

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

---

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and  
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, Administrative Patent Judges.

BOUDREAU, Administrative Patent Judge.

HEARING ORDER  
*35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(10) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.70*

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

The parties have requested a consolidated oral hearing in the captioned proceedings, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. *See* IPR2017-01797, Papers 20, 22; IPR2017-01798, Papers 20, 22; IPR2017-01799, Papers 27, 29; IPR2017-01800, Papers 22, 24; IPR2017-01801, Papers 19, 21; IPR2017-01802, Papers 19, 21. We grant the parties' request.

The hearing for these proceedings will commence at **11 am Central Time on October 30, 2018**. Petitioner and Patent Owner each will have one hour of argument time in total for the captioned cases. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Therefore, at oral argument, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case regarding the challenged patent claims and the grounds on which the Board instituted trial. Petitioner may reserve some (but not more than half) of its argument time to respond to arguments presented by the Patent Owner. After Petitioner's initial presentation, Patent Owner will be given an opportunity to respond and also may reserve some of its argument time for sur-rebuttal. Thereafter, Petitioner may use any reserved time to reply to Patent Owner's presentation, and finally, Patent Owner may present a brief sur-rebuttal if it has reserved time. New arguments not previously presented in the parties' substantive papers in these proceeding shall not be raised at oral hearing, and no live testimony from any witness will be taken at the oral argument.

The hearing will be conducted at the **Texas Regional Office in Room 155, first floor of 207 S. Houston Street, Dallas Texas 75202**.<sup>1</sup> The hearing will be

---

<sup>1</sup> Information concerning the Texas Regional Office can be found in the USPTO website at <http://www.uspto.gov/about-us/uspto-locations/dallas-texas>.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

open to the public for in-person attendance, which will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The parties are advised that the hearing room has limited capacity. Therefore, to facilitate access to the regional-office hearing room, each party is asked to *email the Board* ([Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov)), at least five business days prior to the hearing, indicating the number of attendees for its side (attorneys and others). If the parties have concerns about disclosing confidential information, they are requested to contact the Board at least ten business days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven business days before the hearing. The parties shall confer regarding any objections to demonstrative exhibits, and file demonstrative exhibits with the Board, as a separate exhibit in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.63, at least five business days prior to the hearing.

Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence, but merely visual aids for use at the oral hearing. For any issue regarding the proposed demonstrative exhibits that cannot be resolved after conferring with the opposing party, the parties may file jointly a one-page list of objections at least five business days prior to the hearing. The list should identify with particularity which demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection and include a short statement (no more than one concise sentence) of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted.

We will consider the objections and schedule a conference call, if necessary, to discuss them. Otherwise, we may expunge all demonstratives or allow only those that we do not find objectionable. Typically, however, we reserve ruling on

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

the objections until the hearing or ruling is necessary to resolve the dispute. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. Each party also shall provide a hard copy of its demonstrative exhibits to the court reporter at the hearing.

The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number and by content) referenced during each hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. Further, because a consolidated hearing will be conducted for three proceedings, if an argument and/or evidence applies only to a particular proceeding or proceedings, the presenter must identify the proceeding or proceedings, to which that argument and/or evidence applies. The parties also should note that Judges Bisk and Boudreau will each be attending the hearing electronically and will only have access to the courtesy copy of the demonstratives provided in advance, as referenced above. If a demonstrative is not made available to the Board in the manner indicated above, that demonstrative may not be available to each of the judges during the hearing and may not be considered. Further, images projected, using audio visual equipment in Dallas, will not be visible to Judges Bisk and Boudreau. Because of limitations on the audio transmission systems in our hearing rooms, the presenter may speak only when standing at the hearing room podium. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at oral hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If lead counsel for either party is unable to attend the oral argument, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than five business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

The Board will provide a court reporter for each hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The reporter's transcript will be entered in the record of the proceedings.

Requests for audio-visual equipment or special accommodations at the hearing are to be made five days in advance of the hearing date. The requests must be sent to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). If the requests are not received timely, equipment or accommodations may not be available on the day of the hearing.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.