UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Unified Patents Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Catonian IP Management LLC

Patent Owner

IPR2017-____

U.S. Patent No. 8,799,468

DECLARATION OF NORMAN HUTCHINSON, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	oduction	1	
II.	Qualifications1			
III.	Basis of Opinions			
IV.	Understanding of Legal Standards6			
V.	Desc	Description of the Relevant Field and Timeframe9		
VI. Time		Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art ("POSA") in the Relevant e		
VII.	Ove	rview of the '468 Patent	11	
	А.	The '468 Patent's Specification	11	
	<i>B</i> .	The '468 Patent's Prosecution History	15	
VIII	. Tech	nology Background for the '468 Patent	17	
	А.	Discussion of the State of the Art as of 2003	17	
	В.	 Brief Overview of Relevant Prior Art 1. The Freund Patent 2. The Spusta Patent Application 	33	
IX.	Clai	m Interpretation	36	
	А.	"service provider network"	37	
	В.	"controller instructions"	39	
	С.	"gateway unit"	44	
X. the '		entability Analysis for Claims 1-5, 9, 11-13, 19, 23-27, and 32-3 atent	84 of 46	
	A. Spus	Freund Renders Claims 1-5, 9, 12, 19, 23-27, and 33 Obvious, ta Renders Claims 1-3, 11, 13, 23-25, 32, and 34 Obvious		
	В.	Element-by-element analysis of the challenged claims	51	
XI.	Seco	ondary Considerations	141	

XII.	Availability for Cross Examination	142
XIII.	Right to Supplement	142
XIV.	Conclusion	143

I, Dr. Norman Hutchinson, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Unified Patents Inc. ("Unified" or "Petitioner") as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Although I am being compensated at my rate of \$275 per hour for the time I spend on this matter, no part of my compensation depends on the outcome of this proceeding, and I have no other interest in this proceeding.

I have been asked to consider whether claims 1-5, 9, 11-13, 19, 23-27, and 32-34 of U.S. Patent Number 8,799,468 are patentable over various prior art.
 As discussed below, I conclude that they are not.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

 I am currently a Professor of Computer Science at the University of British Columbia in the Faculty of Science, where I have worked since 1991.
 Previously I was a Professor of Computer Science at the University of Arizona.

4. For more than 30 years, I have studied, designed, and worked in the field of computer science. My experience includes more than 25 years of teaching and research, with research interests including distributed systems, programming languages and compilers for distributed systems, file systems, network protocols, and operating systems.

5. I received a Bachelor of Science (Honors) degree in Computer Science from the University of Calgary in 1982, a Master of Science degree in Computer Science from the University of Washington in 1985, and a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Computer Science from the University of Washington in 1987.

6. Over the last three decades, I have architected, developed, and evaluated a large number of operating systems and distributed systems: Eden and Emerald at the University of Washington; the x-kernel at the University of Arizona; Elephant, Kea, Tui, Mammoth, Remus, Parallax, Capo, DOHA and others at the University of British Columbia. These systems have included objectoriented systems and languages for distributed systems (Eden and Emerald), operating systems (x-kernel and Kea), file systems (Elephant, Mammoth, Parallax, Capo), process migration systems (Emerald and Tui), systems for high availability (Remus) and browser based middleware for interactive applications (DOHA).

7. In 2001, I co-founded Silicon Chalk, Inc., which developed distributed software to enhance the utility of laptops in the classroom. This software included the ability to share information from the instructor to the students, from the students back to the instructor, and directly between students, including replication of both control information and instructional content. I

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.