Case IPR2017-00904 Declaration of Adrian L. Harris Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,122

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

ASTRAZENECA AB, Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00904 Patent No. 6,774,122

DECLARATION OF ADRIAN L. HARRIS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,774,122

Mail Stop: Patent Board Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTI	RODUCTION	1		
II.	BAC	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS			
III.	MAT	TERIALS CONSIDERED FOR THIS DECLARATION	7		
IV.	LEV	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7		
V.	BRO	ADEST REASONABLE CONSTRUCTION	8		
VI.	UND	ERSTANDING OF THE RELEVANT LAW	8		
VII.	OVE	RVIEW OF THE PATENT AT ISSUE	13		
	A.	Overview of the '122 Patent	13		
	В.	Overview of the Prosecution History of the '122 Patent	16		
	C.	Relevant Related Prosecution Histories	18		
		1. The Sawchuk Declaration	18		
		2. The Gellert Declaration	20		
VII	I. AV	AILABLE THERAPIES AT THE TIME OF THE INVENTION	21		
	A.	Tamoxifen and Other SERMs	22		
	В.	Aromatase Inhibitors (AIs)	25		
	C.	Fulvestrant	27		
IX.	SCO	PE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART	34		
	A.	Howell	35		
	В.	McLeskey	36		
	C.	O'Regan	37		

Case IPR2017-00904

Declaration of Adrian L. Harris Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,122

X.	DETAILED ANALYSIS	
	A.	Summary of Opinion39
	В.	Motivation for Combining The Prior Art39
		1. Motivation to Turn to Howell40
		2. Motivation to Turn To McLeskey49
		3. Motivation to Turn To O'Regan55
	C.	A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining the Prior Art56
	D.	There Are No Secondary Considerations Warranting a Finding of Nonobviousness
		1. There Is No Nexus66
		2. There Was No Long-Felt Need68
		3. There Were No Unexpected Results69
XI.	SUP	PLEMENTATION71
ΧIJ	I CON	ICLUSION 72



Case IPR2017-00904

Declaration of Adrian L. Harris Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,122

I, Adrian L. Harris, BSc Hons, MB ChB, MA, DPhil FRCP, FMedSci, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained as an expert witness on behalf of InnoPharma Licensing, LLC ("InnoPharma") for the above-captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,122 ("the '122 patent"). I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard consulting rate of \$615.00 per hour. My compensation is in no way dependent on the outcome of this matter.
- 2. I have been asked to provide opinions regarding whether claims 1, 2, 5, and 9 of the '122 patent are invalid, as anticipated by the prior art, or would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention.
- 3. In preparing this Declaration, I have reviewed the '122 patent, the file history of the '122 patent, and numerous prior art references from the time of the alleged invention.
- 4. I have been advised and it is my understanding that patent claims in an IPR are given their broadest reasonable construction in view of the patent



specification, file history, and the understanding of one having ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of the purported invention.

5. In forming the opinions expressed in this Declaration, I relied upon my education and experience in the relevant field of the art, and have considered the viewpoint of a person having ordinary skill in the relevant art, as of 2000. My opinions directed to the invalidity of claims 1, 2, 5, and 9 of the '122 patent are based, at least in part, on the following prior art publications:

Reference	Date of Public Availability
Howell, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacological and Anti- tumor Effects of the Specific Anti-Oestrogen ICI 182780 in Women with Advanced Breast Cancer, British J. of Cancer, 74, p. 300-308 (1996)	Howell was published in 1996 and is attached as Exhibit 1007 to the IPR.
McLeskey, Tamoxifen- resistant fibroblast growth factor-transfected MCF-7 cells are cross-resistant in vivo to the antiestrogen ICI 182,780 and two aromatase inhibitors, 4 CLIN. CANCER RESEARCH 697–711 (1998)	McLeskey was published in March 1998 and is attached as Exhibit 1008 to the IPR.
O'Regan, Effects of the Antiestrogens Tamoxifen, Toremifene, and ICI 182,780 on Endometrial Cancer Growth, 90 J. NAT'L CANCER INST. 1552–1558	O'Regan was published in March 1998 and is attached as Exhibit 1009 to the IPR.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

