

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RUIZ FOOD PRODUCTS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

MACROPOINT LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-02016  
U.S. Patent No. 8,275,358 B1

---

**DECLARATION OF DAVID HILLIARD WILLIAMS**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK .....	1
II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	2
III. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	3
IV. LEGAL STANDARDS .....	6
A. My Understanding Of Claim Construction .....	6
B. My Understanding of Obviousness.....	6
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	10
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE '659 PATENT .....	10
VII. SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART IDENTIFIED BY PETITIONER .....	15
A. Poulin (Ex. 1005) .....	15
B. Karp (Ex. 1006).....	19
VIII. DETAILED OPINIONS AND ANALYSIS .....	23
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 3, 8, 12, 13, 19, 21 And 26 Are Not Rendered Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 By Poulin.....	23
1. Claims 1 and 19: Poulin does not disclose the “notice” element of claims 1 and 19 and it would not have been obvious to a POSITA to modify Poulin.....	23
2. Claims 3 and 21: Poulin does not disclose the periodic message to the user notifying her that location information is “currently being disclosed.” .....	25
3. Claims 8 and 26: Poulin does not disclose providing the location information of freight carried by a vehicle carrying the mobile device as required by claims 8 and	

26 and it would not have been obvious to a POSITA to modify Poulin.....	27
4. Claim 12: Poulin does not disclose the temporary revocation of consent steps of claim 12.....	28
5. Claim 13: Poulin does not disclose the “communicating to the user ... that consent to obtain the location information is revocable” element of claim 13 and it would not have been obvious to a POSITA to modify Poulin. ....	30
B. Ground 2: Claims 2, 14 And 20 Are Not Rendered Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 By Poulin And Karp.....	32
IX. MOTION TO AMEND .....	32
A. Independent Substitute Claim 31 .....	33
B. Independent Substitute Claim 49 .....	34
C. Dependent Substitute Claims .....	36
CONCLUSION.....	41

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b><u>Exhibit Number</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
2001	Expert Declaration of David Hilliard Williams
2002	David Hilliard Williams CV
2003	Ruiz Food Products, Inc.'s Initial Invalidation Contentions, Civil Action 6:16-cv-1133
2004	Expert Report of Dr. Stephen B. Heppe dated January 25, 2017, Civil Action 6:16-cv-1133
2005	Ruiz Food Products, Inc.'s Final Election of Asserted Prior Art, Civil Action 6:16-cv-1133

I, David Hilliard Williams, declare as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK**

1. I have been retained on behalf of the Patent Owner for the above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard hourly consulting rate. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 8,275,358 (“the ’358 patent”) titled “Providing Notice And Receiving Consent To Obtain Location Information Of A Mobile Device” by Bennett H. Adelson, and that the ’358 patent is currently assigned to Macropoint LLP.

2. I have reviewed and am familiar with the specification of the ’358 patent filed on March 1, 2012. I understand that the ’358 patent has been provided as Exhibit 1001. I will cite to the specification using the following format: (1:1-10). This example citation points to the ’659 patent specification at column 1, lines 1-10.

3. I have reviewed and am familiar with the file history of the ’358 patent. I understand that the file history has been provided as Exhibit 1004.

4. In preparing this Declaration, I have also reviewed and considered the materials of record in IPR2017–02016 as of the time of signing this Declaration, including but not limited to the Petition (Paper 1) and Exhibits thereto, including the Declaration of Mr. Denning (Ex. 1002); U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0115453 to Poulin et al. (Ex. 1005; “Poulin”); U.S. Patent No. 6,591,242 to Karp et al. (Ex.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.