

US007103595B2

US 7,103,595 B2

Sep. 5, 2006

(12) United States Patent

Anastasiadis et al.

(10) Patent No.:

(45) **Date of Patent:**

(54) STREAMING SERVER

- (76) Inventors: Stergios V. Anastasiadis, 3611 University Dr. Apt. 3Y, Durham, NC (US) 27707; Kenneth C. Sevcik, 99 Harbour Square, Suite 4001, Toronto, Ontario (CA), M5J 2H2; Michael Stumm, 3 Belvale, Toronto (CA), H5X 2A6
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1049 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 10/054,699
- (22) Filed: Jan. 22, 2002

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2003/0074486 A1 Apr. 17, 2003

(30) Foreign Application Priority Data

Jan. 19, 2001	(CA)	 2331474
Feb. 9, 2001	(CA)	 2335521
Feb. 9, 2001	(CA)	 2335540

- (51) Int. Cl. *G06F 17/30* (2006.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,974,503	Α	*	10/1999	Venkatesh et al	711/114
6,230,200	B1	*	5/2001	Forecast et al	709/226
6,625,750	B1	*	9/2003	Duso et al	. 714/11

Ken Rudin, Scalable Systems Architecture: Scalable I/O, Part I: Disk Striping, published in DM Review in May 1998, pp. 1–3.*

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Shenoy and Vin, Failure Recovery Algorithms for Multimedia Servers, University of Texas at Austin, pp. 1–34 (undated).

Haskin and Schmuck, The Tiger Shark File System, IBM Almaden Research Center, IEEE, 1996, pp. 226–231.

Bolosky, et al., Distributed Schedule Management in the Tiger Video Fileserver, Microsoft Research, SOSP 97 (undated).

Anastasiadis, et al., Modular and Efficient Resource Management in the Exedra Media Server, University of Toronto, USfNIX Symp. On Internet Tech., San Francisco, CA Mar. 2001.

Shenoy and Vin, Efficient Striping Techniques for Multimedia File Servers, University of Texas at Austin, NOSSDAV 97, pp. 25–36 (undated).

Reddy and Wijayaratne, Techniques for improving the throughput of VBR streams, Texas A & M University, NCN 99 (undated).

Gafsi and Biersack, Data Striping and Reliability Aspects in Distributed Video Servers, Institut EURECOM, In Cluster Computing, Balzer Pub. (1998), pp. 1–27.

Özden, et al., Disk Striping in Video Server Environments, AT&T Bell Laboratories, IEEE, 1996, pp. 580–589.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Apu Mofiz

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A media server having at least one of a stride-based storage device space allocation scheme, stride-based method of striping data across multiple storage devices for continuous media streaming, server-based smoothing of variable bitrate streams, distributed architecture, and fault tolerance.

18 Claims, 20 Drawing Sheets



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Carbrera and Long, Swift: Using Distributed Disk Striping to Provide High I/O Data Rates, Computing Systems 4(4) (Fall 1991), pp. 405–436.

Anastasiadis, et al., Server–Based Smoothing of Variable Bit–Rate Streams, ACM Int'l Symp. On Multimedia, Oct. 2001 (Ottawa, ON Canada).

Anastasiadis, et al., Maximizing Throughput in Replicated Disk Striping of Variable Bit–Rate Streams, USfNIX Annual Tech. Conf., Monterey, CA (Jun. 2002).

Anastasiadis, et al., Disk Striping Scalability in the Exedra Media Server, University of Toronto, SPIE/ACM Multimedia Computing and Networking Conf., San Jose, CA (Jan. 2001).

McManus and Ross, A Dynamic Programming Methodology for Managing Prerecorded VBR Sources in Packet–Switched Networks, University of Pennsylvania, Jan. 1997, pp. 1–28.

Zhao and Tripathi, Banwidth–Efficient Continuous Media Streaming Through Optimal Multiplexing (undated).

Sen, et al., Proxy Prefix Caching for Multimedia Streams, IEEE, 1999, pp. 1310–1319.

Sahu, et al., On the Efficient Retrieval of VBR Video in a Multimedia Server, IEEE, 1997, pp. 46–53.

Biersack and Hamdi, Cost–optimal Data Retrieval for Video Servers with Variable Bit Rate Video Streams, NOSSDAV 98 (Cambridge, UK) (undated).

Salehi, et al., Supporting Stored Video: Reducing Rate Variability and End-to-End Resource Requirements through Optimal Smoothing, University of Massachusetts, SIGMETRICS 96, 1996, pp. 222–231.

Shenoy, et al., Symphony: An Integrated Multimedia File System, University of Texas, pp. 1–17, Tech. Report TR 97–09 (Mar. 1997).

Sen, et al., Online Smoothing of Variable–Bit–Rate Streaming Video, IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, v. 2, No. 1 (Mar. 2000).

Makaroff, et al., An Evaluation of VBR Disk Admission Algorithms for Continuous Media File Servers, ACM Multimedia (1997) pp. 145–154.

DOCKE⁻

RM

Santos and Muntz, Performance Analysis of the RIO Multimedia Storage System with Heterogeneous Disk Configurations, ACM Multimedia, 1998, pp. 1–6 and 227–238 (1998).

Gringeri, et al., Traffic Shaping, Bandwidth Allocation, and Quality Assessment for MPEG Video Distribution over Broadband Networks, IEEE Network, (Dec. 1998).

Lakshman, et al., VBR Video: Tradeoffs and Potentials, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 86, No. 5, May 1998, pp. 952–973.

Martin, et al., The Fellini Multimedia Storage System, Information Sciences Research Center, Journal of Digital Libraries, pp. 1–22 (1997).

Flynn and Tetzlaff, Disk Striping and Block Replication Algorithms for Video File Servers, Proceedings of Multimedia, IEEE, 1996, pp. 590–597.

Tewari, et al., High Availability in Clustered Multimedia Servers, Int'l Conf. on Data Engineering (Feb. 1996) pp. 336–342.

Ozden, et al., Fault-tolerant Architectures for Continuous Media Servers, ACM SIGMOD (Jun. 1996).

Tobagi, et al., Streaming RAID—A Disk Array Management System For Video Files, ACM Multimedia, 1993, pp. 393–400.

Mourad, Doubly–Striped Disk Mirroring: Reliable Storage for Video Servers, Multimedia Tools and Applications 2, 1996, pp. 273–297.

Berson, et al., Fault Tolerant Design of Multimedia Servers, ACM, 1995, pp. 364–375.

Gray and Shenoy, Rules of Thumb in Data Engineering, IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering, 2000. Bolosky, et al., The Tiger Video Fileserver, NOSSDAV, (Apr. 1996).

Patterson, et al., A Case for Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks (RAID), University of California (undated).

McVoy and Kleiman, Extent–like Performance from a UNIX File System, USENIX, Dallas, TX (Winter 1991), pp. 1–12.

* cited by examiner



Figure 1

Α



Figure 2

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.



Figure 3

Α

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

