

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner

Case No. IPR2017-01963

Patent No. 7,752,564

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBITS	vi
I. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Parties in Interest.....	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Counsel	1
D. Service Information.....	2
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	2
III. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	2
IV. BACKGROUND	3
A. The '564 patent.....	3
B. Prosecution History	6
V. LEGAL STANDARDS	7
VI. PRIOR ART.....	8
1. Bernhardson	9
2. Robarts	11
3. Schein.....	13
4. Youman	14
5. Tornqvist	15
VII. HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	18
A. Ground A.....	18
1. Claim 1	18
2. Claim 3	30
3. Claim 4: The method of claim 3, wherein the filtering criteria comprises one or more of a search term, a search attribute, and a logical expression.....	31

4.	Claim 5: The method of claim 3, wherein each card comprises at least one tag, and wherein comparing comprises comparing the at least one tag of each card to the filtering criteria of the selected filter.	32
5.	Claim 6: The method of claim 5, wherein at least one tag indicates a card characteristic from one or more of a genre, a type, a function, and a priority.	33
6.	Claim 7: The method of claim 1, wherein the second axis comprises a vertical axis and the first axis comprises a horizontal axis.	34
7.	Claim 8: The method of claim 1, wherein at least one filter is user defined.	34
8.	Claim 9: The method of claim 1, wherein at least one filter is implicitly defined based on one or more of historical viewing habits and demographics.	35
9.	Claim 11: The method of claim 1, wherein each of the filter cards represents a filter that differs in type from that of each of the other filter cards.	35
10.	Claim 12.	36
11.	Claim 13: The system of claim 12, wherein the selected filter comprises filtering criteria, and wherein the selected filter is further adapted to compare each card in the first set to the filtering criteria and copy each card from the first set that matches the filtering criteria to the second set.	38
12.	Claim 14: The system of claim 13, wherein the filtering criteria comprises one or more of a search term, a search attribute, and a logical expression.	38
13.	Claim 15: The system of claim 13, wherein each card comprises at least one tag, and wherein the selected filter is further adapted to compare the at least one tag of each card to the filtering criteria.	39
14.	Claim 16: The system of claim 15, wherein at least one tag indicates a card characteristic from one or more of a genre, a type, a function, and a priority.	39

15.	Claim 17: The system of claim 12, wherein the second axis comprises a horizontal axis and the first axis comprises a vertical axis.	39
16.	Claim 18: The system of claim 12, wherein at least one filter is user defined.	40
17.	Claim 19: The system of claim 12, wherein at least one filter is implicitly defined based on one or more of historical viewing habits and demographics.....	40
18.	Claim 21: The system of claim 12, wherein each of the filter cards corresponds to a respective one of the plurality of option types that differs from each of the other option types.....	40
19.	Obviousness in View of Bernhardson and Robarts	40
B.	Ground B	42
1.	Claim 2	42
2.	Obviousness in View of Bernhardson, Robarts, and Schein.....	44
C.	Ground C	45
1.	Claim 10: The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one filter is downloaded from a remote server via a broadband network.....	45
2.	Claim 20: The system of claim 12, further comprising: a network interface for downloading at least one filter via a broadband network.....	45
3.	Obviousness in View of Bernhardson, Robarts, and Youman	46
D.	Ground D	47
1.	Claim 1	47
2.	Claim 3	59
3.	Claim 4: The method of claim 3, wherein the filtering criteria comprises one or more of a search term, a search attribute, and a logical expression.....	60

4.	Claim 5: The method of claim 3, wherein each card comprises at least one tag, and wherein comparing comprises comparing the at least one tag of each card to the filtering criteria of the selected filter.	60
5.	Claim 6: The method of claim 5, wherein at least one tag indicates a card characteristic from one or more of a genre, a type, a function, and a priority.	60
6.	Claim 7: The method of claim 1, wherein the second axis comprises a vertical axis and the first axis comprises a horizontal axis.	60
7.	Claim 8: The method of claim 1, wherein at least one filter is user defined.	61
8.	Claim 9: The method of claim 1, wherein at least one filter is implicitly defined based on one or more of historical viewing habits and demographics.	61
9.	Claim 11: The method of claim 1, wherein each of the filter cards represents a filter that differs in type from that of each of the other filter cards.	61
10.	Claim 12.	61
11.	Claim 13: The system of claim 12, wherein the selected filter comprises filtering criteria, and wherein the selected filter is further adapted to compare each card in the first set to the filtering criteria and copy each card from the first set that matches the filtering criteria to the second set.	64
12.	Claim 14: The system of claim 13, wherein the filtering criteria comprises one or more of a search term, a search attribute, and a logical expression.	64
13.	Claim 15: The system of claim 13, wherein each card comprises at least one tag, and wherein the selected filter is further adapted to compare the at least one tag of each card to the filtering criteria.	64
14.	Claim 16: The system of claim 15, wherein at least one tag indicates a card characteristic from one or more of a genre, a type, a function, and a priority.	64

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.