

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
-----X

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING  
CO., LTD.,

PETITIONER,

-against-

Case No:  
IPR 2017-01861  
Patent 7,265,450

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,

PATENT OWNER.  
-----X

December 19, 2017

10:32 A.M.

90 Broad Street

New York, New York 10004

B E F O R E:

(Present Via Teleconference)

Judge Jennifer Chagnon

Judge Justin Arves

Judge Michael Fitzpatrick

TSMC v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1  
IPR2017-01843

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S:

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX, PLLC  
Attorneys for TSMC Matters 1861  
and 1862  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
BY: LORI GORDON, ESQ.  
lgordon@skgf.com  
(Present Via Teleconference)

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR, LLP  
Attorneys for TSMC 4 other Matters  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
BY: DAVID CAVANAUGH, ESQ.  
David.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com  
(Present Via Teleconference)

WOLF GREENFIELD  
Attorneys for Patent Owner  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Ma. 02210  
BY: GERALD B. HRYCYSZYN, ESQ.  
gerald.hrycyszyn@wolfgreenfield.com  
617.646.8313  
(Present Via Teleconference)

ALSO PRESENT:

Robert X. Shaw, CSR  
Stenographer  
New York, New York  
(Present Via Teleconference)

\* \* \*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Proceedings

JUDGE CHAGNON: On the record for IPR 2017, 1841, '42, '43, '44 and IPR 2017 1861 and 1862.

And as I mentioned, I am Judge Chagnon and I have also have Judges Arves and Fitzpatrick on the line with today.

Just to double-check, do we have counsel for the Petitioner in the 1841 series of cases?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Yes. This is Dave Cavanaugh with Wilmer Hale for TSMC.

JUDGE CHAGNON: Great.

And I heard Ms. Gordon on the line for the 1861 series of cases for the Petitioner.

Do we have counsel for the Patent Owner on the line?

MR. HRYCYSZYN: Yes, your Honor.

Gerry Hrycyszyn from Wolf Greenfield and Rich Giunta from Wolf Greenfield for the Patent Owner.

JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you, everyone.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Proceedings

And thank you for your flexibility for scheduling the call together, and the overlap of issues.

I know that it is hard at this time of year to coordinate schedules with everybody.

Since we set this call up initially at the request of Mr. Cavanaugh, why don't we hear from you first, on what you are seeking today.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Certainly.

Although counsel Lori Gordon and I spoke and in view of -- we thought that it might be useful or appropriate if she went first. I am happy to go first.

JUDGE CHAGNON: If you guys coordinated, it is fine with us.

Ms. Gordon.

MS. GORDON: Thank you, Judge Chagnon.

We are seeking authorization to file a reply to Patent Owner's preliminary response filed in the two 1861 and '62 cases, based on the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Proceedings

inconsistent statements that the Patent Owner has taken in the "PTAB" regarding claim construction, versus the positions that were broader that they took in the District Court, in their infringement allegations and in the complaint.

And there are two points that I would like to address today for the cases, and I can start with the first one, it is --

If that is okay with your Honor. >

JUDGE CHAGNON: Okay. Basically on the call we would like to focus less on -- and I don't know what your exact points are -- and I do not want to interrupt unnecessarily.

But less of the focus of the, ah, focusing on the substance of the request, what you would argue if we let you file a reply and more um, focused on what is the basis of why we should grant the reply, prior to the institutional position.

MS. GORDON: Thank you, your Honor.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.