IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

FOURKITES, INC.,)	
Plaintiff,) CASE NO.	1:16:-cv-02703-CAB
v.) JUDGE: CH	IRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
MACROPOINT, LLC,	/	NT MACROPOINT LLC'S ΓΟ DISMISS OR, IN THE
Defendant.		TIVE, TO STAY
)	

Defendant MacroPoint, LLC ("MacroPoint"), respectfully moves this Court for an order dismissing all of the claims asserted in the Complaint pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and (6). Plaintiff FourKites, Inc. ("FourKites") fails to state any claim against MacroPoint on which relief can be granted as to its affirmative claims. Further, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over FourKites' declaratory judgment claims. Alternatively, MacroPoint moves for an order staying this action pending the outcome of earlier filed litigation involving MacroPoint's patents that is now proceeding in another jurisdiction.

A Memorandum of Law in support of this Motion is attached.



Case: 1:16-cv-02703-CAB Doc #: 13 Filed: 01/04/17 2 of 2. PageID #: 438

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Wayne M. Serra

Timothy J. Coughlin (0019483) Thomas F. Zych (0019942) Arthur P. Licygiewicz (0068458) Wayne M. Serra (0074780)

THOMPSON HINE LLP

3900 Key Center 127 Public Square Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone: (216) 566-5500 Facsimile: (216) 566-5800

Tim.Coughlin@ThompsonHine.com Tom.Zych@ThompsonHine.com Art.Licygiewicz@thompsonhine.com Wayne.Serra@thompsonhine.com

Attorneys for Defendant MacroPoint, LLC



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

)
Έ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

					Page	
INTRODUCTION						
FACTUAL BACKGROUND						
LAV	V AN	D AR	GUM	ENT	2	
I.	FOURKITES LACKS STANDING TO ASSERT DECLARATORY JUDGMENT CLAIMS AGAINST MACROPOINT'S PATENTS					
	A.	The	Decla	ratory Judgment Claims Are Both Facially and Factually Deficient	4	
		1.	The	Complaint Itself Fails to Show that FourKites Is Entitled to Relief	4	
			a.	Allegations Regarding Other Patents Fail to Show Standing For the Current Patents	5	
			b.	MacroPoint Is Entitled to Seek New Patents	5	
			c.	MacroPoint is Entitled to Publicize Its Patent Rights	6	
		2.	The	Facts Alleged Fail to Show that FourKites Has Standing to Sue	7	
	B.			Failed to Plead A Case of Actual Controversy Declaratory Judgment Act	8	
	C.	Four	rKites	Failed to Plead a Justiciable Case or Controversy Under Article III	9	



	D.	FourKites Failed to State Claims for False Advertising and False Affiliation	10
	E.	FourKites Failed to State a Claim for Deceptive Trade Practices	13
	F.	FourKites Failed to Plead a Justiciable Case or Controversy Under Article III	14
II.		THE ALTERNATIVE, THE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE STAYED, IDING THE OUTCOME OF THE TEXAS LITIGATION	17
	A.	The First-to-File Rule Requires a Stay of this Action	17
	B.	The Customer-Suit Exception Does Not Apply	18
CON	NCLU	JSION	19
		TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
<u>Fed</u>	eral C	<u>Cases</u>	
800	Adep	t, Inc. v. Murex Sec., Ltd., 539 F.3d 1354, 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
Alle	n v. W	Vright, 468 U.S. 737, 750 (1984)	3, 9
Arri	s Gro	up, Inc. v. British Telecommunications PLC, 639 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	9
Ass'	n of C	Cleveland Fire Fighters v. City of Cleveland, 502 F.3d 545, 548 (6th Cir. 2007)	4
		**eistributing Co., Inc., v. Dottore Cos., LLC, No. 1: 05-cv-2900, 2006 U.S. Dist. L	EXIS 10
Ваа	tz v. C	Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC, 814 F.3d 785, 789 (6th Cir. 2016)	17, 18
		at Trotwood, LLC v. Dayton Prof'l Baseball Club, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27460 o Sep. 2, 2003)	, at *17 16
Bell	Atlan	atic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)	4, 7, 11
Cnty	v. of C	Dakland v. Detroit, 866 F.2d 839, 845 (6th Cir. 1989)	10
Coy	ne v. 1	American Tobacco Company, 183 F. 3d 488, 494 (6th Cir. 1999)	7
Enze	o Bioc	chem, Inc. v. Calgene, Inc., 188 F.3d 1362, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	5
Extr	acorp	poreal Alliance, L.L.C. v. Rosteck, 285 F. Supp. 2d 1028, 1043 (N.D. Ohio 2003)	16



Case: 1:16-cv-02703-CAB Doc #: 13-1 Filed: 01/04/17 3 of 27. PageID #: 441

Feist Publ'ns Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co. Inc., 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991)	12
Fogerty v. MGM Group Holding Corp., Inc., 379 F.3d 348, 352 (6th Cir. 2004)	12
Globetrotter Software, Inc. v. Elan Computer Grp., Inc., 362 F.3d 1367, 1374-75 (Fed. Cir. 2	2004) 7
Hensley Mfg. v. ProPride, Inc., 579 F.3d 603, 609 (6th Cir. 200	11
Herman Miller, Inc. v. Palazzetti Imps. & Exps., Inc., 270 F.3d 298, 323 (6th Cir. 2001).	13
Innovative Automation, LLC, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114503 at *42-43	19
In re Foreclosure Cases, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84011, *2 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 31, 2007)	7
Intel Corp. v. Future Link Sys., LLC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17176 (D. Del. Feb. 12, 2015)	9
Johnson Ctls. v. Phoenix Ctl. Sys., 886 F.2d 1173 (9th Cir 1989)	12
Katz v. Lear Siegler, Inc., 909 F.2d 1459, 1464 (Fed. Cir. 1990).	19
Laird v. Tatum, 408 U.S. 1 (1972)	9
Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc., 134 S. Ct. 1377, 1387 (2014)	4
Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 560 (1992)	3
MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., 549 U.S. 118, 127 (2007	8
Merial Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd., 681 F.3d 1283, 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	18
Mezibov v. Allen, 411 F.3d 712, 716 (6th Cir. 2005)	4
Microsoft Corp. v. DataTern, Inc., 755 F.3d 899 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9
Plating Resources, Inc. v. UTI Corp., 47 F. Supp. 2d 899, 903 (N.D. Ohio 1999	18
Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc., 508 U.S. 49 (1	.993) 15
Steel Co. v. Citizens for Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83, 94-95 (1998)	4
Streck, Inc. v. Research & Diagnostic Sys., 665 F.3d 1269, 1284 (Fed.Cir. 2012)	8
Super Sulky, Inc. v. United States Trotting Ass'n, 174 F.3d 733, 742 (6th Cir. 1999)	18
United States v. Ritchie, 15 F.3d 592, 598 (6th Cir. 1994).	5



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

