Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)
Lauren V. Blakely (Reg. No. 70,247)
Robert J. Gunther, Jr. (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Lisa J. Pirozzolo (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Kevin S. Prussia (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Andrew J. Danford (*Pro Hac Vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Adam R. Brausa (Reg. No. 60,287) Daralyn J. Durie (*Pro Hac Vice*) Durie Tangri LLP 217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Petitioner,
$\mathbf{v}.$
GENENTECH, INC., Patent Owner.
Case IPR2017-02031

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING

Patent No. 6,407,213



IPR2017-02031 Patent Owner's Request For Rehearing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	BACKGROUND		
	A.	The Eight Prior IPR Petitions Challenging The '213 Patent	4
	В.	The Boehringer Follow-On Petitions	6
III.	ARGUMENT		
	A.	Legal Standard	8
	B.	The Board Abused Its Discretion By Overturning Its Earlier Institution Decision In Order To Institute Review Of Boehringer	
		Improper Copycat Challenges	8
IV.	CONCLUSION		13



Page(s)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases
Blue Coat Sys., Inc. v. Finjan, Inc., IPR2016-01444, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. July 18, 2017)8
Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)
Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-00739, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. July 27, 2017)9
Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens, IPR2015-01860, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016)
Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple. Inc., 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2018)
SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)
Star Fruits S.N.C. v. United States, 393 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
Statutes and Regulations
5 U.S.C. § 706
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)9
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)8
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)
Other Authorities
Guidance on the Impact of SAS on AIA Trial Proceedings, Patent Trial and Appeal Board (Apr. 26, 2018)



Genentech, Inc. ("Genentech") respectfully requests, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d), that the Board reconsider its May 8, 2018 decision ("May Institution Decision") instituting *inter partes* review of previously-denied grounds in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).

Genentech recognizes the extremely high burden placed on movants seeking reconsideration and does not take this step lightly. Indeed, in the over 30 IPRs that have been filed by parties seeking to invalidate patents in Genentech's Herceptin portfolio, this is the first motion for reconsideration that Genentech has filed. Genentech requests rehearing because, based on the unique facts presented by this and the numerous prior IPRs which have been instituted regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,407,213 ("the '213 patent"), Genentech believes that the institution of Petitioner Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s ("Boehringer's") non-meritorious, previously-denied grounds rises to the level of an abuse of discretion that unfairly prejudices Genentech and does not comply with the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"). Upon rehearing, Genentech requests that the Board vacate the May Institution Decision and deny Boehringer's request for *inter partes* review of the '213 patent in its entirety.



I. INTRODUCTION

On August 31, 2017, Boehringer filed two IPR petitions challenging numerous claims of the '213 patent: IPR2017-02031 (*i.e.*, the present petition) and IPR2017-02032. These two petitions were the *ninth and tenth* IPR petitions filed against the '213 patent.¹

Boehringer copied four grounds in its IPR2018-02031 petition from IPR2016-01693 (Mylan), IPR2017-01374 (Celltrion), and IPR2017-01488 (Pfizer), and copied five grounds in its IPR2017-02032 petition from IPR2017-01694 (Mylan), IPR2017-01373 (Celltrion) and IPR2017-01489 (Pfizer)—yet Boehringer strategically chose *not* to seek joinder with those earlier-filed proceedings.²

The previous petitions include: IPR2016-01693 and IPR2016-01694, filed by Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Mylan"); IPR2017-01373 and IPR2017-01374, filed by Celltrion, Inc. ("Celltrion"); IPR2017-01488 and IPR2017-01489, filed by Pfizer, Inc. ("Pfizer"); and IPR2017-02139 and IPR2017-02140, filed by Samsung Bioepis Co., Ltd. ("Samsung").

After Boehringer filed its two petitions challenging the '213 patent, Samsung filed two petitions (IPR2017-02139 and IPR2017-02140), which copied the grounds in Pfizer's petitions (IPR2017-01488 and IPR2017-01489). Unlike Boehringer, Samsung sought joinder to Pfizer's previously-filed petitions, which the Board granted. (IPR2017-02139 (Paper 11); IPR2017-02140 (Paper 11).)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

