

Conference Call

Ruiz Food Products, Inc., Petitioner vs. Macropoint, LLC, Patent  
Owner

September 21st, 2018



Western Reserve Building  
1468 West 9th Street, Suite 440  
Cleveland, OH 44113  
Phone: 216.861.9270

[cadystaff@cadyreporting.com](mailto:cadystaff@cadyreporting.com)  
[www.cadyreporting.com](http://www.cadyreporting.com)

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3  
4 RUIZ FOOD PRODUCTS, INC., )  
5 )  
6 Petitioner, ) Case IPR2017-020161  
7 ) (Patent 8,275,358 B1)  
8 vs. )  
9 ) Case IPR2017-02018  
MACROPOINT, LLC, ) (Patent 9,429,659 B1)  
10 )  
11 Patent Owner. )  
12 )

10 - - - - -  
11 Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON,  
12 and NATHAN A. ENGELS,  
13 - - - - -

14 The telephonic hearing, taken before me, the  
15 undersigned, Tracy Lam, Notary Public within and for  
16 the State of Ohio, taken via speakerphone at the  
17 offices of Cady Reporting Services, 1468 West 9th  
18 Street, Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at 11:00 a.m., the  
19 day and date above set forth.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Petitioner (via speakerphone):

James Murphy, Esq.  
Ryan Murphy, Esq.

On behalf of the Patent Owner (via speakerphone):

Kyle B. Fleming, Esq.  
Luis Carrion, Esq.

1 MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Your  
2 Honor. On behalf of Petitioner you have James  
3 Murphy and Ryan Murphy, both with Polsinelli.

4 MR. FLEMING: Good morning. On  
5 behalf of Patent Owner this is Kyle Fleming.

6 MR. CARRION: And Luis Carrion is  
7 also on the line.

8 MR. FLEMING: And I believe we  
9 also have a court reporter on the line.

10 PATENT JUDGE: Thank you.

11 Patent Owner, I believe you requested the  
12 call regarding -- or a request to file a motion  
13 to dismiss.

14 Let's begin with Patent Owner. Please  
15 explain your position.

16 MR. FLEMING: Sure. The Federal  
17 Circuit recently issued a en banc ruling in a  
18 case called "Click to Call vs. Ingenio" in  
19 which it reversed what had been the board's  
20 presidential and informative decision that a  
21 prior action is voluntarily dismissed did not  
22 count with respect to the Section 315(b) bar  
23 for filing of petition.

24 In this case prior to the petitions being  
25 filed by Ruiz, a real party in interest,

1 FourKites had filed a declaratory judgment  
2 action challenging the validity of these  
3 patents. Now, that case had been dismissed  
4 without prejudice prior to the filing of the  
5 petitions. So based on the presidential and  
6 informative decisions at that time, that case  
7 was not considered for purposes of the 315 bar.

8 However, it's Patent Owner's position  
9 that now you have Click to Call, the prior  
10 declaratory judgment filing is a bar to the  
11 action and the Petitioner lacks standing and  
12 the board lacks jurisdiction to continue.

13 PATENT JUDGE: Petitioner, we  
14 understand from your e-mail that you have posed  
15 the request to file a motion.

16 Can you explain your position, please?

17 MR. MURPHY: Yes, Your Honor.

18 The Click to Call decision is only about  
19 315(b), it's not about 315(a)(1), which would  
20 be the statute at issue here. In Click to  
21 Call, and numerous other cases, the Federal  
22 Circuit has specifically pointed out the  
23 difference between service of the complaint and  
24 the filing of an action, which is precisely the  
25 difference between 315(b) and 315(a)(1). So in

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.