UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC. Patent Owner.

IPR2017-02031 U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213

Title: METHOD FOR MAKING HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,407,213 B1

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
I.	INTI	RODU	JCTION	1	
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES1				
	A.	Real Parties-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))1			
	В.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))2			
	C.	Identification of Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))		2	
III.	GRO	UND	S FOR STANDING AND PROCEDURAL STATEMENT .	3	
IV.		ENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND STATEMENT OF THE ECISE RELIEF REQUESTED			
V.	STA	TEMI	ENT OF REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED	4	
	A.	Sum	nmary of the Argument	4	
	В.	'213	Patent—Background	9	
		1.	The '213 Patent	9	
		2.	Brief Overview of the '213 Patent's Prosecution History a Related PTO Proceedings		
	C.	Leve	el of Ordinary Skill in the Art	13	
	D.	Clai	m Construction	14	
	E. Patents and Printed Publications Relied On In This Petitio		ents and Printed Publications Relied On In This Petition	17	
		1.	EP 0403156 ("Kurrle") [Ex1071]	18	
		2.	Queen 1990 [Ex1050]	19	
		3.	Jones [Ex1033]	22	
		4.	Chothia & Lesk [Ex1062]	23	
		5.	Riechmann [Ex1069]	25	
	F.		Prior Art Anticipates or Renders Obvious the Challenged C	laims 25	
		1.	Detailed Instructions for Humanizing Antibodies Were W Available Before the '213 Patent Filing	•	
	G.		und 1: Claims 1;2;4;25;29;62;63;66;69;71;75;76;78;80;81 <i>A</i>	Are27	



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

			Page
	1.	Independent Claim 1 is Anticipated by Kurrle	29
	2.	Kurrle Anticipates Dependent Claims 2;4;25;29	30
	3.	Independent Claim 62 is Anticipated by Kurrle	33
	4.	Independent Claim 63 is Anticipated by Kurrle	34
	5.	Independent Claim 66 and Dependent Claims 69;71;72;75;76;78 are Anticipated by Kurrle	35
	6.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claim 81 Are Anticipated by Kurrle	36
Н.		ound 2: Claims 1;2;4;29;62;63;64;80;81 are Anticipated by Q	
	1.	Independent Claim 1 is Anticipated by Queen 1990	38
	2.	Queen 1990 Anticipates Dependent Claims 2, 4 and 29	40
	3.	Independent Claim 62 is Anticipated by Queen 1990	41
	4.	Independent Claim 63 is Anticipated by Queen 1990	42
	5.	Independent Claim 64 is Anticipated by Queen 1990	42
	6.	Claims 80 and 81 are Anticipated by Queen 1990	43
I.		ound 3: Claims 1;2;4;25;29;62-64;66-67;69;71-72;75-76;78;8 Unpatentable As Obvious over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	
	1.	Claim 1 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	45
	2.	Claims 2, 25 and 29 are Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Ku	
	3.	Claim 4 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	47
	4.	Claim 62 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	48
	5.	Claim 63 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	48
	6.	Claim 64 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	49
	7.	Claim 66 is Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurrle	50
	8.	Claims 67, 71, 72, 75, 76 and 78 are Obvious Over Queen and Kurrle	
	9.	Claims 80 and 81 are Obvious Over Queen 1990 and Kurr	le53
J.		ound 4: Claims 1;2;4;25;29;62;64;66;69;71;73;75-78;80;81 <i>A</i> patentable As Anticipated by Jones	



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

]	Page
	1.	Independent Claim 1 is Anticipated by Jones	54
	2.	Jones Anticipates Dependent Claims 2, 4, 25 and 29	57
	3.	Independent Claim 62 is Anticipated by Jones	58
	4.	Independent Claim 64 is Anticipated by Jones	59
	5.	Independent Claim 66 and Dependent Claims 69, 71, 73, and 75-78 are Anticipated by Jones	
	6.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claim 81 Are Anticipated by Jones	61
K.		nd 5: Claims 73 and 77 are Obvious Over Queen 1990 and e, In View of Chothia & Lesk	61
L.		nd 6: Claim 63 Is Anticipated by Jones or Obvious Over Jones working of Riechmann	
M.	Seco	ndary Considerations Cannot Preclude Obviousness	64
	1.	The Compositions Recited in the '213 Patent Produced No Relevant Unexpected Results.	65
	2.	The '213 Patent Satisfied No Long-Felt But Unmet Need	
	3.	No nexus/commercial success to Hercentin.	68



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Adair v. Carter, 101 U.S.P.Q.2d 1625 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	13
Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	34, 37, 42, 61
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. BenVenue Labs, Inc., 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	35, 42, 49
In re Clarke, 356 F.2d 987 (C.C.P.A. 1966)	27, 28
Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	14
Ecolochem, Inc. v. Southern California Edison Co., 91 F.3d 169 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	15
Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	64
Norgren Inc. v. ITC, 699 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	67
In re PepperBall Techs., Inc., 469 F. App'x 8783 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	67
Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	64
Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Epic Pharma, LLC, 811 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	8, 9, 54, 55
Ex Parte Takeshi Shimono, 2015 WL 1952506	66
Ex Parte Takeshi Shimono, Appeal 2013-003410 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 29, 2015)	65



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

