claims also comprise a human framework region; claim 1 of the 213 patent simply recites it differently -- if one incorporates non-human CDR amino acid residues into a human antibody variable domain, one ends up with a human framework region. Further, the 213 patent defines "humanized antibody" as an antibody having a framework region "having substantially the amino acid sequence of a human immunoglobulin," i.e., a human framework region (see column 8, lines 11-17, of the 213 patent). "Antigen binding regions," as recited in claim 8 of the PCT application, refers to CDRs (see page 8, lines 10-13, of the PCT application). As indicated on page 8, lines 22-24 of the PCT application, all numbering is according to Kabat. Claim 16 implicitly contains the recitation that the amino acid be substituted. As indicated on page 17, lines 1-19 of the PCT application, substitution of the non-human framework residues for the human framework residues is required when the donor and framework residue at any of the recited positions differ. While Applicants' claim recites a "light chain" and claim 1 of the 213 patent recites a "variable domain," Applicants submit that this is merely a difference in scope, and not a material difference. See Corbett.

(c) Presentation Of A Proposed Count

Applicants present in Appendix B, attached hereto, a proposed count that is presented in the "alternative" format as claim 30 or claim 80 of the 213 patent or Applicants' claim 24. All alternatives are to the same patentable invention.

All alternatives recite that the variable domain comprises non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen. All alternatives also comprise a human framework region. As discussed above for compliance with Section 135(b), the 213 patent defines "humanized antibody" as an antibody having a framework region "having substantially the amino acid sequence of a human immunoglobulin," i.e., a human framework region (see column 8, lines 11-17, of the 213 patent). And, if one incorporates non-human CDR amino acid residues into a human antibody variable domain, as recited in claims 30 and 80, one ends up with a human framework region. Further, all alternatives require only that one of the listed framework residues be substituted. Claims 30 and 80 of the 213 patent and Applicants' claim 24 recite substituting, *inter alia*, residue 78 of the heavy chain.

Although claim 30 of the 213 patent and Applicants' claim 24 do not recite the alternative recitations (a) through (c) of claim 80 of the 213 patent, e.g., "noncovalently binds antigen directly," such limitations are clearly implicit for all the framework residue substitutions recited in claim 80.

Although claims 30 and 80 of the 213 patent do not recite that the variable domain is from the light chain, it clearly must be the case when a light chain framework residue, i.e., one designated with an "L," is to be substituted.

Claim 30 of the 213 patent recites an "antibody" while the remaining alternatives recite a "variable domain." The antibody recitation is anticipated by and/or obvious over the recitation of variable domain and *vice versa*. "Humanized antibody" is defined in the 213 patent to comprise, *inter alia*, at least one variable domain (see column 8, lines 26-28, of the 213 patent).

Claim 30 of the 213 patent further recites a specific antibody target. The alternatives of the count that do not recite a particular target are clearly anticipated thereby. Considering the target, i.e., the tyrosine kinase receptor for HER2, $p185^{HER2}$, Applicants submit that claim 30 is clearly obvious over the other alternatives of the count for the reasons that follow.

The import of p185^{*HER2*} to cancer, particularly breast cancer, had been well documented well before the priority date of the 213 patent. See, for example, Slamon, D.J. et al., *Science*, 235:177-182,1987. The development of antibodies against p185^{*HER2*} for use in therapy had also

- 7 -

been well documented, as was the use of antibodies other than hybridoma-produced monoclonal antibodies. Applicants respectfully submit that, in view of the knowledge of the art at the time, a humanized antibody against $p185^{HER2}$ would have been obvious over the remaining alternatives of the count.

(d) Identification of claims corresponding to the count

Applicants identify all of the 213 patent claims, claims 1-82, and all of Applicants' pending claims, claims 24-25, as corresponding to the proposed count. All of said claims are either anticipated by, or obvious over, the proposed count as required by 37 CFR § 41.207(b)(2).

More specifically, the alternatives of the proposed count recite framework residue substitutions recited in claims 1, 5-28, 34-63, 66, 70-77, and 79 of the 213 patent.

Claims 2, 31, 67, and 81 of the 213 patent recite that the substituted residue is the residue found at the corresponding location of the non-human antibody from which the non-human CDR amino acids are obtained. Such a claim is anticipated by the proposed count – the goal of substituting framework residues is to improve affinity to approach that of the antibody from which the CDRs are obtained. See, for example, Riechmann, et al., *Nature*, 332:323-327, 1988.

Claims 3, 32, 68, and 82 of the 213 patent recite that no human framework residue other than those set forth in the claim from which they depend has been substituted. Such claims are anticipated by, or clearly obvious over, the proposed count in view of the use of the Markush language "selected from the group consisting of." The transitional phrase "consisting of" is closed, meaning that it excludes any element not listed. See MPEP 2111.03.

Claims 29 and 78 recite an antibody comprising the humanized variable domains of the claims from which they depend. Such claims are clearly anticipated by claim 30 of the proposed count.

While claims 4, 33, 62 and 69 of the 213 patent further recite that the variable domain is a "consensus" human variable domain, use of such framework regions in making humanized antibodies was known by the priority date of the 213 patent. See, for example, column 13, lines
6-9, of U.S. Patent No. 5,530,101, issued to Queen et al., filed on December 19, 1990. Claims62 and 69 are, thus, obvious in view of the proposed count and the art.

The recitation in claim 63 that the humanized antibody lacks immunogenicity when compared to the non-human parent upon repeated administration is the very goal of humanization and is, thus, implicit. The motivation for preparing humanized antibodies was to reduce immunogenicity. *See* column 1, lines 51-58, of the 213 patent, discussing the "Background of the Invention." References from the early 1980's are cited therein disclosing the anti-globulin response to rodent monoclonal antibodies. Claim 63 is, at a minimum, obvious over the proposed count and the art.

The alternatives recited in claim 64 include the alternatives recited in claim 80 of the count. Claim 64 is, thus, anticipated by the proposed count.

The recitation in claim 65 that the variant of claim 63 binds the antigen "**up to** 3-fold more in the binding affinity than the parent antibody binds antigen" broadly includes variants that have binding affinities equal to **and** less than the parent. As recognized in the "Background of the Invention" section of the 213 patent, at column 3, lines 50-55, humanizing antibody while **retaining** high affinity for antigen was difficult to achieve; achieving lower affinity was not. This recitation, thus, is also either anticipated by, or obvious over, the proposed count in view of the art.

All of Applicants claims, claims 24-25, are anticipated by or obvious over the proposed count. Claim 25 is anticipated by claim 30 of the count.

(e) Interference-In-Fact

"An interference-in-fact exists if the subject matter of a claim of one party would, if prior art, have anticipated or rendered obvious the subject matter of a claim of the opposing party and vice versa." 37 CFR § 41.203(a) (2004). Applicants set forth in attached Appendix C a comparison of claim 66 of the 213 patent with Applicants' claim 24, both of which correspond to the present count.

As is clear from Appendix C, each claim anticipates and/or renders obvious the other. Both claims recite a humanized variable domain. Both claims are directed to a heavy chain

- 9 -

variable domain. Both claims also recite that the variable domain comprises non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen. Both claims also comprise a human framework region; claim 66 of the 213 patent simply recites it differently -- if one incorporates non-human CDR amino acid residues into a human antibody variable domain, one ends up with a human framework region. Indeed, as discussed above, the 213 patent defines "humanized antibody" as an antibody having a framework region "having substantially the amino acid sequence of a human immunoglobulin," i.e., a human framework region (see column 8, lines 11-17, of the 213 patent). Both claims recite a single amino acid substitution in the framework region to be selected from a Markush group listed thereafter; both recite that residue 24 of the heavy chain is to be substituted. Finally, both claims recite that numbering is according to Kabat.

(f) Support for Applicants Claims

In attached Appendix D, Applicants illustrate the representative support in their disclosure for the limitations of their claims 24 to 25. There is, of course, additional support in Applicants' application omitted herein for the sake of brevity. In Appendix E, Applicants show support for their claim 24, filed December 21, 1989. Methods for preparing exemplary antibodies having framework substitutions are described, *inter alia*, on pages 18-23, Sections 13.1.1 through 15.3, of the GB priority application.

(g) Applicants Will Prevail on Priority

US Serial No. 08/146,206, which issued as the 213 patent, was filed on June 15, 1992 as a PCT continuation-in-part of U.S. Serial No. 07/715,272, filed June 14, 1991.

The present application is a continuation of Application Serial No. 08/846,658, filed May 1, 1997, which is a continuation of Application Serial No. 08/303,569, filed September 7, 1994, now U.S. Patent No. 5,859,205, which is a continuation of Application Serial No. 07/743,329, filed as PCT/GB90/02017, filed December 21, 1990 and which claims priority benefit of GB 8928874.0, filed December 21, 1989. Applicants' earliest constructive reduction to practice date to which they are entitled is at least as early as December 21, 1989, but not later than December

21, 1990. Regardless, both dates are earlier than the earliest filing date of the 213 patent, or June 14, 1991.

4

CONCLUSION

The Requested Interference Should Be Declared

Early consideration and indication of allowability of all pending claims is respectfully requested. For an interference to be declared, however, only one claim needs to be allowable. MPEP § 2307.02. Should the present examination involve rejections of applicant's claims that would have been equally applicable against the 213 patent claims, applicants respectfully note MPEP § 2307.02, which requires the approval of the Group Director for such a rejection. Applicants are presumptively the prior inventors of the claimed subject matter and only desire an interference to prove that they are the actual prior inventors. Their opportunity to do so should not be unduly delayed. In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that an interference be declared between the present application and the 213 patent.

To assist the Examiner, Applicants note the following.

(1) the proposed count for the interference should be the Proposed Count set forth in Appendix B.

(2) the claims of the 213 patent which should be designated as corresponding to the count are claims 1-82, all of the issued claims of the patent.

(3) the claims of Applicants that should be designated as corresponding to the count are claims 24-25, all pending claims of the Applicants' above-identified application.

(4) no claims of either party should be designated as not corresponding to the count since none are directed to a separate patentable invention when considering the proposed count.

- 12 -

The examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney if an interview, telephonic or personal, would facilitate allowance of the claims or declaration of an interference.

Respectfully submitted,

Naceen Jatho Suply Doreen Yatko Trujilo Registration V

Registration No. 35,719

Date: November 21, 2005

COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 Market Street, 6th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103-3508 (215) 665-5593 - Telephone (215) 701-2005 - Facsimile

Attachments: Appendices A-E Copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213 B1 **Copy of PCT Publication WO 91/09967**

APPENDIX A

1. A CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/or 91.

2. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to claim 1 comprising donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78, or at positions 23, 24 and 49.

3. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to claim 2 comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

4. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to claim 2 or 3, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor), 38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue), 67, 82 and IS (if 67 is the donor residue), 91, and any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.

5. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any of the preceding comprising donor CDRs at positions 26-35, 50-65 and 95-100.

6. A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47.

A CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 6 comprising donor residues at positions
 46 and 47.

8. A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor

- 14 -

residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

9. A CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 8 comprising donor residues at positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

10. A CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 8 or 9, comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102.

11. A CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 9 or 10, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 63, 60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor), 37 and 45 (if 47 if different between donor and acceptor), and any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 83, 103 and 105.

12. A CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of claims 6-11, comprising donor CDRs at positions 24-34, 50-56 and 89-97.

13. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any one of claims 1-5 and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of claims 6-12.

14. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to claim 13, which is a site-specific antibody molecule.

15. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to claim 13 which has specificity for an interleukin, hormone or other biologically active compound or a receptor therefor.

16. A CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to any one of the preceding claims comprising human acceptor residues and non-human donor residues.

17. A DNA sequence which codes for a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to claim 1 or a

- 15 -

CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 6 or claim 8.

18. A cloning or expression vector containing a DNA sequence according to claim 17.

19. A host cell transformed with a DNA sequence according to claim 17.

20. A process for the production of a CDR-grafted antibody sequence according to claim 17 in a transformed host cell.

21. A process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising: (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to claim 1; and/or (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to claim 6 or claim 8; (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.

22. A therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain according to claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 6 or claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to claim 13 in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.

23. A method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to claim 6 or claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to claim 13 to a human or animal subject.

- 16 -

APPENDIX B

Proposed Count for interference

Claim 30 of the 213 patent

An antibody which binds p185^{*HER2*} and comprises a humanized antibody variable domain, wherein the humanized antibody variable domain comprises non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind p185^{*HER2*} incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprises a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 46L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 74H, 75H, 76H, **78H** and 92H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

OR

Claim 80 of the 213 patent

A humanized antibody variable domain comprising non-human Complementarity Determining Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind an antigen incorporated into a human antibody variable domain, and further comprising a Framework Region (FR) amino acid substitution where the substituted FR residue:

(a) noncovalently binds antigen directly;

(b) interacts with a CDR; or

(c) participates in the $V_L - V_H$ interface by affecting the proximity or orientation of the V_L and V_H regions with respect to one another, and wherein the substituted FR residue is at a site selected from the group consisting of: 4L, 38L, 43L, 44L, 58L, 62L, 65L, 66L, 67L, 68L, 69L, 73L, 85L, 98L, 2H, 4H, 24H, 36H, 39H, 43H, 45H, 69H, 70H, 73H, 74H, 76H, **78H**, 92H and 93H, utilizing the numbering system set forth in Kabat.

OR

Applicants' claim 24

A humanised antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises an amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and **78**, and combinations thereof, as numbered according to Kabat.

APPENDIX C

Comparison of Applicants' claim 24 and claim 66 of the 213 patent

| Applicants' Claim 24 | 213 patent Claim 66 |
|--|--|
| Claim 24 – A humanised antibody heavy chain | A humanized antibody heavy chain variable |
| variable domain comprising | domain comprising |
| non-human complementarity determining | non-human Complementarity Determining |
| region amino acid residues which bind an | Region (CDR) amino acid residues which bind |
| antigen and | an antigen |
| a human framework region wherein said | incorporated into a human antibody variable |
| framework region comprises an amino acid | domain, and further comprising a Framework |
| substitution at a residue selected from the | Region (FR) amino acid substitution at a site |
| group consisting of | selected from the group consisting of: |
| | |
| 23, 24 , 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations | 24H , 73H, 76H, 78H, and 93H |
| thereof, | |
| as numbered according to Kabat. | utilizing the numbering system as set forth in |
| | Kabat. |

APPENDIX D

Support for Applicants' claims in Applicants' Present Specification

| Claim | Present Specification | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Claim 24 A humanised antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising | page 6, lines 29-31 | | |
| non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and | page 7, line 29, through page 8, line 7 and page 17, lines 6-7 | | |
| a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises an amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of | page 7, line 29, through page 8, line 7 and page 17, lines 1-19 | | |
| 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof, | page 7, lines 1-3 | | |
| as numbered according to Kabat. | page 8, lines 22-24 | | |
| Claim 25 A humanised antibody comprising the variable domain of claim 24. | see above support for claim 24 | | |

APPENDIX E

Support For Applicants' Claims in the GB Application, filed December 21, 1989

| Claim | 1989 GB Application |
|---|--|
| Claim 24 – A humanised antibody heavy chain variable domain comprising | page 5, lines 8-10 |
| non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and | page 5, lines 8-21 |
| a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises an amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of | page 5, line 10 and page 26, lines 31-33 |
| 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof, | page 6, lines 8-10 and Table 1 |
| as numbered according to Kabat. | page 6, lines 5-7 |

DOCUMENT NO: 29

DOCKET NO.: CARP0001-112 APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 11/284,261

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re application of: John R. Adair et al.

Art Unit: **1643**

Confirmation No. 5305

Serial No.: 11/284,261

Filed: November 21, 2005

Examiner: Anne Gussow

Title: HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Customer No.: 34132

Via EFS Web: DATE FILED: September 9, 2009

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Dear Sir:

This paper is being filed in response to the Non-Final Office Action dated as mailed March 9, 2009. Applicants hereby petition for a three-month extension of time to respond to the Non-Final Office Action and request the Commissioner to charge Deposit Account 50-3111 the appropriate extension of time fee.

Listing of the Claims, begin on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 3.

The Conclusion is found on page 5.

Carter Exhibit 2004 Carter v. Adair Interference No. 105,744

BI Exhibit 1095

DOCKET NO.: CARP0001-112 APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 11/284,261

LISTING OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-23 (cancelled)

Claim 24 (**currently amended**): A humanised antibody <u>comprising a</u> heavy chain variable domain comprising non-human complementarity determining region amino acid residues which bind an antigen and a human framework region wherein said framework region comprises a non-human amino acid substitution at a residue selected from the group consisting of 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof, as numbered according to Kabat.

Claim 25 (cancelled)

DOCKET NO.: CARP0001-112 APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 11/284,261

REMARKS

Claims 24 and 25 were pending. All pending claims were rejected in the Non-Final Rejection. In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments that follow, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of all rejections upon reconsideration.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Office's withdrawal of the objections to claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claim 24 was amended with the RCE filing and was again rejected as allegedly being indefinite. The Office alleges that the specification is enabling for a humanized antibody comprising a heavy chain variable domain and a light chain variable domain, with all 6 CDRs, and does not provide enablement for a humanized antibody heavy chain variable domain alone. The Office is clearly disregarding the fact that the CDR-grafted chains can be combined with other chains, as disclosed in the specification, including chimeric and mouse chains. Thus, it is not necessary for the claims to recite both chains. Applicants traverse this rejection but have amended claim 24 to recite a humanized antibody comprising a heavy chain variable domain.

Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection has been overcome.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Claims 24 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Queen, et al US Patent 5,585,089 the "089 patent". Claim 25 has been cancelled. Applicants traverse this rejection as it applies to claim 24.

With all due respect, the Office has apparently misread the claims. Initially, the office states that the claims recite that the framework region comprises a **non-human** amino acid

DOCKET NO.: CARP0001-112 APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 11/284,261

substitution at a residue selected from 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and combinations thereof. The Office then asserts that the "089 patent" teaches an antibody comprising a humanized heavy chain variable domain comprising human residues at positions except the CDRs, and the following framework positions—27, 93, 95, 98, 107-109, 11, 30, 67, 48, and 68. The Office concludes, thus, that all the remaining framework positions are the human antibody allegedly taught in the "089 patent". The Office then states that, since the claims recite substitution to **human** residues in the heavy chain in residues 23, 24, 49, 71, 73, and 78, and the "089 patent" teaches human residues in all of those positions, the claim limitations are met. As the Office previously acknowledged, however, these residues are **non-human** residues in claim 24. The "089 patent", thus, does not anticipate the Applicants invention.

Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 24 is allowable and early allowance of the same. If a telephonic conversation with Applicants' attorney would help expedite the prosecution of the above-identified application, the Examiner is urged to call the undersigned at 215-665-5593.

Respectfully submitted,

/Doreen Yatko Trujillo/

Doreen Yatko Trujillo Registration No. 35,719

Date: September 9, 2009

COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 Market Street, 4th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103-3508 Telephone: (215) 665-5593 Facsimile: (215) 701-2005

| Ρ | Ċ | Т |
|-----------|---|------|
| - | ~ | ,щ., |



International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| (51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : C12P 21/08, C12N 15/13 A61K 39/395, C07K 15/06 C12N 5/10, 15/62 | A1 | (11) International Publication Number:WO 91/09967(43) International Publication Date:11 July 1991 (11.07.91) |
|---|---|--|
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/GB (22) International Filing Date: 21 December 1990 (21) (30) Priority data: 8928874.0 21 December 1989 (21.12) (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CE: LIMITED [GB/GB]; 216 Bath Road, Slough, 1 SL1 4EN (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : ADAIR, John [GB/GB]; 23 George Road, Stokenchurch, H combe, Buckinghamshire HP14 3RN (GB). A' Diljeet, Singh [GB/GB]; Flat 35, Knollys Hour tock Square, London WC1 (GB). EMTAGE, Joh cer [GB/GB]; 49 Temple Mill Island, Temple, Buckinghamshire SL7 1SQ (GB). | 90/020 (21.12.9 2.89) (LLTEC Berksh High W THWA se, Tay hn, Spe Marlo | (74) Agent: MERCER, Christopher, Paul; Carpmaels & Ransford, 43 Bloomsbury Square, London WC1A 2RA (GB). (81) Designated States: AT, AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), BR, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH, CH (European patent), DK, FI, FR (European patent), GA (OAPI patent), GB, GB (European patent), GR, HU, IT (European patent), JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, LU (European patent), MC, MG, ML (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI patent), MW, NL, NL (European patent), NO, RO, SD, SE, SE (European patent), SN (OAPI patent), SU, TD (OAPI patent), TG (OAPI patent), US. Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments. With a request for rectification under Rule 91.1(f). |
| (54) Title: HUMANISED ANTIBODIES | | |
| (57) Abstract | | |

CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chains comprise acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions, the heavy chains comprising donor residues at at least one of positions (6, 23) and/or (24, 48) and/or (49, 71) and/or (73, 75) and/or (76) and/or (78) and (88) and/or (91). The CDR-grafted light chains comprise donor residues at at least one of positions (1) and/or (3) and (46) and/or (47) or at at least one of positons (46, 48, 58) and (71). The CDR-grafted antibodies are preferably humanised antibodies, having non human, e.g. rodent, donor and human acceptor frameworks, and may be used for in vivo therapy and diagnosis. A generally applicable protocol is disclosed for obtaining CDR-grafted antibodies.

> **Carter Exhibit 2005** Carter v. Adair Interference No. 105,744

BI Exhibit 1095

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| AT | Austria | ES | Spain | MG | Madagascar |
|----|--------------------------|----|------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| AU | Australia | FI | Finland | ML. | Mali |
| BB | Barbados | FR | France | MN | Mongolia |
| BE | Belgium | GA | Gabon | MR | Mauritania |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GB | United Kingdom | MW | Malawi |
| BG | Bulgaria | GN | Guinea | NL | Netherlands |
| BJ | Benin | GR | Greece | NO | Norway |
| BR | Brazil | HU | Hungary | PL | Poland |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | RO | Romania |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | SD | Sudan |
| CG | Congo | KP | Democratic People's Republic | SE | Sweden |
| CH | Switzerland | | of Korea | SN | Senegal |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KR | Republic of Korea | SU | Soviet Union |
| CM | Cameroon | LI | Liechtenstein | TD | Chad |
| CS | Czechoslovakia | LK | Sri Lanka | TG | Togo |
| DE | Germany | LU | Luxembourg | US | United States of America |
| DK | Denmark | MC | Monaco | | |
| | | | | | |

\$

HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules, to processes for their production using recombinant DNA technology, and to their therapeutic uses.

The term "humanised antibody molecule" is used to describe a molecule having an antigen binding site derived from an immunoglobulin from a non-human species, and remaining immunoglobulin-derived parts of the molecule being derived from a human immunoglobulin. The antigen binding site typically comprises complementarity determining regions (CDRs) which determine the binding specificity of the antibody molecule and which are carried on appropriate framework regions in the variable domains. There are 3 CDRs (CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3) in each of the heavy and light chain variable domains.

In the description, reference is made to a number of publications by number. The publications are listed in numerical order at the end of the description.

Background of the Invention

Natural immunoglobulins have been known for many years, as have the various fragments thereof, such as the Fab, (Fab')₂ and Fc fragments, which can be derived by enzymatic cleavage. Natural immunoglobulins comprise a generally Y-shaped molecule having an antigen-binding site towards the end of each upper arm. The remainder of the structure, and particularly the stem of the Y, mediates the effector functions associated with immunoglobulins.

Natural immunoglobulins have been used in assay, diagnosis and, to a more limited extent, therapy. However, such uses, especially in therapy, were hindered until recently by the polyclonal nature of natural immunoglobulins. A significant step towards the realisation of the potential

381 of 1849

of immunoglobulins as therapeutic agents was the discovery of procedures for the production of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) of defined specificity (1).

However, most MAbs are produced by hybridomas which are fusions of rodent spleen cells with rodent myeloma cells. They are therefore essentially rodent proteins. There are very few reports of the production of human MAbs.

Since most available MAbs are of rodent origin, they are naturally antigenic in humans and thus can give rise to an undesirable immune response termed the HAMA (Human Anti-Mouse Antibody) response. Therefore, the use of rodent MAbs as therapeutic agents in humans is inherently limited by the fact that the human subject will mount an immunological response to the MAb and will either remove it entirely or at least reduce its effectiveness. In practice, MAbs of rodent origin may not be used in patients for more than one or a few treatments as a HAMA response soon develops rendering the MAb ineffective as well as giving rise to undesirable reactions. For instance, OKT3 a mouse IqG2a/k MAb which recognises an antigen in the T-cell receptor-CD3 complex has been approved for use in many countries throughout the world as an immunosuppressant in the treatment of acute allograft rejection [Chatenoud et al (2) and Jeffers et al However, in view of the rodent nature of this and (3)1.other such MAbs, a significant HAMA response which may include a major anti-idiotype component, may build up on Clearly, it would be highly desirable to diminish use. or abolish this undesirable HAMA response and thus enlarge the areas of use of these very useful antibodies.

Proposals have therefore been made to render non-human MAbs less antigenic in humans. Such techniques can be generically termed "humanisation" techniques. These

382 of 1849

1

Ξ

Ť

techniques typically involve the use of recombinant DNA technology to manipulate DNA sequences encoding the polypeptide chains of the antibody molecule.

- 3 -

Early methods for humanising MAbs involved production of chimeric antibodies in which an antigen binding site comprising the complete variable domains of one antibody is linked to constant domains derived from another Methods for carrying out such chimerisation antibody. procedures are described in EP0120694 (Celltech Limited), EP0125023 (Genentech Inc. and City of Hope), EP-A-0 171496 (Res. Dev. Corp. Japan), EP-A-0 173 494 (Stanford University), and WO 86/01533 (Celltech Limited). This latter Celltech application (WO 86/01533) discloses a process for preparing an antibody molecule having the variable domains from a mouse MAb and the constant domains from a human immunoglobulin. Such humanised chimeric antibodies, however, still contain a significant proportion of non-human amino acid sequence, i.e. the complete non-human variable domains, and thus may still elicit some HAMA response, particularly if administered over a prolonged period [Begent et al (ref. 4)].

In an alternative approach, described in EP-A-0239400 (Winter), the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of a mouse MAb have been grafted onto the framework regions of the variable domains of a human immunoglobulin by site directed mutagenesis using long oligonucleotides. The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules prepared according to this alternative approach, i.e. CDR-grafted humanised antibody molecules. Such CDR-grafted humanised antibodies are much less likely to give rise to a HAMA response than humanised chimeric antibodies in view of the much lower proportion of non-human amino acid sequence which they contain. The earliest work on humanising MAbs by CDR-grafting was carried out on MAbs recognising synthetic antigens, such as the NP or NIP antigens. However, examples in which a mouse MAb recognising lysozyme and a rat MAb recognising an antigen on human T-cells were humanised by CDR-grafting have been described by Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (5) and Riechmann <u>et al</u> (6) respectively. The preparation of CDR-grafted antibody to the antigen on human T cells is also described in WO 89/07452 (Medical Research Council).

In Riechmann et al/Medical Research Council it was found that transfer of the CDR regions alone [as defined by Kabat refs. (7) and (8)] was not sufficient to provide satisfactory antigen binding activity in the CDR-grafted Riechmann et al found that it was necessary to product. convert a serine residue at position 27 of the human sequence to the corresponding rat phenylalanine residue to obtain a CDR-grafted product having improved antigen binding activity. This residue at position 27 of the heavy chain is within the structural loop adjacent to A further construct which additionally contained a CDR1. human serine to rat tyrosine change at position 30 of the heavy chain did not have a significantly altered binding activity over the humanised antibody with the serine to phenylalanine change at position 27 alone. These results indicate that changes to residues of the human sequence outside the CDR regions, in particular in the structural loop adjacent to CDR1, may be necessary to obtain effective antigen binding activity for CDR-grafted antibodies which recognise more complex antigens. Even so the binding affinity of the best CDR-grafted antibodies obtained was still significantly less than the original MAb.

Very recently Queen <u>et al</u> (9) have described the preparation of a humanised antibody that binds to the

3

e

3

¥

- 4 -

WU 91/0990/

- 5 -

interleukin 2 receptor, by combining the CDRs of a murine MAb (anti-Tac) with human immunoglobulin framework and constant regions. The human framework regions were chosen to maximise homology with the anti-Tac MAb sequence. In addition computer modelling was used to identify framework amino acid residues which were likely to interact with the CDRs or antigen, and mouse amino acids were used at these positions in the humanised antibody.

In WO 90/07861 Queen et al propose four criteria for designing humanised immunoglobulins. The first criterion is to use as the human acceptor the framework from a particular human immunoglobulin that is unusually homologous to the non-human donor immunoglobulin to be humanised, or to use a consensus framework from many human The second criterion is to use the donor antibodies. amino acid rather than the acceptor if the human acceptor residue is unusual and the donor residue is typical for human sequences at a specific residue of the framework. The third criterion is to use the donor framework amino acid residue rather than the acceptor at positions immediately adjacent to the CDRs. The fourth criterion is to use the donor amino acid residue at framework positions at which the amino acid is predicted to have a side chain atom within about 3 Å of the CDRs in a three-dimensional immunoglobulin model and to be capable of interacting with the antigen or with the CDRs of the humanised immunoglobulin. It is proposed that criteria two, three or four may be applied in addition or alternatively to criterion one, and may be applied singly or in any combination.

WO 90/07861 describes in detail the preparation of a single CDR-grafted humanised antibody, a humanised antibody having specificity for the p55 Tac protein of the

385 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

The combination of all four criteria, as IL-2 receptor. above, were employed in designing this humanised antibody, the variable region frameworks of the human antibody Eu (7) being used as acceptor. In the resultant humanised antibody the donor CDRs were as defined by Kabat et al (7 and 8) and in addition the mouse donor residues were used in place of the human acceptor residues, at positions 27, 30, 48, 66, 67, 89, 91, 94, 103, 104, 105 and 107 in the heavy chain and at positions 48, 60 and 63 in the light The humanised chain, of the variable region frameworks. anti-Tac antibody obtained is reported to have an affinity for p55 of 3 x 10^9 M⁻¹, about one-third of that of the murine MAb.

- 6 -

We have further investigated the preparation of CDRgrafted humanised antibody molecules and have identified a hierarchy of positions within the framework of the variable regions (i.e. outside both the Kabat CDRs and structural loops of the variable regions) at which the amino acid identities of the residues are important for obtaining CDR-grafted products with satisfactory binding This has enabled us to establish a protocol affinity. for obtaining satisfactory CDR-grafted products which may be applied very widely irrespective of the level of homology between the donor immunoglobulin and acceptor The set of residues which we have identified framework. as being of critical importance does not coincide with the residues identified by Queen et al (9).

Summary of the Invention

.

Accordingly, in a first aspect the invention provides a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/ or 91.

÷.

ŝ

WU 91/0990/

- 7 -

In preferred embodiments, the heavy chain framework comprises donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 or at positions 23, 24 and 49. The residues at positions 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain framework are preferably either all acceptor or all donor residues.

In particularly preferred embodiments the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions 6, 37, 48 and 94. Also it is particularly preferred that residues at positions of the heavy chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 25, 36, 39, 47, 93, 103, 104, 106 and 107, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

In addition the heavy chain framework optionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 72 and 76, 69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor), 38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue), 80 and 20 (if 69 is the donor residue), 67, 82 and 18 (if 67 is the donor residue), 91, 88, and any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.

In the first and other aspects of the present invention reference is made to CDR-grafted antibody products comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions. It will be appreciated that the invention is widely applicable to the CDR-grafting of antibodies in

387 of 1849

general. Thus, the donor and acceptor antibodies may be derived from animals of the same species and even same antibody class or sub-class. More usually, however, the donor and acceptor antibodies are derived from animals of different species. Typically the donor antibody is a non-human antibody, such as a rodent MAb, and the acceptor antibody is a human antibody.

- 8 -

10 711 07701

In the first and other aspects of the present invention, the donor antigen binding region typically comprises at least one CDR from the donor antibody. Usually the donor antigen binding region comprises at least two and preferably all three CDRs of each of the heavy chain and/or light chain variable regions. The CDRs may comprise the Kabat CDRs, the structural loop CDRs or a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs and any combination of any of these. Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted heavy chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR2 (residues 50-65) and CDR3 (residues 95-100) and a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs at CDR1 (residues 26-35).

The residue designations given above and elsewhere in the present application are numbered according to the Kabat numbering [refs. (7) and (8)]. Thus the residue designations do not always correspond directly with the linear numbering of the amino acid residues. The actual linear amino acid sequence may contain fewer or additional amino acids than in the strict Kabat numbering corresponding to a shortening of, or insertion into, a structural component, whether framework or CDR, of the basic variable domain structure. For example, the heavy chain variable region of the anti-Tac antibody described by Queen et al (9) contains a single amino acid insert (residue 52a) after residue 52 of CDR2 and a three amino

BI Exhibit 1095

æ

Ì

acid insert (residues 82a, 82b and 82c) after framework residue 82, in the Kabat numbering. The correct Kabat numbering of residues may be determined for a given antibody by alignment at regions of homology of the sequence of the antibody with a "standard" Kabat numbered sequence.

The invention also provides in a second aspect a CDRgrafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47. Preferably the CDR grafted light chain of the second aspect comprises donor residues at positions 46 and/or 47.

The invention also provides in a third aspect a CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In a preferred embodiment of the third aspect, the framework comprises donor residues at all of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In particularly preferred embodiments of the second and third aspects, the framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 36, 44, 47, 85 and 87. Similarly positions of the light chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 49, 62, 64-69, 98, 99, 101 and 102, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the light chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102.

BI Exhibit 1095

In addition the framework of the second or third aspects
optionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of
positions:
1 and 3,
63,
60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form at potential saltbridge),
70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge),
73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor),
37 and 45 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor),
and
any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 80, 103 and 105.

Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted light chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR1 (residue 24-34), CDR2 (residues 50-56) and CDR3 (residues 89-97).

The invention further provides in a fourth aspect a CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to the first and second or first and third aspects of the invention.

The humanised antibody molecules and chains of the present invention may comprise: a complete antibody molecule, having full length heavy and light chains; a fragment thereof, such as a Fab, (Fab')₂ or FV fragment; a light chain or heavy chain monomer or dimer; or a single chain antibody, e.g. a single chain FV in which heavy and light chain variable regions are joined by a peptide linker; or any other CDR-grafted molecule with the same specificity as the original donor antibody. Similarly the CDR-grafted heavy and light chain variable region may be combined with other antibody domains as appropriate.

8

3

£

5

11 1 2 11 12 2 11

WO 91/09967

4

1 C1/ GD/0/ V#VA

- 11 -

Also the heavy or light chains or humanised antibody molecules of the present invention may have attached to them an effector or reporter molecule. For instance, it may have a macrocycle, for chelating a heavy metal atom, or a toxin, such as ricin, attached to it by a covalent bridging structure. Alternatively, the procedures of recombinant DNA technology may be used to produce an immunoglobulin molecule in which the Fc fragment or CH3 domain of a complete immunoglobulin molecule has been replaced by, or has attached thereto by peptide linkage, a functional non-immunoglobulin protein, such as an enzyme or toxin molecule.

Any appropriate acceptor variable region framework sequences may be used having regard to class/type of the donor antibody from which the antigen binding regions are derived. Preferably, the type of acceptor framework used is of the same/similar class/type as the donor antibody. Conveniently, the framework may be chosen to maximise/ optimise homology with the donor antibody sequence particularly at positions close or adjacent to the CDRs. However, a high level of homology between donor and acceptor sequences is not important for application of the The present invention identifies a present invention. hierarchy of framework residue positions at which donor residues may be important or desirable for obtaining a CDR-grafted antibody product having satisfactory binding The CDR-grafted products usually have properties. binding affinities of at least 10^5 M^{-1} , preferably at least about 10^8 M^{-1} , or especially in the range 10^{8} - 10^{12} M^{-1} . In principle, the present invention is applicable to any combination of donor and acceptor antibodies irrespective of the level of homology between their sequences. A protocol for applying the invention to any particular donor-acceptor antibody pair is given hereinafter. Examples of human frameworks which may be

BI Exhibit 1095

used are KOL, NEWM, REI, EU, LAY and POM (refs. 4 and 5) and the like; for instance KOL and NEWM for the heavy chain and REI for the light chain and EU, LAY and POM for both the heavy chain and the light chain.

- 12 -

Also the constant region domains of the products of the invention may be selected having regard to the proposed function of the antibody in particular the effector functions which may be required. For example, the constant region domains may be human IgA, IgE, IgG or IgM In particular, IgG human constant region domains. domains may be used, especially of the IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes, when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic uses, and antibody effector functions are required. Alternatively, IgG2 and IgG4 isotypes may be used when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic purposes and antibody effector functions are not required, e.g. for simple blocking of lymphokine activity.

However, the remainder of the antibody molecules need not comprise only protein sequences from immunoglobulins. For instance, a gene may be constructed in which a DNA sequence encoding part of a human immunoglobulin chain is fused to a DNA sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of a functional polypeptide such as an effector or reporter molecule.

Preferably the CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chain and antibody molecule products are produced by recombinant DNA technology.

Thus in further aspects the invention also includes DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains, cloning and expression vectors containing the DNA sequences, host cells transformed with the DNA sequences ŝ

ð

Ŧ

- 13 -

and processes for producing the CDR-grafted chains and antibody molecules comprising expressing the DNA sequences in the transformed host cells.

The general methods by which the vectors may be constructed, transfection methods and culture methods are well known <u>per se</u> and form no part of the invention. Such methods are shown, for instance, in references 10 and 11.

The DNA sequences which encode the donor amino acid sequence may be obtained by methods well known in the art. For example the donor coding sequences may be obtained by genomic cloning, or cDNA cloning from suitable hybridoma cell lines. Positive clones may be screened using appropriate probes for the heavy and light chain genes in question. Also PCR cloning may be used.

DNA coding for acceptor, e.g. human acceptor, sequences may be obtained in any appropriate way. For example DNA sequences coding for preferred human acceptor frameworks such as KOL, REI, EU and NEWM, are widely available to workers in the art.

The standard techniques of molecular biology may be used to prepare DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted products. Desired DNA sequences may be synthesised completely or in part using oligonucleotide synthesis techniques. Site-directed mutagenesis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques may be used as appropriate. For example oligonucleotide directed synthesis as described by Jones <u>et al</u> (ref. 20) may be used. Also oligonucleotide directed mutagenesis of a pre-exising variable region as, for example, described by Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (ref. 5) or Riechmann <u>et al</u> (ref. 6) may be used. Also enzymatic filling in of gapped oligonucleotides using T_4 DNA polymerase as, for example, described by Queen et al (ref. 9) may be used.

- 14 -

Any suitable host cell/vector system may be used for expression of the DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains. Bacterial e.g. <u>E. coli</u>, and other microbial systems may be used, in particular for expression of antibody fragments such as FAb and (Fab')₂ fragments, and especially FV fragments and single chain antibody fragments e.g. single chain FVs. Eucaryotic e.g. mammalian host cell expression systems may be used for production of larger CDR-grafted antibody products, including complete antibody molecules. Suitable mammalian host cells include CHO cells and myeloma or hybridoma cell lines.

Thus, in a further aspect the present invention provides a process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising:

 (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to the first aspect of the invention;

and/or

- (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to the second or third aspect of the invention;
- (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and
- (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.

ž,

- 15 -

The CDR-grafted product may comprise only heavy or light chain derived polypeptide, in which case only a heavy chain or light chain polypeptide coding sequence is used to transfect the host cells.

For production of products comprising both heavy and light chains, the cell line may be transfected with two vectors, the first vector may contain an operon encoding a light chain-derived polypeptide and the second vector containing an operon encoding a heavy chain-derived polypeptide. Preferably, the vectors are identical, except in so far as the coding sequences and selectable markers are concerned, so as to ensure as far as possible that each polypeptide chain is equally expressed. Alternatively, a single vector may be used, the vector including the sequences encoding both light chain- and heavy chain-derived polypeptides.

The DNA in the coding sequences for the light and heavy chains may comprise cDNA or genomic DNA or both. However, it is preferred that the DNA sequence encoding the heavy or light chain comprises at least partially, genomic DNA, preferably a fusion of cDNA and genomic DNA.

The present invention is applicable to antibodies of any appropriate specificity. Advantageously, however, the invention may be applied to the humanisation of non-human antibodies which are used for in vivo therapy or diagnosis. Thus the antibodies may be site-specific antibodies such as tumour-specific or cell surfacespecific antibodies, suitable for use in in vivo therapy or diagnosis, e.g. tumour imaging. Examples of cell surface-specific antibodies are anti-T cell antibodies, such as anti-CD3, and CD4 and adhesion molecules, such as The antibodies may have specificity CR3, ICAM and ELAM. for interleukins (including lymphokines, growth factors and stimulating factors), hormones and other biologically active compounds, and receptors for any of these. For

example, the antibodies may have specificity for any of the following: Interferons α, β, γ or δ , IL1, IL2, IL3, or IL4, etc., TNF, GCSF, GMCSF, EPO, hGH, or insulin, etc.

The the present invention also includes therapeutic and diagnostic compositions comprising the CDR-grafted products of the invention and uses of such compositions in therapy and diagnosis.

Accordingly in a further aspect the invention provides a therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.

Accordingly also the invention provides a method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention to a human or animal subject.

A preferred protocol for obtaining CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chains in accordance with the present invention is set out below together with the rationale by which we have derived this protocol. This protocol and rationale are given without prejudice to the generality of the invention as hereinbefore described and defined.

Protocol

It is first of all necessary to sequence the DNA coding for the heavy and light chain variable regions of the donor antibody, to determine their amino acid sequences. It is also necessary to choose appropriate acceptor heavy and light chain variable regions, of known amino acid sequence. The CDR-grafted chain is then designed ŧ

ê

3

5
WU 21/0770/

- 17 -

starting from the basis of the acceptor sequence. It will be appreciated that in some cases the donor and acceptor amino acid residues may be identical at a particular position and thus no change of acceptor framework residue is required.

As a first step donor residues are substituted for
 acceptor residues in the CDRs. For this purpose the
 CDRs are preferably defined as follows:

Heavy chain - CDR1: residues 26-35 - CDR2: residues 50-65 - CDR3: residues 95-102 Light chain - CDR1: residues 24-34 - CDR2: residues 50-56 - CDR3: residues 89-97

The positions at which donor residues are to be substituted for acceptor in the framework are then chosen as follows, first of all with respect to the heavy chain and subsequently with respect to the light chain.

2. Heavy Chain

- 2.1 Choose donor residues at all of positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain or all of positions 23, 24 and 49 (71, 73 and 78 are always either all donor or all acceptor).
- 2.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, and if not preferably choose the donor: 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

397 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

- 2.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:
 - i. 1,3

- ii. 72, 76
- iii. If 48 is different between donor and acceptor sequences, consider 69
- iv. If at 48 the donor residue is chosen, consider 38 and 46
- v. If at 69 the donor residue is chosen, consider 80 and then 20
- vi. 67
- vii. If at 67 the donor residue is chosen, consider 82 and then 18
- viii. 91
- ix. 88
- x. 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112

3. Light Chain

- 3.1 Choose donor at 46, 48, 58 and 71
- 3.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, if not preferably choose donor:

2, 4, 6, 35, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69 inclusive, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102

- 3.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:
 - i. 1, 3 ii. 63

- 18 -

€

ð

3

÷.

iii. 60, if 60 and 54 are able to form potential saltbridge

ULI UNI VI VAVA

- iv. 70, if 70 and 24 are able to form potential
 saltbridge
- v. 73, and 21 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vi. 37, and 45 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vii. 10, 12, 40, 80, 103, 105

Rationale

In order to transfer the binding site of an antibody into a different acceptor framework, a number of factors need to be considered.

1. The extent of the CDRs

The CDRs (Complementary Determining Regions) were defined by Wu and Kabat (refs. 4 and 5) on the basis of an analysis of the variability of different regions of antibody variable regions. Three regions per domain were recognised. In the light chain the sequences are 24-34, 50-56, 89-97 (numbering according to Kabat (ref. 4), Eu Index) inclusive and in the heavy chain the sequences are 31-35, 50-65 and 95-102 inclusive.

When antibody structures became available it became apparent that these CDR regions corresponded in the main to loop regions which extended from the β barrel framework of the light and heavy variable domains. For H1 there was a discrepancy in that the loop was from 26 to 32 inclusive and for H2 the loop was 52 to 56 and for L2 from 50 to 53. However, with the exception of H1 the CDR regions encompassed the loop regions and extended into the β strand frameworks. In H1 residue 26 tends to be a serine and 27 a phenylalanine or tyrosine, residue 29 is a phenylalanine in most cases. Residues 28 and 30 which are surface residues exposed to solvent might be involved in antigen-binding. A prudent definition of the H1 CDR therefore would include residues 26-35 to include both the loop region and the hypervariable residues 33-35.

It is of interest to note the example of Riechmann <u>et al</u> (ref. 3), who used the residue 31-35 choice for CDR-H1. In order to produce efficient antigen binding, residue 27 also needed to be recruited from the donor (rat) antibody.

Non-CDR residues which contribute to antigen binding

2.

By examination of available X-ray structures we have identified a number of residues which may have an effect on net antigen binding and which can be demonstrated by experiment. These residues can be sub-divided into a number of groups.

- 2.1 Surface residues near CDR [all numbering as in Kabat et al (ref. 7)].
- 2.1.1. Heavy Chain Key residues are 23, 71 and 73. Other residues which may contribute to a lesser extent are 1, 3 and 76. Finally 25 is usually conserved but the murine residue should be used if there is a difference.
- 2.1.2 Light Chain Many residues close to the CDRs, e.g. 63, 65, 67 and 69 are conserved. If conserved none of the surface residues in the light chain are likely to have a major effect. However, if the murine residue at these positions

ŝ

æ

is unusual, then it would be of benefit to analyse the likely contribution more closely. Other residues which may also contribute to binding are 1 and 3, and also 60 and 70 if the residues at these positions and at 54 and 24 respectively are potentially able to form a salt bridge i.e. 60 + 54; 70 + 24.

2.2 Packing residues near the CDRs.

2.2.1.

Heavy Chain - Key residues are 24, 49 and 78. Other key residues would be 36 if not a tryptophan, 94 if not an arginine, 104 and 106 if not glycines and 107 if not a threonine. Residues which may make a further contribution to stable packing of the heavy chain and hence improved affinity are 2, 4, 6, 38, 46, 67 and 67 packs against the CDR residue 63 and 69. this pair could be either both mouse or both Finally, residues which contribute to human. packing in this region but from a longer range are 18, 20, 80, 82 and 86. 82 packs against 67 and in turn 18 packs against 82. 80 packs against 69 and in turn 20 packs against 80. 86 forms an H bond network with 38 and 46. Manv of the mouse-human differences appear minor e.g. Leu-Ile, but could have an minor impact on correct packing which could translate into altered positioning of the CDRs.

2.2.2.

Light Chain - Key residues are 48, 58 and 71. Other key residues would be 6 if not glutamine, 35 if not tryptophan, 62 if not phenylalanine or tryosine, 64, 66, 68, 99 and 101 if not glycines and 102 if not a threonine. Residues which make a further contribution are 2, 4, 37, 45 and 47. Finally residues 73 and 21 and 19 may make long distance packing contributions of a minor nature. - 22 -

- 2.3. Residues at the variable domain interface between heavy and light chains - In both the light and heavy chains most of the non-CDR interface residues are conserved. If a conserved residue is replaced by a residue of different character, e.g. size or charge, it should be considered for retention as the murine residue.
- 2.3.1. Heavy Chain Residues which need to be considered are 37 if the residue is not a valine but is of larger side chain volume or has a charge or polarity. Other residues are 39 if not a glutamine, 45 if not a leucine, 47 if not a tryptophan, 91 if not a phenylalanine or tyrosine, 93 if not an alanine and 103 if not a tryptophan. Residue 89 is also at the interface but is not in a position where the side chain could be of great impact.
- 2.3.2. Light Chain - Residues which need to be considered are 36, if not a tyrosine, 38 if not a glutamine, 44 if not a proline, 46, 49 if not a tyrosine, residue 85, residue 87 if not a tyrosine and 98 if not a phenylalanine. 2.4. Variable-Constant region interface - The elbow angle between variable and constant regions may be affected by alterations in packing of key residues in the variable region against the constant region which may affect the position of $V_{\rm L}$ and $V_{\rm H}$ with respect to one another. Therefore it is worth noting the residues likely to be in contact with the constant region. In the heavy chain the surface residues potentially in contact with the variable region are conserved between mouse and human antibodies therefore the variable region contact residues may influence the V-C interaction. In the light chain the amino acids found at a number of the constant

æ

۶

Ŷ

- 23 -

region contact points vary, and the V & C regions are not in such close proximity as the heavy chain. Therefore the influences of the light chain V-C interface may be minor.

- 2.4.1. Heavy Chain Contact residues are 7, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112.
- 2.4.2. Light Chain In the light chain potentially contacting residues are 10, 12, 40, 80, 83, 103 and 105.

The above analysis coupled with our considerable practical experimental experience in the CDR-grafting of a number of different antibodies have lead us to the protocol given above.

The present invention is now described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying Figures 1 - 13.

Brief Description of the Figures

- Figure 1 shows DNA and amino acid sequences of the OKT3 light chain;
- Figure 2 shows DNA and amino acid sequences of the OKT3 heavy chain;
- Figure 3 shows the alignment of the OKT3 light variable region amino acid sequence with that of the light variable region of the human antibody REI;
- Figure 4 shows the alignment of the OKT3 heavy variable region amino acid sequence with that of the heavy variable region of the human antibody KOL;
- Figure 5 shows the heavy variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, KOL and various corresponding CDR grafts;
- Figure 6 shows the light variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, REI and various corresponding CDR grafts;

Figure 7 shows a graph of binding assay results for various grafted OKT3 antibodies'

- 24 -

Figure 8 shows a graph of blocking assay results for various grafted OKT3 antibodies;

Figure 9 shows a similar graph of blocking assay results;

- Figure 10 shows similar graphs for both binding assay and blocking assay results;
- Figure 11 shows further similar graphs for both binding assay and blocking assay results;
- Figure 12 shows a graph of competition assay results for a minimally grafted OKT3 antibody compared with the OKT3 murine reference standard, and
- Figure 13 shows a similar graph of competition assay results comparing a fully grafted OKT3 antibody with the murine reference standard.

3

*

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

- 25 -

EXAMPLE 1

CDR-GRAFTING OF OKT3

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. INCOMING CELLS

Hybridoma cells producing antibody OKT3 were provided by Ortho (seedlot 4882.1) and were grown up in antibiotic free Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with glutamine and 5% foetal calf serum, and divided to provide both an overgrown supernatant for evaluation and cells for extraction of RNA. The overgrown supernatant was shown to contain 250 ug/mL murine IgG2a/kappa antibody. The supernatant was negative for murine lambda light chain and IgG1, IgG2b, IgG3, IgA and IgM heavy chain. 20mL of supernatant was assayed to confirm that the antibody present was OKT3.

2. MOLECULAR BIOLOGY PROCEDURES

Basic molecular biology procedures were as described in Maniatis <u>et al</u> (ref. 9) with, in some cases, minor modifications. DNA sequencing was performed as described in Sanger <u>et al</u> (ref. 11) and the Amersham International Plc sequencing handbook. Site directed mutagenesis was as described in Kramer <u>et al</u> (ref. 12) and the Anglian Biotechnology Ltd. handbook. COS cell expression and metabolic labelling studies were as described in Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)

3. RESEARCH ASSAYS

3.1. ASSEMBLY ASSAYS

Assembly assays were performed on supernatants from transfected COS cells to determine the amount of intact IgG present.

3.1.1. COS CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH MOUSE OKT3 GENES The assembly assay for intact mouse IgG in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

> 96 well microtitre plates were coated with $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-mouse IgG Fc. The plates were washed in water and samples added for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-mouse IgG $F(ab')^2$ (HRPO conjugated) was then added. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. UPC10, a mouse IgG2a myeloma, was used as a standard.

3.1.2. COS AND CHO CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH CHIMERIC OR CDR-GRAFTED OKT3 GENES The assembly assay for chimeric or CDR-grafted

antibody in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

96 well microtitre plates were coated with F(ab')2 goat anti-human IgG Fc. The plates were washed and samples added and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and monoclonal mouse anti-human kappa chain was added for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed and F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added. Enzyme substrate was added to reveal the reaction. Chimeric B72.3 (IgG4) (ref. 13) was used as a standard. The use of a monoclonal anti-kappa chain in this assay allows grafted antibodies to be read from the chimeric standard.

BI Exhibit 1095

æ.

2

ASSAY FOR ANTIGEN BINDING ACTIVITY

3.2.

11 0 2 11 02201

Material from COS cell supernatants was assayed for OKT3 antigen binding activity onto CD3 positive cells in a direct assay. The procedure was as follows:

HUT 78 cells (human T cell line, CD3 positive) were maintained in culture. Monolayers of HUT 78 cells were prepared onto 96 well ELISA plates using poly-L-lysine and glutaraldehyde. Samples were added to the monolayers for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed gently using PBS. $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-human IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) or $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added as appropriate for humanised or mouse samples. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. The negative control for the cell-based assay was chimeric B72.3. The positive control was mouse Orthomune OKT3 or chimeric OKT3, when available. This cell-based assay was difficult to perform, and an alternative assay was developed for CDR-grafted OKT3 which was more sensitive and easier to carry out.

In this system CDR-grafted OKT3 produced by COS cells was tested for its ability to bind to the CD3-positive HPB-ALL (human peripheral blood acute lymphocytic leukemia) cell line. It was also tested for its ability to block the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells. Binding was measured by the following procedure: HPB-ALL cells were harvested from tissue culture. Cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody, positive control antibody, or negative control antibody. The cells were washed once and incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with an FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG (Fc-

specific, mouse absorbed). The cells were washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. Chimeric OKT3 was used as a positive control for direct Cells incubated with mock- transfected binding. COS cell supernatant, followed by the FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG, provided the negative control. To test the ability of CDR-grafted OKT3 to block murine OKT3 binding, the HPB-ALL cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody or control antibody. A fixed saturating amount of FITC OKT3 was added. The samples were incubated for 1 hour at 4°C, washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. FITC-labelled OKT3 was used as a positive control to determine maximum binding. Unlabelled murine OKT3 served as a reference standard for blocking. Negative controls were unstained cells with or without mock-transfected cell supernatant. The ability of the CDR-grafted OKT3 light chain to bind CD3-positive cells and block the binding of murine OKT3 was initially tested in combination with the chimeric OKT3 heavy chain. The chimeric OKT3 heavy chain is composed of the murine OKT3 variable region and the human IgG4 constant The chimeric heavy chain gene is region. expressed in the same expression vector used for the CDR-grafted genes. The CDR-grafted light chain expression vector and the chimeric heavy chain expression vector were co-transfected into The fully chimeric OKT3 antibody COS cells. (chimeric light chain and chimeric heavy chain) was found to be fully capable of binding to CD3 positive cells and blocking the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells.

- 28 -

3.3

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE BINDING AFFINITY The relative binding affinities of CDR-grafted

BI Exhibit 1095

3

ð

۲

anti-CD3 monoclonal antibodies were determined by competition binding (ref. 6) using the HPB-ALL human T cell line as a source of CD3 antigen, and fluorescein-conjugated murine OKT3 (Fl-OKT3) of known binding affinity as a tracer antibody. The binding affinity of Fl-OKT3 tracer antibody was determined by a direct binding assay in which increasing amounts of F1-OKT3 were incubated with HPB-ALL $(5x10^5)$ in PBS with 5% foetal calf serum for 60 min. at 4°C. Cells were washed, and the fluorescence intensity was determined on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards (Flow Cytometry Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC). Fluorescence intensity per antibody molecule (F/P ratio) was determined by using microbeads which have a predetermined number of mouse IgG antibody binding sites (Simply Cellular beads, Flow Cytometry Standards). F/P equals the fluorescence intensity of beads saturated with F1-OKT3 divided by the number of binding sites per bead. The amount of bound and free F1-OKT3 was calculated from the mean fluorescence intensity per cell, and the ratio of bound/free was plotted against the number of moles of antibody bound. A linear fit was used to determine the affinity of binding (absolute value of the slope). For competitive binding, increasing amounts of competitor antibody were added to a sub-saturating dose of Fl-OKT3 and incubated with 5x10⁵ HPB-ALL in 200 Ml of PBS with 5% foetal calf serum, for 60 min at 4°C. The fluorescence intensities of the cells were measured on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards. The concentrations of bound and free F1-OKT3 were

- 29 -

calculated. The affinities of competing anti-

đ

- 30 -

bodies were calculated from the equation [X]-[OKT3] = (1/Kx) - (1/Ka), where Ka is the affinity of murine OKT3, Kx is the affinity of competitor X, [] is the concentration of competitor antibody at which bound/free binding is R/2, and R is the maximal bound/free binding.

CDNA LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

4.1.

4.2.

4.

mRNA PREPARATION AND CDNA SYNTHESIS

OKT3 producing cells were grown as described above and 1.2 x 10⁹ cells harvested and mRNA extracted using the guanidinium/LiCl extraction procedure. cDNA was prepared by priming from Oligo-dT to generate full length cDNA. The cDNA was methylated and EcoR1 linkers added for cloning. LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

The cDNA library was ligated to pSP65 vector DNA which had been EcoR1 cut and the 5' phosphate groups removed by calf intestinal phosphatase (EcoR1/CIP). The ligation was used to transform high transformation efficiency Escherichia coli (E.coli) HB101. A cDNA library was prepared. 3600 colonies were screened for the light chain and 10000 colonies were screened for the heavy chain.

5.

SCREENING

E.coli colonies positive for either heavy or light chain probes were identified by oligonucleotide screening using the oligonucleotides: 5' TCCAGATGTTAACTGCTCAC for the light chain, which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse kappa constant region, and 5' CAGGGGCCAGTGGATGGATAGAC for the heavy chain which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse IgG2a constant CH1 domain region. 12 light chain and 9 heavy chain clones

2

₹

were identified and taken for second round screening. Positive clones from the second round of screening were grown up and DNA prepared. The sizes of the gene inserts were estimated by gel electrophoresis and inserts of a size capable of containing a full length cDNA were subcloned into M13 for DNA sequencing.

6. DNA SEQUENCING

Clones representing four size classes for both heavy and light chains were obtained in M13. DNA sequence for the 5' untranslated regions, signal sequences, variable regions and 3' untranslated regions of full length cDNAs [Figures 1(a) and 2(a)] were obtained and the corresponding amino acid sequences predicted [(Figures 1(b) and 2(b)]. In Figure 1(a) the untranslated DNA regions are shown in uppercase, and in both Figures 1 and 2 the signal sequences are underlined.

7.

CONSTRUCTION OF CDNA EXPRESSION VECTORS

Celltech expression vectors are based on the plasmid pEE6hCMV (ref. 14). A polylinker for the insertion of genes to be expressed has been introduced after the major immediate early promoter/enhancer of the human Cytomegalovirus Marker genes for selection of the (hCMV). plasmid in transfected eukaryotic cells can be inserted as BamH1 cassettes in the unique BamH1 site of pEE6 hCMV; for instance, the neo marker to provide pEE6 hCMV neo. It is usual practice to insert the neo and qpt markers prior to insertion of the gene of interest, whereas the GS marker is inserted last because of the presence of internal EcoR1 sites in the cassette.

411 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

The selectable markers are expressed from the SV40 late promoter which also provides an origin of replication so that the vectors can be used for expression in the COS cell transient expression system.

The mouse sequences were excised from the M13 based vectors described above as EcoR1 fragments and cloned into either pEE6-hCMV-neo for the heavy chain and into EE6-hCMV-gpt for the light chain to yield vectors pJA136 and pJA135 respectively.

EXPRESSION OF CDNAS IN COS CELLS

Plasmids pJA135 and pJA136 were co-transfected into COS cells and supernatant from the transient expression experiment was shown to contain assembled antibody which bound to T-cell enriched lymphocytes. Metabolic labelling experiments using ³⁵S methionine showed expression and assembly of heavy and light chains.

CONSTRUCTION OF CHIMERIC GENES

Construction of chimeric genes followed a previously described strategy [Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)]. A restriction site near the 3' end of the variable domain sequence is identified and used to attach an oligonucleotide adapter coding for the remainder of the mouse variable region and a suitable restriction site for attachment to the constant region of choice.

9.1. LIGHT CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION

The mouse light chain cDNA sequence contains an Aval site near the 3' end of the variable region [Fig. 1(a)]. The majority of the sequence of the variable region was isolated as a 396 bp. EcoR1-Aval fragment. An oligonucleotide adapter was designed to replace the remainder of the 3'

3

8.

9.

region of the variable region from the Aval site and to include the 5' residues of the human constant region up to and including a unique Narl site which had been previously engineered into the constant region.

A Hindlll site was introduced to act as a marker for insertion of the linker.

The linker was ligated to the V_L fragment and the 413 bp EcoRl-Narl adapted fragment was purified from the ligation mixture.

The constant region was isolated as an Narl-BamH1 fragment from an M13 clone NW361 and was ligated with the variable region DNA into an EcoR1/BamH1/C1P pSP65 treated vector in a three way reaction to yield plasmid JA143. Clones were isolated after transformation into E.coli and the linker and junction sequences were confirmed by the presence of the Hind111 site and by DNA sequencing.

9.2

LIGHT CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION - VERSION 2 The construction of the first chimeric light chain gene produces a fusion of mouse and human amino acid sequences at the variable-constant region junction. In the case of the OKT3 light chain the amino acids at the chimera junction are:Leu-Glu-Ile-Asn-Arg/ -/Thr-Val-Ala -Ala

VARIABLE

CONSTANT

This arrangement of sequence introduces a potential site for Asparagine (Asn) linked (N-linked) glycosylation at the V-C junction. Therefore, a second version of the chimeric light chain oligonucleotide adapter was designed in which the threonine (Thr), the first amino acid of the human constant region, was replaced with the equivalent amino acid from the mouse constant region, Alanine (Ala).

- 34 -

An internal Hindlll site was not included in this adapter, to differentiate the two chimeric light chain genes.

The variable region fragment was isolated as a 376 bp EcoR1-Aval fragment. The oligonucleotide linker was ligated to Nar1 cut pNW361 and then the adapted 396bp constant region was isolated after recutting the modified pNW361 with EcoR1. The variable region fragment and the modified constant region fragment were ligated directly into EcoR1/C1P treated pEE6hCMVneo to yield pJA137. Initially all clones examined had the insert in the incorrect orientation. Therefore, the insert was re-isolated and recloned to turn the insert round and yield plasmid pJA141. Several clones with the insert in the correct orientation were obtained and the adapter sequence of one was confirmed by DNA sequencing

9.3. HEAVY CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION

9.3.1. CHOICE OF HEAVY CHAIN GENE ISOTYPE The constant region isotype chosen for the heavy chain was human IgG4.

9.3.2. GENE CONSTRUCTION

The heavy chain cDNA sequence showed a Ban1 site near the 3' end of the variable region [Fig. 2(a)]. The majority of the sequence of the variable region was isolated as a 426bp. EcoR1/C1P/Ban1 fragment. An oligonucleotide adapter was designated to replace the remainder of the 3' region of the variable region from the Ban1 site up to and including a unique HindIII site which had been previously engineered into the first two amino acids of the constant region. The linker was ligated to the V_H fragment and the EcoR1-Hind111 adapted fragment was purified from the ligation mixture.

BI Exhibit 1095

The variable region was ligated to the constant region by cutting pJA91 with EcoR1 and Hind111 removing the intron fragment and replacing it with the $V_{\rm H}$ to yield pJA142. Clones were isolated after transformation into E.coli JM101 and the linker and junction sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing. (N.B. The Hind111 site is lost on cloning).

10. CONSTRUCTION OF CHIMERIC EXPRESSION VECTORS

10.1.

neo AND gpt VECTORS

The chimeric light chain (version 1) was removed from pJA143 as an EcoR1 fragment and cloned into EcoR1/C1P treated pEE6hCMVneo expression vector to yield pJA145. Clones with the insert in the correct orientation were identified by restriction mapping.

The chimeric light chain (version 2) was constructed as described above.

The chimeric heavy chain gene was isolated from pJA142 as a 2.5Kbp EcoRl/BamH1 fragment and cloned into the EcoRl/Bcl1/ClP treated vector fragment of a derivative of pEE6hCMVgpt to yield plasmid pJA144.

10.2. GS SEPARATE VECTORS

GS versions of pJA141 and pJA144 were constructed by replacing the neo and gpt cassettes by a BamH1/Sa11/C1P treatment of the plasmids, isolation of the vector fragment and ligation to a GS-containing fragment from the plasmid pRO49 to yield the light chain vector pJA179 and the heavy chain vector pJA180.

10.3. GS SINGLE VECTOR CONSTRUCTION

Single vector constructions containing the cL (chimeric light), cH (chimeric heavy) and GS genes on one plasmid in the order cL-cH-GS, or cH-cL-GS

and with transcription of the genes being head to tail e.g. cL>cH>GS were constructed. These plasmids were made by treating pJA179 or pJA180 with BamH1/C1P and ligating in a Bgl11/Hind111 hCMV promoter cassette along with either the Hind111/BamH1 fragment from pJA141 into pJA180 to give the cH-cL-GS plasmid pJA182 or the Hind111/BamH1 fragment from pJA144 into pJA179 to give the cL-cH-GS plasmid pJA181.

11. EXPRESSION OF CHIMERIC GENES

11.1. EXPRESSION IN COS CELLS

The chimeric antibody plasmid pJA145 (cL) and pJA144 (CH) were co-transfected into COS cells and supernatant from the transient expression experiment was shown to contain assembled antibody which bound to the HUT 78 human T-cell line. Metabolic labelling experiments using ³⁵S methionine showed expression and assembly of heavy and light chains. However the light chain ' mobility seen on reduced gels suggested that the potential glycosylation site was being glycosylated. Expression in COS cells in the presence of tunicamycin showed a reduction in size of the light chain to that shown for control chimeric antibodies and the OKT3 mouse light Therefore JA141 was constructed and chain. In this case the light chain did not expressed. show an aberrant mobility or a size shift in the presence or absence of tunicamycin. This second version of the chimeric light chain, when expressed in association with chimeric heavy (CH) chain, produced antibody which showed good binding to HUT 78 cells. In both cases antigen binding was equivalent to that of the mouse antibody.

\$

11.2 EXPRESSION IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY (CHO) CELLS Stable cell lines have been prepared from plasmids PJA141/pJA144 and from pJA179/pJA180, pJA181 and pJA182 by transfection into CHO cells.

12. CDR-GRAFTING

The approach taken was to try to introduce sufficient mouse residues into a human variable region framework to generate antigen binding activity comparable to the mouse and chimeric antibodies.

12.1. VARIABLE REGION ANALYSIS

From an examination of a small database of structures of antibodies and antigen-antibody complexes it is clear that only a small number of antibody residues make direct contact with antigen. Other residues may contribute to antigen binding by positioning the contact residues in favourable configurations and also by inducing a stable packing of the individual variable domains and stable interaction of the light and heavy chain variable domains. The residues chosen for transfer can be identified in a number of ways:

- (a) By examination of antibody X-ray crystal structures the antigen binding surface can be predominantly located on a series of loops, three per domain, which extend from the B-barrel framework.
- (b) By analysis of antibody variable domain sequences regions of hypervariability [termed the Complementarity Determining Regions (CDRs) by Wu and Kabat (ref. 5)] can be identified. In the most but not all cases these CDRs correspond to, but extend a short way beyond, the loop regions noted above.

417 of 1849

Residues not identified by (a) and (b) may (C) contribute to antigen binding directly or indirectly by affecting antigen binding site topology, or by inducing a stable packing of the individual variable domains and stabilising the inter-variable domain These residues may be interaction. identified either by superimposing the sequences for a given antibody on a known structure and looking at key residues for their contribution, or by sequence alignment analysis and noting "idiosyncratic" residues followed by examination of their structural location and likely effects.

12.1.1. LIGHT CHAIN

Figure 3 shows an alignment of sequences for the human framework region RE1 and the OKT3 light variable region. The structural loops (LOOP) and CDRs (KABAT) believed to correspond to the antigen binding region are marked. Also marked are a number of other residues which may also contribute to antigen binding as described in 13.1(c). Above the sequence in Figure 3 the residue type indicates the spatial location of each residue side chain, derived by examination of resolved structures from X-ray crystallography analysis. The key to this residue type designation is as follows:

N - near to CDR (From X-ray Structures)

P - Packing

B - Buried Non-Packing

S - Surface

E - Exposed * - Interface

- I Interface
 - Packing/Part Exposed

? - Non-CDR Residues which may require to be left as Mouse sequence.

1

龙

Residues underlined in Figure 3 are amino acids. RE1 was chosen as the human framework because the light chain is a kappa chain and the kappa variable regions show higher homology with the mouse sequences than a lambda light variable region, e.g. KOL (see below). RE1 was chosen in preference to another kappa light chain because the X-ray structure of the light chain has been determined so that a structural examination of individual residues could be made.

12.1.2. HEAVY CHAIN

Similarly Figure 4 shows an alignment of sequences for the human framework region KOL and the OKT3 heavy variable region. The structural loops and CDRs believed to correspond to the antigen binding region are marked. Also marked are a number of other residues which may also contribute to antigen binding as described in 12.1(c). The residue type key and other indicators used in Figure 4 are the same as those used in Figure 3. KOL was chosen as the heavy chain framework because the X-ray structure has been determined to a better resolution than, for example, NEWM and also the sequence alignment of OKT3 heavy variable region showed a slightly better homology to KOL than to NEWM.

12.2. DESIGN OF VARIABLE GENES

The variable region domains were designed with mouse variable region optimal codon usage [Grantham and Perrin (ref. 15)] and used the B72.3 signal sequences [Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)]. The sequences were designed to be attached to the constant region in the same way as for the chimeric genes described above. Some constructs contained the "Kozak consensus sequence" [Kozak (ref. 16)] directly linked to the 5' of the signal

419 of 1849

sequence in the gene. This sequence motif is believed to have a beneficial role in translation initiation in eukaryotes.

12.3. GENE CONSTRUCTION

To build the variable regions, various strategies are available. The sequence may be assembled by using oligonucleotides in a manner similar to Jones <u>et al</u> (ref. 17) or by simultaneously replacing all of the CDRs or loop regions by oligonucleotide directed site specific mutagenesis in a manner similar to Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (ref. 2). Both strategies were used and a list of constructions is set out in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 4 and 5. It was noted in several cases that the mutagenesis approach led to deletions and rearrangements in the gene being remodelled, while the success of the assembly approach was very sensitive to the quality of the oligonucleotides.

13. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPRESSION VECTORS

Genes were isolated from M13 or SP65 based intermediate vectors and cloned into pEE6hCMVneo for the light chains and pEE6hCMVgpt for the heavy chains in a manner similar to that for the chimeric genes as described above.

- 41 -

TABLE 1 CDR-GRAFTED GENE CONSTRUCTS CODE MOUSE SEQUENCE METHOD OF KOZAK CONTENT CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE +LIGHT CHAIN ALL HUMAN FRAMEWORK RE1 121 26-32, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive SDM and gene assembly + n.d. 121A 26-32, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly n.d. + +1, 3, 46, 47 121B 26-32, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly n.d. + + 46, 47 221 24-24, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly + + 221A 24-34, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly + ++1, 3, 46, 47 221B 24-34, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly + ++1.3221C 24-34, 50-56, 91-96 inclusive Partial gene assembly + + HEAVY CHAIN. ALL HUMAN FRAMEWORK KOL 121 Gene assembly 26-32, 50-56, 95-100B inclusive n.d. + 131 26-32, 50-58, 95-100B inclusive Gene assembly n.d. + 141 26-32, 50-65, 95-100B inclusive Partial gene assembly + n.d. 321 26-35, 50-56, 95-100B inclusive Partial gene assembly + n.d. 331 26-35, 50-58, 95-100B inclusive Partial gene assembly + Gene assembly + 341 26-35, 50-65, 95-100B inclusive SDM + Partial gene assembly + 341A 26-35, 50-65, 95-100B inclusive Gene assembly n.d. + +6, 23, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73, 76, 78, 88, 91 (+63 = human)341B 26-35, 50-65, 95-100B inclusive Gene assembly n.d. + + 48, 49, 71, 73, 76, 78, 88, 91 (+63 + human)KEY n.d. not done SDM Site directed mutagenesis Gene assembly Variable region assembled entirely from oligonucleotides Partial gene Variable region assembled by combination of restriction fragments either from other genes originally created by SDM assembly and gene assembly or by oligonucleotide assembly of part of the variable region and reconstruction with restriction fragments from other genes originally created by SDM and gene

421 of 1849

assembly

BI Exhibit 1095

- 42 -

14. EXPRESSION OF CDR-GRAFTED GENES

14.1.

PRODUCTION OF ANTIBODY CONSISTING OF GRAFTED LIGHT (gL) CHAINS WITH MOUSE HEAVY (mH) OR CHIMERIC HEAVY (cH) CHAINS All gL chains, in association with mH or cH produced reasonable amounts of antibody. Insertion of the Kozak consensus sequence at a position 5' to the ATG (kgL constructs) however, led to a 2-5 fold improvement in net expression. Over an extended series of experiments expression levels were raised from approximately 200ng/ml to approximately 500 ng/ml for kgL/cH or kgL/mH combinations.

When direct binding to antigen on HUT 78 cells was measured, a construct designed to include mouse sequence based on loop length (gL121) did not lead to active antibody in association with mH or cH. A construct designed to include mouse sequence based on Kabat CDRs (gL221) demonstrated some weak binding in association with mH or cH. However, when framework residues 1, 3, 46, 47 were changed from the human to the murine OKT3 equivalents based on the arguments outlined in Section 12.1 antigen binding was demonstrated when both of the new constructs, which were termed 121A and 221A were co-expressed with cH. When the effects of these residues were examined in more detail, it appears that residues 1 and 3 are not major contributing residues as the product of the gL221B gene shows little detectable binding activity in association with cH. The light chain product of gL221C, in which mouse sequences are present at 46 and 47, shows good binding activity in association with cH.

Ę

14.2 PRODUCTION OF ANTIBODY CONSISTING OF GRAFTED HEAVY (gH) CHAINS WITH MOUSE LIGHT (mL) OR CHIMERIC LIGHT (cL) CHAINS Expression of the gH genes proved to be more difficult to achieve than for gL. First, inclusion of the Kozak sequence appeared to have no marked effect on expression of gH genes. Expression appears to be slightly improved but not to the same degree as seen for the grafted light chain.

> Also, it proved difficult to demonstrate production of expected quantities of material when the loop choice (amino acid 26-32) for CDR1 is used, e.g. gH121, 131, 141 and no conclusions can be drawn about these constructs.

Moreover, co-expression of the gH341 gene with cL or mL has been variable and has tended to produce lower amounts of antibody than the cH/cL or mH/mL combinations. The alterations to gH341 to produce gH341A and gH341B lead to improved levels of expression.

This may be due either to a general increase in the fraction of mouse sequence in the variable region, or to the alteration at position 63 where the residue is returned to the human amino acid Valine (Val) from Phenylalanine (Phe) to avoid possible internal packing problems with the rest of the human framework. This arrangement also occurs in gH331 and gH321.

When gH321 or gH331 were expressed in association with cL, antibody was produced but antibody binding activity was not detected. When the more conservative gH341 gene was used antigen binding could be detected in association with cL or mL, but the activity was only marginally above the background level. When further mouse residues were substituted based on the arguments in 12.1, antigen binding could be clearly demonstrated for the antibody produced when kgH341A and kgH341B were expressed in association with cL.

14.3

PRODUCTION OF FULLY CDR-GRAFTED ANTIBODY The kgL221A gene was co-expressed with kgH341, kgH341A or kgH341B. For the combination kgH221A/kgH341 very little material was produced in a normal COS cell expression. For the combinations kgL221A/kgH341A or kgH221A/kgH341B amounts of antibody similar to qL/cH was produced. In several experiments no antigen binding activity could be detected with kgH221A/gH341 or kgH221A/kgH341 combinations, although expression levels were very low. Antigen binding was detected when kgL221A/kgH341A or kgH221A/kgH341B combinations were expressed. In the case of the antibody produced from the kgL221A/kgH341A combination the antigen binding was very similar to that of the chimeric antibody.

An analysis of the above results is given below.

15. DISCUSSION OF CDR-GRAFTING RESULTS

In the design of the fully humanised antibody the aim was to transfer the minimum number of mouse amino acids that would confer antigen binding onto a human antibody framework.

15.1. LIGHT CHAIN

15.1.1. EXTENT OF THE CDRs

For the light chain the regions defining the loops known from structural studies of other antibodies to contain the antigen contacting residues, and Ť

CI/ CI/ VAVI

those hypervariable sequences defined by Kabat et al (refs. 4 and 5) as Complementarity Determining Regions (CDRs) are equivalent for CDR2. For CDR1 the hypervariable region extends from residues 24-34 inclusive while the structural loop extends from 26-32 inclusive. In the case of OKT3 there is only one amino acid difference between the two options, at amino acid 24, where the mouse sequence is a serine and the human framework RE1 For CDR3 the loop extends from has glutamine. residues 91-96 inclusive while the Kabat hypervariability extends from residues 89-97 For OKT3 amino acids 89, 90 and 97 inclusive. are the same between OKT3 and RE1 (Fig. 3). When constructs based on the loop choice for CDR1 (gL121) and the Kabat choice (gL221) were made and co-expressed with mH or cH no evidence for antigen binding activity could be found for gL121, but trace activity could be detected for the gL221, suggesting that a single extra mouse residue in the grafted variable region could have some detectable effect. Both gene constructs were reasonably well expressed in the transient expression system.

15.1.2. FRAMEWORK RESIDUES

The remaining framework residues were then further examined, in particular amino acids known from X-ray analysis of other antibodies to be close to the CDRs and also those amino acids which in OKT3 showed differences from the consensus framework for the mouse subgroup (subgroup VI) to which OKT3 shows most homology. Four positions 1, 3, 46 and 47 were identified and their possible contribution was examined by substituting the mouse amino acid for the human amino acid at each position. Therefore gL221A (gL221 + D1Q, Q3V, L46R, L47W,

BI Exhibit 1095

see Figure 3 and Table 1) was made, cloned in EE6hCMVneo and co-expressed with cH (pJA144). The resultant antibody was well expressed and showed good binding activity. When the related genes gL221B (gL221 + D1Q, Q3V) and gL221C (gL221 + L46R, L47W) were made and similarly tested, while both genes produced antibody when co-expressed with cH, only the gL221C/cH combination showed good antigen binding. When the gL121A (gL121 + D1Q, Q3V, L46R, L47W) gene was made and co-expressed with cH, antibody was produced which also bound to antigen.

15.2. HEAVY CHAIN

15.2.1. EXTENT OF THE CDRs

For the heavy chain the loop and hypervariability analyses agree only in CDR3. For CDR1 the loop region extends from residues 26-32 inclusive whereas the Kabat CDR extends from residues 31-35 inclusive. For CDR2 the loop region is from 50-58 inclusive while the hypervariable region covers amino acids 50-65 inclusive. Therefore humanised heavy chains were constructed using the framework from antibody KOL and with various combinations of these CDR choices, including a shorter choice for CDR2 of 50-56 inclusive as there was some uncertainty as to the definition of the end point for the CDR2 loop around residues 56 The genes were co-expressed with mL or cL to 58. In the case of the gH genes with loop initially. choices for CDR1 e.g. gH121, gH131, gH141 very little antibody was produced in the culture supernatants. As no free light chain was detected it was presumed that the antibody was being made and assembled inside the cell but that the heavy chain was aberrant in some way, possibly incorrectly folded, and therefore the antibody was

BI Exhibit 1095

Ŧ

being degraded internally. In some experiments trace amounts of antibody could be detected in ³⁵S labelling studies.

As no net antibody was produced, analysis of these constructs was not pursued further. When, however, a combination of the loop choice and the Kabat choice for CDR1 was tested (mouse amino acids 26-35 inclusive) and in which residues 31 (Ser to Arg), 33 (Ala to Thr), and 35 (Tyr to His) were changed from the human residues to the mouse residue and compared to the first series, antibody was produced for gH321, kgH331 and kgH341 when co-expressed with cL. Expression was generally low and could not be markedly improved by the insertion of the Kozak consensus sequence 5' to the ATG of the signal sequence of the gene, as distinct from the case of the qL genes where such insertion led to a 2-5 fold increase in net antibody production. However, only in the case of gH341/mL or kgH341/cL could marginal antigen binding activity be demonstrated. When the kgH341 gene was co-expressed with kgL221A, the net yield of antibody was too low to give a signal above the background level in the antigen binding assay.

15.2.2. FRAMEWORK RESIDUES

As in the case of the light chain the heavy chain frameworks were re-examined. Possibly because of the lower initial homology between the mouse and human heavy variable domains compared to the light chains, more amino acid positions proved to be of interest. Two genes kgH341A and kgH341B were constructed, with 11 or 8 human residues respectively substituted by mouse residues compared to gH341, and with the CDR2 residue 63 returned to the human amino acid potentially to

427 of 1849

improve domain packing. Both showed antigen binding when combined with cL or kgL221A, the kgH341A gene with all 11 changes appearing to be the superior choice.

15.3 INTERIM CONCLUSIONS

It has been demonstrated, therefore, for OKT3 that to transfer antigen binding ability to the humanised antibody, mouse residues outside the CDR regions defined by the Kabat hypervariability or structural loop choices are required for both the light and heavy chains. Fewer extra residues are needed for the light chain, possibly due to the higher initial homology between the mouse and human kappa variable regions.

Of the changes seven (1 and 3 from the light chain and 6, 23, 71, 73 and 76 from the heavy chain) are predicted from a knowledge of other antibody structures to be either partly exposed or on the It has been shown here that antibody surface. residues 1 and 3 in the light chain are not absolutely required to be the mouse sequence; and for the heavy chain the gH341B heavy chain in combination with the 221A light chain generated only weak binding activity. Therefore the presence of the 6, 23 and 24 changes are important to maintain a binding affinity similar to that of the murine antibody. It was important, therefore, to further study the individual contribution of othe other 8 mouse residues of the kgH341A gene compared to kgH341.

16. FURTHER CDR-GRAFTING EXPERIMENTS

Additional CDR-grafted heavy chain genes were prepared substantially as described above. With reference to Table 2 the further heavy chain genes were based upon the gh341 (plasmid pJA178) and

BI Exhibit 1095

Ť

428 of 1849

gH341A (plasmid pJA185) with either mouse OKT3 or human KOL residues at 6, 23, 24, 48, 49, 63, 71, 73, 76, 78, 88 and 91, as indicated. The CDRgrafted light chain genes used in these further experiments were gL221, gL221A, gL221B and gL221C as described above.

. *

檜

TABLE 2

OKT3 HEAVY CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

1. gH341 and derivatives

| RES NUM | 6 | 23 | 24 | 48 | 49 | 63 | 71 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 88 | 91 | |
|-----------------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----------|----|----------|----|----|----------|-------|
| 0KT3vh | <u>Q</u> | K | A | I | G | F | Т | K | S | A | A | Y | |
| gH341 | Е | S | S | v | A | F | R | N | N | Ĺ | G | F | JA178 |
| gH 3 41A | Q | K | A | I | G | v | T | K | S | A | A | <u>Y</u> | JA185 |
| gH341E | Q | K | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | S | A | G | G | JA198 |
| gH341* | Q | K | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | N | A | G | F | JA207 |
| gH 3 41* | Q | K | A | I | G | v | R | N | N | A | G | F | JA209 |
| gH341D | Q | K | A | I | G | v | T | K | N | L | G | F | JA197 |
| gH341* | <u>Q</u> | K | A | I | G | v | R | N | N | L | G | F | JA199 |
| gH341C | <u>Q</u> | K | A | v | А | F | R | N | N | L | G | F | JA184 |
| gH 3 41* | Q | S | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | S | A | A | Y | JA203 |
| gH341* | Ε | S | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | К | S | A | A | Y | JA205 |
| gH 3 41B | Е | S | S | I | G | V | T | K | S_ | A | A | <u>Y</u> | JA183 |
| gH341* | Q | S | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | S | A | G | F | JA204 |
| gH341* | E | S | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | <u>S</u> | A | G | F | JA206 |
| gH 3 41* | 2 | S | A | I | G | v | <u>T</u> | K | N | A | G | F | JA208 |
| KOL | E | S | S | v | А | | R | N | N | L | G | F | |

OKT3 LIGHT CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

2. gL221 and derivatives

| RES NUM | 1 | 3 | 46 | 47 |
|---------|----------|----------|-----|-----------------|
| OKT3vl | Q | V | R | W |
| GL221 | D | Q | L - | L DA221 |
| gL221A | Q | v | R | W DA221A |
| gL221B | <u>Q</u> | <u>v</u> | L | L DA2211 |
| GL221C | D | Q | R | <u>W</u> DA2210 |
| RE1 | D | Q | L | L |

MURINE RESIDUES ARE UNDERLINED

Ş

The CDR-grafted heavy and light chain genes were co-expressed in COS cells either with one another in various combinations but also with the corresponding murine and chimeric heavy and light chain genes substantially as described above. The resultant antibody products were then assayed in binding and blocking assays with HPB-ALL cells as described above.

The results of the assays for various grafted heavy chains co-expressed with the gL221C light chain are given in Figures 7 and 8 (for the JA184, JA185, JA197 and JA198 constructs - see Table 2), in Figure 9 (for the JA183, JA184, JA185 and JA197 constructs) in Figure 10 (for the chimeric, JA185, JA199, JA204, JA205, JA207, JA208 and JA209 constructs) and in Figure 11 (for the JA183, JA184, JA185, JA198, JA203, JA205 and JA206 constructs).

The basic grafted product without any human to murine changes in the variable frameworks, i.e. gL221 co-expressed with gh341 (JA178), and also the "fully grafted" product, having most human to murine changes in the grafted heavy chain framework, i.e. gL221C co-expressed with gh341A (JA185), were assayed for relative binding affinity in a competition assay against murine OKT3 reference standard, using HPB-ALL cells. The assay used was as described above in section 3.3. The results obtained are given in Figure 12 for the basic grafted product and in Figure 13 for the fully grafted These results indicate that the basic grafted product. product has neglibible binding ability as compared with the OKT3 murine reference standard; whereas the "fully grafted" product has a binding ability very similar to that of the OKT3 murine reference standard.

The binding and blocking assay results indicate the following:

431 of 1849

WU 91/0990/

The JA198 and JA207 constructs appear to have the best binding characteristics and similar binding abilities, both substantially the same as the chimeric and fully grafted gH341A products. This indicates that positions 88 and 91 and position 76 are not highly critical for maintaining the OKT3 binding ability; whereas at least some of positions 6, 23, 24, 48, 49, 71, 73 and 78 are more important.

This is borne out by the finding that the JA209 and JA199, although of similar binding ability to one another, are of lower binding ability than the JA198 and JA207 constructs. This indicates the importance of having mouse residues at positions 71, 73 and 78, which are either completely or partially human in the JA199 and JA209 constructs respectively.

Moreover, on comparing the results obtained for the JA205 and JA183 constructs it is seen that there is a decrease in binding going from the JA205 to the JA183 constructs. This indicates the importance of retaining a mouse residue at position 23, the only position changed between JA205 and JA183.

These and other results lead us to the conclusion that of the 11 mouse framework residues used in the gH341A (JA185) construct, it is important to retain mouse residues at all of positions 6, 23, 24, 48 and 49, and possibly for maximum binding affinity at 71, 73 and 78.

Similar Experiments were carried out to CDR-graft a number of the rodent antibodies including antibodies having specificity for CD4 (OKT4), ICAM-1 (R6-5), TAG72 (B72.3), and TNF \propto (61E71, 101.4, hTNF1, hTNF2 and hTNF3).

BI Exhibit 1095

÷
EXAMPLE 2

CDR-GRAFTING OF A MURINE ANTI-CD4 T CELL RECEPTOR ANTIBODY, OKT4A

Anti OKT4A CDR-grafted heavy and light chain genes were prepared, expressed and tested substantially as described above in Example 1 for CDR-grafted OKT3. The CDR grafting of OKT4A is described in detail in Ortho patent application PCT/GB 90..... of even date herewith entitled "Humanised Antibodies". The disclosure of this Ortho patent application PCT/GB 90 is incorporated herein by reference. A number of CDR-grafted OKT4 antibodies have been prepared. Presently the CDR-grafted OKT4A of choice is the combination of the grafted light chain LCDR2 and the grafted heavy chain HCDR10.

THE LIGHT CHAIN

The human acceptor framework used for the grafted light chains was RE1. The preferred LCDR2 light chain has human to mouse changes at positions 33, 34, 38, 49 and 89 in addition to the structural loop CDRs. Of these changed positions, positions 33, 34 and 89 fall within the preferred extended CDRs of the present invention (positions 33 and 34 in CDR1 and position 89 in CDR3). The human to murine changes at positions 38 and 49 corresponds to positions at which the amino acid residues are preferably donor murine amino acid residues in accordance with the present invention.

A comparison of the amino acid sequences of the donor murine light chain variable domain and the RE1 human acceptor light chain variable further reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at all of positions 46, 48 and 71 and at all of positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 44, 47, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99 and 101 and 102. However the amino acid residue at position 58 in LCDR2 is

433 of 1849

the human RE1 framework residue not the mouse OKT4 residue as would be preferred in accordance with the present invention.

THE HEAVY CHAIN

The human acceptor framework used for the grafted heavy chains was KOL.

The preferred CDR graft HCDR10 heavy chain has human to mouse changes at positions 24, 35, 57, 58, 60, 88 and 91 in addition to the structural loop CDRs.

Of these positions, positions 35 (CDR1) and positions 57, 58 and 60 (CDR2) fall within the preferred extended CDRs of the present invention. Also the human to mouse change at position 24 corresponds to a position at which the amino acid residue is a donor murine residue in accordance with the present invention. Moreover, the human to mouse changes at positions 88 and 91 correspond to positions at which the amino acid residues are optionally donor murine residues.

Moreover, a comparison of the murine OKT4A and human KOL heavy chain variable amino acid sequences reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at all of positions 23, 49, 71, 73 and 78 and at all of positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

Thus the OKT4A CDR-grafted heavy chain HCDR10 corresponds to a particularly preferred embodiment according to the present invention.

BI Exhibit 1095

-

EXAMPLE 3

CDR-GRAFTING OF AN ANTI-MUCIN SPECIFIC MURINE ANTIBODY, B72.3

The cloning of the genes coding for the anti-mucin specific murine monoclonal antibody B72.3 and the preparation of B72.3 mouse-human chimeric antibodies has been described previously (ref. 13 and WO 89/01783). CDR-grafted versions of B72.3 were prepared as follows.

(a) B72.3 Light Chain

CDR-grafting of this light chain was accomplished by direct transfer of the murine CDRs into the framework of the human light chain RE1. The regions transferred were:

| CDR Number | <u>Residues</u> |
|------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 24-34 |
| 2 | 50-56 |
| 3 | 90-96 |

The activity of the resulting grafted light chain was assessed by co-expression in COS cells, of genes for the combinations:

B72.3 cH/B72.3 cL

and B72.3 cH/B72.3 gL

Supernatants were assayed for antibody concentration and for the ability to bind to microtitre plates coated with mucin. The results obtained indicated that, in combination with the B72.3 cH chain, B72.3 cL and B72.3 gL had similar binding properties.

Comparison of the murine B72.3 and REI light chain amino acid sequences reveals that the residues are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71 but are different at position 48. Thus changing the human residue to the donor mouse residue at position 48 may further improve the binding characteristics of the CDR-grafted light chain, (B72.3 gL) in accordance with the present invention.

(b)

i.

B72.3 heavy chain

<u>Choice of framework</u> At the outset it was necessary to make a choice of human framework. Simply put, the question was as follows: Was it necessary to use the framework regions from an antibody whose crystal structure was known or could the choice be made on some other criteria?

For B72.3 heavy chain, it was reasoned that, while knowledge of structure was important, transfer of the CDRs from mouse to human frameworks might be facilitated if the overall homology between the donor and receptor frameworks was maximised. Comparison of the B72.3 heavy chain sequence with those in Kabat (ref. 4) for human heavy chains showed clearly that B72.3 had poor homology for KOL and NEWM (for which crystal structures are available) but was very homologous to the heavy chain for <u>EU</u>.

On this basis, EU was chosen for the CDR-grafting and the following residues transferred as CDRs.

| CDR Number | Residues |
|------------|----------|
| 1 | 27-36 |
| 2 | 50-63 |
| 3 | 93-102 |

- 56 -

BI Exhibit 1095

۵.

Also it was noticed that the FR4 region of EU was unlike that of any other human (or mouse) antibody. Consequently, in the grafted heavy chain genes this was also changed to produce a "consensus" human sequence. (Preliminary experiments showed that grafted heavy chain genes containing the EU FR4 sequence expressed very poorly in transient expression systems.)

ii.

Results with grafted heavy chain genes

Expression of grafted heavy chain genes containing all human framework regions with either gL or cL genes produced a grafted antibody with little ability to bind to mucin. The grafted antibody had about 1% the activity of the chimeric antibody. In these experiments, however, it was noted that the activity of the grafted antibody could be increased to \sim 10% of B72.3 by exposure to pHs of 2-3.5.

This observation provided a clue as to how the activity of the grafted antibody could be improved without acid treatment. It was postulated that acid exposure brought about the protonation of an acidic residue (pKa of aspartic acid = 3.86 and of glutamine acid = 4.25) which in turn caused a change in structure of the CDR loops, or allowed better access of antigen. From comparison of the sequences of B72.3 (ref. 13) and EU (refs. 4 and 5), it was clear that, in going from the mouse to human frameworks, only two positions had been changed in such a way that acidic residues had been introduced. These

positions are at residues 73 and 81, where K to E and Q to E changes had been made, respectively.

Which of these positions might be important was determined by examining the crystal structure of the KOL antibody. In KOL heavy chain, position 81 is far removed from either of the CDR loops. Position 73, however, is close to both CDRs 1 and 3 of the heavy chain and, in this position it was possible to envisage that a K to E change in this region could have a detrimental effect on antigen binding.

iii. Framework changes in B72.3 gH gene

On the basis of the above analysis, E73 was mutated to a lysine (K). It was found that this change had a dramatic effect on the ability of the grafted Ab to bind to mucin. Further the ability of the grafted B72.3 produced by the mutated gH/gL combination to bind to mucin was similar to that of the B72.3 chimeric antibody.

iv.

Other framework changes

In the course of the above experiments, other changes were made in the heavy chain framework regions. Within the accuracy of the assays used, none of the changes, either alone or together, appeared beneficial.

v. Other

All assays used measured the ability of the grafted Ab to bind to mucin and, as a whole, indicated that the single framework change at position 73 is sufficient to generate an antibody with similar binding properties to B72.3.

ž

Ŷ.

7

WU 91/0770/

Ŷ

Comparison of the B72.3 murine and EU heavy chain sequences reveals that the mouse and human residues are identical at positions 23, 24, 71 and 78.

Thus the mutated CDR-grafted B72.3 heavy chain corresponds to a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

EXAMPLE 4

CDR-GRAFTING OF A MURINE ANTI-ICAM-1 MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY A murine antibody, R6-5-D6 (EP 0314863) having specificity for Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1 (ICAM-1) was CDR-grafted substantially as described above in previous examples. This work is described in greater detail in co-pending application, British Patent Application No. 9009549.8, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

The human EU framework was used as the acceptor framework for both heavy and light chains. The CDR-grafted antibody currently of choice is provided by co-expression of grafted light chain gL221A and grafted heavy chain gH341D which has a binding affinity for ICAM 1 of about 75% of that of the corresponding mouse-human chimeric antibody.

LIGHT CHAIN

gL221A has murine CDRs at positions 24-34 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 89-97 (CDR3). In addition several framework residues are also the murine amino acid. These residues were chosen after consideration of the possible contribution of these residues to domain packing and stability of the conformation of the antigen binding region. The residues which have been retained as mouse are at positions 2, 3, 48 (?), 60, 84, 85 and 87. Comparison of the murine anti-ICAM 1 and human EU light chain amino acid sequences reveals that the murine and human residues are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71. HEAVY CHAIN

gH341D has murine CDRs at positions 26-35 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 94-100B (CDR3). In addition murine residues were used in gH341D at positions 24, 48, 69, 71, 73; 80, 88 and 91. Comparison of the murine anti-ICAM 1 and human EU heavy chain amino acid sequences are identical at positions 23, 49 and 78.

a.

2

٩.

~--------

EXAMPLE 5

CDR-Grafting of murine anti-TNFa antibodies

A number of murine anti-TNFA monoclonal antibodies were CDR-grafted substantially as described above in previous examples. These antibodies include the murine monoclonal antibodies designated 61 E71, hTNF1, hTNF3 and 101.4 A brief summary of the CDR-grafting of each of these antibodies is given below.

61E71

A similar analysis as described above (Example 1, Section 12.1.) was done for 61E71 and for the heavy chain 10 residues were identified at 23, 24, 48, 49, 68, 69, 71, 73, 75 and 88 as residues to potentially retain as murine. The human frameworks chosen for CDR-grafting of this antibody, and the hTNF3 and 101.4 antibodies were RE1 for the light chain and KOL for the heavy chain. Three genes were built, the first of which contained 23, 24, 48, 49, 71 and 73 [gH341(6)] as murine residues. The second gene also had 75 and 88 as murine residues [gH341(8)] while the third gene additionally had 68, 69, 75 and 88 as murine residues [gH341(10)]. Each was co-expressed with qL221, the minimum grafted light chain (CDRs only). The gL221/gH341(6) and gL221/gH341(8) antibodies both bound as well to TNF as murine 61E71. The gL221/gH341(10) antibody did not express and this combination was not taken further. Subsequently the gL221/gH341(6) antibody was assessed in an L929 cell competition assay in which the antibody competes against the TNF receptor on L929 cells for binding to TNF in solution. In this assay the gL221/gH341(6) antibody was approximately 10% as active as murine 61E71.

hTNF1

hTNF1 is a monoclonal antibody which recognises an epitope . on human TNF- . The EU human framework was used for CDR-grafting of both the heavy and light variable domains.

- 62 -

Heavy Chain

In the CDR-grafted heavy chain (ghTNF1) mouse CDRs were used at positions 26-35 (CDR1), 50-65 (CDR2) and 95-102 (CDR3). Mouse residues were also used in the frameworks at positions 48, 67, 69, 71, 73, 76, 89, 91, 94 and 108. Comparison of the TNF1 mouse and EU human heavy chain residues reveals that these are identical at positions 23, 24, 29 and 78.

Light Chain

In the CDR-grafted light chain (gLhTNF1) mouse CDRs wre used at positions 24-34 (CDR1), 50-56 (CDR2) and 89-97 (CDR3). In addition mouse residues were used in the frameworks at positions 3, 42, 48, 49, 83, 106 and 108. Comparison of the hTNF1 mouse and EU human light chain residues reveals that these are identical at positions 46, 58 and 71.

The grafted hTNF1 heavy chain was co-expressed with the chimeric light chain and the binding ability of the product compared with that of the chimeric light chain/chimeric heavy chain product in a TNF binding assay. The grafted heavy chain product appeared to have binding ability for TNF slightly better than the fully chimeric product.

Similarly, a grafted heavy chain/grafted light chain product was co-expressed and compared with the fully chimeric product and found to have closely similar binding properties to the latter product.

÷.

hTNF3

hTNF3 recognises an epitope on human TNF- \propto . The sequence of hTNF3 shows only 21 differences compared to 61E71 in the light and heavy chain variable regions, 10 in the light chain (2 in the CDRs at positions 50, 96 and 8 in the framework at 1, 19, 40, 45, 46, 76, 103 and 106) and 11 in the heavy chain (3 in the CDR regions at positions 52, 60 and 95 and 8 in the framework at 1, 10, 38, 40, 67, 73, 87 and 105). The light and heavy chains of the 61E71 and hTNF3 chimeric antibodies can be exchanged without loss of activity in the direct binding However 61E71 is an order of magnitude less able assay. to compete with the TNF receptor on L929 cells for TNF-a compared to hTNF3. Based on the 61E71 CDR grafting data gL221 and gH341(+23, 24, 48, 49 71 and 73 as mouse) genes have been built for hTNF3 and tested and the resultant grafted antibody binds well to TNF-a, but competes very poorly in the L929 assay. It is possible that in this case also the framework residues identified for OKT3 programme may improve the competitive binding ability of this antibody.

101.4

101.4 is a further murine monoclonal antibody able to recognise human TNF-a. The heavy chain of this antibody shows good homology to KOL and so the CDR-grafting has been based on RE1 for the light chain and KOL for the Several grafted heavy chain genes have been heavy chain. constructed with conservative choices for the CDR's (gH341) and which have one or a small number of non-CDR residues at positions 73, 78 or 77-79 inclusive, as the mouse amino acids. These have been co-expressed with cL In all cases binding to TNF equivalent to the or gL221. chimeric antibody is seen and when co-expressed with cL the resultant antibodies are able to compete well in the L929 assay. However, with gL221 the resultant antibodies

443 of 1849

are at least an order of magnitude less able to compete for TNF against the TNF receptor on L929 cells.

11 0 2 11 02201

Mouse residues at other positions in the heavy chain, for example, at 23 and 24 together or at 76 have been demonstrated to provide no improvement to the competitive ability of the grafted antibody in the L929 assay.

A number of other antibodies including antibodies having specificity for interleukins e.g. ILl and cancer markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) e.g. the monoclonal antibody A5B7 (ref. 21), have been successfully CDR-grafted according to the present invention. It will be appreciated that the foregoing examples are given by way of illustration only and are not intended to limit the scope of the claimed invention. Changes and modifications may be made to the methods described whilst still falling within the spirit and scope of the invention.

\$

....

- 65 -

References

| 1. | Kohler & Milstein, Nature, <u>265</u> , 295-497, 1975. |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Chatenoud <u>et al</u> , (1986), J. Immunol. <u>137</u> , 830-838. |
| 3. | Jeffers et al, (1986), Transplantation, <u>41</u> , 572-578. |
| 4. | Begent <u>et al</u> , Br. J. Cancer <u>62</u> : 487 (1990). |
| 5. | Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> , Science, <u>239</u> , 1534-1536, 1988. |
| 6. | Riechmann <u>et al</u> , Nature, <u>332</u> , 323-324, 1988. |
| 7. | Kabat, E.A., Wu, T.T., Reid-Miller, M., Perry, H.M., Gottesman, K.S., 1987, in Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest, US Department of Health and Human Services, NIH, USA. |
| 8. | Wu, T.T., and Kabat, E.A., 1970, J. Exp. Med. <u>132</u> 211-250. |
| 9. | Queen <u>et al</u> , (1989), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, <u>86</u> , 10029-10033 and WO 90/07861 |
| 10. | Maniatis <u>et al</u> , Molecular Cloning, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989. |

- Primrose and Old, Principles of Gene Manipulation, Blackwell, Oxford, 1980.
- 12. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., Coulson, A.R., 1977, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, <u>74</u> 5463

- 13. Kramer, W., Drutsa, V., Jansen, H.-W., Kramer, B., Plugfelder, M., Fritz, H.-J., 1984, Nucl. Acids Res. <u>12</u>, 9441
- 14. Whittle, N., Adair, J., Lloyd, J.C., Jenkins, E., Devine, J., Schlom, J., Raubitshek, A., Colcher, D., Bodmer, M., 1987, Protein Engineering <u>1</u>, 499.
- Sikder, S.S., Akolkar, P.N., Kaledas, P.M., Morrison,
 S.L., Kabat, E.A., 1985, J. Immunol. 135, 4215.
- 16. Wallick, S.C., Kabat, E.A., Morrison, S.L., 1988, J. Exp. Med. <u>168</u>, 1099
- 17. Bebbington, C.R., Published International Patent Application WO 89/01036.
- 18. Granthan and Perrin 1986, Immunology Today 7, 160.
- 19. Kozak, M., 1987, J. Mol. Biol. 196, 947.
- 20. Jones, T.P., Dear, P.H., Foote, J., Neuberger, M.S., Winter, G., 1986, Nature, 321, 522
- 21. Harwood et al, Br. J. Cancer, 54, 75-82 (1986).

11 0 / 11 0//01

đe.

N.

CLAIMS

- A CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/or 91.
- 2. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1 comprising donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78, or at positions 23, 24 and 49.
- 3. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 2 comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.
- 4. A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 2 or 3, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor), 38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue), 67, 82 and 18 (if 67 is the donor residue), 91, and any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.
- A CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any of the preceding comprising donor CDRs at positions 26-35, 50-65 and 95-100.
- 6. A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47.

BI Exhibit 1095

7. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 comprising donor residues at positions 46 and 47.

- A CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.
- A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 8 comprising donor residues at positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.
- 10. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 8 or 9, comprising donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102.
- 11. A CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 9 or 10, comprising donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 63, 60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge), 73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor), 37 and 45 (if 47 if different between donor and acceptor), and any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 83, 103 and 105.
- 12. A CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of Claims 6-11, comprising donor CDRs at positions 24-34, 50-56 and 89-97.

448 of 1849

se.

WU 91/0990/

- 13. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain according to any one of Claims 1-5 and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to any one of Claims 6-12.
- 14. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13, which is a site-specific antibody molecule.
- 15. A CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 which has specificity for an interleukin, hormone or other biologically active compound or a receptor therefor.
- 16. A CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to any one of the preceding claims comprising human acceptor residues and non-human donor residues.
- 17. A DNA sequence which codes for a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1 or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8.
- A cloning or expression vector containing a DNA sequence according to Claim 17.
- 19. A host cell transformed with a DNA sequence according to Claim 17.
- 20. A process for the production of a CDR-grafted antibody sequence according to Claim 17 in a transformed host cell.
- 21. A process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising:

 (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to Claim 1;

and/or

- (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8;
- (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and
- (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.
- 22. A therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain according to Claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.
- 23. A method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted heavy chain according to Claim 1, or a CDR-grafted light chain according to Claim 6 or Claim 8, or a CDR-grafted antibody molecule according to Claim 13 to a human or animal subject.

1/15

1 GAATTCCCAA AGACAAAatg gattttcaag tgcagatttt cagcttcctg 51 <u>ctaatcaqtq cctcaqtcat aatatccaqa qqa</u>caaattq ttctcaccca 101 gtctccagca atcatgtctg catctccagg ggagaaggtc accatgacct 151 gcagtgccag ctcaagtgta agttacatga actggtacca gcagaagtca 201 ggcacctccc ccaaaagatg gatttatgac acatccaaac tggcttctgg agtccctgct cacttcaggg gcagtgggtc tgggacctct tactctctca 251 301 caatcagcgg catggaggct gaagatgctg ccacttatta ctgccagcag 351 tggagtagta acccattcac gttcggctcg gggacaaagt tggaaataaa ccgggctgat actgcaccaa ctgtatccat cttcccacca tccagtgagc 401 451 agttaacatc tggaggtgcc tcagtcgtgt gcttcttgaa caacttctac 501 cccaaagaca tcaatgtcaa gtggaagatt gatggcagtg aacgacaaaa 551 tggcgtcctg aacagttgga ctgatcagga cagcaaagac agcacctaca 601 gcatgagcag caccctcacg ttgaccaagg acgagtatga acgacataac agctatacct gtgaggccac tcacaagaca tcaacttcac ccattgtcaa 651 gagcttcaac aggaatgagt gtTAGAGACA AAGGTCCTGA GACGCCACCA 701 751 CCAGCTCCCA GCTCCATCCT. ATCTTCCCTT CTAAGGTCTT GGAGGCTTCC 801 CCACAAGCGC TTACCACTGT TGCGGTGCTC TAAACCTCCT CCCACCTCCT 851 TCTCCTCCTC CTCCCTTTCC TTGGCTTTTA TCATGCTAAT ATTTGCAGAA 901

Fig. 1(a)

1 <u>MDFOVOIFSF LLISASVIIS RGQ</u>IVLTQSP AIMSASPGEK VTMTCSASSS 51 VSYMNWYQQK SGTSPKRWIY DTSKLASGVP AHFRGSGSGT SYSLTISGME 101 AEDAATYYCQ QWSSNPFTFG SGTKLEINRA DTAPTVSIFP PSSEQLTSGG 151 ASVVCFLNNF YPKDINVKWK IDGSERQNGV LNSWTDQDSK DSTYSMSSTL 201 TLTKDEYERH NSYTCEATHK TSTSPIVKSF NRNEC*

Fig. 1(b)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

WU 71/0770.

2/15

1 GAATTCCCCT CTCCACAGAC ACTGAAAACT CTGACTCAAC ATGGAAAGGC 51 ACTGGATCTT TCTACTCCTG TTGTCAGTAA CTGCAGGTGT CCACTCCCAG 101 GTCCAGCTGC AGCAGTCTGG GGCTGAACTG GCAAGACCTG GGGCCTCAGT 151 GAAGATGTCC TGCAAGGCTT CTGGCTACAC CTTTACTAGG TACACGATGC 201 ACTGGGTAAA ACAGAGGCCT GGACAGGGTC TGGAATGGAT TGGATACATT 251 AATCCTAGCC GTGGTTATAC TAATTACAAT CAGAAGTTCA AGGACAAGGC 301 CACATTGACT ACAGACAAAT CCTCCAGCAC AGCCTACATG CAACTGAGCA 351 GCCTGACATC TGAGGACTCT GCAGTCTATT ACTGTGCAAG ATATTATGAT 401 GATCATTACT GCCTTGACTA CTGGGGCCAA GGCACCACTC TCACAGTCTC 451 CTCAGCCAAA ACAACAGCCC CATCGGTCTA TCCACTGGCC CCTGTGTGTG 501 GAGATACAAC TGGCTCCTCG GTGACTCTAG GATGCCTGGT CAAGGGTTAT 551 TTCCCTGAGC CAGTGACCTT GACCTGGAAC TCTGGATCCC TGTCCAGTGG 601 TGTGCACACC TTCCCAGCTG TCCTGCAGTC TGACCTCTAC ACCCTCAGCA 651 GCTCAGTGAC TGTAACCTCG AGCACCTGGC CCAGCCAGTC CATCACCTGC 701 AATGTGGCCC ACCCGGCAAG CAGCACCAAG GTGGACAAGA AAATTGAGCC 751 CAGAGGGCCC ACAATCAAGC CCTGTCCTCC ATGCAAATGC CCAGCACCTA 801 ACCTCTTGGG TGGACCATCC GTCTTCATCT TCCCTCCAAA GATCAAGGAT 851 GTACTCATGA TCTCCCTGAG CCCCATAGTC ACATGTGTGG TGGTGGATGT 901 GAGCGAGGAT GACCCAGATG TCCAGATCAG CTGGTTTGTG AACAACGTGG 951 AAGTACACAC AGCTCAGACA CAAACCCATA GAGAGGATTA CAACAGTACT 1001 CTCCGGGTGG TCAGTGCCCT CCCCATCCAG CACCAGGACT GGATGAGTGG 1051 CAAGGAGTTC AAATGCAAGG TCAACAACAA AGACCTCCCA GCGCCCATCG 1101 AGAGAACCAT CTCAAAACCC AAAGGGTCAG TAAGAGCTCC ACAGGTATAT 1151 GTCTTGCCTC CACCAGAAGA AGAGATGACT AAGAAACAGG TCACTCTGAC 1201 CTGCATGGTC ACAGACTTCA TGCCTGAAGA CATTTACGTG GAGTGGACCA 1251 ACAACGGGAA AACAGAGCTA AACTACAAGA ACACTGAACC AGTCCTGGAC 1301 TCTGATGGTT CTTACTTCAT GTACAGCAAG CTGAGAGTGG AAAAGAAGAA 1351 CTGGGTGGAA AGAAATAGCT ACTCCTGTTC AGTGGTCCAC GAGGGTCTGC 1401 ACAATCACCA CACGACTAAG AGCTTCTCCC GGACTCCGGG TAAATGAGCT 1451 CAGCACCCAC AAAACTCTCA GGTCCAAAGA GACACCCACA CTCATCTCCA 1501 TGCTTCCCTT GTATAAATAA AGCACCCAGC AATGCCTGGG ACCATGTAAA AAAAAAAAAA AAAGGAATTC 1551

Fig. 2(a)

OKT 3 HEAVY CHAIN PROTEIN SEQUENCE DEDUCED FROM DNA SEQUENCE

3/15

MERHWIFLLL LSVTAGVHSQ VQLQQSGAEL ARPGASVKMS CKASGYTFTR YTMHWVKQRP GQGLEWIGYI NPSRGYTNYN QKFKDKATLT TDKSSSTAYM QLSSLTSEDS AVYYCARYYD DHYCLDYWGQ GTTLTVSSAK TTAPSVYPLA PVCGDTTGSS VTLGCLVKGY FPEPVTLTWN SGSLSSGVHT FPAVLQSDLY TLSSSVTVTS STWPSQSITC NVAHPASSTK VDKKIEPRGP TIKPCPPCKC PAPNLLGGPS VFIFPPKIKD VLMISLSPIV TCVVVDVSED DPDVQISWFV NNVEVHTAQT QTHREDYNST LRVVSALPIQ HQDWMSGKEF KCKVNNKDLP APIERTISKP KGSVRAPQVY VLPPPEEEMT KKQVTLTCMV TDFMPEDIYV EWTNNGKTEL NYKNTEPVLD SDGSYFMYSK LRVEKKNWVE RNSYSCSVVH EGLHNHHTTK SFSRTPGK*

Fig. 2(b)

| | 1 23 | | 23 | | | 23 | | 23 | | 23 | | 4 | 42 | |
|----------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|--|----|--|----|--|---|----|--|
| | NN | N | N | N | N | | | | | | | | | |
| RES TYPE | SBspSPE | SssBSbSsSss | PSPSPsPssse | *s*p*P | i^ISsS | se | | | | | | | | |
| Okt3vl | QIVLTQS | PAIMSASPGER | CVTMTCSASS.S | VSYM <u>N</u> W | YQQKSG | T | | | | | | | | |
| REI | DIQMTQS | PSSLSASVGDF | VTITCQASQDI | IKYLNW | YQQ <u>T</u> PG | K | | | | | | | | |
| | ?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CDR1 | (LOOP) | **** | *** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

56

(KABAT)

CDR1

NN

N

85

BI Exhibit 1095

 RES TYPE
 *IsiPpleesesssSBEsePsPSBSSEsPspsPsseesSPePb

 okt3v1
 SPKRWIYDTSKLASGVPAHFRGSGSGTSYSLTISGMEAEDAAT

 REI
 APKLLIYEASNLQAGVPSRFSGSGSGTDYTFTISSLQPEDIAT

 ? ??
 ? ?

 CDR2 (LOOP/KABAT)

102 108

Fig. 3

| Okt3vl | YYCQQWSSNPFTFG <u>S</u> GTH | KLEI <u>N</u> R | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| REIVI | YYCQQYQSLPYTFGQGTK LQIT R | | | |
| | ? | ? | | |

RES TYPE PiPIPies**iPIIsPPSPSPSS

***** CDR3 (LOOP) ******* CRD3 (KABAT) 453 of 1849

FUI/0070/0401/

4/15

| | NN N | 23 | 26 | 32 | 35 | N39 | 43 |
|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|-----|-----|--------------|----|
| RES TYPE | SESPs ^{SBssS^{sSsSpSpS}} | SPsP | SEbSBs | sBe | PiF | PIpies | SS |
| Okt3h | QVQLQQ <u>s</u> gael <u>ar</u> pgasvk <u>m</u> s | CKA | SGYTFT | RYI | MHW | VKQRF | GQ |
| KOL | QVQLVESGGG <u>V</u> VQPG <u>R</u> SLRLS | C <u>88</u> | SGF <u>I</u> FS | SYA | MYW | WRQAF | GK |
| | ? | ?? | | | | | |

***** CDR1 (LOOP) ***** CDR1 (KABAT)

 52a
 60
 65
 N N N 82abc
 89

 RES TYPE IIeIppp^sssssspp^pSsbSpseSsSeSp^pSpsSBssS^ePb

 Okt3vh
 GLEWIGYINPSRGYTNTNQKFKDKATLTTDKSSSTAYMQLSSLTSEDSAV

 KOL
 GLEWVAIIWDDGSDQHYADSVKGRFTISRDNSKNTLFLQMDSLRPEDTGV

 ??
 ? ? ? ? ?

| **** | CDR2 | (LOOP) |
|-------|------|---------|
| ***** | CDR2 | (KABAT) |

| | 92 N | 107 | 113 |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| RES TYPE | PiPIEissssiiisssbibi | *EIPIP* | spSBSS |
| Okt3vh | YYCARYYDDHYCL | DYWGQGT | TLTVSS |
| KOL | Y <u>F</u> CARDGGHGFCSSASCFGP | DYWGQGT | PVTVSS |
| | ***** | ** CRD3 | (KABAT/LOOP) |

Fig. 4

SUBSTITUTE SHEET 454 of 1849

، ما بوست ان الا جمالي الما ال

Fig. 5(i)

| gH341A | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA185 |
|--------|---|-------|
| | | |
| gH341E | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA198 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA207 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA209 |
| gH341D | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA197 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA199 |
| gH341C | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSC <u>KASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA184 |
| | | |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCS <u>ASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA203 |
| gH341* | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCS <u>ASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA205 |
| gH341B | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCSS <u>SGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA183 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCS <u>ASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA204 |
| gH341* | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCS <u>ASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA206 |
| gH341* | QVQLV <u>Q</u> SGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCS <u>ASGYTFTRYTM</u> HWVRQAPGK | JA208 |
| KOL | QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCSSSGFIFSSYAMYWVRQAPGK | |

26

QVQLVESGGGVVQPGRSLRLSCSS<u>SGYTFTRYTMH</u>WVRQAPGK

35 39

43

JA178

1. gh341 and derivatives

1

gH341

OKT 3 HEAVY CHAIN CDR GRAFTS

5/15

Okt3vh QVQLQQSGAELARPGASVKMSCKASGYTFTRYTMHWVKQRPGQ

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

456 of 1849

Fig. 5(ii)

| gH341A | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> VKDRFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SK <u>S</u> T <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA185 |
|--------|--|-------|
| gH341E | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SK <u>S</u> T <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA198 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SKNT <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA207 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTISRDNSKNT <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA209 |
| gH341D | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA197 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTISRDNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA199 |
| gH341C | GLEWVA <u>YINPSRGYTNYNOKFKD</u> RFTISRDNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | JA184 |
| | | |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTISTDKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA207 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTISTDKSKSTAFLQMDSLR | JA205 |
| gH341B | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> V <u>KD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SK <u>S</u> T <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA183 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOKVKD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SK <u>S</u> T <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA204 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOKVKD</u> RFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SK <u>S</u> T <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA206 |
| gH341* | GLEW <u>IGYINPSRGYTNYNOK</u> VKDRFTIS <u>T</u> D <u>K</u> SKNT <u>A</u> FLQMDSLR | JA208 |
| KOL | GLEWVAIIWDDGSDQHYADSVKGRFTISRDNSKNTLFLQMDSLR | |

65

GLEWVA<u>YINPSRGYTNYNOKFKD</u>RFTISRDNSKNTLFLQMDSLR JA178

44

gH341

50

VI () 7 1/ () 7 1/ ()

6/15

Okt3vh GLEWIGYINPSRGYTNYNQKFKDKATLTTDKSSSTAYMQLSSLT

_. ____

83

| | 84 | 95 | 102 | 113 | |
|--------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|
| Okt3vh | SEDSA | VYYCARYYDDHY. | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | |
| gH341 | PEDTO | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA178 |
| gH341A | PEDT <u>Z</u> | VYYCARYYDDHY. | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA185 |
| | | | | | |
| gH341E | PEDTO | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY</u> . | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA198 |
| gH341* | PEDTO | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA207 |
| gH341D | PEDTO | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA197 |
| gH341* | PEDTO | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA209 |
| gH341* | PEDTG | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA199 |
| gH341C | PEDTG | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA184 |
| | | | | | |
| gH341* | PEDTA | VY <u>Y</u> CARY <u>YDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA203 |
| gH341* | PEDT <u>A</u> | VY <u>Y</u> CARY <u>YDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TTLTVSS | JA205 |
| gH341B | PEDTA | VY <u>Y</u> CARY <u>YDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TLTVSS | JA183 |
| gH341* | PEDTG | VYFCARYYDDHY. | CLDYWGQG | TLTVSS | JA204 |
| gH341* | PEDTG | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TLTVSS | JA206 |
| gH341* | PEDTG | VYFCAR <u>YYDDHY.</u> | CLDYWGQG | TLTVSS | JA208 |
| KOT. | שדחתכ | VVECAPDCCHCEC | SSASCECPDVWCOC | | - |

Fig. 5(iii)

TT U 21/ U22U/

C1/ CD/0/ U#U1/

8/15

OKT3 LIGHT CHAIN CDR GRAFTING

1. gL221 and derivatives

| | 1 | 24 | 34 | 42 |
|--------|--|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Okt3vl | QIVLTQSPAIMSASPGEKV | TMTCSASS.SV | /SYMNWYQQI | KSGT |
| gL221 | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRV | TITC <u>SASS.SV</u> | <u>/SYMN</u> WYQQ1 | FPGK |
| gL221A | <u>QIVMTQSPSSLSASVGDRV</u> | TITC <u>SASS.SV</u> | <u>/SYMN</u> WYQQ1 | FPGK |
| gL221B | \underline{Q} I \underline{V} MTQSPSSLSASVGDRV | TITC <u>SASS.SV</u> | <u>/SYMN</u> WYQQ1 | ГРGK |
| gL221C | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRV | TITC <u>SASS.SV</u> | <u>/SYMN</u> WYQQ1 | TPGK |
| REI | DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDRV | FITCQASQDII | KYLNWYQQI | TPGK |
| | | | | |

| | 43 | 50 | 56 | | | 85 |
|--------|------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|---------------|-----|
| Okt3vl | SPKRWIY | DTSKLA | SGVPAHFI | RGSGSGTS | YSLTISGMEAEDA | AAT |
| gL221 | APKLLIY | DTSKLA | <u>S</u> GVPSRFS | SGSGSGTD | YTFTISSLQPED: | IAT |
| gL221A | APK <u>RW</u> IY | DTSKLA | <u>S</u> GVPSRFS | SGSGSGTD | YTFTISSLQPED | IAT |
| gL221B | APKRWIY | DTSKLA | <u>S</u> GVPSRFS | SGSGSGTD | YTFTISSLQPEDI | EAT |
| gL221C | APK <u>RW</u> IY | DTSKLA | <u>.s</u> gvp.srfs | GSGSGTD | YTFTISSLQPEDI | EAT |
| REI | APKLLIY | EASNLQ | AGVPSRFS | GSGSGTD | YTFTISSLQPEDI | [AT |

| | 86 | 91 | 96 | | 108 |
|--------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------|-----|
| Okt3vl | YYCQÇ | WSSNI | FTFGS | GTKLEI | NR |
| gL221 | YYC <u>OC</u> | DWSSNE | <u>PF</u> TFGQ | GTKLQI | TR |
| gL221A | YYC <u>OC</u> | WSSNE | <u>°F</u> TFGQ | GTKLQI | TR |
| gL221B | YYC <u>OC</u> | WSSNE | <u>F</u> TFGQ | GTKLQI | TR |
| gL221C | YYC <u>OC</u> | WSSNF | <u>F</u> TFGQ | GTKLQI | TR |
| REI | YYCQC | YQSLF | YTFGQ | GTKLQI | TR |

CDR'S ARE UNDERLINED

FRAMEWORK RESIDUES INCLUDED IN THE GENE ARE DOUBLE UNDERLINED

Fig. 6

SUBSTITUTE SHEET 458 of 1849 SUBSTITUTE SHEET



BI Exhibit 1095

9/15

¢

V LI UJJU



43

WO 91/09967

BI Exhibit 1095

רנו/עםאַע/עַצעו

460 of 1849



BLOCKING ASSAY (Mean Channel - HPBALL's)

Fig.9

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

461 of 1849

BI Exhibit 1095

11/15

بد,



462 of 1849

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

6,23,24,48,49,71,73,__,78,__,, 6,23,24,48,49,71,73,76,78,88,91,

6,23,24,48,49,____,78,___,78,___,

(207)

(185)
(209)

141 X 144

BI Exhibit 1095

13/15



- X1 V//V

SUBSTITUTE SHEET 463 of 1849



14/15

--- 464 of 1849

WO 91/09967



WO 91/09967

15/15

| INTERNATIONA | L SEARCH | REPORT |
|--------------|----------|--------|
| | | |

| International Application No PCT/GB 90/02017 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| I. CLAS | SIFICATIO | N OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several class | ification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶ | | | | |
| Accordin | ng to Interna C 12 P | tional Patent Classification (IPC) or to both $21/08$, C 12 N 15/13, A 61 | National Classification and IPC L K 39/395, C 07 K 15/0 | 6 | | | |
| | C 12 N | <u>5/10, 15/62</u> | ····· | | | | |
| II. FIELD | JS SEARCH | Minimum Docum | entation Searched ⁷ | | | | |
| Classificat | tion System | | Classification Symbols | | | | |
| ·-· | | | | | | | |
| IPC5 | TPC5 C 12 P· C 12 N· A 61 K | | | | | | |
| | | Documentation Searched othe | r than Minimum Documentation ts are included in Fields Searched ⁸ | ······································ | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| III. DOCU | MENTS CO | INSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT [®] | | 1 | | | |
| Category * | Citati | on of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where ap | propriate, of the relevant passages ¹² | Relevant to Claim No. ¹³ | | | |
| Ρ,Χ | EP, A1, 0403156 (GENZYME CORPORATION ET AL.)1,6,8,19 December 1990,13,14-see examples 8-12 and corresponding22tables | | | 1,6,8, 13,14- 22 | | | |
| Y | Proc. | Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, vol | . 86, December 1989, | 1,6,8, | | | |
| | C. Queen et al.: "A humanized antibody that binds to the interleukin 2 receptor ", see page 10029- page 10033 see the whole document and in particular page 10031 right col page 10032, left col. and page 10033 left col. | | | | | | |
| Y | EP, A1, 0328404 (MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL ET AL.) 1,6,8, 16 August 1989, 13,14- see pages 1-3, page 9, lines 49-54 and 22 the claims | | | 1,6,8, 13,14- 22 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| * Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰ "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention | | | | | | | |
| "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step which is cited to establish the publication date of another of anothe | | | | | | | |
| citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art | | | | | | | |
| "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION | | | | | | | |
| Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search Date of Mailing of this International Search Report | | | | | | | |
| 11th April 1991 | | | 1 7.05.91 | | | | |
| International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer | | | | | | | |
| EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE F.W. HECK | | | | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 1985)

ø

1

بلار. د

- **F**

.

International Application No. PCT/GB 90/02017

| Category * | IMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET) Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to Claim No |
|------------|--|-------------------------|
| Caregoly - | Chanon of Dovumenty with nurverion, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | |
| Ŷ | Nature, vol. 332, March 1988, L. Riechmann et al.: "Reshaping human antibodies for therapy ", see page 323 - page 327 see in particular page 327, right col. | 1,6,8, 13,144- 22 |
| | | |
| A | Nature, vol. 321, May 1986, P.T. Jones et al.: "Replacing the complementarity-determining regions in a human antibody with those from a mouse ", see page 522 - page 525 see the whole document | 1-22 |
| | - | |
| A | Nature, vol. 328, August 1987, S. Roberts et al.: "Generation of an antibody with enhanced affinity and specificity for its antigen by protein engineering ", see page 731 - page. 734 see the whole document | 1,6 |
| | | |
| A | Science, vol. 239, 1988, M. Verhoeyen et al.: "Reshaping Human Antibodies: Grafting an Antilysozyme Activity ", see page 1534 - page 1536 see the whole document | 1,6 |
| | | - |
| A | EP, A2, 0239400 (WINTER, GREGORY PAUL) 30 September 1987, see the whole document | 1,6,17- 22 |
| | | |
| A | EP, A1, 0323806 (CIBA-GEIGY AG) 12 July 1989, see pages 2-6 | 1,6,17- 22 |
| | | |
| A | Nature, vol. 341, October 1989, E.S. Ward et al.: "Binding activities of a repertoire of single immunoglobulin variable domains secreted from Escherichia coli ", see page 544 - page 546 | 1,6 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (January 1985)

BI Exhibit 1095

¥

Ŧ

F

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.PCT/GB 90/02017

43080

SA

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 28/02/91 The European Patent office is in no way liable for theseparticulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Patent family member(s) | | Publication date | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| EP-A1- 0403156 | 19/12/90 | NONE | | · · · · · · | |
| EP-A1- 0328404 | 16/08/89 | AU-D- GB-A- WO-A- | 3062689 2216126 89/07452 | 06/09/89 04/10/89 24/08/89 | |
| EP-A2- 0239400 | 30/09/87 | GB-A-B- JP-A- | 2188638 62296890 | 07/10/87 24/12/87 | |
| EP-A1- 0323806 | 12/07/89 | AU-D- JP-A- | 2759588 2154696 | 06/07/89 14/06/90 | |

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European patent Office, No. 12/82

ĩ

¥

1
WO 91/09967



DATE FILED: 05/28/20909/02017 ĆŪMENT NO: 318743329 HUMANISED ANTIBODIES

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules, to processes for their production using recombinant DNA technology, and to their therapeutic uses.

The term "humanised antibody molecule" is used to describe a molecule having an antigen binding site derived from an immunoglobulin from a non-human species, and remaining immunoglobulin-derived parts of the molecule being derived from a human immunoglobulin. The antigen binding site typically comprises complementarity determining regions (CDRs) which determine the binding specificity of the antibody molecule and which are carried on appropriate framework regions in the variable domains. There are 3 CDRs (CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3) in each of the heavy and light chain variable domains.

In the description, reference is made to a number of publications by number. The publications are listed in numerical order at the end of the description.

Background of the Invention

Natural immunoglobulins have been known for many years, as have the various fragments thereof, such as the Fab, (Fab') and Fc fragments, which can be derived by enzymatic cleavage. Natural immunoglobulins comprise a generally Y-shaped molecule having an antigen-binding site towards the end of each upper arm. The remainder of the structure, and particularly the stem of the Y, mediates the effector functions associated with immunoglobulins.

Natural immunoglobulins have been used in assay, diagnosis and, to a more limited extent, therapy. However, such uses, especially in therapy, were hindered until recently by the polyclonal nature of natural immunoglobulins. A significant step towards the realisation of the potential

> Carter Exhibit 2006 Carter v. Adair Interference No. 105,744

> > BI Exhibit 1095

469 of 1849

of immunoglobulins as therapeutic agents was the discovery of procedures for the production of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) of defined specificity (1).

However, most MAbs are produced by hybridomas which are fusions of rodent spleen cells with rodent myeloma cells. They are therefore essentially rodent proteins. There are very few reports of the production of human MAbs.

Since most available MAbs are of rodent origin, they are naturally antigenic in humans and thus can give rise to an undesirable immune response termed the HAMA (Human Anti-Mouse Antibody) response. Therefore, the use of rodent MAbs as therapeutic agents in humans is inherently limited by the fact that the human subject will mount an immunological response to the MAb and will either remove it entirely or at least reduce its effectiveness. Ιn practice, MAbs of rodent origin may not be used in patients for more than one or a few treatments as a HAMA response soon develops rendering the MAb ineffective as well as giving rise to undesirable reactions. For instance, OKT3 a mouse IgG2a/k MAb which recognises an antigen in the T-cell receptor-CD3 complex has been approved for use in many countries throughout the world as an immunosuppressant in the treatment of acute allograft rejection [Chatenoud et al (2) and Jeffers et al However, in view of the rodent nature of this and (3)1.other such MAbs, a significant HAMA response which may include a major anti-idiotype component, may build up on use. Clearly, it would be highly desirable to diminish or abolish this undesirable HAMA response and thus enlarge the areas of use of these very useful antibodies.

Proposals have therefore been made to render non-human MAbs less antigenic in humans. Such techniques can be generically termed "humanisation" techniques. These

470 of 1849

techniques typically involve the use of recombinant DNA technology to manipulate DNA sequences encoding the polypeptide chains of the antibody molecule.

- 3 -

Early methods for humanising MAbs involved production of chimeric antibodies in which an antigen binding site comprising the complete variable domains of one antibody is linked to constant domains derived from another antibody. Methods for carrying out such chimerisation procedures are described in EP0120694 (Celltech Limited), EP0125023 (Genentech Inc. and City of Hope), EP-A-0 171496 (Res. Dev. Corp. Japan), EP-A-0 173 494 (Stanford University), and WO 86/01533 (Celltech Limited). This latter Celltech application (WO 86/01533) discloses a process for preparing an antibody molecule having the variable domains from a mouse MAb and the constant domains from a human immunoglobulin. Such humanised chimeric antibodies, however, still contain a significant proportion of non-human amino acid sequence, i.e. the complete non-human variable domains, and thus may still elicit some HAMA response, particularly if administered over a prolonged period [Begent et al (ref. 4)].

In an alternative approach, described in EP-A-0239400 (Winter), the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of a mouse MAb have been grafted onto the framework regions of the variable domains of a human immunoglobulin by site directed mutagenesis using long oligonucleotides. The present invention relates to humanised antibody molecules prepared according to this alternative approach, i.e. CDR-grafted humanised antibody molecules. Such CDR-grafted humanised antibodies are much less likely to give rise to a HAMA response than humanised chimeric antibodies in view of the much lower proportion of non-human amino acid sequence which they contain.

The earliest work on humanising MAbs by CDR-grafting was carried out on MAbs recognising synthetic antigens, such as the NP or NIP antigens. However, examples in which a mouse MAb recognising lysozyme and a rat MAb recognising an antigen on human T-cells were humanised by CDR-grafting have been described by Verhoeyen <u>et al</u> (5) and Riechmann <u>et al</u> (6) respectively. The preparation of CDR-grafted antibody to the antigen on human T cells is also described in WO 89/07452 (Medical Research Council).

In Riechmann et al/Medical Research Council it was found that transfer of the CDR regions alone [as defined by Kabat refs. (7) and (8)] was not sufficient to provide satisfactory antigen binding activity in the CDR-grafted product. Riechmann et al found that it was necessary to convert a serine residue at position 27 of the human sequence to the corresponding rat phenylalanine residue to obtain a CDR-grafted product having improved antigen binding activity. This residue at position 27 of the heavy chain is within the structural loop adjacent to CDR1. A further construct which additionally contained a human serine to rat tyrosine change at position 30 of the heavy chain did not have a significantly altered binding activity over the humanised antibody with the serine to phenylalanine change at position 27 alone. These results indicate that changes to residues of the human sequence outside the CDR regions, in particular in the structural loop adjacent to CDR1, may be necessary to obtain effective antigen binding activity for CDR-grafted antibodies which recognise more complex antigens. Even so the binding affinity of the best CDR-grafted antibodies obtained was still significantly less than the original MAb.

Very recently Queen <u>et al</u> (9) have described the preparation of a humanised antibody that binds to the

- 4 -

interleukin 2 receptor, by combining the CDRs of a murine MAb (anti-Tac) with human immunoglobulin framework and constant regions. The human framework regions were chosen to maximise homology with the anti-Tac MAb sequence. In addition computer modelling was used to identify framework amino acid residues which were likely to interact with the CDRs or antigen, and mouse amino acids were used at these positions in the humanised antibody.

- 5 -

In WO 90/07861 Queen et al propose four criteria for designing humanised immunoglobulins. The first criterion is to use as the human acceptor the framework from a particular human immunoglobulin that is unusually homologous to the non-human donor immunoglobulin to be humanised, or to use a consensus framework from many human antibodies. The second criterion is to use the donor amino acid rather than the acceptor if the human acceptor residue is unusual and the donor residue is typical for human sequences at a specific residue of the framework. The third criterion is to use the donor framework amino acid residue rather than the acceptor at positions immediately adjacent to the CDRs. The fourth criterion is to use the donor amino acid residue at framework positions at which the amino acid is predicted to have a side chain atom within about 3 Å of the CDRs in a three-dimensional immunoglobulin model and to be capable of interacting with the antigen or with the CDRs of the humanised immunoglobulin. It is proposed that criteria two, three or four may be applied in addition or alternatively to criterion one, and may be applied singly or in any combination.

WO 90/07861 describes in detail the preparation of a single CDR-grafted humanised antibody, a humanised antibody having specificity for the p55 Tac protein of the

473 of 1849

IL-2 receptor. The combination of all four criteria, as above, were employed in designing this humanised antibody, the variable region frameworks of the human antibody Eu (7) being used as acceptor. In the resultant humanised antibody the donor CDRs were as defined by Kabat <u>et al</u> (7 and 8) and in addition the mouse donor residues were used in place of the human acceptor residues, at positions 27, 30, 48, 66, 67, 89, 91, 94, 103, 104, 105 and 107 in the heavy chain and at positions 48, 60 and 63 in the light chain, of the variable region frameworks. The humanised anti-Tac antibody obtained is reported to have an affinity for p55 of 3 x 10^9 M⁻¹, about one-third of that of the murine MAb.

We have further investigated the preparation of CDRgrafted humanised antibody molecules and have identified a hierarchy of positions within the framework of the variable regions (i.e. outside both the Kabat CDRs and structural loops of the variable regions) at which the amino acid identities of the residues are important for obtaining CDR-grafted products with satisfactory binding This has enabled us to establish a protocol affinity. for obtaining satisfactory CDR-grafted products which may be applied very widely irrespective of the level of homology between the donor immunoglobulin and acceptor framework. The set of residues which we have identified as being of critical importance does not coincide with the residues identified by Queen et al (9).

Summary of the Invention

K

Accordingly, in a first aspect the invention provides a CDR-grafted antibody heavy chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 6, 23 and/or 24, 48 and/or 49, 71 and/or 73, 75 and/or 76 and/or 78 and 88 and/ or 91.

In preferred embodiments, the heavy chain framework comprises donor residues at positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 or at positions 23, 24 and 49. The residues at positions 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain framework are preferably either all acceptor or all donor residues.

In particularly preferred embodiments the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions 6, 37, 48 and 94. Also it is particularly preferred that residues at positions of the heavy chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 25, 36, 39, 47, 93, 103, 104, 106 and 107, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the heavy chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

In addition the heavy chain framework optionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of positions: 1 and 3, 72 and 76, 69 (if 48 is different between donor and acceptor), 38 and 46 (if 48 is the donor residue), 80 and 20 (if 69 is the donor residue), 67, 82 and 18 (if 67 is the donor residue), 91, 88, and any one or more of 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110 and 112.

In the first and other aspects of the present invention reference is made to CDR-grafted antibody products comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions. It will be appreciated that the invention is widely applicable to the CDR-grafting of antibodies in

- 7 -

general. Thus, the donor and acceptor antibodies may be derived from animals of the same species and even same antibody class or sub-class. More usually, however, the donor and acceptor antibodies are derived from animals of different species. Typically the donor antibody is a non-human antibody, such as a rodent MAb, and the acceptor antibody is a human antibody.

In the first and other aspects of the present invention, the donor antigen binding region typically comprises at least one CDR from the donor antibody. Usually the donor antigen binding region comprises at least two and preferably all three CDRs of each of the heavy chain and/or light chain variable regions. The CDRs may comprise the Kabat CDRs, the structural loop CDRs or a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs and any combination of any of these. Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted heavy chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR2 (residues 50-65) and CDR3 (residues 95-100) and a composite of the Kabat and structural loop CDRs at CDR1 (residues 26-35).

The residue designations given above and elsewhere in the present application are numbered according to the Kabat numbering [refs. (7) and (8)]. Thus the residue designations do not always correspond directly with the linear numbering of the amino acid residues. The actual linear amino acid sequence may contain fewer or additional amino acids than in the strict Kabat numbering corresponding to a shortening of, or insertion into, a structural component, whether framework or CDR, of the basic variable domain structure. For example, the heavy chain variable region of the anti-Tac antibody described by Queen et al (9) contains a single amino acid insert (residue 52a) after residue 52 of CDR2 and a three amino

476 of 1849

acid insert (residues 82a, 82b and 82c) after framework residue 82, in the Kabat numbering. The correct Kabat numbering of residues may be determined for a given antibody by alignment at regions of homology of the sequence of the antibody with a "standard" Kabat numbered sequence.

The invention also provides in a second aspect a CDRgrafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 1 and/or 3 and 46 and/or 47. Preferably the CDR grafted light chain of the second aspect comprises donor residues at positions 46 and/or 47.

The invention also provides in a third aspect a CDR-grafted antibody light chain having a variable region domain comprising acceptor framework and donor antigen binding regions wherein the framework comprises donor residues at at least one of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In a preferred embodiment of the third aspect, the framework comprises donor residues at all of positions 46, 48, 58 and 71.

In particularly preferred embodiments of the second and third aspects, the framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 36, 44, 47, 85 and 87. Similarly positions of the light chain framework which are commonly conserved across species, i.e. positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 49, 62, 64-69, 98, 99, 101 and 102, if not conserved between donor and acceptor, additionally comprise donor residues. Most preferably the light chain framework additionally comprises donor residues at positions 2, 4, 6, 35, 36, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102.

1. 1

In addition the framework of the second or third aspects
optionally comprises donor residues at one, some or all of
positions:
1 and 3,
63,
60 (if 60 and 54 are able to form at potential saltbridge),
70 (if 70 and 24 are able to form a potential saltbridge),
73 and 21 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor),
37 and 45 (if 47 is different between donor and acceptor),
and
any one or more of 10, 12, 40, 80, 103 and 105.

Preferably, the antigen binding regions of the CDR-grafted light chain variable domain comprise CDRs corresponding to the Kabat CDRs at CDR1 (residue 24-34), CDR2 (residues 50-56) and CDR3 (residues 89-97).

The invention further provides in a fourth aspect a CDR-grafted antibody molecule comprising at least one CDR-grafted heavy chain and at least one CDR-grafted light chain according to the first and second or first and third aspects of the invention.

The humanised antibody molecules and chains of the present invention may comprise: a complete antibody molecule, having full length heavy and light chains; a fragment thereof, such as a Fab, (Fab')₂ or FV fragment; a light chain or heavy chain monomer or dimer; or a single chain antibody, e.g. a single chain FV in which heavy and light chain variable regions are joined by a peptide linker; or any other CDR-grafted molecule with the same specificity as the original donor antibody. Similarly the CDR-grafted heavy and light chain variable region may be combined with other antibody domains as appropriate.

Also the heavy or light chains or humanised antibody molecules of the present invention may have attached to them an effector or reporter molecule. For instance, it may have a macrocycle, for chelating a heavy metal atom, or a toxin, such as ricin, attached to it by a covalent bridging structure. Alternatively, the procedures of recombinant DNA technology may be used to produce an immunoglobulin molecule in which the Fc fragment or CH3 domain of a complete immunoglobulin molecule has been replaced by, or has attached thereto by peptide linkage, a functional non-immunoglobulin protein, such as an enzyme or toxin molecule.

Any appropriate acceptor variable region framework sequences may be used having regard to class/type of the donor antibody from which the antigen binding regions are Preferably, the type of acceptor framework used derived. is of the same/similar class/type as the donor antibody. Conveniently, the framework may be chosen to maximise/ optimise homology with the donor antibody sequence particularly at positions close or adjacent to the CDRs. However, a high level of homology between donor and acceptor sequences is not important for application of the The present invention identifies a present invention. hierarchy of framework residue positions at which donor residues may be important or desirable for obtaining a CDR-grafted antibody product having satisfactory binding properties. The CDR-grafted products usually have binding affinities of at least 10^5 M^{-1} , preferably at least about 10^8 M⁻¹, or especially in the range 10^{8} - 10^{12} M-1 In principle, the present invention is applicable to any combination of donor and acceptor antibodies irrespective of the level of homology between their sequences. A protocol for applying the invention to any particular donor-acceptor antibody pair is given hereinafter. Examples of human frameworks which may be

479 of 1849

used are KOL, NEWM, REI, EU, LAY and POM (refs. 4 and 5) and the like; for instance KOL and NEWM for the heavy chain and REI for the light chain and EU, LAY and POM for both the heavy chain and the light chain.

Also the constant region domains of the products of the invention may be selected having regard to the proposed function of the antibody in particular the effector functions which may be required. For example, the constant region domains may be human IgA, IgE, IgG or IgM In particular, IgG human constant region domains. domains may be used, especially of the IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes, when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic uses, and antibody effector functions are required. Alternatively, IgG2 and IgG4 isotypes may be used when the humanised antibody molecule is intended for therapeutic purposes and antibody effector functions are not required, e.g. for simple blocking of lymphokine activity.

However, the remainder of the antibody molecules need not comprise only protein sequences from immunoglobulins. For instance, a gene may be constructed in which a DNA sequence encoding part of a human immunoglobulin chain is fused to a DNA sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of a functional polypeptide such as an effector or reporter molecule.

Preferably the CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chain and antibody molecule products are produced by recombinant DNA technology.

Thus in further aspects the invention also includes DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains, cloning and expression vectors containing the DNA sequences, host cells transformed with the DNA sequences

and processes for producing the CDR-grafted chains and antibody molecules comprising expressing the DNA sequences in the transformed host cells.

- 13 -

The general methods by which the vectors may be constructed, transfection methods and culture methods are well known <u>per se</u> and form no part of the invention. Such methods are shown, for instance, in references 10 and 11.

The DNA sequences which encode the donor amino acid sequence may be obtained by methods well known in the art. For example the donor coding sequences may be obtained by genomic cloning, or cDNA cloning from suitable hybridoma cell lines. Positive clones may be screened using appropriate probes for the heavy and light chain genes in question. Also PCR cloning may be used.

DNA coding for acceptor, e.g. human acceptor, sequences may be obtained in any appropriate way. For example DNA sequences coding for preferred human acceptor frameworks such as KOL, REI, EU and NEWM, are widely available to workers in the art.

The standard techniques of molecular biology may be used to prepare DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted products. Desired DNA sequences may be synthesised completely or in part using oligonucleotide synthesis techniques. Site-directed mutagenesis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques may be used as appropriate. For example oligonucleotide directed synthesis as described by Jones et al (ref. 20) may be used. Also oligonucleotide directed mutagenesis of a pre-exising variable region as, for example, described by Verhoeyen et al (ref. 5) or Riechmann et al (ref. 6) may be used. Also enzymatic filling in of gapped

1.1

oligonucleotides using T_4 DNA polymerase as, for example, described by Queen et al (ref. 9) may be used.

- 14 -

Any suitable host cell/vector system may be used for expression of the DNA sequences coding for the CDR-grafted heavy and light chains. Bacterial e.g. <u>E. coli</u>, and other microbial systems may be used, in particular for expression of antibody fragments such as FAb and (Fab')₂ fragments, and especially FV fragments and single chain antibody fragments e.g. single chain FVs. Eucaryotic e.g. mammalian host cell expression systems may be used for production of larger CDR-grafted antibody products, including complete antibody molecules. Suitable mammalian host cells include CHO cells and myeloma or hybridoma cell lines.

Thus, in a further aspect the present invention provides a process for producing a CDR-grafted antibody product comprising:

 (a) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes an antibody heavy chain according to the first aspect of the invention;

and/or

1.1-

- (b) producing in an expression vector an operon having a DNA sequence which encodes a complementary antibody light chain according to the second or third aspect of the invention;
- (c) transfecting a host cell with the or each vector; and
- (d) culturing the transfected cell line to produce the CDR-grafted antibody product.

The CDR-grafted product may comprise only heavy or light chain derived polypeptide, in which case only a heavy chain or light chain polypeptide coding sequence is used to transfect the host cells.

- 15 -

For production of products comprising both heavy and light chains, the cell line may be transfected with two vectors, the first vector may contain an operon encoding a light chain-derived polypeptide and the second vector containing an operon encoding a heavy chain-derived polypeptide. Preferably, the vectors are identical, except in so far as the coding sequences and selectable markers are concerned, so as to ensure as far as possible that each polypeptide chain is equally expressed. Alternatively, a single vector may be used, the vector including the sequences encoding both light chain- and heavy chain-derived polypeptides.

The DNA in the coding sequences for the light and heavy chains may comprise cDNA or genomic DNA or both. However, it is preferred that the DNA sequence encoding the heavy or light chain comprises at least partially, genomic DNA, preferably a fusion of cDNA and genomic DNA.

The present invention is applicable to antibodies of any appropriate specificity. Advantageously, however, the invention may be applied to the humanisation of non-human antibodies which are used for in vivo therapy or Thus the antibodies may be site-specific diagnosis. antibodies such as tumour-specific or cell surfacespecific antibodies, suitable for use in in vivo therapy or diagnosis, e.g. tumour imaging. Examples of cell surface-specific antibodies are anti-T cell antibodies, such as anti-CD3, and CD4 and adhesion molecules, such as CR3, ICAM and ELAM. The antibodies may have specificity for interleukins (including lymphokines, growth factors and stimulating factors), hormones and other biologically active compounds, and receptors for any of these. For

example, the antibodies may have specificity for any of the following: Interferons \propto, β, γ or δ , IL1, IL2, IL3, or IL4, etc., TNF, GCSF, GMCSF, EPO, hGH, or insulin, etc.

The the present invention also includes therapeutic and diagnostic compositions comprising the CDR-grafted products of the invention and uses of such compositions in therapy and diagnosis.

Accordingly in a further aspect the invention provides a therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient.

Accordingly also the invention provides a method of therapy or diagnosis comprising administering an effective amount of a CDR-grafted antibody heavy or light chain or molecule according to previous aspects of the invention to a human or animal subject.

A preferred protocol for obtaining CDR-grafted antibody heavy and light chains in accordance with the present invention is set out below together with the rationale by which we have derived this protocol. This protocol and rationale are given without prejudice to the generality of the invention as hereinbefore described and defined.

Protocol

It is first of all necessary to sequence the DNA coding for the heavy and light chain variable regions of the donor antibody, to determine their amino acid sequences. It is also necessary to choose appropriate acceptor heavy and light chain variable regions, of known amino acid sequence. The CDR-grafted chain is then designed starting from the basis of the acceptor sequence. It will be appreciated that in some cases the donor and acceptor amino acid residues may be identical at a particular position and thus no change of acceptor framework residue is required.

 As a first step donor residues are substituted for acceptor residues in the CDRs. For this purpose the CDRs are preferably defined as follows:

| Heavy chain | | CDR1: | residues | 26-35 | |
|-------------|---|-------|----------|--------|-----|
| | - | CDR2: | residues | 50-65 | |
| | - | CDR3: | residues | 95-102 | • • |
| Light chain | - | CDR1: | residues | 24-34 | |
| | - | CDR2: | residues | 50-56 | |
| | - | CDR3: | residues | 89-97 | |

The positions at which donor residues are to be substituted for acceptor in the framework are then chosen as follows, first of all with respect to the heavy chain and subsequently with respect to the light chain.

2. Heavy Chain

- 2.1 Choose donor residues at all of positions 23, 24, 49, 71, 73 and 78 of the heavy chain or all of positions 23, 24 and 49 (71, 73 and 78 are always either all donor or all acceptor).
- 2.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, and if not preferably choose the donor: 2, 4, 6, 25, 36, 37, 39, 47, 48, 93, 94, 103, 104, 106 and 107.

BI Exhibit 1095

2.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:

| i. | 1, 3 |
|-------|--|
| ii. | 72, 76 |
| iii. | If 48 is different between donor and acceptor |
| | sequences, consider 69 |
| iv. | If at 48 the donor residue is chosen, consider |
| | 38 and 46 |
| v. | If at 69 the donor residue is chosen, consider |
| | 80 and then 20 |
| vi. | 67 |
| vii. | If at 67 the donor residue is chosen, consider |
| | 82 and then 18 |
| viii. | 91 |
| ix. | 88 |
| x. | 9, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112 |

3. Light Chain

- 3.1 Choose donor at 46, 48, 58 and 71
- 3.2 Check that the following have the same amino acid in donor and acceptor sequences, if not preferably choose donor:

2, 4, 6, 35, 38, 44, 47, 49, 62, 64-69 inclusive, 85, 87, 98, 99, 101 and 102

- 3.3 To further optimise affinity consider choosing donor residues at one, some or any of:
 - i. 1, 3 ii. 63

- iii. 60, if 60 and 54 are able to form potential saltbridge
- iv. 70, if 70 and 24 are able to form potential saltbridge
- v. 73, and 21 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vi. 37, and 45 if 47 is different between donor and acceptor
- vii. 10, 12, 40, 80, 103, 105

Rationale

In order to transfer the binding site of an antibody into a different acceptor framework, a number of factors need to be considered.

1. The extent of the CDRs

The CDRs (Complementary Determining Regions) were defined by Wu and Kabat (refs. 4 and 5) on the basis of an analysis of the variability of different regions of antibody variable regions. Three regions per domain were recognised. In the light chain the sequences are 24-34, 50-56, 89-97 (numbering according to Kabat (ref. 4), Eu Index) inclusive and in the heavy chain the sequences are 31-35, 50-65 and 95-102 inclusive.

When antibody structures became available it became apparent that these CDR regions corresponded in the main to loop regions which extended from the β barrel framework of the light and heavy variable domains. For H1 there was a discrepancy in that the loop was from 26 to 32 inclusive and for H2 the loop was 52 to 56 and for L2 from 50 to 53. However, with the exception of H1 the CDR regions encompassed the loop regions and extended into the β strand frameworks. In H1 residue 26 tends to be a serine and 27 a phenylalanine or tyrosine, residue 29 is a phenylalanine in most cases. Residues 28 and 30 which are surface residues exposed to solvent might be involved in antigen-binding. A prudent definition of the H1 CDR therefore would include residues 26-35 to include both the loop region and the hypervariable residues 33-35.

It is of interest to note the example of Riechmann <u>et al</u> (ref. 3), who used the residue 31-35 choice for CDR-H1. In order to produce efficient antigen binding, residue 27 also needed to be recruited from the donor (rat) antibody.

2. <u>Non-CDR residues which contribute to antigen</u> binding

By examination of available X-ray structures we have identified a number of residues which may have an effect on net antigen binding and which can be demonstrated by experiment. These residues can be sub-divided into a number of groups.

- 2.1 Surface residues near CDR [all numbering as in Kabat et al (ref. 7)].
- 2.1.1. Heavy Chain Key residues are 23, 71 and 73. Other residues which may contribute to a lesser extent are 1, 3 and 76. Finally 25 is usually conserved but the murine residue should be used if there is a difference.
- 2.1.2 Light Chain Many residues close to the CDRs, e.g. 63, 65, 67 and 69 are conserved. If conserved none of the surface residues in the light chain are likely to have a major effect. However, if the murine residue at these positions

488 of 1849

is unusual, then it would be of benefit to analyse the likely contribution more closely. Other residues which may also contribute to binding are 1 and 3, and also 60 and 70 if the residues at these positions and at 54 and 24 respectively are potentially able to form a salt bridge i.e. 60 + 54; 70 + 24.

2.2 Packing residues near the CDRs.

2.2.1. Heavy Chain - Key residues are 24, 49 and 78. Other key residues would be 36 if not a tryptophan, 94 if not an arginine, 104 and 106 if not glycines and 107 if not a threonine. Residues which may make a further contribution to stable packing of the heavy chain and hence improved affinity are 2, 4, 6, 38, 46, 67 and 69. 67 packs against the CDR residue 63 and this pair could be either both mouse or both Finally, residues which contribute to human. packing in this region but from a longer range are 18, 20, 80, 82 and 86. 82 packs against 67 and in turn 18 packs against 82. 80 packs against 69 and in turn 20 packs against 80. 86 forms an H bond network with 38 and 46. Many of the mouse-human differences appear minor e.g. Leu-Ile, but could have an minor impact on correct packing which could translate into altered positioning of the CDRs.

2.2.2. Light Chain - Key residues are 48, 58 and 71. Other key residues would be 6 if not glutamine, 35 if not tryptophan, 62 if not phenylalanine or tryosine, 64, 66, 68, 99 and 101 if not glycines and 102 if not a threonine. Residues which make a further contribution are 2, 4, 37, 45 and 47. Finally residues 73 and 21 and 19 may make long distance packing contributions of a minor nature.

- 2.3. Residues at the variable domain interface between heavy and light chains - In both the light and heavy chains most of the non-CDR interface residues are conserved. If a conserved residue is replaced by a residue of different character, e.g. size or charge, it should be considered for retention as the murine residue.
- 2.3.1. Heavy Chain Residues which need to be considered are 37 if the residue is not a valine but is of larger side chain volume or has a charge or polarity. Other residues are 39 if not a glutamine, 45 if not a leucine, 47 if not a tryptophan, 91 if not a phenylalanine or tyrosine, 93 if not an alanine and 103 if not a tryptophan. Residue 89 is also at the interface but is not in a position where the side chain could be of great impact.
- 2.3.2. Light Chain Residues which need to be considered are 36, if not a tyrosine, 38 if not a glutamine, 44 if not a proline, 46, 49 if not a tyrosine, residue 85, residue 87 if not a tyrosine and 98 if not a phenylalanine.
- 2.4. Variable-Constant region interface - The elbow angle between variable and constant regions may be affected by alterations in packing of key residues in the variable region against the constant region which may affect the position of $V_{T_{i}}$ and V_{H} with respect to one another. Therefore it is worth noting the residues likely to be in contact with the constant region. In the heavy chain the surface residues potentially in contact with the variable region are conserved between mouse and human antibodies therefore the variable region contact residues may influence In the light chain the the V-C interaction. amino acids found at a number of the constant

 $\langle \cdot \rangle > \gamma_1$

region contact points vary, and the V & C regions are not in such close proximity as the heavy chain. Therefore the influences of the light chain V-C interface may be minor.

- 2.4.1. Heavy Chain Contact residues are 7, 11, 41, 87, 108, 110, 112.
- 2.4.2. Light Chain In the light chain potentially contacting residues are 10, 12, 40, 80, 83, 103 and 105.

The above analysis coupled with our considerable practical experimental experience in the CDR-grafting of a number of different antibodies have lead us to the protocol given above.

The present invention is now described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying Figures 1 - 13.

Brief Description of the Figures shows DNA and amino acid sequences of the OKT3 (Seq ID ND: 4 and 5) light chain; and shows DNA and amino acid sequences of the OKT3 Figure heavy chain; SEQ IP NO: (0 And7) shows the alignment of the OKT3 light variable Figure 3 SED ID WO region amino acid sequence with that of the light variable region of the human antibody REL; shows the alignment of the OKT3 heavy variable Figure 4 3D region amino acid sequence with that of the (SEQID NO: 7+10) heavy variable region of the human antibody KOL_{A} ; 5 Figure 5 shows the heavy variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, KOL and various (SEQ ID NO: 70 AND 10-24) corresponding CDR grafts; Figure 6 shows the light variable region amino acid sequences of OKT3, REI and various # KEQ ID NO: 08 And 25) corresponding CDR grafts

| Figure 7 | shows a graph of binding assay results for |
|------------|--|
| | various grafted OKT3 antibodies′ |
| Figure 8 | shows a graph of blocking assay results for |
| | various grafted OKT3 antibodies; |
| Figure 9 | shows a similar graph of blocking assay results; |
| Figure 10 | shows similar graphs for both binding assay and |
| × د م | blocking assay results; |
| Figure, 11 | shows further similar graphs for both binding |
| | assay and blocking assay results; |
| Figure 12 | shows a graph of competition assay results for |
| | a minimally grafted OKT3 antibody compared with |
| | the OKT3 murine reference standard, and |
| Figure 13 | shows a similar graph of competition assay |
| | results comparing a fully grafted OKT3 antibody |
| | with the murine reference standard. |

,

07

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

EXAMPLE 1

11

CDR-GRAFTING OF OKT3

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. INCOMING CELLS

Hybridoma cells producing antibody OKT3 were provided by Ortho (seedlot 4882.1) and were grown up in antibiotic free Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with glutamine and 5% foetal calf serum, and divided to provide both an overgrown supernatant for evaluation and cells for extraction of RNA. The overgrown supernatant was shown to contain 250 ug/mL murine IgG2a/kappa antibody. The supernatant was negative for murine lambda light chain and IgG1, IgG2b, IgG3, IgA and IgM heavy chain. 20mL of supernatant was assayed to confirm that the antibody present was OKT3.

2. MOLECULAR BIOLOGY PROCEDURES

Basic molecular biology procedures were as described in Maniatis <u>et al</u> (ref. 9) with, in some cases, minor modifications. DNA sequencing was performed as described in Sanger <u>et al</u> (ref. 11) and the Amersham International Plc sequencing handbook. Site directed mutagenesis was as described in Kramer <u>et al</u> (ref. 12) and the Anglian Biotechnology Ltd. handbook. COS cell expression and metabolic labelling studies were as described in Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)

- 26 -
- 3. RESEARCH ASSAYS

3.1. ASSEMBLY ASSAYS

Assembly assays were performed on supernatants from transfected COS cells to determine the amount of intact IgG present.

3.1.1. COS CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH MOUSE OKT3 GENES The assembly assay for intact mouse IgG in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

> 96 well microtitre plates were coated with $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-mouse IgG Fc. The plates were washed in water and samples added for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and $F(ab')^2$ goat anti-mouse IgG $F(ab')^2$ (HRPO conjugated) was then added. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. UPC10, a mouse IgG2a myeloma, was used as a standard.

3.1.2. COS AND CHO CELLS TRANSFECTED WITH CHIMERIC OR CDR-GRAFTED OKT3 GENES

> The assembly assay for chimeric or CDR-grafted antibody in COS cell supernatants was an ELISA with the following format:

96 well microtitre plates were coated with F(ab')2 goat anti-human IgG Fc. The plates were washed and samples added and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed and monoclonal mouse anti-human kappa chain was added for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed and F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added. Enzyme substrate was added to reveal the reaction. Chimeric B72.3 (IgG4) (ref. 13) was used as a standard. The use of a monoclonal anti-kappa chain in this assay allows grafted antibodies to be read from the chimeric standard.

3.2.

ASSAY FOR ANTIGEN BINDING ACTIVITY

Material from COS cell supernatants was assayed for OKT3 antigen binding activity onto CD3 positive cells in a direct assay. The procedure was as follows:

HUT 78 cells (human T cell line, CD3 positive) were maintained in culture. Monolayers of HUT 78 cells were prepared onto 96 well ELISA plates using poly-L-lysine and glutaraldehyde. Samples were added to the monolayers for 1 hour at room temperature.

The plates were washed gently using PBS. F(ab')2 goat anti-human IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) or F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (HRPO conjugated) was added as appropriate for humanised or mouse samples. Substrate was added to reveal the reaction. The negative control for the cell-based assay was chimeric B72.3. The positive control was mouse Orthomune OKT3 or chimeric OKT3, when available. This cell-based assay was difficult to perform, and an alternative assay was developed for CDR-grafted OKT3 which was more sensitive and easier to carry out.

In this system CDR-grafted OKT3 produced by COS cells was tested for its ability to bind to the CD3-positive HPB-ALL (human peripheral blood acute lymphocytic leukemia) cell line. It was also tested for its ability to block the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells. Binding was measured by the following procedure: HPB-ALL cells were harvested from tissue culture. Cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody, positive control antibody; or negative control antibody. The cells were washed once and incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with an FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG (Fc-

specific, mouse absorbed). The cells were washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. Chimeric OKT3 was used as a positive control for direct binding. Cells incubated with mock- transfected COS cell supernatant, followed by the FITC-labelled goat anti-human IgG, provided the negative control. To test the ability of CDR-grafted OKT3 to block murine OKT3 binding, the HPB-ALL cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour with various dilutions of test antibody or control antibody. A fixed saturating amount of FITC OKT3 was added. The samples were incubated for 1 hour at 4°C, washed twice and analysed by cytofluorography. FITC-labelled OKT3 was used as a positive control to determine maximum binding. Unlabelled murine OKT3 served as a reference standard for Negative controls were unstained cells blocking. with or without mock-transfected cell supernatant. The ability of the CDR-grafted OKT3 light chain to bind CD3-positive cells and block the binding of murine OKT3 was initially tested in combination with the chimeric OKT3 heavy chain. The chimeric OKT3 heavy chain is composed of the murine OKT3 variable region and the human IgG4 constant region. The chimeric heavy chain gene is expressed in the same expression vector used for the CDR-grafted genes. The CDR-grafted light chain expression vector and the chimeric heavy chain expression vector were co-transfected into COS cells. The fully chimeric OKT3 antibody (chimeric light chain and chimeric heavy chain) was found to be fully capable of binding to CD3 positive cells and blocking the binding of murine OKT3 to these cells.

- 28 -

3.3

 \mathbb{N}_{2}

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE BINDING AFFINITY The relative binding affinities of CDR-grafted

anti-CD3 monoclonal antibodies were determined by competition binding (ref. 6) using the HPB-ALL human T cell line as a source of CD3 antigen, and fluorescein-conjugated murine OKT3 (Fl-OKT3) of known binding affinity as a tracer antibody. The binding affinity of F1-OKT3 tracer antibody was determined by a direct binding assay in which increasing amounts of F1-OKT3 were incubated with HPB-ALL (5x10⁵) in PBS with 5% foetal calf serum for 60 min. at 4°C. Cells were washed, and the fluorescence intensity was determined on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards (Flow Cytometry Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC). Fluorescence intensity per antibody molecule (F/P ratio) was determined by using microbeads which have a predetermined number of mouse IgG antibody binding sites (Simply Cellular beads, Flow Cytometry Standards). F/P equals the fluorescence intensity of beads saturated with F1-OKT3 divided by the number of binding sites per bead. The amount of bound and free F1-OKT3 was calculated from the mean fluorescence intensity per cell, and the ratio of bound/free was plotted against the number of moles of antibody bound. A linear fit was used to determine the affinity of binding (absolute value of the slope). For competitive binding, increasing amounts of competitor antibody were added to a sub-saturating dose of F1-OKT3 and incubated with 5x10⁵ HPB-ALL in 200 Ml of PBS with 5% foetal calf serum, for 60 min at 4°C. The fluorescence intensities of the cells

- 29 -

were measured on a FACScan flow cytometer calibrated with quantitative microbead standards. The concentrations of bound and free F1-OKT3 were calculated. The affinities of competing anti-

497 of 1849

- 30 -

bodies were calculated from the equation [X]-[OKT3] = (1/Kx) - (1/Ka), where Ka is the affinity of murine OKT3, Kx is the affinity of competitor X, [] is the concentration of competitor antibody at which bound/free binding is R/2, and R is the maximal bound/free binding.

4. CDNA LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

4.1. mRNA PREPARATION AND CDNA SYNTHESIS OKT3 producing cells were grown as described above and 1.2 x 10⁹ cells harvested and mRNA extracted using the guanidinium/LiCl extraction procedure. cDNA was prepared by priming from Oligo-dT to generate full length cDNA. The cDNA was methylated and EcoRl linkers added for cloning.

4.2. LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION

The cDNA library was ligated to pSP65 vector DNA which had been EcoRl cut and the 5' phosphate groups removed by calf intestinal phosphatase (EcoR1/CIP). The ligation was used to transform high transformation efficiency Escherichia coli (E.coli) HB101. A cDNA library was prepared. 3600 colonies were screened for the light chain and 10000 colonies were screened for the heavy chain.

5. SCREENING

R

X

E.coli colonies positive for either heavy or light chain probes were identified by oligonucleotide screening using the oligonucleotides: 5' TCCAGATGTTAACTGCTCAC (SEQ JD NO.1) 5' TCCAGATGTTAACTGCTCAC for the light chain, which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse kappa constant region, and 5' CAGGGGCCAGTGGATGGATAGAC (SEQ JD NO.2) for the heavy chain which is complementary to a sequence in the mouse IgG2a constant CH1 domain region. 12 light chain and 9 heavy chain clones were identified and taken for second round screening. Positive clones from the second round of screening were grown up and DNA prepared. The sizes of the gene inserts were estimated by gel electrophoresis and inserts of a size capable of containing a full length cDNA were subcloned into M13 for DNA sequencing.

6. DNA SEQUENCING

Clones representing four size classes for both heavy and light chains were obtained in M13. DNA sequence for the 5' untranslated regions, signal sequences, variable regions and 3' untranslated regions of full length cDNAs [Figures 1(a) $(5E0 \pm 0.10) + 0.14)$ (SE0 $\pm 0.100 \pm 0.14$) 2(a)] were obtained and the corresponding amino acid sequences predicted [(Figures 1(b) $(5E0 \pm 0.10) \pm 0.14)$ (SE0 $\pm 0.100 \pm 0.14$) 2(b)]. In Figure 1(a) the untranslated DNA regions are shown in uppercase, and in both Figures 1 and 2 the signal sequences are underlined.

7.

CONSTRUCTION OF CDNA EXPRESSION VECTORS

Celltech expression vectors are based on the plasmid pEE6hCMV (ref. 14). A polylinker for the insertion of genes to be expressed has been introduced after the major immediate early promoter/enhancer of the human Cytomegalovirus (hCMV). Marker genes for selection of the plasmid in transfected eukaryotic cells can be inserted as BamHl cassettes in the unique BamHl site of pEE6 hCMV; for instance, the neo marker to provide pEE6 hCMV neo. It is usual practice to insert the neo and gpt markers prior to insertion of the gene of interest, whereas the GS marker is inserted last because of the presence of internal EcoR1 sites in the cassette.

Ą

A DWS.A

The selectable markers are expressed from the SV40 late promoter which also provides an origin of replication so that the vectors can be used for expression in the COS cell transient expression system.

The mouse sequences were excised from the M13 based vectors described above as EcoR1 fragments and cloned into either pEE6-hCMV-neo for the heavy chain and into EE6-hCMV-gpt for the light chain to yield vectors pJA136 and pJA135 respectively.

8. EXPRESSION OF CDNAS IN COS CELLS

Plasmids pJA135 and pJA136 were co-transfected into COS cells and supernatant from the transient expression experiment was shown to contain assembled antibody which bound to T-cell enriched lymphocytes. Metabolic labelling experiments using ³⁵S methionine showed expression and assembly of heavy and light chains.

9. CONSTRUCTION OF CHIMERIC GENES

Construction of chimeric genes followed a previously described strategy [Whittle <u>et al</u> (ref. 13)]. A restriction site near the 3' end of the variable domain sequence is identified and used to attach an oligonucleotide adapter coding for the remainder of the mouse variable region and a suitable restriction site for attachment to the constant region of choice.

9.1. LIGHT CHAIN GENE CONSTRUCTION

The mouse light chain cDNA sequence contains an Aval site near the 3' end of the variable region [Fig. 1(a)]. The majority of the sequence of the variable region was isolated as a 396 bp. EcoRI-Aval fragment. An oligonucleotide adapter was designed to replace the remainder of the 3'

12