

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01841¹
Case IPR2017-01843²
Patent 7,893,501 B2

Before JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

*Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Pro Hac Vice
Admission of Joshua J. Miller
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)*

¹ Case IPR2017-01842 has been consolidated with Case IPR2017-01841.

² Case IPR2017-01844 has been consolidated with Case IPR2017-01843.

Patent Owner filed Motions in IPR2017-01841 and IPR2017-01843 for *pro hac vice* admission of Joshua J. Miller. Paper 14 (“Mot.”). The Motions are supported by Declarations of Mr. Miller. Ex. 2001 (“Decl.”).³ Petitioner did not file oppositions to the Motions within the one-week period permitted for filing an opposition. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding.

In these proceedings, lead counsel for Patent Owner, Gerald B. Hrycyszyn, a registered practitioner, filed the Motions. Mot. 2. In the Motions, Patent Owner states there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Miller *pro hac vice*, because he has extensive patent litigation experience and he is familiar with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings. *Id.*

In the Declarations, Mr. Miller attests that he is an experienced litigation attorney familiar with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings. Decl. ¶¶ H–J. Mr. Miller further declares that he has never

³ For purposes of expediency, we refer to the papers and exhibits filed in IPR2017-01841. Patent Owner filed a similar Motion (Paper 14) and Declaration (Ex. 2207) in IPR2017-01843.

IPR2017-01841, IPR2017-01843
Patent 7,893,501 B2

been suspended, disbarred, sanctioned, or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body (*id.* ¶ C), and has not been denied for admission to practice before any court or administrative body (*id.* ¶ D). Mr. Miller further states that he has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's rules as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42 (*id.* ¶ E), and agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) (*id.* ¶ F).

Patent Owner must submit Powers of Attorney for Mr. Miller in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b), and must update its mandatory notices as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions (IPR2017-01841, Paper 14; IPR2017-01843, Paper 14) for *pro hac vice* admission of Joshua J. Miller are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that, within seven (7) business days of the date of this order, Patent Owner must submit Powers of Attorney in IPR2017-01841 and IPR2017-01843 for Mr. Miller in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated mandatory notices in IPR2017-01841 and IPR2017-01843 identifying Mr. Miller as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the instant proceedings;

IPR2017-01841, IPR2017-01843
Patent 7,893,501 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Miller shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Miller shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

PETITIONER:

David L. Cavanaugh
Dominic E. Massa
Michael H. Smith
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
Dominic.Massa@wilmerhale.com
MichaelH.Smith@wilmerhale.com

PATENT OWNER:

Gerald B. Hrycyszyn
Richard F. Giunta
Edmund J. Walsh
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
GHrycyszyn-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com
RGiunta-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com
EWalsh-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com