

Case IPR2016-01779  
U.S. Patent No. 8,478,799

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SPRINGPATH, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SIMPLICITY CORPORATION  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-01779  
Patent 8,478,799

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Background of the '799 Patent .....	3
A. The '799 Patent Discloses an Improved Computer File System .....	3
1. Object Store.....	3
2. Fingerprints .....	5
3. New Object Structures in the '799 Patent.....	6
B. The Challenged Claims of the '799 Patent .....	7
III. Overview of Li.....	9
IV. Claim Construction.....	11
A. The Governing Claim Construction Standard.....	12
B. The Term “Object” Should Be Given Its Plain and Ordinary Meaning.....	13
1. Petitioner Acknowledges that Any Terms Not Offered for Construction by the Petition Should be Given Their Plain and Ordinary Meaning .....	14
2. The Plain and Ordinary Meaning of “Object” Is Not Disclosed by “Block” .....	14
C. Petitioner’s Proposed Constructions of “Fingerprint” and “Namespace File System” Are Immaterial .....	20
1. Construing “Fingerprint” Is Not Necessary.....	20
2. Construing “Namespace File System” Is Not Necessary .....	22
V. Argument .....	24
A. Li Does Not Disclose “Objects” as Required by All Challenged Claims.....	24
B. Li Does Not Disclose Fingerprints for Directory Objects as Required by All Challenged Claims .....	29
C. Li Does Not Disclose Certain Mappings Required by All Challenged Claims .....	32

1.	Li Does Not Disclose that the “user i-table” Contains the Claimed Inode Map Object Mapping as Required by All Challenged Claims .....	32
2.	Li Does Not Disclose that the Directory Blocks Contains the Claimed Directory Object Mapping as Required by All Challenged Claims .....	34
VI.	Conclusion .....	35

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Advanced Display Sys. v. Kent State Univ.</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	29
<i>Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00351, Paper 9 (PTAB June 24, 2015) .....	21, 23
<i>Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	13
<i>Becton, Dickinson and Co. v. One StockDuq Holdings, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00235, Paper 30 (PTAB Sept. 25, 2014).....	13
<i>Cheese Sys. v. Tetra Pak Cheese &amp; Powder Sys.</i> , 725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	29, 32
<i>Ericcson, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , IPR2014-00921, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 16, 2014) .....	13
<i>Hill-Rom Services, Inc. v. Stryker Corporation</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	12, 13
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	14
<i>Intellectual Ventures Mgmt, LLC, v. Xilinx, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00019, Paper 33 (PTAB February 10, 2014) .....	12, 13
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	12
<i>Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00127, Paper 32 (PTAB June 30, 2014) .....	13
<i>Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. &amp; Eng'g, Inc.</i> , 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	13
<i>Wellman, Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co.</i> , 642 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	13, 20

*Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Systems Inc.*,  
IPR2013-00054, No. 12 (PTAB Apr. 8, 2013).....12, 13

## **Other Authorities**

37 C.F.R. § 42.107 .....	1
37 C.F.R. §100(b); Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012).....	12

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.