

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

QUINN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SCHEDULING ORDER

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7. Nor does stipulating to a different DUE DATE 4 modify the deadline, set in this Order, for requesting an oral argument.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

1. INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL

The parties are directed to contact the Board within a month of this decision if there is a need to discuss proposed changes to this Scheduling Order or proposed motions. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) (guidance in preparing for the initial conference call).

2. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

3. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

4. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)

Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)

Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)

Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)

Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)

Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

5. DUE DATE 4

a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (*see* section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4. The parties are advised that the Panel will not authorize motions to exclude replies (or portions thereof) alleged to contain arguments that are outside the scope of a proper reply under 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b). The Panel will determine whether a party's reply is outside the scope of a proper reply when the Panel reviews all of the parties' briefs and prepares a final written decision.

6. DUE DATE 5

a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.

b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

7. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

Case IPR2017-01797 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01798 (Patent 8,724,622 B2)
Case IPR2017-01799 (Patent 8,199,747 B2)
Case IPR2017-01800 (Patent 8,243,723 B2)
Case IPR2017-01801 (Patent 8,995,433 B2)
Case IPR2017-01802 (Patent 7,535,890 B2)

8. DUE DATE 7

The oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7.

The panel is available to hear oral argument, if requested, **at either the Texas Regional Office, in Dallas, Texas, or the Silicon Valley Regional Office in San Jose, California.** The Board will set and confirm the location in the order setting oral argument.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).

2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id.*

C. MOTION FOR OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

A motion for observation on cross-examination provides the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,768. The observation must be a concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.