

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HP INC.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and
ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, INC.,¹

Petitioner,

v.

JAMES GOODMAN,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01994 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)
Case IPR2017-02021 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)²

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and
KIMBERLY McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ HP Inc. is the Petitioner in Case IPR2017-01994. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. is the Petitioner in IPR2017-02021. ASUS Computer International, Inc. is the Petitioner in IPR2018-00047, which has been joined with IPR2017-02021.

² This Order addresses an issue relevant to both cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers

Case IPR2017-01994 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

Case IPR2017-02021 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

On October 24, 2018, a conference call was held to address counsel for Patent Owner's request that the oral hearings, scheduled for Friday November 16, 2018, take place in the morning so that the arguments are completed by noon due to counsel's observance of the Sabbath. Judges McGraw, Boucher, and McNamara attended the call, as well as counsel for the parties, including Mr. David Fink, representing Patent Owner in both proceedings, Mr. Barry Shelton, representing Petitioner in IPR2017-01994, and Mr. Xin-Yi Zhou, representing Petitioner in IPR2017-02021.

During the call, Mr. Zhou stated that, as noted in its Petitioner's request for oral hearing, 30 minutes of total argument time per side would be sufficient. Mr. Fink and Mr. Shelton each agreed that 30 minutes per side in each of their proceedings would be sufficient. The Board noted that even if each proceeding were limited to one hour total argument time (30 minutes per side), that due to the time required for matters such as introductory remarks and podium changes, it is unlikely both proceedings would be concluded by noon. Mr. Fink responded that he could stay past noon, but would be uncomfortable staying past 1:30 pm.

In view of the foregoing representations, the Board determined that the oral hearings for both proceedings may continue as scheduled for November 16, 2018 so long as the first hearing begin no later than 10:00 am EST and the second hearing begins promptly thereafter. To ensure timely completion of the oral hearings, the parties shall not cause any undue delay.

Case IPR2017-01994 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

Case IPR2017-02021 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

In view of the foregoing:

It is ORDERED that the scheduled date for the oral hearing in IPR2017-01994 and IPR2017-02021 remains unchanged,

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall not unduly delay the timely completion of their hearing.

Case IPR2017-01994 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

Case IPR2017-02021 (Patent 6,243,315 B1)

FOR PETITIONER:

Barry K. Shelton (IPR2017-01994)

SHELTON & COBURN LLP

bshelton@sheltoncolburn.com

Ryan K. Yagura (IPR2017-02021)

Xin-Yi Zhou

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

ryagura@omm.com vzhou@omm.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David Fink

FINK & JOHNSON

texascowboy6@gmail.com

Felix Readus

federallitigationlaw@gmail.com