# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A. Patent Owner

> IPR2017-1801 PATENT 8,995,433

# PATENT OWNER MOTION TO EXCLUDE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64

1

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.64, Patent Owner moves to exclude portions of the deposition transcripts that exceed the permissible scope of cross examination.

### **BACKGROUND**

Petitioner cross examined Patent Owner's witness, William C. Easttom II, during three separate depositions held on August 3 and 6, 2018. *See* Exhibits 1040, 1041, and 1042. Rather than question Mr. Easttom concerning the direct testimony contained in his declarations, however, Petitioner spent much of the time questioning Mr. Easttom concerning matters outside the scope of his direct testimony and therefore outside the scope of cross-examination testimony allowed under 37 CFR §§ 42.53(d)(4)(ii).

During the first deposition, held on August 3, for example, Petitioner directly mentioned Mr. Easttom's declarations only to ask basic preliminary questions about the preparation and authenticity of the declarations. Petitioner then asked Mr. Easttom a series of questions outside the scope of his direct testimony, including various hypotheticals not contemplated in his direct testimony. *See e.g.* Ex. 1040 at 31:25-32:6 ("would the delay of an hour at the time be an instant message"); 32:13 – 32:24 (same); 33:6 – 33:12 (same); 105:18-105:23 (question concerning capabilities of hypothetical configurations); 106:3 - 106:20 (same). Mr. Easttom repeatedly informed Petitioner that these questions were outside the scope of his direct testimony. *See e.g.* Ex. 1040 at 32:2-3 ("It's not really a concept I've

considered. I know all my opinions are in my declaration."); 33:7-9 ("What I've actually said [is] I haven't contemplated that. I haven't opined on that. That's not within my declaration."); 105:21-23 (same); 106:6-7 (same).

After a series of hypotheticals outside the scope of Mr. Easttom's direct testimony culminated in Petitioner's insistence that Mr. Easttom answer "yes or no" to a question about a hypothetical e-mail system imagined by Petitioner, which Mr. Easttom described as "radically different than not only the way e-mail works but the way the protocols would even allow it to work," counsel for Patent Owner suggested that Petitioner either move on to actual cross examination of Mr. Easttom's direct testimony or the parties go to the board for intervention. Ex. 1040 at 57:3 - 59:25.

### **OBJECTIONS TO SCOPE**

In accordance with § 42.64(a), Patent Owner preserved its objections to the scope of Petitioner's questions by objecting to scope each time Petitioner's cross examination exceeded the permissible scope of the deposition. In accordance with § 42.64(c), each of Patent Owner's objections to scope is identified below, in the order they appear in the record.

#### I. Objections to Exhibit 1040

Patent Owner objected to the following sections of Exhibit 1040 and hereby moves to exclude them from Petitioner's briefing and trial exhibits:

31:25 - 32:6	191:2 - 191:20	105:20 - 106:2
32:13 - 32:24	60:24 - 61:3	109:11 - 109:22

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

33:6 - 33:12	61:17 - 61:23	110:21 - 111:9
34:21 - 35:18	65:17 - 65:23	113:25 - 114:9
35:20 - 36:16	66:2 - 66:9	115:10 - 115:15
36:22 - 37:22	66:18 - 66:23	119:3 – 119:18
38:2-38:17	67:8 - 67:13	144:2-144:9
38:22 - 39:5	71:3 – 71:6	148:25-149:1
39:19 - 39:21	83:5 - 84:5	149:19 - 150:2
40:3 - 40:15	84:13 - 85:5	119:23 - 120:1
41:5-41:12	85:10 - 85:16	121:20 - 122:4
41:23 - 42:6	86:3 - 86:9	126:12 -127:1
42:15 - 42:24	86:16 - 86:23	128:15 - 129:4
44:6-44:15	87:20 - 87:22	129:8 - 130:6
45:3 - 45:7	92:9 - 92:19	131:11 – 131:19
45:11 - 45:17	93:2-93:7	132:19 - 133:12
47:11 - 48:4	93:16 - 94:13	133:19 - 134:09
52:3 - 52:15	94:24 - 95:8	140:4 -140:15
52:22 - 53:6	95:12 - 95:19	141:22 - 141:22
53:10 - 53:12	95:25 - 96:3	152:25 - 153:8
53:17 - 53:23	96:15 - 96:23	154:15 - 155:2
54:11 - 54:17	96:20 - 96:23	
55:9-55:13	100:14 - 100:18	
55:25 - 57:1	101:6 - 101:10	
57:10 - 57:17	101:17 - 101:20	

The basis for each of the objections above is that the question exceeded the scope of Mr. Easttom's direct testimony. Patent Owner's numerous objections to the scope of questioning in Exhibit 1040 is a result of a lengthy line of questioning by Petitioner, including questions about hypothetical systems, that was entirely outside the scope of Mr. Easttom's direct testimony in his declaration.

Δ

### II. Objections to Exhibit 1041

Patent Owner identifies the following objections to the scope of Exhibit 1041 and moves to exclude these portions of the exhibit because they are outside the scope of Mr. Easttom's declaration (as he repeatedly reminded Petitioner).

19:6 - 19:11 20:14 - 20:19 21:6 - 21:24 22:16 - 22:21 22:24 - 23:21 23:22 - 24:4 26:5 - 26:18 27:2 - 27:5 28:9 - 28:15 28:20 - 29:8 29:12 - 29:14 29:19 - 30:1 30:6 - 30:20

# III. Objections to Exhibit 1042

Patent Owner identifies the following objections to the scope of Exhibit 1042

and moves to exclude these portions of the exhibit because they are outside the scope

of Mr. Easttom's declaration.

21:6 - 21:2431:5 - 31:959:9 - 60:368:3 - 68:968:15 - 68:18

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

