IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00100

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

XILINX, INC.,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 ("Plaintiff" or "IP Bridge") files this First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Complaint") against Defendant Xilinx, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Xilinx"). Plaintiff alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is an action for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,893,501 (the "'501 patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 7,265,450 (the "'450 Patent").
- IP Bridge is a Japanese corporation having a principal address of c/o Sakura Sogo
 Jimusho, 1-11 Kanda Jimbocho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0051 Japan.
- 3. Xilinx, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 2100 Logic Drive, San Jose, California 95154. Xilinx maintains a substantial presence in this State through its regional sales office located at 5801 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 460, Plano, Texas 75024. Xilinx can be served via its registered agent for service of process, CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. Upon information and belief, Xilinx is registered with the Texas Secretary of State to conduct business in Texas and has been since at

TSMC v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 IPR2017-01844 TSMC 1335



least June 8, 1990. Xilinx conducts business operations within the Eastern District of Texas through its facilities in Plano, Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq., including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This is a patent infringement lawsuit, over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is present in and transacts and conducts business in and with residents of this District and the State of Texas. IP Bridge's causes of action arise, at least in part, from Defendant's contacts with and activities in this State and this District. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant has committed acts of infringement within this District and this State by, *inter alia*, making, selling, offering for sale, importing, and/or using products that infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit. Defendant, directly and/or through intermediaries, uses, sells, ships, distributes, offers for sale, and/or advertises or otherwise promotes products in this State and this District. Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to residents of this State and this judicial District.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more infringing products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased and/or used by residents of this District and/or incorporated into downstream products purchased by consumers in this District, including by directly or indirectly working with subsidiaries, distributors, and other entities located within this District and this State.



- 7. Defendant maintains highly interactive and commercial websites, accessible to residents of Texas and this judicial District, through which Defendant promotes its products and services, including products that infringe the patents-in-suit.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) for at least the reasons set forth above.

COUNT ONE: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,893,501

- 9. IP Bridge adopts and restates the allegations in paragraphs 1-8 as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. On February 22, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '501 Patent, "Semiconductor Device Including MISFET Having Internal Stress Film" A true and correct copy of the '501 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 11. By assignment, Plaintiff owns the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '501 patent, including the right to sue and recover damages, including damages for past infringement.
- 12. Defendant has had knowledge of the '501 patent no later than September 21,2016—the date on which the parties met and Plaintiff IP Bridge provided specific notice thatDefendant was practicing the '501 patent.
 - 13. The '501 patent is valid and enforceable.
- 14. Defendant has at no time, either expressly or impliedly, been licensed under the '501 patent.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and now is directly, literally under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), and/or equivalently under the doctrine of equivalents, infringing the '501 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of one or more claims of the '501



patent including, but not limited to, the Kintex-7 28nm FPGA family of programmable integrated circuits, and devices that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same result (the "FPGA devices"). Upon information and belief, all Xilinx devices employing Xilinx's 28nm technology, including the FPGA devices noted above, infringe the '501 patent because each accused Xilinx product and device comprises a MISFET with all additional elements recited in at least claims 1, 5-7, 10, 11, 15-19, 21, and 23-25 of the '501 patent. In particular, each accused Xilinx product's and device's circuit includes an active region made of a semiconductor substrate, a gate-insulating film formed on the active region, a gate electrode formed on the gate-insulating film, source/drain regions formed in regions of the active region located on both sides of the gate electrode, a silicon nitride film formed over from side surfaces of the gate electrode to upper surfaces of the source/drain regions wherein the silicon nitride film is not formed on an upper surface of the gate electrode and the gate electrode protrudes upward from a surface level of parts of the silicon nitride film located at both side surface of the gate electrode. As an example, Xilinx's infringement of at least claim 1 of the '501 patent by the Kintex-7 28nm FPGA is illustrated in the charts attached hereto as Exhibit B.

16. Since no later than the date upon which it first learned of the '501 patent,
Defendant has induced, and is continuing to actively and knowingly induce, with specific intent,
infringement of the '501 patent by its customers under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant further has
contributed to the infringement of the '501 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by making, using,
offering for sale, selling, and/or importing image sensors. Defendant encourages and facilitates
infringing sales and uses of image sensors through the creation and dissemination of promotional
and marketing materials, instructional materials, product manuals, and/or technical materials to



manufacturers and/or distributors. Defendant contributes to infringement by others, including manufacturers, distributors, resellers, and end users, knowing that its FPGA devices constitute a material part of the inventions of the '501 patent, knowing those FPGA devices to be especially made or adapted to infringe the '501 patent, and knowing that those FPGA devices are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its encouragement would result in infringement of at least one claim of the '501 patent.

17. Defendant has and is continuing to willfully infringe the '501 patent by, at minimum, continuing to engage in infringing activities after Plaintiff notified Defendant of Defendant's infringement. For that reason, Defendant has acted despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of a valid patent and such objective risk of infringement was known to Defendant or so obvious that Defendant should have known it.

COUNT TWO: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,265,450

- 18. IP Bridge restates the allegations in paragraphs 1-8 as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. On September 4, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '450 Patent, "Semiconductor Device and Method for Fabricating the Same." A true and correct copy of the '450 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 20. By assignment, Plaintiff owns the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '450Patent, including the right to sue and recover damages, including damages for past infringement.
- 21. Defendant has had knowledge of the '450 patent no later than September 21, 2016—the date on which the parties met and Plaintiff IP Bridge provided specific notice that Defendant was practicing the '450 patent.
 - 22. The '450 Patent is valid and enforceable.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

