

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NVIDIA CORPORATION,  
Petitioner

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2017-01819  
Patent No. 7,124,325

---

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	2
A. The '325 Patent .....	2
B. IPR2017-00382: The Petitioner's First Petition Against the '325 Patent.....	6
C. References Cited in the Petition .....	8
1. Volk '450 .....	8
2. Volk '105 .....	9
3. Hiraki.....	10
III. CLAIM INTERPRETATIONS .....	11
IV. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS .....	13
V. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED AS AN UNWARRANTED FOLLOW-ON PETITION ATTACKING THE '325 PATENT FOR A SECOND TIME WITHOUT JUSTIFICATION.....	15
A. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 1: Same Petitioner, Same Claims.....	17
B. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 2: Should Have Known of Art .....	17
C. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 3: Using Roadmap .....	19
D. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 4: Time Lapse .....	21
E. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 5: Explanation of Time Lapse.....	21
F. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 6: Board's Finite Resources .....	22
G. <i>NVIDIA</i> Factor 7: One-Year Requirement.....	23

VI.	CHALLENGE 1 IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY TO PREVAIL.....	24
A.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood that Volk '450 Has a "Trimming Register," as Recited in Claim 14.....	24
B.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood that Volk '450 Has a "Reference Voltage Device and a Voltage Divider," as Recited in Claim 16. ....	27
C.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood that Volk '450 Has a "Nonvolatile Memory Unit Being Programmed Based on a Trimming Value," as Recited in Claim 18. ....	29
VII.	CHALLENGE 2 IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY TO PREVAIL.....	31
A.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood That Volk '105 has a "Trimming Register," as Recited in Claim 14.....	31
B.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood That Volk '105 Discloses "Writing to Said Trimming Register," as Recited in Claim 14.....	32
VIII.	CHALLENGE 3 IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY TO PREVAIL.....	34
A.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood That the Combination of Volk '450 and Hiraki Has a "Trimming Register," as Recited in Claim 14. ....	34
B.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood That the Combination of Volk '450 and Hiraki Has a "Reference Voltage Device and a Voltage Divider," as Recited in Claim 16.....	37
IX.	CHALLENGE 4 IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY TO PREVAIL BECAUSE THE PETITION FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE COMBINATION OF VOLK '450 AND HIRAKI HAS A "TRIMMING REGISTER," AS RECITED IN CLAIM 14. ....	38
X.	THE PETITION PRESENTS REDUNDANT CHALLENGES. ....	40
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	42

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Alere Inc. v. Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP</i> , IPR2017-01130, Paper 10 (Sept. 28, 2017).....	18
<i>Apple Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00079, Paper 8 (Apr. 25, 2014).....	39
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	35
<i>Bungie, Inc. v. Acceleration Bay, LLC</i> , IPR2016-00936, Paper 11 (July 7, 2016) .....	15
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (Oct. 14, 2014) .....	19, 20
<i>CaptionCall, LLC v. Ultratec, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00549, Paper 20 (Apr. 28, 2014).....	39
<i>Carl Zeiss SMT GmbH v. Nikon Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00363, Paper 17 (Jan. 30, 2014) .....	42
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. Proctor &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2014-00506, Paper 25 (Dec. 10, 2014) (informative) .....	15
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. Proctor &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2014-00628, Paper 23 (Mar. 20, 2015) .....	18, 21, 24
<i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.3d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	27
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (Sept. 6, 2017) (precedential) .....	passim
<i>Gubelmann v. Gang</i> , 408 F.2d 758 (CCPA 1969).....	32
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	15, 42

<i>Jiawei Tech. v. Richmond</i> , IPR2015-00580, Paper 22 (May 1, 2015) .....	20
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (Oct. 25, 2012) .....	41, 42
<i>McGinley v. Franklin Sports, Inc.</i> , 262 F. 3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	34
<i>MEHL/Biophile Int’l Corp. v. Milgraum</i> , 192 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	32
<i>NVIDIA Corp. v. Polaris Innovations Ltd.</i> , IPR2017-00382, Paper 10 (June 23, 2017) .....	passim
<i>NVIDIA Corp. v. Polaris Innovations Ltd.</i> , IPR2017-00382, Paper 2 (Dec. 19, 2016) .....	20
<i>NVIDIA Corp. v. Samsung Elec. Co.</i> , IPR2016-00134, Paper 9 (Dec. 19, 2016) .....	16
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (CCPA 1981).....	32
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	35
<i>Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.</i> , IPR2012-00041, Paper 16 (Feb. 22, 2013).....	39
<i>Tasco, Inc. v. Pagnani</i> , IPR2013-00103, Paper 6 (May 23, 2013) .....	39
<i>Trintec Indus., Inc. v. Top-U.S.A. Corp.</i> , 295 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	32
<i>Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00054, Paper 16 (July 13, 2013) .....	39

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.