| UNITED | STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
|--------|------------------------------------|
| BEFORE | THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD |
| | |
| | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO, LTD., |

v.

Petitioner

JAMES B. GOODMAN, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-02021 U.S. Patent No. 6,243,315

DECLARATION OF ANTHONY G. BEASLEY IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION



- I, Anthony G. Beasley, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a Counsel with the law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP. I represent and advise Petitioner Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung") in connection with the above-captioned *inter partes* review ("IPR") proceeding and I am counsel in the underlying district court litigation (*Goodman v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-05539-JGK (S.D.N.Y.)) on the patent at issue in this IPR, U.S. Patent No. 6,243,315 ("'315 Patent").
- 2. I have been a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of California since 2015. My California State Bar number is 307511. I have been a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Texas since 2015. My Texas State Bar number is 24093882. I have been a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Wisconsin since 2009. My Wisconsin State Bar number is 1073135. I have been a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Minnesota since 2008. My Minnesota State Bar number is 389070. I am also admitted to practice before numerous federal courts:
 - a. U.S.D.C. for the Central District of California (since 2017)
 - b. U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of California (since 2016)
 - c. U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of Texas (since 2017)
 - d. U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of Texas (since 2014)
 - e. U.S.D.C. for the District of Minnesota (since 2008)
 - f. U.S.D.C. for the Western District of Wisconsin (since 2010)



- g. U.S.C.A. for the Federal Circuit (since 2015)
- 3. I have over nine years of experience practicing patent and technology related litigation. I have litigated numerous patent cases across the country, including California, Texas, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Alabama, Tennessee, Virginia, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and New York. A copy of my biography is provided as Appendix A.
- 4. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I have been actively involved in the present IPR proceeding regarding the '315 Patent. I represent Petitioner in concurrent litigation involving the '315 Patent, and I have extensively reviewed the patent, its file history, previous IPRs involving the same patent, filings from other district court litigations involving the same patent, and the prior art being asserted in the petition for IPR in this proceeding.
- 5. Moreover, I have advised Petitioner on strategy regarding Petitioner's affirmative arguments in this IPR proceeding, supervised the drafting of the petition, reviewed the accompanying Declaration of Dr. Andrew Wolfe, and worked with Petitioner to find and identify the references relied upon in the petition and to draft other submissions to the Office.
- 6. I have represented clients in connection with many patent litigations regarding technology similar to that at issue in this IPR, which relates generally to memory devices with low-power and/or self-refresh modes.



7. I have worked closely with Dr. Wolfe, who provided a declaration in this IPR, regarding his declaration. I was also involved in identifying and retaining Dr. Wolfe as an expert in this IPR, and I signed his engagement letter.

- 8. I frequently publish on issues concerning patent law and technology related litigation.
- 9. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied. No sanction or contempt citation has been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.
- 10. I have read any will comply with the Office Patent Trial PracticeGuide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.
- 11. I agree to and will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
- 12. I have not applied to appear *pro hac vice* before the Office in any other proceeding in the last three years.
- 13. I declare that all statements made herein of my knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements



and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 22, 2017 /s/ Anthony G. Beasley

Anthony G. Beasley

O'Melveny & Myers LLP



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

