

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)
Rebecca A. Whitfield (Reg. No. 73,756)
Robert J. Gunther, Jr. (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Lisa J. Pirozzolo (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Kevin S. Prussia (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Andrew J. Danford (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Adam R. Brausa (Reg No.
60,287
Daralyn J. Durie (*Pro Hac
Vice* pending)
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-02032
U.S. Patent 6,407,213

**PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ADMISSION
PRO HAC VICE OF ANDREW J. DANFORD**

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. (“Genentech”) requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the “Board”) admit Andrew J. Danford *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2017-02032. Petitioner Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., has indicated that it does not oppose this motion.

II. Statement of Facts Showing Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel *Pro Hac Vice* During the Proceeding

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that “where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Andrew J. Danford *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel, David L. Cavanaugh, is a registered practitioner. Backup counsel, Adam Brausa and Rebecca Whitfield are also registered practitioners.

2. Counsel, Andrew J. Danford, is an experienced litigator and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 2005 is the Declaration of Andrew J. Danford in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Danford Decl."). In his declaration, Mr. Danford asserts:

I am a member in good standing of the Massachusetts and New York Bars, and am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Danford Decl. ¶ 2 (Ex. 2005). Mr. Danford also demonstrates that he has a detailed working knowledge of the relevant subject matter through both prior *inter partes review* experience and his familiarity with antibody technologies as a result of participation as counsel in prior antibody-related patent cases. Danford Decl. ¶¶ 10-11 (Ex. 2005).

3. In his declaration, Mr. Danford also attests to each of the listed items required by the Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 in IPR2013-00639. See Danford Decl. ¶¶ 1-12 (Ex. 2005). Mr. Danford attests that he has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set

Patent Owner's Motion For Admission *Pro Hac Vice* Of Andrew J. Danford

forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42. *See id.* ¶ 8. Mr. Danford further attests that he

agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office's

Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and

disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *See id.* ¶ 9.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board admit Andrew J. Danford *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 10, 2018

By: /David L. Cavanaugh/

David L. Cavanaugh

Reg. No. 36,476

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20006

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST
IPR2017-02032

<u>Patent Owner's Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Name</u>
2001	Declaration of Robert J. Gunther, Jr. in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2002	Declaration of Daralyn J. Durie in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2003	Declaration of Lisa J. Pirozzolo in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2004	Declaration of Kevin S. Prussia in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2005	Declaration of Andrew J. Danford in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.