

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2017-01993
Patent No. 9,414,199

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION: THE PLAIN MEANING OF “WITHIN THE PREDETERMINED MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF TIME” DOES NOT EXCLUDE A FUTURE TIME PERIOD	3
A. Patent Owner’s Construction Improperly Imports A Limitation From One Embodiment And Excludes Another Embodiment	5
B. Patent Owner’s Construction Contradicts The Prosecution History	8
C. Patent Owner Relies On Unsupported Attorney Arguments Untethered To The Record Evidence	11
III. GROUNDS 1 AND 2: BLEGEN, MONTEVERDE, AND SCHMIDT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-5 UNDER THE CORRECT CLAIM INTERPRETATION	13
IV. GROUND 3: CHARLEBOIS AND GILLIES RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-5 UNDER EITHER CLAIM INTERPRETATION.....	14
A. “Within The Predetermined Maximum Amount of Time”	14
1. Gillies Discloses A Future Time Window	14
2. Gillies Also Discloses A Time Window Starting At The Time Of Prediction.....	15
3. Patent Owner Fails To Distinguish Gillies	16
B. Patent Owner Fails To Distinguish The Charlebois-Gillies Combination On Other Grounds	19
1. The “Predicting ... With At Least The Predetermined Likelihood” Limitation	19
2. The “Location” Limitation.....	21
V. GROUND 4: CHARLEBOIS, GILLIES, AND FROLOFF RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-5	22
VI. CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****Cases**

<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 483 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5
<i>Elcommerce.com, Inc. v. SAP AG</i> , 745 F.3d 490, vacated on other grounds by 564 Fed. App'x 599 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6-7, 12
<i>Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp.</i> , 112 F.3d 495 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	11, 17
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	12
<i>In re Gleave</i> , 560 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	21
<i>In re Natures Remedies, Ltd.</i> , 315 Fed. App'x. 300 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	12
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	19
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	10
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	3
<i>Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp.</i> , 514 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	8
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10
<i>Phillip v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	4, 5, 8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page(s)

Spectra-Physics, Inc. v. Coherent, Inc.,
827 F.2d 1524 (Fed. Cir. 1987)18

Rules

37 C.F.R. 42.100(b)13

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Description	Previously Submitted
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,414,199 (“the ’199 Patent”)	X
1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,414,199	X
1003	Declaration of Dr. Gabriel Robins	X
1004	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0082397 (“Blegen”)	X
1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0259705 (“Monteverde”)	X
1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0226554 (“Schmidt”)	X
1007	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2009/0125321 (“Charlebois”)	X
1008	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0151882 (“Gillies”)	X
1009	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0089465 (“Froloff”)	X
1010	Chawla, Robins, and Zhang, “Object Localization Using RFID,” IEEE International Symposium on Wireless Pervasive Computing - ISWPC 2010, Italy, May 2010, pp. 301-306	X
1011	Chawla, Robins, and Zhang, “Efficient RFID-Based Mobile Object Localization,” IEEE International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications, Canada, October, 2010, pp. 683-690	X
1012	Chawla and Robins, “An RFID-based object localization framework,” Int. J. Radio Frequency Identification Technology and Applications, Vol. 3, 2011	X

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.