

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and ASUS COMPUTER
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

JAMES GOODMAN,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-02021
Patent 6,243,315 B1¹

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and
KIMBERLY McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Pro Hac Vice Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

¹ Case IPR2018-00047, filed by ASUS Computer International, Inc., has been joined with this proceeding.

On September 21, 2017, Petitioner, Samsung Electronics America, filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Anthony G. Beasley, along with a supporting Declaration by Mr. Beasley. Paper 4; Ex. 1013. Patent Owner, James B. Goodman, does not oppose Samsung's Motion.

Samsung's lead counsel, Ryan Yagura, is a registered practitioner. Paper 1, 1; Paper 4, 1. Samsung has shown by its Motion and Mr. Beasley's Declaration that Mr. Beasley is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceedings. Paper 4, 2-3; Ex. 1013 ¶¶ 1-8; *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Based upon consideration of the Motion and the record before us, we grant Samsung's Motion for Admission of Mr. Beasley. *See also Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).²

It is therefore ORDERED that Samsung's unopposed Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Beasley to represent Samsung as back-up counsel is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Samsung is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceeding;

² Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2017-02021
Patent 6,243,315

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Beasley is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Beasley is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2017-02021
Patent 6,243,315

FOR PETITIONER:

Ryan K. Yagura
Xin-Yi Zhou
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
ryagura@omm.com
vzhou@omm.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David Fink
FINK & JOHNSON
texascowboy6@gmail.com

Felix Readus
federallitigationlaw@gmail.com