

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

MACROPOINT, LLC, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
RUIZ FOOD PRODUCTS, INC., )  
)  
Defendant. )

Civil Action No: 6:16-cv-1133-RWS-KNM

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**EXPERT REPORT OF DR. STEPHEN B. HEPPE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.....	1
III. COMPENSATION AND PRIOR TESTIMONY .....	4
IV. MATERIALS CONSIDERED.....	4
V. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS .....	4
VI. LEGAL STANDARDS .....	6
A. Invalidity Due to Anticipation.....	6
B. Invalidity Due to Obviousness .....	7
C. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	8
D. Claim Construction .....	9
VII. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....	12
A. Location Determination and Tracking .....	12
B. Privacy Concerns .....	17
C. IVR Technology .....	20
VIII. THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT GENERALLY .....	21
IX. THE '358 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	22
X. THE '659 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	24
XI. PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....	26
A. Alessio.....	27
B. CTIA Guidelines.....	28
C. Enterprise.....	29
D. Kore Locate .....	29
E. PM Demo .....	30

F.	TechnoCom White Paper .....	30
G.	Thomas .....	31
H.	Zhao .....	32
XII.	THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '358 PATENT ARE ANTICIPATED AND/OR OBVIOUS .....	32
A.	The asserted claims of the '358 patent are anticipated by CTIA Guidelines, or would be rendered obvious over CTIA Guidelines. ....	32
	(i) Claim 1.....	33
	(ii) Claim 4.....	37
	(iii) Claim 19.....	38
	(iv) Claim 22.....	43
B.	The asserted claims of the '358 patent are anticipated by TechnoCom White Paper, or are obvious over TechnoCom White Paper.....	43
	(i) Claim 1.....	43
	(ii) Claim 4.....	46
	(iii) Claim 19.....	46
	(iv) Claim 22.....	46
C.	The asserted claims of the '358 patent are anticipated by Enterprise, or are obvious over Enterprise.....	46
	(i) Claim 1.....	47
	(ii) Claim 4.....	48
	(iii) Claim 19.....	49
	(iv) Claim 22.....	49
D.	The asserted claims of the '358 patent are obvious over PM Demo. ....	49
	(i) Claim 1.....	49
	(ii) Claim 4.....	53
	(iii) Claim 19.....	53

(iv)	Claim 2.....	55
E.	The asserted claims of the '358 patent are obvious over TechnoCom White Paper in view of CTIA Guidelines or Enterprise. ....	56
XIII.	THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '659 PATENT ARE ANTICIPATED AND/OR OBVIOUS .....	58
A.	The asserted independent claims of the '659 patent are anticipated by Thomas, or would be rendered obvious over Thomas, or obvious over Thomas in light of Zhao or Kore Locate.....	58
(i)	Claim 2.....	58
(ii)	Claim 12.....	71
(iii)	Claim 23.....	74
B.	The Asserted Dependent Claims of the '659 patent are Anticipated By or Obvious Over Thomas or Are Obvious Over Thomas In View of Alessio, CTIA Guidelines, KoreLocate, Zhao, or TechnoCom White Paper.....	78
(i)	Asserted Dependent Claims 3 and 24.....	78
(ii)	Asserted Dependent Claims 4, 13, and 25 .....	79
(iii)	Asserted Dependent Claims 5 and 17 .....	82
(iv)	Asserted Dependent Claims 6, 18, and 27 .....	83
(v)	Asserted Dependent Claims 7, 22, and 28.....	85
(vi)	Asserted Dependent Claims 8, 19, 20, and 21 .....	87
(vii)	Asserted Dependent Claims 9, 14, and 29 .....	89
(viii)	Asserted Dependent Claims 10, 15, and 30.....	91
(ix)	Asserted Dependent Claims 11 and 16.....	92
XIV.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS / OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS .....	93
XV.	CONCLUSION .....	95

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. My name is Stephen B. Heppe. I have been retained in this matter on behalf of Ruiz Food Products, Inc. (“Ruiz”) to provide my analysis and opinions regarding the validity of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,275,358 (the “’358 patent”) and 9,429,659 (the “’659 patent”) (the “patents-in-suit”). I understand that Plaintiff Macropoint, LLC (“MacroPoint” or “Plaintiff”) asserts that Ruiz infringes claims 1, 4, 19, and 22 of the ’358 patent, and claims 2-25 and 27-30 of the ’659 patent (the “asserted claims”).

2. I expect to testify at trial regarding the matters set forth in this report, if asked about those matters by the Court or the parties’ attorneys. I may also testify in response to opinions expressed by experts retained on behalf of Plaintiff.

3. I reserve the right to supplement my report in light of any additional fact discovery, opinions by Plaintiff’s experts, and/or trial testimony. I also reserve the right to provide rebuttal opinions and testimony in response to Plaintiff’s experts, and rebuttal testimony in response to any of Plaintiff’s witnesses. Further, I reserve the right to use animations, demonstratives, enlargements of actual exhibits, and other information to illustrate my opinions.

## **II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

4. My qualifications are set forth in my curriculum vitae, which is attached as **Exhibit A**.

5. I have approximately 40 years of experience in electrical engineering with particular focus on computer-to-computer communication and networking, radio communications (terrestrial and satellite), position reporting and surveillance, and the Global Positioning System (“GPS”).

6. I hold a Bachelor’s of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from Princeton University (1977) and Master’s and Doctor of Science degrees (1982 and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.