UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT AND APPEAL BOARD
TOMTOM, INC. Petitioner
V.
BLACKBIRD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC Patent Owner
Case IPR2017-02023 U.S. Patent No. 6,434,212

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



Petitioner's Reply Case IPR2017-02023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
I.	INT	RODU	CTION	1	
II.	LEV	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART			
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION			3	
	A.	A. Stride Rate			
	B.	3. Calibration			
IV.	THE ASSERTED REFERENCES AND GROUNDS RENDER THE '212 PATENT'S CLAIMS INVALID			6	
	A. Claims 1-8 are Obvious under Ground 1			6	
		1.	Levi Discloses Calibration	6	
		2.	Jimenez Discloses a Transmitter and Receiver	12	
		3.	Claims 3 and 4 are Unpatentable	18	
	B.	Clair	ms 1-8 are Obvious under Ground 2	21	
V.	MOTIVATION TO COMBINE			24	
VI.	IPR PROCEEDINGS ARE CONSTITUTIONAL IN THIS				
	CONTEXT			26	
VII.	CONCLUSION				



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) Cases BioDelivery Scis. Int'l, Inc. v. Aquestive Therapeutics, Inc., Karlin Tech., Inc. v. Surgical Dynamics, Inc., Landgraf v. USI Film Prod., NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd., SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu, Superguide Corp. v. DirecTV Enterprises, Inc., Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc., **Statutes**



LISTING OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,434,212
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 4,367,752
Ex. 1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,583,776
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,145,389
Ex. 1005	Expert Declaration of Thomas Blackadar
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,807,267
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,099,478
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,175,608
Ex. 1009	File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,212
Ex. 1010	File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 6,175,608
	Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Technologies v.
Ex. 1011	Fitbit, Inc., D.I. 87, Case No. 16-CV-00683 (D. Del.
	Aug. 23, 2017)
Ex. 1012	Infringement Contentions
	TS Keller, AM Weisberger, JL Ray, SS Hasan, RG
	Shiavi, DM Spengler, Relationship Between Vertical
Ex. 1013	Ground Reaction Force and Speed During Walking,
	Slow Jogging, and Running, Clinical Biomechanics,
	Vol. 11, Issue 5 at 253-259 (July 1996)
	Karvonen, Juha and Vuorimaa Timo, Heart Rate and
Ex. 1014	Exercise Intensity During Sports Activities, Sports
	Medicine, Vol. 5, Issue 5, at 303–311 (May 1988)
Ex. 1015	U.S. Patent No. 4,578,769
Ex. 1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,117,444
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent No. 4,771,394
Ex. 1018	U.S. Provisional Patent App. No. 60/030,743
Ex. 1019	U.S. Patent No. 5,891,042
Ex. 1020	Filing Receipt for IPR2017-02017
Ex. 1021	Blackadar Deposition Exhibit, Blackadar CV
Ex. 1022	Deposition Transcript of Thomas Blackadar, June 27, 2018
	Deposition Transcript of Michael Caloyannides,
Ex. 1023	September 21, 2018
	50ptcmoct 21, 2010



I. INTRODUCTION

As demonstrated in the Petition (Paper 1), the claims of the '212 patent are nothing more than the obvious combination of a prior art pedometer capable of calculating a distance traveled by multiplying a number of steps counted by the step counter by a stride length that varies according to a rate at which steps are counted together with a pedometer having a heart rate monitor. Patent Owner's arguments to the contrary cannot withstand scrutiny.

First, as the Decision (Paper 7) noted, "none of the independent claims recite 'for a particular use." Paper 7 at 17. Nor do any of the dependent claims recite "for a particular user." Patent Owner's attempts to insert this phrase into the claims is misguided and contrary to the law.

Second, even if the claims were construed to include the phrase, Levi discloses calibration for a particular user. *See* Paper 1 at 35-36. Patent Owner's attempts to mischaracterize Levi are directly contradicted by a plain reading of Levi, which specifically explains that the calibration process "is particular to the individual person using the system." EX1001 at 6:22-25.

Further, as set forth in the Petition, Jimenez discloses a transmitter and receiver. *See* Paper 1 at 46-47 and 68. The terms transmitter and receiver are undefined by the '212 patent, and Petitioner submits that any component that sends



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

