

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE

THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OLYMPUS CORPORATION, OLYMPUS AMERICA
INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
Patent Owner.

Case No. 2017-01808
Patent No. 6,470,399

**DECLARATION OF KEVIN ALMEROOTH IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,470,399: CLAIMS 1-
3, 5, 6, 11, 14 and 15.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Background and Qualifications
- II. Legal Standards and Background
 - A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art
 - B. Claim Construction
 - C. Validity
- III. Overview of the '399
- IV. Level of Ordinary Skill
- V. Claim Construction
- VI. Analysis of Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11, 14 and 15
 - A. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11, 14 and 15 in view of Aytac, the SCSI Specification, Lin, and the admitted prior art
 - 1. Rationale for Combining Aytac with the SCSI Specification, Lin, and the admitted prior art
 - 2. Claims 1, 11 and 14
 - 3. Claims 2 and 15
 - 4. Claim 3
 - 5. Claim 5
 - 6. Claim 6
- VII. Priority Date of the '399 Patent

I, Kevin C. Almeroth, hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I have been retained as a technical consultant on behalf of Olympus Corporation, Olympus America Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. I understand that the Petitioner (collectively) in the present proceeding is Olympus Corporation and Olympus America Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. I understand that the petition also names as potential real parties-in-interest: ZTE (USA) Inc. and ZTE Corporation. I have no financial interest in, or affiliation with, the Petitioner, real parties-in-interest, or the patent owner, which I understand to be Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG. My compensation is not dependent upon the outcome of, or my testimony in, the present *inter partes* review or any litigation proceedings.

2. I have drafted, reviewed or provided from my own files each of the documents in the following table (which I am informed are also identified in the Petition):

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Pat. No. 6,470,399 to Tasler (“the ’399 patent”)
Ex. 1002	File History for U.S. Pat. No. 6,470,399
Ex. 1004	Curriculum vitae of Kevin C. Almeroth
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,758,081 to Aytac (“Aytac”)
Ex. 1006	Am. Nat’l Standard Inst., Inc., <i>Am. Nat’l Standard for Info. Sys’s, Small Computer System Interface-2, ANSI X3.131-1994 (1994)</i> (the “SCSI Specification”)
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,522,432 to Lin (“Lin”)
Ex. 1008	<i>In re Papst Licensing Dig. Camera Pat. Litig.</i> , 778 F.3d 1255, 1265 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
Ex. 1009	Papst’s Opening Claim Constr. Brief and Appendix 8 of Papst’s Opening Claim Constr. Brief, <i>Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , No. 6:15-cv-01095-RWS (E.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2016)
Ex. 1010	Papst’s Opening Claim Constr. Brief and Decl. of Robert Zeidman, <i>In re Papst Licensing Dig. Camera Pat. Litig.</i> , MDL No. 1880, No. 1:07-mc-00493 (D.D.C. June 3, 2016)
Ex. 1011	As-Filed Filed German priority document Patent Application 197

	08 755.8
Ex. 1012	'399 German Application Publication (DE 197 08 755)
Ex. 1013	Certified Translation of Published '399 German Application (DE 197 08 755)
Ex. 1014	English Translation of PCT Application PCT/EP98/01187 (published as PCT Pub. No. WO98/39710)
Ex. 1015	U.S. Patent No. 4,589,063 to Shah
Ex. 1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,038,320 to Heath
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,787,246 to Lichtman
Ex. 1018	Rufus P. Turner <i>et al.</i> , <i>The Illustrated Dictionary of Electronics</i> (1991)
Ex. 1019	Decision, <i>Institution of Inter Partes Review</i> , Paper No. 8, IPR2016-01200
Ex. 1020	File History for U.S. Pat. No. 5,758,081
Ex. 1021	Papst's Brief, <i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litig.</i> , No. 2014-1110 (Fed. Cir., February 20, 2014)

3. I understand that the application leading to U.S. Patent No. 6,470,399 (“the ’399 patent”) was Application No. 09/331,002, filed June 14, 1999, which claims priority to Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) Application No.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.