

Paper No. __

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CO., LTD,
Petitioner,

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01842
Patent 7,893,501

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Overview of ‘501 Patent	2
B.	The Petition’s Grounds Fail	4
1.	Overview of Igarashi.....	5
2.	Igarashi Does Not Teach Isolation or Active Regions in the Fifth Embodiment Relied Upon in Grounds 1 and 2.....	7
3.	The Petition Provides No Motivation to Modify Igarashi’s Fifth Embodiment to Use the Isolation Regions of Igarashi’s First Embodiment	9
4.	Woerlee Does Not Remedy Igarashi’s Failure to Teach Isolation Regions Forming an Active Region in Igarashi’s Fifth Embodiment.	10
5.	All Challenged Claims Distinguish Over the Petitioner’s Alleged Igarashi/Woerlee Combination	12
a.	The Region Bounded and Defined by the STI Regions Is Not an Active Region of Either of the Two MISFETs in the Memory Cell.....	13
b.	The Channel Region of Each Transistor Is Not an Active Region as Claimed	15
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘501 PATENT AND CHALLENGED CLAIMS	17
III.	CHALLENGED CLAIMS	23
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	24
V.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION	24
A.	“wherein the MISFET includes: an active region made of a semiconductor substrate”	25
1.	The ‘501 Patent Specification Describes a MISFET's Active Region as Defined by Isolation Regions That Isolate the MISFET from Other Transistors Formed in the Substrate	26

2.	The ‘501 Patent Specification’s Usage Is Consistent with the Ordinary Meaning in the Art of a Transistor’s “Active Region”	29
VI.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING AS TO ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.	31
A.	<u>Ground 1</u> : Claims 5, 6, 12, 15, 19, and 21 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Igarashi and Woerlee.	31
1.	Overview of Igarashi.....	32
2.	Overview of Woerlee	36
3.	The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that a POSITA Following the Teachings of Igarashi and Woerlee Would Have Been Led to a Semiconductor Device as Claimed	37
a.	Igarashi Does Not Teach that the Fifth Embodiment (Fig. 12) Relied Upon in the Ground Includes Isolation Regions or an Active Region Formed Thereby.....	38
b.	The Petition Provides No Motivation to Modify Igarashi’s Fifth Embodiment to Use the Isolation Regions of Igarashi’s First Embodiment.....	44
c.	Woerlee Does Not Remedy Igarashi’s Failure to Teach Isolation Regions Forming an Active Region in Igarashi’s Fifth Embodiment.	47
d.	Even If Igarashi Is Considered to Teach What the Petition Alleges, All Challenged Claims Distinguish Over the Petitioner’s Alleged Igarashi/Woerlee Combination.....	51
(1)	The Region Bounded and Defined by the STI Regions Is Not an Active Region of Either of the Two MISFETs in the Memory Cell	52
(2)	The Channel Region of Each Transistor Is Not an Active Region as Claimed	55
4.	None of Dependent Claims 5, 6, 12, 15, 19, and 21 Would Have Been Obvious Over Igarashi and Woerlee.	58

B. Ground 2: Claim 13 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Igarashi,
Worlee, and Hokazono.59

VII. CONCLUSION.....60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016)	24
<i>In re Stepan Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	9, 10, 45, 46
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	9, 44
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 8 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012)	39, 41
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc'ns RF, LLC</i> , 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	25
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC</i> , 825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	25
<i>Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00918, Paper No. 42 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2017)	43
<i>Straight Path IP Grp., Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , 806 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	24
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	24

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	31
--------------------------	----

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	24
-----------------------------	----

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.