[Chem. Pharm. Bull.] [23(5)1085-1090(1975)]

UDC 547.92.03

Solubilization of Steroids by Multiple co-Solvent Systems

YIE W. CHIEN¹⁾ and HOWARD J. LAMBERT

Biopharmaceutics Section Product Development Department Searle Laboratories¹)

(Received September 27, 1974)

The aqueous solubility of steroids was exponentially increased following the addition of a co-solvent. A theoretical equation was derived to describe the relationship between the amount of drug solubilized and the volume fraction of co-solvent incorporated. Both single and multiple co-solvent systems followed the derived relationship.

Introduction

In the practice of pharmaceutical formulation, the challenge of improving the solubility of many poorly soluble drugs, *e.g.*, steroids, in solution dosage forms is common. To solve such problems, scientists often incorporate one or more co-solvents with distilled water to overcome the poor aqueous solubility.

Ethanol, propylene glycol, and several members of the polyethylene glycol polymer series, e.g., polyethylene glycol 400, represent the limited number of co-solvents that are both useful and generally acceptable in the formulation of aqueous liquids²⁾. In addition, Spiegal and Noseworthy³⁾ in their review of nonaqueous solvents used in parenteral products, also suggested a number of co-solvents, such as 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol (Solketal), dimethylacetamide, glycerol formal, glycofurol, N-(β -hydroxyethyl)-lactamide, and ethyl lactate.

There have been several reports dealing with the systematic investigation of drug solubility and solvent composition.^{2,4-7)} Observations to date indicate that the solubility of many drugs and druglike substances in binary aqueous systems is enhanced exponentially by the addition of a co-solvent.⁴⁾

Past experiences in our laboratories with solution dosage formulation indicated that the semilogarithmic relationship of drug solubility to co-solvent composition was followed not only in binary aqueous systems containing a single co-solvent, but also in the systems containing 2 or more different co-solvents. In this paper, the authors will report their observations on the dependency of steroid solubility on the concentration of single, binary, and/or ternary co-solvents, and will present a theoretical analysis on the semilogarithmic relationship of drug solubility to co-solvent concentration.

Experimental

Materials—SC-9376, SC-4640, SC-11800, and SC-25152 (Fig. 1) (Searle Laboratories, Skokie, Illinois), propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol 400, 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol, and N,N-dimethylacet-amide (Matheson Coleman Bell Co., Norwood, Ohio) and 95% ethanol for medical use were utilized as obtained.


- 4) S.H. Yalkowsky, G.L. Flynn, and G.L. Amidon, J. Pharm. Sci., 61, 983 (1972).
- 5) A.N. Parnta and S.A. Irani, J. Pharm. Sci., 54, 1334 (1965).
- 6) W.G. Gorman and G.D. Hall, J. Pharm. Sci., 53, 1017 (1964).
- 7) K.S. Lin, J. Anschel, and C.J. Swartz, Bull. Parenteral Drug Assoc., 25, 40 (1971).

¹⁾ Location: Skokie, Illinois 60076, U.S.A.

L. Lachman, H.A. Lieberman, and J.L. Kanig, "The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy," Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, 1970, Chapter 15.

³⁾ A.J. Spiegel and M.M. Noseworthy, J. Pharm. Sci., 52, 917 (1963).

Parenteral quality distilled water was prepared and used for the preparation of 0-80% co-solvent-water combinations. Absolute methanol (spectroquality, J.T. Baker Chemical, Cleveland, Ohio) was employed for the dilution of filtered drug solutions to an appropriate concentration for spectrophotometric measurement.



Determination of Drug Solubility—The methodology reported earlier⁸) was adopted here with minor modifications to avoid the possible hydrolysis of SC-9376 and SC-25152 after 48-hour equilibration at 37°. Excess drug solid was equilibrated with 10 ml of a co-solvent-water combination at 37° for 2 hours with constant shaking. After cooling to room temperature, the over-saturated drug solution was quickly filtered through a filter holder (millipore) containing a glass fiber membrane (Reeve Angel). The filtered drug solution was diluted 10 to 4000 times with methanol to an appropriate drug concentration and then read spectrophotometrically. The magnitude of the absorbance at the λ max was used to calculate the amount of a drug solubilized in a given solvent system. The filtered solution of ethynodiol diacetate (SC-11800) was subjected to acidic hydrolysis⁸) before dilution.

Results and Discussions

In nonideal solutions,⁹⁾ the solubility of a drug (C_w) in pure water system is defined by

$$\log C_{\rm w} = -\frac{\Delta S_{\rm W}}{2.303 RT} (T_{\rm m} - T) + \log \gamma_{\rm w} \tag{1}$$

Also, the solubilities of this drug $(C_A, C_B, \text{ or } C_X)$ in pure systems of co-solvent A, B, or X, may be defined in the same way:

 $\log C_{\rm A} = -\frac{\Delta S_{\rm A}}{2.303RT} (T_{\rm m} - T) + \log \gamma_{\rm A}$ $\log C_{\rm B} = -\frac{\Delta S_{\rm B}}{2.303RT} (T_{\rm m} - T) + \log \gamma_{\rm B}$ $\log C_{\rm X} = -\frac{\Delta S_{\rm X}}{2.303RT} (T_{\rm m} - T) + \log \gamma_{\rm X}$ (2) (3) (4)

where ΔS_w , ΔS_A , ΔS_B , and ΔS_x are the entropies of the drug species in the pure solvent systems and γ_w , γ_A , γ_B , and γ_x are the corresponding activity coefficients; T_m is the drug melting point; and T is the temperature of the system investigated.

In a nonideal multiple solvent system containing f_A fraction of co-solvent A, f_B fraction of co-solvent B, f_x fraction of co-solvent X, and $[1-(f_A+f_B+f_x)]$ fraction of water, the apparent solubility (C_A , B_x) of the same drug species may be expressed as

$$\log C_{A,B,X} = f_{w} \log C_{w} + f_{A} \log C_{A} + f_{B} \log C_{B} + f_{X} \log C_{X}$$

$$= \log C_{w} + f_{A} (\log C_{A} - \log C_{w}) + f_{B} (\log C_{B} - \log C_{w})$$

$$+ f_{X} (\log C_{X} - \log C_{w})$$
(5b)

8) Y.W. Chien, H.J. Lambert, and D.E. Grant, J. Pharm. Sci., 63, 365 (1974).

9) A.N. Martin, "Physical Pharmacy," Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, 1960, Chapter 14.

since

$$\log C_{\rm A} - \log C_{\rm w} = \frac{(T_{\rm m} - T)}{2.303 RT} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm A}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\rm A}}{\gamma_{\rm w}}$$
(6)
$$\log C_{\rm B} - \log C_{\rm w} = \frac{(T_{\rm m} - T)}{2.203 RT} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm B}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\rm B}}{\gamma_{\rm W}}$$
(7)

$$\log C_{\rm B} - \log C_{\rm w} = \frac{(-m)^2}{2.303 RT} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm B}) + \log \frac{TB}{\gamma_{\rm w}}$$

$$\log C_{\mathbf{X}} - \log C_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{(T_{\mathbf{m}} - T)}{2.303 \mathbf{R} T} (\Delta S_{\mathbf{w}} - \Delta S_{\mathbf{X}}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{X}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{w}}}$$
(8)

Substituting Eqs. (6), (7), and (8) into (5-b) gives

$$\log C_{A,B,X} = \log C_{w} + \varepsilon_{A} f_{A} + \varepsilon_{B} f_{B} + \varepsilon_{X} f_{X}$$

where the slopes $(\varepsilon_A, \varepsilon_B, \text{and } \varepsilon_X)$ of the semilogarithmic relationship between the drug solubility (C_A, B, X) and the composition of co-solvent $(f_A, f_B, \text{ and } f_X)$ are defined by:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm A} = \frac{(T_{\rm m} - T)}{2.303 RT} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm A}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\rm A}}{\gamma_{\rm w}}$$
(10)

$$\varepsilon_{\rm B} = \frac{(T_{\rm m} - T)}{2.303 \mathbf{R} T} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm B}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\rm B}}{\gamma_{\rm w}}$$
(11)

$$\epsilon_{\rm X} = \frac{(T_{\rm m} - T)}{2.303 RT} (\Delta S_{\rm w} - \Delta S_{\rm X}) + \log \frac{\gamma_{\rm X}}{\gamma_{\rm w}}$$
(12)

Eqs. (10) to (12) point out that the magnitudes of the slopes (ε_A , ε_B , and ε_x) are determined by the difference in entropies, $(\Delta S_w - \Delta S_A)$, $(\Delta S_w - \Delta S_B)$, and $(\Delta S_w - \Delta S_x)$, respectively, the ratio of the activity coefficients (γ_A/γ_w , γ_B/γ_w and γ_x/γ_w and the difference between the melting point temperature (T_m) and the temperature of the system studied (T).

Eq. (9) indicates that the solubility of a drug species in a given multiple co-solvent system (C_A, B, x) is exponentially related to the volume fractions of co-solvents (A, B, and X) added. In the case of a ternary system containing a fixed (f_A) of co-solvent A and a varying fraction (f_X) of co-solvent X, Eq. (9) may be simplified. Since $f_B=0$, the apparent drug solubility (C_A, x) in such a ternary system is:

$$\log C_{A,X} = \log C_{w} + \varepsilon_{A} f_{A} + \varepsilon_{X} f_{X}$$

(13)

(14)

(9)

When using a binary system, (only one co-solvent), Eq. (13) may be further simplified to

$$\log C_{\rm X} = \log C_{\rm w} + \varepsilon_{\rm X} f_{\rm X}$$

An equation similar to Eq. (14) was reported previously by Yalkowsky, *et al.*⁴⁾ to describe the solubility of alkyl p-aminobenzoates in propylene glycol-water systems.

The experimental evidence for the dependence of drug solubility upon co-solvent composition in a binary system (Eq. 14) is demonstrated in Fig. 2, where the solubility of poorly soluble steroids, *e.g.*, progestins (SC-11800 and SC-4640) as well as anti-mineral corticoid drugs (SC-9376 and SC-25152), is exponentially enhanced as the volume fraction of polyethylene glycol 400 co-solvent increases. The molecular structures of the four synthetic steroids investigated were shown earlier in Fig. 1.

Eq. (14) was also followed in binary systems containing either ethanol, propylene glycol, solketal (2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol), or dimethylacetamide. For example, the solubilization of canrenone by ethanol, propylene glycol, and PEG 400 is illustrated in Fig. 3. A common ordinate intercept, which was equivalent to the actual aqueous solubility (C_w) of canrenone (8.1×10^{-5} M) measured independently, was obtained. The relative efficiency on the solubilization of canrenone by these five co-solvents is tabulated in Table I in order of solubilizing efficiency. Ethanol is the most effective solubilizer and polyethylene glycol 400 is least effective.

The validity of Eq. (13) in a ternary system containing a fixed (f_A) volume fraction of co-solvent A and a varying fraction (f_X) of co-solvent X is demonstrated by the data in Fig. 4. In this experiment, the incorporation of a fixed concentration (19 or 28.5% v/v) of ethanol

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com




TABLE I.	The Solubilization of Canrenone by the Binary	
System	ns Containing a Single co-Solvent and Water	


$\varepsilon_{X}^{(a)}$	
4.75	
3.97	
3.42	
3.21 2.93	

 α) $\varepsilon_{\rm X}$ is the slope of the log Cx vs. fx profiles as defined in Eq. (14).

substantially enhanced the magnitude of the intercept (from log C_w to log $C_w + \epsilon_A f_A$) (compare Eqs. 14 with 13); but, the linear relationship of log C_A , x to f_X was still followed and the magnitude of the slope (ϵ_X) stayed about the same ($\epsilon_X = 3.34$ to 3.43).

When a fixed concentration of a third co-solvent, e.g., solketal (20% v/v) or dimethylacetamide (20% v/v) was incorporated into mixtures of ethanol-propylene glycol-water, the solubility of canrenone was enhanced. The linearity of log C_A , B, x to the volume fraction of propylene glycol (as expected from Eq. (9)) was still observed. Following the addition of 20% v/v of either dimethylacetamide or solketal (Fig 5) the intercept (at zero concentration of propylene glycol) was significantly increased from log $C_w + \varepsilon_A f_A$ (Eq. 13) to log $C_w + \varepsilon_A f_A + \varepsilon_B f_B$ (Eq. 9). The effect of addition of a third solubilizer on the magnitude of both the intercept (log $C_w + \varepsilon_A f_A + \varepsilon_B f_B$) and the slope (ε_x) of the log C_A , B, x vs. f_w profiles are found in Table II. Along with the increase in the intercept, the slopes were slightly minimized due to the addition of a third co-solvent. This may be due to the change in the entropy and activity coefficient of the resultant solution. The predictive value of Eq. (9) is demonstrated in Table III.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.



in a Two co-Solvent Aqueous System keys: ○ propylene glycol alone, ● plus 19% v/v of ethanol, and ● plus 28.5% v/v of ethanol




Fig. 5. Semilogarithmic Relationship between the Concentration of Canrenone (moles/liter) solubilized and the Volume Fraction of Propylene Glycol in a Three co-Solvent Aqueous System

keys: \bigcirc propylene glycol alone, \square plus 9.5% ethanol and 20% dimethylacetamide, \blacksquare plus 19% ethanol and 20% dimethylacetamide, and \bigcirc plus 19% ethanol and 20% solketal

t

CO				
# 1	# 2	# 3	Intercept $(M \times 10^3)$	Slope
Propylene glycol			0.081	3.42
Propylene glycol	19.0% ethanol	<u> </u>	0.80	3,43
Propylene glycol	9.5% ethanol	20% DMA	2.06	3.00
Propylene glycol	19.0% ethanol	20% DMA	7.00	2.39
Propylene glycol	19.0% ethanol	20% solketal	13.80	2.08

TABLE II.	Effect of the Addition of Third co-Solvent on the Intercept
	and Slope Values of log $C_{A,B,X}$ vs. f_X Profiles (Eq. 9)

The product of the slope (ε) (obtained from the solubilization of canrenone with individual co-solvents) and the volume fraction (f's) used was employed to estimate the expected canrenone solubility in multi-co-solvent systems. The agreement of the observed solubility with that calculated is good. The small deviation of the observed solubility from the estimated value (low ratio, *ca.* 0.76) may be due to the slight decline in slope (Table II) observed after the incorporation of a third co-solvent.

The use of multiple co-solvent combinations to enhance steroid solubility is an improvement over solubilization with a high volume fraction of a single co-solvent. For example, 55% ethanol, or 77% propylene glycol, or 87% polyethylene glycol 400 was required in order to effectively solubilize 3.25×10^{-2} M of canrenone in aqueous solution. The use of high cosolvent concentrations may unfavorably affect the desired viscosity, and the esthetic acceptability of the resultant formulations. On the other hand, this drug concentration (3.25×10^{-2} M) was achieved with a multiple co-solvent system containing either 9.5% ethanol-20% dimethylacetamide-40% propylene glycol or 19% ethanol-20% solketal-18% propylene glycol. In

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.