## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

and

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,

Petitioner<sup>1</sup>

v.

HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. and NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS (IRELAND) DESIGNATED ACTIVITY COMPANY Patent Owners.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2017-01995 Patent 9,220,698

\_\_\_\_\_

PATENT OWNERS' BRIEFING REGARDING CLICK-TO-CALL TECHS., L.P. v. INGENIO, INC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc., from IPR2018-00894, has been joined as a Petitioner to this proceeding.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE STATUTORY BARS OF § 315 ARE TRIGGERED BY EARLIER CLAIMS, EVEN IF THOSE CLAIMS ARE DISMISSED.	2
III.	MYLAN'S PETITION IS BARRED UNDER § 315(b) AND/OR § 315(a)	3
IV.	CONCLUSION	5



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(s)		
Cases		
Amkor Tech., Inc. v. Tessera, Inc., IPR2013-00242, Paper 98 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 31, 2014)		
Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc. v. Atlanta Gas Light Co., 905 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2018)		
Bonneville Associates, Ltd. Partnership v. Barram, 165 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 1999)		
Click-to-Call Techs., L.P. v. Ingenio, Inc., 899 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2018)		
<i>Graves v. Principi</i> , 294 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2002)		
Oracle Corp. v. Click-to-Call Technologies, LP, IPR2013-00312, Paper 52 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 28, 2014)		
St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. Volcano Corp., IPR2013-00258, Paper 29 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2013)		
Voltstar Techs., Inc. v. Superior Commc'ns, Inc., No. 2018-2093, 2018 U.S. App. LEXIS 31334 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 6, 2018)		
Statutes		
35 U.S.C. § 315(a)		
Other Authorities		
157 Cong. Rec. S1375 (Mar. 8, 2011)5		
Fed. R. Civ. P. 13(b)5		



### I. INTRODUCTION

Before Patent Owners ever asserted the '698 patent against Mylan in district court, Mylan filed for declaratory judgment of invalidity and non-infringement. Patent Owners answered, identified asserted claims, and served infringement contentions—all more than one year before Mylan filed its Petition. As Patent Owners raised in their Preliminary Response (Paper 10) and Request for Rehearing (Paper 24), Mylan's declaratory judgment counterclaims triggered the statutory bars of 35 U.S.C. § 315(a)(1) and/or § 315(b).

The Board never reached the issue of whether Mylan's petition is barred under § 315(a)(1) and/or § 315(b). Instead, because Mylan's declaratory judgment counterclaims in Case II were subsequently consolidated with a later-filed case (Case III), the Board treated the voluntary dismissal of Mylan's claims in Case II as if they had never been brought. *See*, *e.g.*, Paper 34 at 4.

The Federal Circuit, however, rejected the premise that the voluntary dismissal of a civil action nullifies the trigger of § 315(b)'s time bar. *See Click-to-Call Techs.*, *L.P. v. Ingenio*, *Inc.*, 899 F.3d 1321, 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2018). The Court vacated the Board's decision in *Oracle Corp. v. Click-to-Call Technologies*, *LP*, IPR2013-00312, Paper 52 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 28, 2014)—on which this Panel previously relied.



In light of *Click-to-Call*, Mylan's declaratory judgment counterclaims, and Patent Owner's answer and infringement contentions filed in Case II cannot be ignored. Mylan's counterclaim seeking declaratory judgment of non-infringement and subsequent infringement litigation triggered the time bar of § 315(b). Mylan filed its Petition long after the one year cut-off date. In addition, Mylan's counterclaim seeking declaratory judgment of invalidity triggered the § 315(a)(1) bar. Mylan's Petition was thus not instituted properly and must be terminated.

## II. THE STATUTORY BARS OF § 315 ARE TRIGGERED BY EARLIER CLAIMS, EVEN IF THOSE CLAIMS ARE DISMISSED

In *Click-to-Call*, the Federal Circuit explicitly overruled the Board's conclusion that a dismissal without prejudice leaves the parties as if the underlying complaint had never been served. The Court held that the one-year time bar of § 315(b) "applies to bar institution when an IPR petitioner was served with a complaint for patent infringement more than one year before filing its petition, but the district court action in which the petitioner was so served was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice." *Click-to-Call*, 899 F.3d at 1328, n.3.

The Court noted that the plain and unambiguous language of the statute contains no exceptions or exemptions for claims that are subsequently dismissed. *Id.* at 1330. The Court examined the legislative history of § 315(b) and found that it similarly failed to support the Board's interpretation that such dismissed claims could not trigger the § 315(b) time bar. Further, the Court expressly rejected the



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

